a Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Separation of Adult ADHD Inattention and Hyperactivity-Impulsivity Symptoms and Their Association with Problematic Short-Video Use: A Structural Equation Modeling Analysis

Chuxian Xu¹, Zhaojia Gu¹, Jin Yan¹, Matthew Lock¹, Shuaiyu Chen¹⁻³, Qian Zhuang¹⁻³

¹Center for Cognition and Brain Disorders, The Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China; ²Institute of Psychological Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China; ³Zhejiang Key Laboratory for Research in Assessment of Cognitive Impairments, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Qian Zhuang; Shuaiyu Chen, Center for Cognition and Brain Disorders, The Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China, Email gianzhuang.uestc@outlook.com; daozhezifei@163.com

Purpose: This study investigates the relationship between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms and problematic short-video use (PSVU) in adults, particularly focusing on the affective and cognitive processes that mediate this relationship. The research aims to clarify how different ADHD symptom domains, specifically inattention (IA) and hyperactivity-impulsivity (HI), contribute to PSVU.

Patients and Methods: The study recruited 563 Chinese college students (age: mean±SD = 19.85±1.56) through an online survey platform. Participants were assessed for ADHD symptoms, PSVU, cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress (including anxiety and depression), and boredom proneness. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was employed to analyze the mediation effects of these variables on the relationship between adult ADHD symptoms and PSVU. Additionally, the shared and distinct pathway from IA and HI to PSVU in adults were also explored.

Results: The results revealed a serial mediating effect of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness for the association between ADHD and PSVU (Estimate = 0.005, 95% CI = [0.001, 0.014]). Both IA and HI symptoms were found to influence PSVU with increased emotional distress and boredom proneness (IA, Estimate = 0.055, 95% CI = [0.021, 0.099]; HI, Estimate = 0.088, 95% CI = [0.043, 0.150]). However, divergent pathways were found by which IA increased the PSVU indirectly by heightening susceptibility to boredom proneness (Estimate = 0.044, 95% CI = [0.016, 0.086]), whereas HI primarily influenced PSVU through cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness (Estimate = 0.009, 95% CI = [0.003, 0.020]).

Conclusion: These findings shed light on how PSVU develops in adults with different ADHD symptoms, which provide valuable insights for developing more targeted prevention and treatment strategies for short-video addiction in ADHD adults.

Keywords: I-PACE model, cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, boredom proneness, serial mediation, structural equation model

Introduction

Adult ADHD and Problematic Short-Video Use

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders with a 2.5% and 7.6% global prevalence rate in adults and children respectively, which is primarily characterized by inattention (IA), hyperactivity, or impulsivity (HI).¹⁻³ Consistent evidence from previous human behavioral and neuroimaging meta-analyses have documented that ADHD symptoms in both clinical and community populations are closely associated with addictive behaviors, such as problematic gambling^{4,5} and problematic internet use.^{6–8} These associations are often paralleled by neural abnormalities in regions mainly involved in reward processing, response inhibition, and attention control.^{9,10}

Specifically, with the rapid growth of short-video platforms such as Douyin (Chinese version of TikTok) and Kuaishou which were known for their brief videos, vertical layout and personalized recommendations, problematic short-video use (PSVU), a specific form of problematic internet use, has gained increased attention recently.¹¹ PSVU refers to excessive and compulsive use of short-video apps, where individuals spend significant time on these platforms despite being aware of the potential negative outcomes. This behavior is often characterized by an inability to regulate usage, leading to interference with normal daily activities, emotional well-being, and social relationships.^{12,13} Some studies have focused on the behavioral and neural patterns of excessive users to validate whether PSVU could be manifested by addiction-like behaviors.¹⁴ One study using fMRI has revealed that TikTok users showed higher brain activations in some regions located at default mode network (DMN) and reward processing network when viewing personalized recommended videos compared to non-personalized ones.¹⁵ Another study assessed the psychosocial factors in addictive TikTok users showing that excessive users exhibited higher levels of ADHD symptoms as well as emotional distress compared to their control counterparts,¹¹ which indicated the potential adverse impacts of PSVU on human well-being and mental health.

ADHD adults are more vulnerable to be problematic short-video users,^{11,16} a trend supported by increasing evidence from animal models and human studies which showed that ADHD not only shares some common gene loci but also is a causal factor for substance abuse and behavioral addictions.^{17–19} Adult ADHD is often accompanied by severe emotion dysregulation, which is characterized by maladaptive coping mechanisms during emotional experiences and responses, such as difficulties in emotion understanding or limited ability to use context-appropriate emotional regulatory strategies.^{20–22} According to the compensatory internet use theory,²³ internet-related activities such as PSVU could be an alternative strategy to cope with negative affect. However, few studies have been conducted to examine the association between ADHD symptoms and PSVU. More specifically, IA and HI symptoms are reported to be differently related to co-occurring neurodevelopmental and behavioral problems.^{24–26} Such as, some studies suggest that HI, but not IA, was primarily related to problematic internet use, ^{10,27–30} although other studies show that IA, rather than HI, is a significant predictor for problematic video game use.^{6,31} Despite these findings, it remains unclear whether PSVU is associated with specific ADHD symptoms. To address this, the present study will examine the association between them.

The Potential Emotional and Cognitive Variables Between ADHD and PSVU

The I-PACE model (The Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution, I-PACE model) proposed by Brand,^{32,33} provides us a comprehensive theoretical framework to understand the psychological and neurobiological mechanisms underlying the development of internet-related addictions. This model proposes that individual's psychopathological symptoms will affect emotional and cognitive responses which may act as triggers to their addictive behaviors such as PSVU.³³

Previous study proposed that emotional distress and boredom proneness play an important role as affective and cognitive risk factors during the development of addictive behaviors.³⁴ Emotional distress, as a form of internalizing symptoms including depression and anxiety, has been found to mediate the association between ADHD symptoms and increased addiction behaviors such as eating disorder^{35,36} and problematic Internet use.³⁷ Research suggests that higher levels of emotional distress may result from the failure to employ adaptive emotion regulation strategies, such as cognitive reappraisal.³⁸ Cognitive reappraisal, which involves altering one's interpretation of a situation to change its emotional impact, is particularly effective in reducing negative emotions and preventing excessive emotional responses.³⁹ Specifically, consistent evidence indicates that adults with ADHD use fewer adaptive emotion regulation strategies like cognitive reappraisal compared to those without ADHD,^{21,40,41} which may contribute to their higher levels of emotional distress.^{42,43} Thus, in the present study, the use of cognitive reappraisal and emotional distress were measured as affective variables, which may mediate the relationship between adult ADHD symptoms and PSVU. Notably, accumulating studies and reviews have shown that HI, but not IA, symptoms are correlated with emotional dysfunction in ADHD,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ and higher HI symptoms positively predicted difficulties in emotion regulation in ADHD.⁴⁵ Based on foregoing evidence, we assumed that emotional regulation and its related emotional distress mostly contributes to the pathway from the HI rather than IA to PSVU.

