ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## The Influence of Cognitive and Affective Trust on the Utilization of Family Doctor Services: A Chinese Cross-Sectional Survey Based on McAllister's Theory

Wenqi Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Bo Huang<sup>2</sup>, Huiyan Fang<sup>1</sup>, Yilin Li<sup>1</sup>, Weizhuo Chen<sup>1</sup>, Ting Ye<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine and Health Management, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, People's Republic of China; <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Rural Health Service, Key Research Institute of Humanities & Social Sciences of Hubei Provincial Department of Education, Wuhan, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Ting Ye, School of Medicine and Health Management, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 13 hangkong Road, Qiaokou District, Wuhan, 430030, People's Republic of China, Email yeting@hust.edu.cn

**Purpose:** This study explores the impact of cognitive and affective trust on the utilization of family doctor services based on McAllister's theory. It also analyzes the factors influencing these forms of trust.

**Patients and Methods:** A cross-sectional study using stratified random sampling gathered data from 325 adult patients registered with family doctors in six community health centers in Wuhan, China. PLS-SEM was employed to examine the relationships among cognitive trust, affective trust, and the utilization of family doctor services. Logistic regression was utilized to identify the factors influencing cognitive and affective trust.

**Results:** Higher cognitive trust significantly influenced affective trust ( $\beta = 0.549$ , p < 0.01) and was positively associated with service utilization ( $\beta = 0.524$ , p < 0.01). Affective trust also showed a positive association with service utilization ( $\beta = 0.481$ , p < 0.01). Occupation and marital status were found to have a significant impact on both cognitive and affective trust. Specifically, professional and technical workers(OR=9.699, p=0.001;OR=2.309, p=0.011) and non-married individuals(OR=7.591, p=0.001;OR=2.737, p=0.002) demonstrated higher trust levels.

**Conclusion:** The study reveals the importance of cognitive and affective trust in patient engagement with family doctor services. It suggests that family doctors should enhance their professionalism while also demonstrating emotional care to improve overall healthcare quality. Additionally, attention should be given to the influence of factors such as occupation and marital status on patients' trust in family doctors.

Keywords: doctor-patient trust, family doctor services, primary healthcare, information and communication

#### Introduction

Family doctor, as the "gatekeeper" of primary healthcare, are doctors who provide comprehensive, continuous, and coordinated medical and health services to individuals and their families, positively affecting residents' health,<sup>1</sup> promoting health equity, increasing access to essential medical services, and enhancing the quality of primary healthcare.<sup>2</sup> Before these efforts, countries like the US and the UK had implemented similar healthcare service systems. In response, in 2016, the Chinese government expedited the introduction of family doctor contract services.<sup>3</sup> This system aims to enhance primary healthcare, ensure universal access to essential medical services, and improve the hierarchical diagnosis and treatment model in China. However, challenges have persisted, particularly patient distrust of family doctors, which has hindered the progress of family doctor contract services. This skepticism is evident in concerns about the technical proficiency, competence of the family physician team, subjective impressions, and communication quality.<sup>4</sup> Historical experience has shown that patient distrust of family doctors can lead to reduced utilization of basic medical

services and declining resident health. Thus, boosting patient trust in family doctors to increase the use of family doctor services was crucial for expanding the coverage and quality of primary healthcare.<sup>5</sup>

Doctor-patient trust, traditionally seen as mutual belief in doctors and patients during their interactions, centers on the expectation that both parties will not act against each other's interests or well-being.<sup>6</sup> However, practical situations often leave patients vulnerable due to their limited medical knowledge. Patients lack the resources, expertise, or time to independently verify healthcare providers' prognostications, resulting in trust based on limited rationality and faith in a doctor's technical competence and ethics.<sup>7</sup> Notably, Family doctors differ from general practitioners in that, to maintain contact with their contracted patients, they may need to establish a unique trust model to encourage patients to return to primary care services.<sup>8,9</sup> Despite the significance of this distinction, previous research has limited exploration of these differences.

