### Journal of Pain Research

#### Open Access Full Text Article

#### LETTER

1189

The Effectiveness of Acupuncture on Myofascial Trigger Points Versus Traditional Chinese Medicine Acupoints for Treating Plantar Fasciitis With Low Back Pain: A Study Protocol for a Randomised Clinical Trial [Letter]

Riya Kalra, Kanu Goyal, Manu Goyal

MM(DU), MMIPR, Ambala, India

Correspondence: Manu Goyal, Email manu.goyal@mmumullana.org

## **Dear editor**

The recently published study, "The Effectiveness of Acupuncture on Myofascial Trigger Points Versus Traditional Chinese Medicine Acupoints for Treating Plantar Fasciitis With Low Back Pain: A Study Protocol for a Randomized Clinical Trial" by Huang et al<sup>1</sup> offers a valuable perspective on comparing acupuncture techniques for addressing plantar fasciitis and low back pain, an area of growing clinical interest.

The study's adherence to the Recommendations for Interventional Trials and the Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture guidelines ensures methodological rigor.<sup>2</sup> Its well-structured randomized controlled trial design adds credibility to the findings. The comprehensive evaluation, incorporating NPRS, FFI, and RMDQ as outcome measures, strengthens the study's reliability.<sup>3</sup>

A significant aspect of this research is the comparative analysis of acupuncture at myofascial trigger points and traditional acupoints, filling an existing knowledge gap in the field. However, a few considerations merit further attention:

Blinding Challenges: Although participant and outcome assessor blinding is implemented, the nature of acupuncture makes complete practitioner blinding difficult. Future research could explore sham acupuncture techniques to mitigate potential biases.<sup>4</sup>

Sustainability of Results: The inclusion of a 12-week follow-up is commendable, but since plantar fasciitis and low back pain can be chronic, a longer follow-up period would provide better insights into sustained effects and recurrence.<sup>5</sup>

Participant Variability: While the selection criteria are well-defined, factors such as obesity, lifestyle habits, and physical activity could influence outcomes and may benefit from subgroup analyses.

This study holds great promise for refining clinical acupuncture practices and aiding healthcare professionals in treating plantar fasciitis and low back pain. I appreciate the researchers' effort in conducting this study and eagerly await the results.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

Journal of Pain Research 2025:18 1189-1190

© 2025 Kalra et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the firms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

# References

- 1. Huang Z, Liang X, Luo Y, et al. The effectiveness of acupuncture on myofascial trigger points versus traditional Chinese medicine acupoints for treating plantar fasciitis with low back pain: a study protocol for a randomized clinical trial. J Pain Res. 2025;18:497–506. doi:10.2147/JPR.S492541
- 2. MacPherson H. STRICTA (Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture) guidelines. *Rep Health Res.* 2014;2014:147–155.
- 3. Williamson A, Hoggart B. Pain: a review of three commonly used pain rating scales. J Clin Nurs. 2005;14(7):798-804. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2005.01121.x
- 4. Furlan AD, van Tulder M, Cherkin D, et al. Acupuncture and dry-needling for low back pain: an updated systematic review within the framework of the Cochrane collaboration. *Spine*. 2005;30(8):944–963. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000158941.21571.01
- 5. Morrissey D, Cotchett M, Said J, et al. Management of plantar heel pain: a best practice guide informed by a systematic review, expert clinical reasoning, and patient values. *Br J Sports Med.* 2021;55(19):1106–1118. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-101970

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

**Dovepress** Taylor & Francis Group

#### Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S521294