Boredom is defined as a relatively low arousal and dissatisfactory state in which the individual feels a lack of interest and is inattentive in the current activities.⁴⁷ Previous research had supported that less use of adaptive emotion regulation strategies was linked to increased emotional distress and boredom proneness.^{43,48–50} Individuals with higher boredom proneness are driven to increase short-video use,^{51–53} which could provide a constant stream of novel stimuli via a simple swipe of the finger across the screen. Thus, the affective factors including less use of cognitive appraisal and emotional distress together with cognitive factors such as boredom proneness play important roles in the association between ADHD and PSVU. In addition, boredom proneness is closely related to attention deficits such as the failure in maintaining attention and the proper allocation of attentional resources.^{54–56} It has also been reported to mediate the relationship between ADHD symptoms and addiction behaviors like smoking⁵⁷ or problematic smart phone use.⁵⁸ Therefore, we assumed that boredom proneness would act as an important cognitive variable to mediate the association between ADHD symptoms, especially the IA and PSVU.

The Current Study

The current study was conducted to examine the pathway with potential cognitive and emotional processes as mediating variables through which ADHD symptoms as well as IA and HI dimensions contribute to PSVU in adults within the framework of I-PACE model with structural equation modeling. Cognitive reappraisal and its associated emotional distress, including anxiety and depression, were measured as affective variables and boredom proneness as the cognitive variable. Based on previous empirical evidence, the following hypotheses were tested:

Hypothesis 1. ADHD symptoms would be associated with decreased cognitive reappraisal, increased emotional distress, boredom proneness, and PSVU.

Hypothesis 2. A serial mediation effect of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness would exist between ADHD symptoms and PSVU.

Hypothesis 3. HI symptoms would be associated with decreased cognitive reappraisal, increased emotional distress and PSVU while IA symptoms would be associated with increased boredom proneness and PSVU.

Hypothesis 4. HI symptoms would contribute to PSVU with mediation effects of cognitive reappraisal and emotional distress while IA symptoms would contribute to PSVU with mediation effect of boredom proneness.

Material and Methods

Participants and Data Collection

The assessments were conducted via Chinese online survey platform (Wenjuanxing, <u>http://www.wjx.cn</u>) in Hangzhou Normal University (HZNU). Participants were excluded if they reported any current or prior diagnosis of neurological or psychiatric disorders, including ADHD. A total of 563 Chinese right-handed participants (female: n = 280; age range:-18–26; mean_{age} \pm SD = 19.85 \pm 1.56) were included in the current study. An informed consent statement was provided before the questionnaire test, and the study procedures were approved by the HZNU ethics committee. Participants could get 8 RMB after finishing the questionnaire set.

Measurements

Adult ADHD Symptoms

Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS, Chinese version) with a good psychometric property was used to assess current adult ADHD symptoms.⁵⁹ The 18-items ASRS was developed according to the criteria of the DSM-IV, which consisted of IA and HI subscales with each one including 9-items.⁶⁰ Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale. Individuals with sum scores of 0–16 are classified as unlikely, 17–23 as likely to be with ADHD, and the ones with subscale scores greater than 24 are considered to be highly likely. Internal consistencies in the current sample for the total ADHD scale, IA and HI subscales was 0.84, 0.77, and 0.75 respectively.

Problematic Short-Video Use

The measurement of PSVU was adapted from the Facebook Intrusion Questionnaire $(FIQ)^{61}$ in which the word "Facebook" was replaced by "short-video applications". It consisted of eight items and each was rated on a 5-point Likert scale. Participants were asked to answer the questionnaire based on their usual usage of short-video applications with examples of popular platforms (eg, Douyin, Kuaishou) explicitly listed in the instructions. The internal consistency in the current sample was 0.85.

Cognitive Reappraisal

Cognitive Reappraisal (CR) was measured by the subscale of the Emotion Regulation questionnaire (ERQ).^{62,63} It consisted of 6 items and each item was rated on a 7-point Likert scale, where higher scores indicate better use of cognitive reappraisal as an emotion regulation strategy. In the current sample, the internal consistency for CR was 0.84.

Emotional Distress

Emotional Distress (ED)⁶⁴ is a latent variable indicated by depression and anxiety scores, which was measured by the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS; 20 items)^{65,66} and Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS; 20 items).^{67,68} Each item was rated on a 4-point Likert scale. The standard score was calculated (total raw score multiplied by 1.25 and then find the integer) and used in the current study. Internal consistencies in the current sample for SDS and SAS were 0.85 and 0.87 respectively.

Boredom Proneness

The short version of the Boredom Proneness Scale (SBPS)^{69,70} was used to assess the boredom tendency of participants. It consists of 8 items, and each was rated on a 7-point Likert scale. Higher scores indicate higher levels of boredom proneness. The internal consistency was 0.88 in the current sample.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlations with Bonferroni correction were computed in IBM SPSS Statistics version 25.0.⁷¹ Mediation analysis with latent variables were performed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) in Mplus version 8⁷² and a two-stage SEM model including confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and full structural model test⁷³ were employed. The CFA was conducted to validate the measurement model with latent variables including ADHD, cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, boredom proneness, and PSVU. ADHD was indicated by subscales scores, emotional distress was indicated by SAS and SDS scores, and the others including cognitive reappraisal, boredom proneness and PSVU were indicated by scores of each item. Then, the structural model examining the influence of ADHD symptoms on PSVU through potential affective and cognitive variables was evaluated with the latent variables of ADHD or the IA and HI symptoms as predictor variables, the latent variables of cognitive reappraisal, emotion distress, and boredom proneness functioned as mediators and the latent variable of PSVU as a dependent variable. Indirect effects were estimated with 5000 bootstrap samples and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In addition, to evaluate the full model, several goodness-of-fit indices were calculated including the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). In line with previous studies,^{74–76} values of CFI and TLI greater than 0.90 and RMSEA less than 0.06 was considered to be a model with a good fit.

Results

Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Analyses

Descriptive statistics and correlations between variables were presented in Table 1 and Figure 1 respectively.