Based on previous research and field investigations, we found that patients' trust in family doctors exhibits distinct characteristics at different stages.<sup>10</sup> Initially, patients quickly assessed the benefits of their interactions with family doctors, forming the foundation of trust.<sup>10</sup> During this phase, trust relied on the cognitive assessment of family doctors' professional competence, service quality, and effectiveness. As communication deepened, an emotional bond developed, transitioning from cognitive trust to affective trust. Affective trust primarily arose from harmonious interactions, leading to a dependency on family doctors.<sup>11</sup> This emotional trust was also influenced by the cognitive trust established during the contracting phase.<sup>12</sup> McAllister (1995) described two core trust forms: cognitive trust, based on rational confidence in trustees, considering competencies, responsibilities, reputation, and reliability; and affective trust, focusing on emotions like care, concern, attention, and sympathy.<sup>12</sup> He argued that affective trust is typically built upon the foundation of cognitive trust, and a high level of trust can further strengthen interpersonal relationships and enhance organizational performance. Based on the interaction model between family doctors and patients, this study suggests that McAllister's theory can help us better structure the trust model between patients and family doctors and examine whether trust can facilitate the utilization of family doctor services.

Therefore, this study incorporates McAllister's theory of cognitive and affective trust into the research framework of doctor-patient trust. It examines the factors influencing patients' cognitive and affective trust, analyzes the impact of these two trust models on the utilization of family doctor services, and evaluates the applicability of McAllister's trust theory within the context of doctor-patient relationships. Based on the theory, the hypotheses proposed in this study are as follows:

Hypothesis 1 Cognitive trust positively affects the utilization of family doctor services.

Hypothesis 2 Affective trust positively affects the utilization of family doctor services.

Hypothesis 3 Cognitive trust exerts a positive influence on affective trust.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Study Design and Participants

This study was a cross-sectional survey conducted from February to April 2022. We employed a stratified random sampling method to select six community health service centers in Wuhan, representing both central urban areas (Jiang'an District and Hanyang District) and remote districts (Caidian District and Donghu New Technology Development Zone). Within these selected institutions, we randomly interviewed patients, ensuring that they represented various age groups and had established a contractual relationship with a family doctor prior to the start of the study.

#### Procedure

We used a self-designed scale to collect data for this study. The scale aimed to measure patients' cognitive trust, affective trust, and utilization of family doctor services among those who had signed a contract with a family doctor. Cognitive trust was assessed across three dimensions: the family doctor's professional competence, reliability, and medical ethics. Affective trust was evaluated through three dimensions: empathy, emotional investment, and emotional

attachment. Prior to the survey, we invited professors to participate in an expert panel meeting, using the Delphi method, to improve the quality of the scale. Before the formal survey, a preliminary study was conducted at a community health service center in Wuhan with a sample of 50 individuals to assess the reliability and validity of the scale.

The survey was conducted by graduate students from the School of Medicine and Health Management at Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Before the survey, all researchers underwent standardized training. The team conducted the survey from February to April 2022, randomly interviewing patients at community health service centers and informing participants about the study details, including the research objectives, content, and the benefits and risks of participation. Each participant was expected to complete the survey in approximately 10–15 minutes.

For this study, the sample size was determined using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), with a minimum sample size requirement of ten times the number of measurement items for each latent variable. The scale included 25 measurement items, and a total of 350 scales were distributed. After data collection, 325 valid scales were returned, yielding a response rate of 92.86%. The final sample size met the requirements for using PLS-SEM analysis.

#### Measures

McAllister's theory of cognitive and affective trust has been applied in various fields, including organizational studies, interpersonal relationships, and healthcare. In primary care, general practitioners build long-term relationships with their patients, which helps them provide effective care. Patients seek not only treatment for illnesses but also emotional support from their doctors. When their expectations for care quality are met, they tend to prefer doctors with whom they have built strong relationships. Therefore, McAllister's theory may help explain the trust model between family doctors and patients.<sup>13</sup> To refine our research instruments, we have incorporated additional trust measurement scales, including the Johnson Trust Scale,<sup>14</sup> the Health Care Relationship Trust Scale,<sup>15</sup> and the Physician Trust Index Assessment Scale.<sup>16</sup> The scale development process is detailed in the Supplementary Files. <u>Supplementary Table 1</u> presents the original scale, while <u>Supplementary Tables 2</u> to <u>6</u> outline its revision process using the Delphi method. <u>Supplementary Table 2</u> summarizes the characteristics of the experts, while <u>Supplementary Tables 3</u> and <u>4</u> provide the criteria for their judgments on cognitive and affective trust (Ca) and their familiarity with the consultation content (Cs). <u>Supplementary Table 5</u> reports the expert authority coefficient (Cr), and <u>Supplementary Table 6</u> presents the consultation results. The finalized scale is shown in <u>Supplementary Table 7</u>. The reliability and validity analysis of the scale can be found in <u>Supplementary Tables 8</u> and <u>9</u>.

Ultimately, our scale comprises 25 items designed to measure three latent variables: cognitive trust, affective trust, and utilization of family doctor services. To facilitate a more comprehensive assessment of patient trust in family doctors, both cognitive trust and affective trust are further divided into three dimensions. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The explanations of the measurement dimensions covered in our scale can be found in the Measures section of the <u>Supplementary Files</u>.

#### **Cognitive Trust**

In this study, cognitive trust was defined as trust resulting from patients' cognitive evaluations of their family doctors. We believe that patients' cognitive trust in family doctors stems from their professional competence,<sup>17</sup> reliability,<sup>18</sup> and medical ethics.<sup>19,20</sup> Professional competence and reliability were each measured using 3 items, while medical ethics was assessed through 5 items.

#### Affective Trust

Affective trust was defined as the attention, understanding, emotional engagement, and attachment patients felt toward their family doctors due to the emotional care they received during the diagnostic and therapeutic process. We adopted caring understanding.<sup>21</sup>, emotional engagement,<sup>22</sup> and emotional attachment<sup>23</sup> as the criteria to measure patients' emotional trust in family doctors. Each part was measured using 3 distinct items.

#### The Utilization of Family Doctor Service

In this article, family doctor service utilization was evaluated based on patients' perceptions, encompassing both rational and irrational components. The rational part included patients' intuitive assessments of their family doctors' years of experience, service attitude, and ability to improve patients' health conditions. The irrational component encompassed the personal charisma of the family doctors and the emotional connection patients developed over time.<sup>24</sup> This part was measured using 5 items.

## Data Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the demographic characteristics of the survey population and to assess patients' levels of cognitive and affective trust in their family doctors. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was used to calculate the influence relationships and path coefficients between the latent variables that constructed the model. In addition, PLS-SEM was used to indicate the percentage of the explanation of the latent variables accounted for by the apparent variables through the coefficient of determination. An ordered logistic regression analysis was used to explore the main factors influencing patients' cognitive and affective trust in their family doctors. We analyzed the data using SPSS 26.0 and Smart-PLS software.

## Results

#### Analysis of the Current Situation

The general profile of the surveyed population is shown in Table 1. The sample included more females than males, with the largest age group being 60–74 years. Most respondents had a junior high school education or less and reported average recent health status. The average levels of cognitive and affective trust in family doctors were 4.268 and 4.179, respectively, on a 5-point scale.

Sex	N	Mean / %
Male	101	31.1
Female	224	68.9
Age		
44 years old and below	47	14.5
45–59 years	51	15.7
60-74 years	179	50.1
74 years of age or older	48	19.7
Education level		
Junior high school and below	139	42.8
High school or junior college	86	26.5
Post-secondary	48	14.8
Undergraduate and above	52	15.9
Occupation		
Employees of organizations, enterprises and public institutions	40	12.3
Professional and technical staff	76	23.5
General clerical staff	40	12.3
Business/Service Employees	21	6.5

 Table I Characteristics of the Study Population and the Level of Trust

(Continued)

Sex	N	Mean / %		
Self-employed	13	4		
Laborer	110	33.8		
Other	25	7.6		
Marital status				
Married	282	86.8		
Non-married	43	13.2		
Type of medical care				
Basic medical insurance for urban employees	158	48.6		
Basic medical insurance for urban and rural residents	102	31.4		
Other	58	17.8		
Chronic disease status				
Yes	183	56.3		
No	142	43.7		
Recent health status				
Poor	10	3.1		
Slightly poor	33	10.2		
General	189	58.2		
Good	93	28.5		
Level of Trust				
Cognitive trust	325	4.268		
Affective trust	325	4.179		

#### Table I (Continued).

# Association Among the Cognitive Trust, Affective Trust, and The Utilization of Family Doctor Service

Cognitive trust showed a strong positive influence on affective trust ( $\beta = 0.549$ ) and was positively associated with healthcare service utilization ( $\beta = 0.481$ ). Similarly, affective trust positively influenced service utilization ( $\beta = 0.524$ ), affirming the hypothesized relationships (see Table 2). The model's Goodness of Fit (GoF) index exceeded 0.36, indicating a strong fit.

Hypothesis	β	S.E.	Р	Yes or No
HI: Cognitive Trust $\rightarrow$ Utilization of family doctor services	0.481	0.615	<0.01	Yes
H2: Affective Trust $\rightarrow$ Utilization of family doctor services	0.524	0.762	<0.01	Yes
H3: Cognitive Trust $\rightarrow$ Affective Trust	0.549	0.653	<0.01	Yes

Table 2 Confirmation of Hypothesis



Figure I PLS-SEM structural model of cognitive trust, affective trust, and family doctor service utilization. Latent variables (ellipses): cognitive trust (three subdimensions: rounded rectangles), affective trust (three subdimensions: rounded rectangles), and service utilization. Observed indicators (rectangles) and path coefficients (arrows with values) are shown. R<sup>2</sup> values indicate the explained variance of endogenous constructs.