Correlation analysis showed that the total ADHD, IA, and HI symptoms were all positively associated with anxiety (r = 0.41-0.54, ps < 0.001), depression (r = 0.53, p < 0.001), and boredom proneness (r = 0.47, p < 0.001). Cognitive reappraisal was negatively associated with the ADHD total score (r = -0.15, p < 0.001) and the HI symptoms (r = -0.19, p < 0.001), but not associated with the IA symptoms (r = -0.08, p = 0.057). In addition, cognitive reappraisal was also significantly associated with anxiety (r = -0.29, p < 0.001), depression (r = -0.38, p < 0.001), and boredom proneness (r = -0.29, p < 0.001). PSVU was positively associated with ADHD (r = 0.31-0.35, p < 0.001), anxiety (r = 0.27, p < 0.27, p < 0.001).

Characteristics	Mean	SD	Ν
Age	19.85	1.56	563
Male	50.3% (ratio)		283
IA	15.22	4.46	563
н	12.35	4.44	563
Total ADHD	27.57	7.95	563
CR	31.26	5.23	563
Anxiety	41.78	9.91	563
Depression	46.99	9.59	563
ВР	27.13	10.49	563
PSVU	16.56	6.30	563

Table I
Sample
Characteristics
of
Study

Measures

<td

Abbreviations: IA, Inattention; HI, Hyperactivity and Impulsivity; ADHD, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder; CR, Cognitive Reappraisal; BP, Boredom Proneness; PSVU, Problematic Short-Video Use; SD, standard deviation.

0.001), depression (r = 0.27, p < 0.001), and boredom proneness (r = 0.35, p < 0.001). However, PSVU showed no significant correlation with cognitive reappraisal (r= -0.11, p = 0.012) after Bonferroni correction.

Model Testing

The five-factor CFA was tested regarding the measurement model. The results of the five-factor CFA (Figure 2) show that all indicator variables were reliable and valid measures of their respective latent variables, supported by a significant moderate-to-high factor loading ($\beta = 0.50-0.93$, ps < 0.001). Furthermore, five latent variables were significantly correlated with each other (r = -0.17-0.68, ps < 0.01).

The structural model (Figure 3) examining the influence of total ADHD symptoms on PSVU through cognitive reappraisal, emotion distress, and boredom proneness was evaluated to have a fair model fit ($\chi^2 = 859.922$, df = 287, p < 0.01, RMSEA = 0.060 [0.055,0.064], CFI = 0.915, TLI = 0.904, SRMR = 0.055). In addition, the influences of IA and HI symptoms on PSVU were also explored with the structural model separately (Figure 4), which showed a good fit for the data (IA: $\chi^2 = 780.166$, df = 264, p < 0.01, RMSEA = 0.059 [0.054,0.064], CFI = 0.919, TLI = 0.908, SRMR = 0.054; HI: $\chi^2 = 789.450$, df = 264, p<0.01, RMSEA = 0.059 [0.055,0.064], CFI = 0.918, TLI = 0.907, SRMR = 0.055).

Effects of Total ADHD Symptoms on PSVU

For the standardized direct effects (Figure 3), the total ADHD symptoms that exerted significant direct effects on cognitive reappraisal ($\beta = -0.17$, p < 0.01), emotional distress ($\beta = 0.64$, p < 0.001), boredom proneness ($\beta = 0.18$, p < 0.05), and PSVU ($\beta = 0.34$, p < 0.001). However, while boredom proneness emerged as a significant direct predictor of PSVU ($\beta = 0.21$, p < 0.01), the direct effects of cognitive reappraisal ($\beta = -0.04$, p > 0.05), and emotional distress ($\beta = -0.05$, p > 0.05) on PSVU were not significant.

For the standardized indirect effects of total ADHD symptoms on PSVU through one mediator (Table 2), the ADHDboredom proneness-PSVU condition was significant (Estimate = 0.037, 95% CI = [0.007, 0.092]) which suggests that ADHD symptoms can influence PSVU through increased boredom proneness. The condition with cognitive reappraisal (Estimate = 0.007, 95% CI = [-0.012, 0.031]) or emotional distress (Estimate = -0.034, 95% CI = [-0.166, 0.076]) as mediators were not significant. For the two-mediator pathways (Table 2), while significant indirect effects were found for the ADHD-cognitive reappraisal-boredom proneness-PSVU (Estimate = 0.004, 95% CI = [0.001, 0.012]) and ADHD-



Figure I Correlation metric. Correlation coefficients are present. ***p<0.001.

Abbreviations: IA, Inattention; HI, Hyperactivity-Impulsivity; ADHD, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder; CR, Cognitive Reappraisal; BP, Boredom Proneness; PSVU, Problematic Short-Video Use.

emotional distress-boredom proneness-PSVU (Estimate = 0.072, 95% CI = [0.022, 0.139]) conditions, the ADHDcognitive reappraisal-emotional distress-PSVU pathway showed a non-significant effect (Estimate = -0.003, 95% CI = [-0.014, 0.005]). For the three-mediator pathway (Table 2), there was a significant indirect effect of ADHD on PSVU through cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness in sequence (Estimate = 0.005, 95% CI = [0.001, 0.014]).

Effects of the IA and HI Symptoms on PSVU

To determine whether the IA and HI symptoms would act on PSVU via distinct path patterns with the possible mediating variables of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness, additional SEM models were conducted to assess the associations between HI and IA with PSVU separately. The results showed that both IA and HI could directly predict emotional distress (IA: $\beta = 0.44$, p < 0.001; HI: $\beta = 0.53$, p < 0.001; Figure 4A and B) and PSVU (IA: $\beta = 0.19$, p < 0.001; HI: $\beta = 0.20$, p < 0.001). While the effect of IA on boredom proneness ($\beta = 0.19$, p < 0.001), but not cognitive reappraisal ($\beta = -0.06$, p > 0.05) was significant, the effect of HI on cognitive reappraisal ($\beta = -0.18$, p < 0.01), but not boredom proneness ($\beta = 0.04$, p > 0.05), was significant, which indicates that IA and HI differently relate to mediators and might influence PSVU by different methods.

For the standardized indirect effects through one mediator (Table 2), boredom proneness was found to be significantly mediated by the IA-PSVU condition (Estimate = 0.044, 95% CI = [0.016, 0.086]) but not the HI-PSVU condition



Figure 2 Five factor's confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) model (positing the existence of bivariate correlations among five latent variables). **p<0.01, ***p<0.01. Abbreviations: ADHD, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder; CR, Cognitive Reappraisal; ED, Emotional Distress; BP, Boredom Proneness; PSVU, Problematic Short-Video Use.



Figure 3 Structural equation model testing the direct and indirect relationships between ADHD symptoms and problematic short-video use. Circles represent latent variables. Rectangles represent measured variables. Standardized coefficients are presented. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. The non-continuous arrow refers to a non-significant effect. **Abbreviation**: ADHD, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.