Using Smart PLS with 500 iterations, data for manifest variables were extracted, yielding standardized path coefficients and establishing causal paths within the model. The cognitive trust dimensions (professional competence, reliability, and medical ethics) explained 51.2% of its variance ( $R^2=0.512$ ), while affective trust dimensions (mutual understanding, emotional investment, and affective attachment) accounted for 51.9% of its variance ( $R^2=0.519$ ). Cognitive trust explained 39% of affective trust variance ( $R^2=0.39$ ) and 35.4% of healthcare service utilization variance ( $R^2=0.354$ ). Affective trust explained 32.4% of healthcare service utilization variance ( $R^2=0.324$ ), surpassing the threshold of 30%, confirming satisfactory interpretability in the PLS-SEM model.

Regarding path coefficients, reliability (0.581, p<0.05), professional competence (0.593, p<0.05), and medical ethics (0.610, p<0.05) all positively impacted cognitive trust. Cognitive trust, in turn, had significant positive effects on affective trust (0.519, p<0.05) and service utilization (0.622, p<0.05). Within affective trust dimensions, mutual understanding (0.676, p<0.05), emotional investment (0.632, p<0.05), and affective attachment (0.650, p<0.05) were significant contributors. Affective trust also positively influenced service utilization (0.512, p<0.05). Further details are provided in Figure 1.

#### Analysis of Influencing Factors

In Table 3, patients' occupation, marital status, and health condition significantly affect cognitive trust in family doctors, professional and technical workers show higher cognitive trust compared to other occupations (OR=9.699, p=0.001); non-married individuals have greater cognitive trust than married patients (OR=2.309, p=0.011); and poorer health status is associated with lower cognitive trust (OR=0.319, p=0.001).

Similarly, occupation and marital status significantly impact affective trust. Professional and technical workers report higher affective trust than other occupations (OR=7.591, p=0.001), and non-married individuals exhibit greater affective trust than their married counterparts (OR=2.737, p=0.002).

Table 3 Factors Influencing Patients' Cognitive and Affective Trust in Family Doctors

	Cognitive Trust					Affective Trust				
Impact Factor	β	OR	Þ	OR 95% CI	χ²	β	OR	Þ	OR 95% CI	χ²
Sex										
Male(ref:Female)	-0.118	0.889	0.605	0.568–1.391	0.267	-0.039	0.962	0.863	0.614–1.504	0.030
<b>Age</b> (ref:74 years of age or older)										
44 years old and below	-0.670	0.512	0.200	0.184–1.426	1.642	-0.479	0.619	0.359	0.233-1.723	0.841
45–59 years	-0.403	0.668	0.337	0.294-1.519	0.924	-0.167	0.846	0.690	0.373-1.921	0.159
60–74 years	-0.443	0.642	0.151	0.351-1.175	2.066	-0.557	0.573	0.071	0.313-1.048	3.263
Education level(ref: Junior high schoo	l and belo	w)								•
High school or junior college	-0.348	0.706	0.447	0.288-1.733	0.577	-0.950	0.387	0.039	0.157–0.952	4.269
Post-secondary	0.169	1.184	0.543	0.687–2.044	0.371	-0.013	0.987	0.964	0.573-1.702	0.002
Undergraduate and above	0.144	1.155	0.726	0.517-2.578	0.123	-0.33 I	0.718	0.419	0.321-1.603	0.654
Occupation(ref:Laborer)	•						•			
Employees of organizations, enterprises and public institutions	1.838	6.284	0.001	2.201–17.921	11.806	1.660	5.259	0.002	1.855–14.924	9.74
Professional and technical workers	2.272	9.699	0.001	3.869–24.313	23.476	2.027	7.591	0.001	3.053-18.878	19.025
General clerical staff	1.927	6.869	0.001	2.583-18.247	14.932	1.220	3.387	0.013	1.293-8.873	6.163
Business/Service Employees	1.332	3.789	0.015	1.297-11.067	5.933	0.952	2.591	0.080	0.893–7.516	3.068
Self-employed	1.493	4.450	0.019	1.279–15.472	5.509	1.496	4.464	0.018	1.285-15.487	5.550
Other	2.321	1.186	0.001	4.067–25.483	24.582	1.550	4.711	0.001	1.916-11.588	11.397
Marital status(ref:Married)										•
Non-married	0.837	2.309	0.011	1.214-4.393	6.501	1.007	2.737	0.002	1.436-5.217	9.355
Type of medical care(ref:Basic medic	al insuranc	ce for ur	ban emp	oyees)						•
Basic medical insurance for urban and rural residents	0.267	1.306	0.713	0.315–5.425	0.135	-0.045	0.956	0.950	0.230–3.967	0.004
Other	0.103	1.108	0.889	0.262-4.679	0.020	0.049	1.050	0.947	0.249-4.433	0.004
Chronic disease status(ref:No Chron	nic disease	)				L	1			
Yes	-0.092	0.912	0.733	0.539–1.545	0.116	0.248	1.281	0.356	0.757–2.171	0.854
Recent health status(ref:Good)				-						
Poor	-1.141	0.319	0.001	0.161-0.636	10.554	-0.393	0.675	0.258	0.343-1.334	1.280
Slightly poor	-0.596	0.551	0.068	0.291-1.045	3.326	-0.367	0.693	0.260	0.366-1.311	1.268
General	-0.105	0.900	0.656	0.569–1.426	0.199	-0.058	0.944	0.805	0.596-1.943	0.061

## Discussion

Both cognitive and affective trust are found to positively influence the utilization of family doctor services. Moreover, an increase in cognitive trust also contributes to the development of affective trust toward the doctor. This study also

analyzes the factors influencing patients' cognitive and affective trust in family doctors, showing that patients' occupation and marital status influence affective trust, while cognitive trust is additionally impacted by their health status.

Both cognitive and affective trust have a positive impact on the utilization of family doctor services. Our findings align with McAllister's theory. Previous research has also demonstrated that both cognitive trust and affective trust contribute to increased health service utilization. In the healthcare field, scholars have primarily focused on the role of cognitive trust. Lee suggested that strengthening cognitive trust enhances patients' willingness to continue utilizing healthcare services.<sup>25</sup> Similarly, Pang found that cognitive trust contributes to the promotion of patients' psychological health behaviors.<sup>26</sup> However, LEE's research suggested that patients' affective trust in doctors also influenced their loyalty to medical services,<sup>27</sup> and Konstantinou's study indicated that both cognitive and affective trust play a role in encouraging patients to receive vaccinations.<sup>28</sup> Cognitive and affective trust has also been shown to reinforce cooperative behaviors in industries such as finance and information technology.<sup>29</sup> For instance, Johnson found that consumers' cognitive trust improves suppliers' sales efficiency and service performance.<sup>30</sup> Ramsey observed that affective trust facilitates clients' listening behavior.<sup>31</sup> Our findings extend and refine the research on cognitive and affective trust by applying it specifically to the context of family doctors.

Our findings align with McAllister's theory, which posits that cognitive trust positively influences affective trust.<sup>32</sup> Bormann's study also revealed a positive correlation between cognitive trust and affective trust.<sup>33</sup> When patients choose a family doctor, their primary concern is whether the doctor's professional abilities can meet their health needs.<sup>34</sup> According to Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, once a patient's health needs are fulfilled, their emotional needs become more prominent, prompting a desire to establish an emotional connection with their family doctor.<sup>35</sup>

Notably, our findings indicate that both occupation and marital status influence patients' cognitive and affective trust in family doctors. Specifically, professional and technical workers and non-married patients exhibited higher levels of trust. This aligns with the findings of Chinese scholar Fei, who identified occupation as a factor influencing patients' trust in family doctors.<sup>36</sup> The higher levels of cognitive and affective trust observed among professionals may be attributed to their greater access to social resources and stronger awareness of health management.<sup>37</sup> Wong's research yielded similar conclusions.<sup>38</sup> However, Zhu's study found that married individuals demonstrated significantly higher trust in primary care physicians, which contrasts with our findings.<sup>39</sup> This discrepancy may stem from the fact that non-married individuals often have simpler social relationships,<sup>40</sup> making them more reliant on professionals to manage their health. Furthermore, their higher social needs may help foster affective trust with family doctors.<sup>41</sup> These findings underscore the importance of considering the influence of marital status on patients' trust in family doctors.