Figure 4 Structural equation model testing the direct and indirect relationships between the IA and HI dimensions and problematic short-video use with IA (a) and HI (b) as the independent variable. Circles represent latent variables. Rectangles represent measured variables. Standardized coefficients are presented. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. The non-continuous arrow refers to a non-significant effect between variables; the continuous arrow refers to a significant effect. Abbreviation: ADHD, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.

(Estimate = 0.009, 95% CI = [-0.014, 0.040]). The indirect effects of cognitive reappraisal (IA, Estimate = 0.002, 95% CI = [-0.004, 0.019]; HI, Estimate = 0.001, 95% CI = [-0.021, 0.024]) or emotional distress as mediators (IA, Estimate = 0.033, 95% CI = [-0.030, 0.100]; HI, Estimate = 0.023, 95% CI = [-0.065, 0.112]) were not significant in either the IA nor HI pathways. For conditions incorporating two mediators, the study found significant indirect effects via emotional distress and boredom proneness (IA, Estimate = 0.055, 95% CI = [0.021, 0.099]; HI, Estimate = 0.088, 95% CI = [0.043, 0.150]) in both the IA model and the HI model. Indirect effects through cognitive reappraisal and emotional distress (IA, Estimate = 0.002, 95% CI = [-0.001, 0.012]; HI, Estimate = 0.002, 95% CI = [-0.006, 0.014]) did not reach significance in either symptom domains of the ADHD models. For the effect including three mediators (cognitive reappraisal,

Total ADHD Symptom as Independent Variable						
Path	Estimate	SE	95% CI			
ADHD-CR-PSVU	0.007	0.011	[-0.012, 0.031]			
ADHD-ED-PSVU	0.034	0.061	[-0.166, 0.076]			
ADHD-BP-PSVU	0.037	0.020	[0.007, 0.092]			
ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU	0.003	0.005	[-0.014, 0.005]			
ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU	0.004	0.003	[0.001, 0.012]			
ADHD-ED-BP-PSVU	0.072	0.029	[0.022, 0.139]			
ADHD-CR-ED-BP-PSVU	0.005	0.003	[0.001, 0.014]			
IA as independent variable						
IA-CR-PSVU	0.002	0.005	[-0.004, 0.019]			
IA-ED-PSVU	0.033	0.033	[-0.030, 0.100]			
IA-BP-PSVU	0.044	0.018	[0.016, 0.086]			
IA-CR-ED-PSVU	0.002	0.003	[-0.001, 0.012]			
IA-CR-BP-PSVU	0.002	0.002	[0.000, 0.007]			
IA-ED-BP-PSVU	0.055	0.019	[0.021, 0.099]			
IA-CR-ED-BP-PSVU	0.003	0.003	[-0.001, 0.010]			
	Path ADHD-CR-PSVU ADHD-BP-PSVU ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU ADHD-CR-ED-BP-PSVU ADHD-CR-ED-BP-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-PSVU IA-CR-BP-PSVU IA-CR-BP-PSVU IA-CR-BP-PSVU	Path Estimate ADHD-CR-PSVU 0.007 ADHD-ED-PSVU 0.034 ADHD-BP-PSVU 0.037 ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU 0.003 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.004 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.004 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.004 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.005 ADHD-CR-ED-BP-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-PSVU 0.033 IA-CR-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-ED-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002	Path Estimate SE ADHD-CR-PSVU 0.007 0.011 ADHD-ED-PSVU 0.034 0.061 ADHD-ED-PSVU 0.037 0.020 ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU 0.037 0.020 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.003 0.005 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.004 0.003 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.005 0.003 ADHD-CR-ED-PSVU 0.005 0.003 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.005 0.003 ADHD-CR-BP-PSVU 0.005 0.003 ADHD-CR-ED-BP-PSVU 0.005 0.003 IA-CR-PSVU 0.002 0.003 IA-ED-PSVU 0.004 0.013 IA-CR-ED-PSVU 0.002 0.003 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.002 0.002 IA-CR-BP-PSVU 0.005 0.019			

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

HI as independent variable						
One mediator	HI-CR-PSVU	0.001	0.011	[-0.021, 0.024]		
	HI-ED-PSVU	0.023	0.045	[-0.065, 0.112]		
	HI-BP-PSVU	0.009	0.014	[-0.014, 0.040]		
Two mediators	HI-CR-ED-PSVU	0.002	0.005	[-0.006, 0.014]		
	HI-CR-BP-PSVU	0.004	0.003	[0.000, 0.012]		
	HI-ED-BP-PSVU	0.088	0.027	[0.043, 0.150]		
Three mediators	HI-CR-ED-BP-PSVU	0.009	0.004	[0.003, 0.020]		

Notes: Standardized coefficients (Estimates), bootstrapped standard errors (SEs), and biascorrected bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are presented. An indirect effect is considered statistically significant if the 95% confidence interval does not include zero.

Abbreviations: ADHD, Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; IA, Inattention; HI, Hyperactivity-Impulsivity; CR, Cognitive Reappraisal; ED, Emotional Distress; BP, Boredom Proneness; PSVU, Problematic Short-Video use.

emotional distress, and boredom proneness), there was a significant effect in the condition from HI to PSVU (Estimate = 0.009, 95% CI = [0.003, 0.020]) but not from IA to PSVU (Estimate = 0.003, 95% CI = [-0.001, 0.010]).

Discussion

The current study used SEM to examine Inattentive (IA) and Hyperactive-Impulsive (HI) symptoms of ADHD and their relation to PSVU in adults within the framework of the I-PACE model including potential emotional and cognitive processes that mediate the relationship between them. The results showed a significant mediation effect of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness regarding the connection between ADHD symptoms and PSVU. In particular, both of the IA and HI symptoms influence PSVU via increased emotional distress and boredom proneness. Divergent pathways were found by which IA increased the likelihood of PSVU indirectly by heightening boredom proneness, whereas HI primarily influenced PSVU through cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness. Together, these findings shed light on the potential mechanisms underlying associations between different ADHD domain symptoms and PSVU, which might provide valuable insights into developing more nuanced prevention and treatment strategies for ADHD adults with PSVU.

In line with our expectations, ADHD symptoms were directly associated with decreased use of cognitive reappraisal, higher emotional distress and boredom proneness, resulting in increased PSVU. ADHD symptoms have previously been reported to be positive associated with various addictive behaviors, such as internet use and gaming disorder.^{6–8,77} Our findings extend this by highlighting that ADHD symptoms may specifically lead to problematic use of short videos, a behavior that shares the addictive nature observed in other internet-related problems. This underscores the need for increased attention to PSVU in ADHD adults, as it may have similar long-term consequences as other addictive behaviors, such as impaired social functioning and emotional regulation. These results align with prior research, which suggests that adults with ADHD tend to use fewer adaptive emotional regulation strategies⁴¹ and exhibit higher levels of internalizing symptoms such as depression, anxiety, and boredom.^{78,79} Furthermore, Based on previous findings showing that ADHD groups exhibit altered reward system and delay aversion,^{80,81} increased short videos use with the brief and personalized content might provide a way to get immediate gratification for ADHD adults and further feed the tendencies of their ADHD subtype.