#### **Strengths and Limitations**

In terms of research significance, studies primarily focus on the trust relationship between patients and general practitioners,<sup>42,43</sup> with relatively few investigations into trust in family doctors, and those that do tend to concentrate on the role of patient trust. Research by Chinese scholars has revealed that trust factors contribute to higher family doctor contract rates,<sup>44</sup> motivate patient participation in primary healthcare services,<sup>45</sup> and enhance continuity of care.<sup>46,47</sup> Building on the professional role of family doctors and the existing literature, the innovation of this study lies in analyzing the role of trust factors while deconstructing the types of trust patients place in family doctors. By incorporating McAllister's theory, we differentiate between cognitive trust and affective trust, aiming to expand the theoretical understanding of trust types in family doctor-patient relationships and examine how different trust models influence the utilization of family doctor services.

First, the scope of our study is limited to Wuhan, and therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to broader populations. Future research will aim to expand the study locations to enhance the representativeness of the results for the Chinese population. Second, we employed a stratified random sampling method to ensure that the survey participants were drawn from both central urban districts and remote districts of Wuhan. However, potential biases in the results remain, which should be acknowledged. Third, the survey instrument was developed by integrating McAllister's theory, existing trust scales, and the Delphi method. However, the generalizability of the scale requires further validation. Fourth, we utilized PLS-SEM to examine the relationships between cognitive trust, affective trust, and the utilization of family doctor services. However, this method does not establish causal relationships among these variables. In future research,

we plan to transition from a cross-sectional to a longitudinal study design to further investigate the causal dynamics of trust in family doctors.

#### Implications for Policy, Practice and Research

The study findings suggest that both cognitive and affective trust are critical factors influencing the promotion of family doctor contract services. Therefore, while enhancing the professional competence of family doctors, it is also essential to focus on the emotional rapport between doctors and patients. One potential approach is to incorporate doctor-patient communication into the evaluation criteria for family doctors, which could provide policy-level support to help family doctors establish stronger trust relationships with their patients. In practice, family doctors should prioritize building positive trust relationships with patients by improving their professional skills, strengthening communication, and offering personalized services. These strategies can enhance patients' sense of trust, thereby increasing the utilization of healthcare services. This study also introduces McAllister's theory to analyze the types of trust in family doctors, representing an innovative application of existing theoretical frameworks.

## Conclusion

This study, grounded in McAllister's theory, explores the relationships between these cognitive and affective trust and their impact on the utilization of family doctor services, as well as the factors influencing patients' cognitive and affective trust in family doctors. Both cognitive and affective trust have a positive impact on the utilization of family doctor services. The results indicate that patients' occupation, marital status, and health condition influence their level of trust in family doctors. Based on these results, it is suggested that family doctors focus on improving both their professional skills and communication abilities, which would help foster strong trust relationships with patients and, in turn, improve patient care and service utilization.

## **Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

Ethics approval for this study was granted by the Medical Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

## **Consent for Publication**

This is not applicable, as participants' personal details were not recorded.

## Acknowledgments

We were grateful to all the respondents who supported our study.

## **Author Contributions**

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

This study was funded by the MOE (Ministry of Education in China) The Humanities and Social Sciences Fund (Project No. 20YJC630193); and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 72074087). The funder had no role in the study design, the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, the writing of the manuscript, the decision to publish or the preparation of the paper.

## Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this work.

#### References

- 1. Mechanic D. The functions and limitations of trust in the provision of medical care. J Health Politics, Policy Law. 1998;23(4):661-686. doi:10.1215/03616878-23-4-661
- Garrido MV, Zentner A, Busse R. The effects of gatekeeping: a systematic review of the literature. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2011;29(1):28–38. doi:10.3109/02813432.2010.537015
- Chen Y, Huang F, Zhou Q. Equality of public health service and family doctor contract service utilisation among migrants in China. Soc sci med. 2023;333:116148. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.116148
- Jones DE, Carson KA, Bleich SN, Cooper LA. Patient trust in physicians and adoption of lifestyle behaviors to control high blood pressure. Patient Educ Couns. 2012;89(1):57–62. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2012.06.003
- 5. Birkhäuer J, Gaab J, Kossowsky J, et al. Trust in the health care professional and health outcome: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(2): e0170988. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170988
- 6. Thom DH, Ribisl KM, Stewart AL, Luke DA. Further validation and reliability testing of the trust in physician scale. *Med Care*. 1999;37 (5):510-517. doi:10.1097/00005650-199905000-00010
- Butalid L, Verhaak PFM, Boeije HR, Bensing JM. Patients' views on changes in doctor-patient communication between 1982 and 2001: a mixedmethods study. BMC Fam Pract. 2012;13(1):80. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-13-80
- 8. Chang CS, Chen SY, Lan YT. Service quality, trust, and patient satisfaction in interpersonal-based medical service encounters. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;13(1):22. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-22
- Williams A, Sibbald B. Changing roles and identities in primary health care: exploring a culture of uncertainty: changing roles and identities. J Adv Nurs. 1999;29(3):737–745. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.1999.00946.x
- Schaubroeck JM, Peng AC, Hannah ST. Developing trust with peers and leaders: impacts on organizational identification and performance during entry. AMJ. 2013;56(4):1148–1168. doi:10.5465/amj.2011.0358
- Dowell D, Morrison M, Heffernan T. The changing importance of affective trust and cognitive trust across the relationship lifecycle: a study of business-to-business relationships. *Ind Marketing Manage*. 2015;44:119–130. doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.10.016
- 12. Barney JB, Hansen MH. Trustworthiness as a source of competitive advantage. Strat Mgmt J. 1994;15(S1):175–190. doi:10.1002/smj.4250150912
- 13. Niederberger M, Spranger J. Delphi technique in health sciences: a map. Front Public Health. 2020;8:457. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00457
- 14. Johnson-George C, Swap WC. Measurement of specific interpersonal trust: construction and validation of a scale to assess trust in a specific other. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1982;43(6):1306–1317. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.43.6.1306
- Bova C, Fennie KP, Watrous E, Dieckhaus K, Williams AB. The health care relationship (HCR) trust scale: development and psychometric evaluation. *Res Nurs Health*. 2006;29(5):477–488. doi:10.1002/nur.20158
- 16. Anderson LA, Dedrick RF. Development of the trust in physician scale: a measure to assess interpersonal trust in patient-physician relationships. *Psychol Rep.* 1990;67(3\_suppl):1091–1100. doi:10.2466/pr0.1990.67.3f.1091
- 17. Shie AJ, Huang YF, Li GY, et al. Exploring the relationship between hospital service quality, patient trust, and loyalty from a service encounter perspective in elderly with chronic diseases. Front Public Health. 2022;10:876266. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.876266
- Launer J. Is it worth being a doctor? Effective altruism and career choice. Postgrad Med J. 2020;96(1141):723-724. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138936
- 19. Churchill LR. Reviving A distinctive medical ethic. Hastings Center Rep. 1989;19(3):28. doi:10.2307/3562740
- 20. McMillan J. Trust and medical ethics. J Med Ethics. 2022;48(3):153. doi:10.1136/medethics-2022-108199
- 21. Berg L, Skott C, Danielson E. Caring relationship in a context: fieldwork in a medical ward. Int J Nurs Pract. 2007;13(2):100–106. doi:10.1111/j.1440-172X.2007.00611.x
- Damschroder LJ, Pritts JL, Neblo MA, Kalarickal RJ, Creswell JW, Hayward RA. Patients, privacy and trust: patients' willingness to allow researchers to access their medical records. Soc sci med. 2007;64(1):223–235. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.08.045
- Jung H, Jo MW, Kim HJ, Jang WM, Eun SJ, Lee JY. General public's perspectives on medical doctors and local clinics in South Korea. *IJERPH*. 2019;16(11):2030. doi:10.3390/ijerph16112030
- 24. Li Z, Li J, Fu P, et al. Family doctor contract services and health-related quality of life among patients with chronic diseases in rural China: what is the role of socioeconomic status? *Int J for Equity in Health*. 2021;20(1):191. doi:10.1186/s12939-021-01530-2
- 25. Lee C. Patient loyalty to health services: the role of communication skills and cognitive trust. Int J Healthcare Manag. 2021;14(4):1254–1264. doi:10.1080/20479700.2020.1756111
- 26. Pang H, Liu Y. Untangling the effect of cognitive trust and perceived value on health-related information seeking, sharing and psychological well-being: motivations sought perspective. *Telemat Inform.* 2023;79:101964. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2023.101964
- 27. Lee C, Kim S. Patient fidelity to medical services: the roles of authenticity and affective trust. 산경연구논집. 2020;11(11):19-28.
- 28. Konstantinou P, Kyprianidou M, Christodoulou A, et al. Behavioral, cognitive and emotional determinants of getting vaccinated for COVID-19 and the mediating role of institutional trust among young adults in Cyprus. *BMC Public Health*. 2024;24(1):2336. doi:10.1186/s12889-024-19859-y
- 29. Chen S, Waseem D, Xia Z, Tran KT, Li Y, Yao J. To disclose or to falsify: the effects of cognitive trust and affective trust on customer cooperation in contact tracing. *Int J Hosp Manag.* 2021;94:102867. doi:10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102867
- 30. Park J, 조 철현, 김 한별, Lee J. Cognitive and affective trust in it consulting service. 한국*IT*서비스학회지. 2013;12(3):39-54. doi:10.9716/ KITS.2013.12.3.039
- 31. Ramsey RP, Sohi RS. Listening to your customers: the impact of perceived salesperson listening behavior on relationship outcomes. J of the Acad Mark Sci. 1997;25(2):127–137. doi:10.1007/BF02894348
- 32. McAllister DJ. Affect- And Cognition-Based Trust As Foundations For Interpersonal Cooperation In Organizations. Acad Manage J. 1995;38 (1):24–59. doi:10.2307/256727
- Bormann I, Bempreiksz-Luthardt J, Niedlich S. Exploring the interplay of cognition and emotion in trust relationships with cognitive-affective maps. Pers Relat. 2025;32(1):e12587. doi:10.1111/pere.12587
- 34. Ely JW, Osheroff JA, Ebell MH, et al. Analysis of questions asked by family doctors regarding patient care. Br Med J. 1999;319(7206):358–361. doi:10.1136/bmj.319.7206.358