Our findings reveal that boredom proneness, but not cognitive reappraisal or emotional distress, directly mediates the relationship between ADHD symptoms and PSVU. Previous research has shown that boredom,⁸² emotion regulation,³⁷ and emotional distress³⁵ each independently mediate the relationship between ADHD symptoms and addictive behaviors.

However, no study has combined them in the same model. Our study extends the literature by demonstrating that when boredom is included in the model, neither cognitive reappraisal nor emotional distress directly mediates the relationship. Instead, both cognitive reappraisal and emotional distress influence PSVU indirectly through their effect on boredom, highlighting boredom as a key cognitive factor in this relationship. In addition, the serial mediating effects of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness were found to be significant for the association between total ADHD symptoms and PSVU. ADHD individuals often struggle with boredom proneness, which acts as a motivational driver for seeking immediate stimulation. The difficulties in emotion regulation associated with ADHD, such as the limited use of cognitive reappraisal, exacerbate this tendency, making short-video consumption an appealing outlet for temporary emotional relief. The constant need for stimulation, coupled with heightened emotional distress and boredom, drives individuals to engage in PSVU as a coping mechanism. Our findings contribute to the literature by integrating emotional and cognitive variables and linking them to ADHD symptoms, providing a clearer and more complete path between ADHD symptoms and PSVU. Therefore, interventions focused on managing boredom, improving emotion regulation skills, and reducing emotional distress could help reduce the risk of PSVU in ADHD adults.

In line with our expectations, both IA and HI symptoms were identified as direct predictors of PSVU in the present study. This finding extends the literature by differentiating the effects of ADHD symptom domains on addictive behaviors, an area where scarce research has been conducted and findings are inconsistent. For example, one study conducted with a Middle Eastern sample revealed that both IA and HI are significantly associated with internet gaming addiction,⁸³ while two other studies indicated that IA, rather than HI, is a significant predictor of smartphone usage.^{58,84} This difference may stem from the distinct nature of various addictive behaviors, as the mechanisms driving problematic smartphone use might differ from those underlying PSVU or internet gaming addiction. Our findings suggest that the unique design of short video platforms, which combine engaging, fast-paced, and algorithm-driven content, may appeal to be related to both IA and HI symptoms simultaneously. The rapid and varied stimuli cater to IA tendencies, while the interactive, impulsive nature of swiping aligns with HI behaviors. This dual appeal could explain why both symptom domains emerge as significant predictors of PSVU in our study, bridging the gap in prior inconsistent findings.

Different with our expectation, IA and HI contributed to PSVU via both common and distinct pattern. Both of the IA and HI symptoms influence PSVU via increased emotional distress and boredom proneness. Specifically, IA, but not HI, emerged as a significant predictor of boredom proneness and boredom proneness could independently mediate the link between IA and PSVU. Although existing literature consistently shows a positive association between ADHD symptoms and boredom,^{78,82} few studies have clarified whether this relationship is more closely linked to IA or HI. Our findings expand the literature in this area by demonstrating that IA, in contrast to HI, had a robust association with the propensity for boredom, which may lead to PSVU. This aligns with existing research showing that boredom was associated with ADHD inattention, which could predict increased errors in tasks requiring sustained attention.⁵⁵ Furthermore, neuroimaging evidence from fMRI studies indicated that boredom, mood, and mind-wandering during sustained attention tasks engage common brain areas located in the default mode network.^{85,86} In light of these findings, interventions targeting ADHD individuals with IA symptoms should focus on addressing boredom proneness as a key factor in preventing PSVU. Such as, strategies aimed at increasing attention-demanding activities with interesting stimuli could help alleviate boredom and reduce the likelihood of turning to short videos for emotional relief.

On the other hand, HI directly predicted cognitive reappraisal while IA did not. The serial mediation of cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness was significant between the HI-PSVU condition while it failed to reach significance in IA-PSVU condition. This finding extends previous literature on the relationship between HI and emotion regulation strategies by demonstrating that HI contributes to internalizing symptoms through its influence on the use of cognitive reappraisal. In contrast, IA may lead to internalizing symptoms through alternative pathways. The specific associations between HI and cognitive reappraisal were in line with previous findings which showed that emotion dysregulation in ADHD characterized by emotional instability and emotional impulsivity could be significantly predicted by HI rather than IA.⁸⁷ Furthermore, the emotion dysregulation was related to an increased clustering and local efficiency of the right insula in individuals with ADHD prominently characterized by HI,⁸⁸ although one study found that IA was more strongly related to emotion regulation and impairment than HI.⁸⁹ In light of these findings, interventions for ADHD individuals with pronounced HI symptoms could focus on training emotion regulation strategies, particularly

cognitive reappraisal. Such training may not only mitigate internalizing symptoms but also reduce PSVU via enhancing their capacity to manage negative emotions effectively.

Certain limitations in the present study should be acknowledged. Firstly, given the sample consisted mostly of young adults aged between 18 and 25, a broader age range could be considered for future research. Secondly, only one particular emotion regulation strategy, cognitive appraisal, was assessed in the present study. Future investigations could benefit from examining multiple facets of emotion regulation to better understand its relationship with ADHD and PSVU. Thirdly, as a cross-sectional, survey-based study, it does not allow for causal inferences between the variables examined. While the relationships identified are meaningful, the directionality of these associations remains speculative and should be interpreted with caution.

Lastly, psychiatric comorbidities were ruled out solely based on self-reported survey data rather than clinical diagnostic assessments, which may induce potential bias due to underreporting or lack of awareness among participants. Future studies incorporating comprehensive clinical evaluations would provide a more robust understanding of the impact of psychiatric comorbidities.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results reveal a positive association between adult ADHD symptoms and PSVU, while also highlighting a serial mediation effect with cognitive reappraisal, emotional distress, and boredom proneness. Shared and distinct pathways emerged when examining the relationship between IA and HI with PSVU separately. IA and HI symptoms influence PSVU via increased emotional distress and boredom proneness. However, while IA was found to influence PSVU through boredom proneness, HI was strongly related to cognitive reappraisal and could contribute to PSVU with emotional dysregulation and boredom proneness. These findings could prompt the understanding of relationships between ADHD domain symptoms and PSVU, which might provide novel insights into the PSVU interventions in adults with different ADHD symptoms.

Acknowledgments

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32200904 - Qian Zhuang; 32100888 – Shuaiyu Chen), Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation (LQ21C090007– Shuaiyu Chen) and Medical and Health Technology Project of Zhejiang Provincial Health Commission (2023RC236 - Qian Zhuang; 2021ky247– Shuaiyu Chen).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Salari N, Ghasemi H, Abdoli N. et al. The global prevalence of ADHD in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2023;49(1):1–12. doi:10.1186/s13052-023-01456-1
- 2. Sayal K, Prasad V, Daley D, Ford T, Coghill D. ADHD in children and young people: prevalence, care pathways, and service provision. *Lancet Psychiat*. 2018;5(2):175–186. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30167-0
- Song P, Zha M, Yang Q, Zhang Y, Li X, Rudan I. The prevalence of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health. 2021;11:04009. doi:10.7189/jogh.11.04009
- Karaca S, Saleh A, Canan F, Potenza MN. Comorbidity between Behavioral Addictions and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: a Systematic Review. Int J Ment Health Addict. 2017;15(3):701–724. doi:10.1007/s11469-016-9660-8
- Theule J, Hurl KE, Cheung K, Ward M, Henrikson B. Exploring the Relationships Between Problem Gambling and ADHD: a Meta-Analysis. J Atten Disord. 2019;23(12):1427–1437. doi:10.1177/1087054715626512

- 6. Dullur P, Krishnan V, Diaz AM. A systematic review on the intersection of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and gaming disorder. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;133:212–222. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.12.026
- 7. Wang B-Q, Yao N-Q, Zhou X, Liu J, Lv Z-T. The association between attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and internet addiction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17(1):260. doi:10.1186/s12888-017-1408-x
- Werling AM, Kuzhippallil S, Emery S, Walitza S, Drechsler R. Problematic use of digital media in children and adolescents with a diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder compared to controls. A meta-analysis. J Behavi Addict. 2022;11(2):305–325. doi:10.1556/2006.2022.00007
- Cubillo A, Halari R, Smith A, Taylor E, Rubia K. A review of fronto-striatal and fronto-cortical brain abnormalities in children and adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and new evidence for dysfunction in adults with ADHD during motivation and attention. *Cortex*. 2012;48(2):194–215. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2011.04.007
- 10. Rubia K. Cognitive Neuroscience of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Its Clinical Translation. Front Hum Neurosci. 2018;12:100. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2018.00100
- 11. Chao M, Lei J, He R, Jiang Y, Yang H. TikTok use and psychosocial factors among adolescents: comparisons of non-users, moderate users, and addictive users. *Psychiatry Res.* 2023;325:115247. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2023.115247
- 12. Ye JH, Wu YF, Nong W, Wu YT, Ye JN, Sun Y. The Association of Short-Video Problematic Use, Learning Engagement, and Perceived Learning Ineffectiveness among Chinese Vocational Students. *Healthcare*. 2023;11(2):161. doi:10.3390/healthcare11020161
- 13. Zhang X, Wu Y, Liu S. Exploring short-form video application addiction: socio-technical and attachment perspectives. *Telematic Informatic*. 2019;42:101243. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2019.101243
- Smith T, Short A. Needs affordance as a key factor in likelihood of problematic social media use: validation, latent Profile analysis and comparison of TikTok and Facebook problematic use measures. *Addict. Behav.* 2022;129:107259. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2022.107259
- 15. Su C, Zhou H, Gong L, Teng B, Geng F, Hu Y. Viewing personalized video clips recommended by TikTok activates default mode network and ventral tegmental area. *Neuroimage*. 2021;237:118136. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118136
- Boer M, Stevens G, Finkenauer C, van den Eijnden R. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder-Symptoms, Social Media Use Intensity, and Social Media Use Problems in Adolescents: investigating Directionality. *Child Dev.* 2020;91(4):e853–e865. doi:10.1111/cdev.13334
- 17. Kanarik M, Grimm O, Mota NR, Reif A, Harro J. ADHD co-morbidities: a review of implication of gene × environment effects with dopaminerelated genes. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2022;139:104757. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104757
- Soler Artigas M, Sánchez-Mora C, Rovira P, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and lifetime cannabis use: genetic overlap and causality. mol Psychiatry. 2020;25(10):2493–2503. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0339-3
- Viggiano D, Ruocco LA, Sadile AG. Dopamine phenotype and behaviour in animal models: in relation to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2003;27(7):623–637. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.006
- 20. Hou W. Emotion dysregulation and right pars orbitalis constitute a neuropsychological pathway to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Mental Health.* 2024;2:1–13.
- 21. Shaw P, Stringaris A, Nigg J, Leibenluft E. Emotion Dysregulation in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *AJP*. 2014;171(3):276–293. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.13070966
- Murray AL, Wong SC, Obsuth I, Rhodes S, Eisner M, Ribeaud D. An ecological momentary assessment study of the role of emotional dysregulation in co-occurring ADHD and internalising symptoms in adulthood. J Affective Disorders. 2021;281:708–713. doi:10.1016/j. jad.2020.11.086
- 23. Kardefelt-Winther D. A conceptual and methodological critique of internet addiction research: towards a model of compensatory internet use. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2014;31:351–354. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.10.059
- Paloyelis Y, Rijsdijk F, Wood AC, Asherson P, Kuntsi J. The genetic association between ADHD symptoms and reading difficulties: the role of inattentiveness and IQ. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2010;38(8):1083–1095. doi:10.1007/s10802-010-9429-7
- Short EJ, Fairchild L, Findling RL, Manos MJ. Developmental and Subtype Differences in Behavioral Assets and Problems in Children Diagnosed with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2007;11(1):28–36. doi:10.1177/1087054707299370
- Wood AC, Rijsdijk F, Asherson P, Kuntsi J. Hyperactive-impulsive symptom scores and oppositional behaviours reflect alternate manifestations of a single liability. *Behav Genet*. 2009;39(5):447–460. doi:10.1007/s10519-009-9290-z
- 27. Cabelguen C, Rocher B, Leboucher J, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and gaming disorder: frequency and associated factors in a clinical sample of patients with Gaming Disorder. J Behavi Addict. 2021;10(4):1061–1067. doi:10.1556/2006.2021.00074
- 28. El Archi S, Barrault S, Garcia M, et al. Adult ADHD Diagnosis, Symptoms of Impulsivity, and Emotional Dysregulation in a Clinical Sample of Outpatients Consulting for a Behavioral Addiction. J Atten Disord. 2023;27(7):731–742. doi:10.1177/10870547231161336
- Gervasi AM, La Marca L, Costanzo A, Pace U, Guglielmucci F, Schimmenti A. Personality and Internet Gaming Disorder: a Systematic Review of Recent Literature. Curr Addict Rep. 2017;4(3):293–307. doi:10.1007/s40429-017-0159-6
- 30. Kuss DJ, Pontes HM, Griffiths MD. Neurobiological Correlates in Internet Gaming Disorder: a Systematic Literature Review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00166
- 31. Mazurek MO, Engelhardt CR. Video game use in boys with autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, or typical development. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132 (2):260–266. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-3956
- 32. Brand M, Young KS, Laier C, Wölfling K, Potenza MN. Integrating psychological and neurobiological considerations regarding the development and maintenance of specific Internet-use disorders: an Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2016;71:252–266. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.033
- 33. Brand M, Wegmann E, Stark R, et al. The Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model for addictive behaviors: update, generalization to addictive behaviors beyond internet-use disorders, and specification of the process character of addictive behaviors. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2019;104:1–10. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.06.032
- 34. Elhai JD, Yang H, Montag C. Cognitive- and Emotion-Related Dysfunctional Coping Processes: transdiagnostic Mechanisms Explaining Depression and Anxiety's Relations with Problematic Smartphone Use. *Curr Addict Rep.* 2019;6(4):410–417. doi:10.1007/s40429-019-00260-4
- 35. El Archi S, Cortese S, Ballon N, et al. Negative Affectivity and Emotion Dysregulation as Mediators between ADHD and Disordered Eating: a Systematic Review. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11):3292. doi:10.3390/nu12113292
- 36. Samela T, Innamorati M, Lester D, et al. The association between adult ADHD and food addiction: a mediation analysis. *Appetite*. 2021;167:105613. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2021.105613