- 35. Benson SG, Dundis SP. Understanding and motivating health care employees: integrating maslow's hierarchy of needs, training and technology. *J Nurs Manag.* 2003;11(5):315–320. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2834.2003.00409.x
- 36. Relationship between patients' trust in family physicians and medication adherence of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus-all databases. Available from: https://webofscience.clarivate.cn/wos/alldb/full-record/CSCD:6432019. Accessed March 23, 2025.
- 37. Winkleby MA, Jatulis DE, Frank E, Fortmann SP. Socioeconomic status and health: how education, income, and occupation contribute to risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Am J Public Health*. 1992;82(6):816–820. doi:10.2105/AJPH.82.6.816
- Wong YK, Low KL, Pooke TG. Factors associated with dimensions of patients? Trust in chiropractic doctors in the international medical university healthcare chiropractic center: an exploratory study. J Chiropr Med. 2022;21(2):83–96. doi:10.1016/j.jcm.2022.02.003
- Li L, Zhu L, Zhou X, et al. Patients' trust and associated factors among primary care institutions in China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Prim Care. 2022;23(1):109. doi:10.1186/s12875-022-01709-8
- 40. Niimi Y. Are married women really wealthier than unmarried women? Evidence from Japan. *Demography*. 2022;59(2):461-483. doi:10.1215/00703370-9735271
- Marin BV, Tschann JM, Gomez CA, Kegeles SM. Acculturation and gender differences in sexual attitudes and behaviors: Hispanic vs non-Hispanic white unmarried adults. *Am J Public Health*. 1993;83(12):1759–1761. doi:10.2105/AJPH.83.12.1759
- 42. Borah P, Hwang J. Trust in doctors, positive attitudes, and vaccination behavior: the role of doctor-patient communication in H1N1 vaccination. *Health Commun.* 2022;37(11):1423–1431. doi:10.1080/10410236.2021.1895426
- 43. Han Y, Lie RK, Li Z, Guo R. Trust in the doctor-patient relationship in Chinese public hospitals: evidence for hope. *Patient Prefer Adher*. 2022;16:647–657. doi:10.2147/PPA.S352636
- 44. Li X, Li J, Shao W, et al. The relationship between family doctor contract services policy and perceived participation in primary health care among chronic disease patients in china: the mediating role of the patient trust. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2023;2023:6854131. doi:10.1155/2023/ 6854131
- 45. Shang X, Huang Y, Li B, et al. Residents' awareness of family doctor contract services, status of contract with a family doctor, and contract service needs in Zhejiang province, china: a cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(18):3312. doi:10.3390/ijerph16183312
- 46. Liu X, Zhang L, Chen W. Impact of the family doctor system on the continuity of care for diabetics in urban China: a difference-in-difference analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2023;13(2). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065612
- 47. Mainous AG, Kern D, Hainer B, Kneuper-Hall R, Stephens J, Geesey ME. The relationship between continuity of care and trust with stage of cancer at diagnosis. *Fam Med.* 2004;36(1):35–39.

#### **Patient Preference and Adherence**

#### **Dovepress** Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focusing on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to optimize clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest for the journal. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real guotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal

🖪 💥 in 🔼 🛛 1473