- 37. El Archi S, Barrault S, Brunault P, Ribadier A, Varescon I. Co-occurrence of Adult ADHD Symptoms and Problematic Internet Use and Its Links With Impulsivity, Emotion Regulation, Anxiety, and Depression. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:792206. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.792206
- 38. Batenburg A, Das E. An Experimental Study on the Effectiveness of Disclosing Stressful Life Events and Support Messages: when Cognitive Reappraisal Support Decreases Emotional Distress, and Emotional Support Is Like Saying Nothing at All. PLoS One. 2014;9(12):e114169. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114169
- 39. Gross JJ. Emotion regulation: affective, cognitive, and social consequences. Psychophysiology. 2002;39(3):281–291. doi:10.1017/ S0048577201393198
- 40. Liu Q, Chen W, Preece DA, et al. Emotion dysregulation in adults with ADHD: the role of cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression. *J Affect Disord*. 2022;319:267–276. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2022.09.058
- 41. Soler-Gutiérrez AM, Pérez-González JC, Mayas J. Evidence of emotion dysregulation as a core symptom of adult ADHD: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2023;18(1):e0280131. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0280131
- 42. Farmer GM, Ohan JL, Finlay-Jones AL, Bayliss DM. Well-being and Distress in University Students with ADHD Traits: the Mediating Roles of Self-Compassion and Emotion Regulation Difficulties. *Mindfulness*. 2023;14(2):448–459. doi:10.1007/s12671-022-02051-x
- Mayer JS, Brandt GA, Medda J, et al. Depressive symptoms in youth with ADHD: the role of impairments in cognitive emotion regulation. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022;272(5):793–806. doi:10.1007/s00406-022-01382-z
- 44. Bunford N, Evans SW, Wymbs F. ADHD and Emotion Dysregulation Among Children and Adolescents. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev. 2015;18 (3):185–217. doi:10.1007/s10567-015-0187-5
- 45. Gair SL, Brown HR, Breaux R, Lugo-Candelas CI, McDermott JM, Harvey EA. Neural Activity and Emotion Socialization as Predictors of Later Emotion Regulation Difficulties in Children With and Without Hyperactivity/Impulsivity. J Atten Disord. 2022;26(12):1668–1681. doi:10.1177/ 10870547221092171
- 46. Maedgen JW, Carlson CL. Social functioning and emotional regulation in the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes. J Clin Child Psychol. 2000;29(1):30–42. doi:10.1207/S15374424jccp2901_4
- 47. watt JD, Vodanovich SJ. Boredom Proneness and Psychosocial Development. The Journal of Psychology. 1999;133(3):303-314. doi:10.1080/00223989909599743
- 48. Elhai JD, Rozgonjuk D, Alghraibeh AM, Yang H. Disrupted Daily Activities From Interruptive Smartphone Notifications: relations With Depression and Anxiety Severity and the Mediating Role of Boredom Proneness. *Social Sci Computer Rev.* 2021;39(1):20–37. doi:10.1177/ 0894439319858008
- 49. Isacescu J, Struk AA, Danckert J. Cognitive and affective predictors of boredom proneness. Cogn Emot. 2017;31(8):1741-1748. doi:10.1080/02699931.2016.1259995
- 50. Lee FKS, Zelman DC. Boredom proneness as a predictor of depression, anxiety and stress: the moderating effects of dispositional mindfulness. *Pers Individ Dif.* 2019;146:68–75. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2019.04.001
- 51. Blaszczynski A, McConaghy N, Frankova A. Boredom proneness in pathological gambling. *Psychol Rep.* 1990;67(1):35–42. doi:10.2466/ pr0.1990.67.1.35
- 52. Kil N, Kim J, Park J, Lee C. Leisure boredom, leisure challenge, smartphone use, and emotional distress among U.S. college students: are they interrelated? *Leisure Stud.* 2021;40(6):779–792. doi:10.1080/02614367.2021.1931414
- Yang XJ, Liu QQ, Lian SL, Zhou ZK. Are bored minds more likely to be addicted? The relationship between boredom proneness and problematic mobile phone use. *Addict Behav.* 2020;108:106426. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106426
- Eastwood JD, Frischen A, Fenske MJ, Smilek D. The Unengaged Mind: defining Boredom in Terms of Attention. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2012;7 (5):482–495. doi:10.1177/1745691612456044
- 55. Hunter A, Eastwood JD. Does state boredom cause failures of attention? Examining the relations between trait boredom, state boredom, and sustained attention. *Exp Brain Res.* 2018;236(9):2483–2492. doi:10.1007/s00221-016-4749-7
- 56. Malkovsky E, Merrifield C, Goldberg Y, Danckert J. Exploring the relationship between boredom and sustained attention. *Exp Brain Res.* 2012;221 (1):59–67. doi:10.1007/s00221-012-3147-z
- 57. Mitchell JT, Dennis MF, English JS, et al. Ecological momentary assessment of antecedents and consequences of smoking in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Subst Use Misuse. 2014;49(11):1446–1456. doi:10.3109/10826084.2014.912229
- 58. Müller V, Mellor D, Piko BF. How to Procrastinate Productively With ADHD: a Study of Smartphone Use, Depression, and Other Academic Variables Among University Students With ADHD Symptoms. J Atten Disord. 2023;27(9):951–959. doi:10.1177/10870547231171724
- 59. Yeh CB, Gau SSF, Kessler RC, Wu YY. Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the adult ADHD Self-report Scale. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2008;17(1):45–54. doi:10.1002/mpr.241
- 60. Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, et al. The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychol Med.* 2005;35(2):245–256. doi:10.1017/s0033291704002892
- 61. Elphinston RA, Noller P. Time to face it! Facebook intrusion and the implications for romantic jealousy and relationship satisfaction. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw.* 2011;14(11):631–635. doi:10.1089/cyber.2010.0318
- 62. Gross JJ, John OP. Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2003;85(2):348–362. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348
- 63. Wang L, Liu H, Li Z, Du W. Reliability and validity of emotion regulation questionnaire Chinese revised version. *China J Health Psychol*. 2007;15 (6):503–505.
- 64. Strong V, Waters R, Hibberd C, et al. Emotional distress in cancer patients: the Edinburgh Cancer Centre symptom study. *Br J Cancer*. 2007;96 (6):868–874. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603626
- 65. Zung WW, Richards CB, Short MJ. Self-rating depression scale in an outpatient clinic: further validation of the SDS. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 1965;13(6):508-515. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1965.01730060026004
- 66. Liu XC, Dai Z, Tang MQ, Chen K, Hu L, Wang AZ. Factor analysis of self-rating depression scale (SDS). Chin J Clin Psychol. 1994;2(3):151–154.
- 67. Zung WW. A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. *Psychosomatics*. 1971. doi:10.1016/S0033-3182(71)71479-0
- 68. Wang ZY, Chi YF. Chinese version of Zung's Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS). J Shanghai Psychiat. 1984;2:71-72.
- 69. Struk AA, Carriere JSA, Cheyne JA, Danckert J. A Short Boredom Proneness Scale: development and Psychometric Properties. *Assessment*. 2017;24(3):346–359. doi:10.1177/1073191115609996

- 70. Peng JX, Peng XP, Huang H, et al. Reliability and validity test of the Chinese vision of Short Boredom Proneness Scale. *Chin J Clin Psychol.* 2019;27(2):282–285.
- 71. Corportation IBM. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 25.0 Armonk). Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp; 2017.
- 72. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. Mplus user's guide (Eighth). Muthén & Muthén. 2017;2017:1.
- 73. Kline TJ. Psychological Testing: A Practical Approach to Design and Evaluation. Sage publications; 2005. Accessed April 1, 2024.
- 74. Hooper D, Coughlan J, Mullen M. Evaluating model fit: a synthesis of the structural equation modelling literature. In: 7th European Conference on Research Methodology for Business and Management Studies.; 2008;2008:195–200. Accessed April 1, 2024.
- 75. Hu L, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 1999;6(1):1–55. doi:10.1080/10705519909540118
- MacCallum RC, Browne MW, Sugawara HM. Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*. 1996;1(2):130. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130
- 77. Zhao Y, Jiang Z, Guo S, et al. Association of symptoms of attention deficit and hyperactivity with problematic internet use among university students in Wuhan, China during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Affective Disorders. 2021;286:220–227. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.02.078
- 78. Golubchik P, Manor I, Shoval G, Weizman A. Levels of Proneness to Boredom in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder On and Off Methylphenidate Treatment. J Child Adolescent Psychopharmacol. 2020;30(3):173–176. doi:10.1089/cap.2019.0151
- 79. Zhang SY, Qiu SW, Pan MR, et al. Adult ADHD, executive function, depressive/anxiety symptoms, and quality of life: a serial two-mediator model. J Affective Disorders. 2021;293:97–108. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.06.020
- Grimm O, van Rooij D, Hoogman M, et al. Transdiagnostic neuroimaging of reward system phenotypes in ADHD and comorbid disorders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2021;128:165–181. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.06.025
- Mies GW, de Water E, Wiersema JR, Scheres A. Delay discounting of monetary gains and losses in adolescents with ADHD: contribution of delay aversion to choice. *Child Neuropsychol.* 2019;25(4):528–547. doi:10.1080/09297049.2018.1508563
- Chou WJ, Chang YP, Yen CF. Boredom proneness and its correlation with Internet addiction and Internet activities in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* 2018;34(8):467–474. doi:10.1016/j.kjms.2018.01.016
- Vally Z. Symptoms of Internet Gaming Disorder, Inattention, and Impulsivity: a Cross-Sectional Study Conducted in the United Arab Emirates. Psychiatr Q. 2021;92(1):301–310. doi:10.1007/s11126-020-09799-2
- Panagiotidi M, Overton P. Attention deficit hyperactivity symptoms predict problematic mobile phone use. Curr Psychol. 2022;41(5):2765–2771. doi:10.1007/s12144-020-00785-2
- Christoff K, Gordon AM, Smallwood J, Smith R, Schooler JW. Experience sampling during fMRI reveals default network and executive system contributions to mind wandering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009;106(21):8719–8724. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900234106
- 86. Danckert J, Merrifield C. Boredom, sustained attention and the default mode network. *Exp Brain Res.* 2018;236(9):2507–2518. doi:10.1007/s00221-016-4617-5
- 87. Martz E, Weiner L, Weibel S. Identifying different patterns of emotion dysregulation in adult ADHD. bord personal disord emot dysregul. Borderline Personality Disorder and Emotion Dysregulation. 2023;10(1):28. doi:10.1186/s40479-023-00235-y
- Viering T, Hoekstra PJ, Philipsen A, et al. Functional network topology of the right insula affects emotion dysregulation in hyperactive-impulsive attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):15045. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-94426-8
- 89. O'Neill S, Rudenstine S. Inattention, emotion dysregulation and impairment among urban, diverse adults seeking psychological treatment. *Psychiatry Res.* 2019;282:112631. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2019.112631

Psychology Research and Behavior Management

Dovepress Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

Psychology Research and Behavior Management is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the science of psychology and its application in behavior management to develop improved outcomes in the clinical, educational, sports and business arenas. Specific topics covered in the journal include: Neuroscience, memory and decision making; Behavior modification and management; Clinical applications; Business and sports performance management; Social and developmental studies; Animal studies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/psychology-research-and-behavior-management-journal

474 🖪 🛛 in 🗖