ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Association of Body Mass Index and Adiposity-Estimating Equations with Measures of **Obstructive Sleep Apnea Severity: A Cross-Sectional** Study

Danny Wadden¹, Mysa Saad², George Chandy³, Shawn D Aaron ^{3,4}, Zhiwei Gao⁵, Jamie Farrell¹, Elham Sabri⁶, Bashour Yazji⁴, Tetyana Kendzerska^{3,4}

¹Discipline of Medicine (Respirology), Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada; ²Department of Medicine, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada; ³Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Division of Respirology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ⁴The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ⁵Division of Population Health & Applied Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada; ⁶Ottawa Methods Center, The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Correspondence: Tetyana Kendzerska, The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute/ University of Ottawa, 1053 Carling Ave, Ottawa, ON, KIY 4E9, Canada, Email tkendzerska@toh.ca

Background and Purpose: Obesity, a risk factor for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), is usually estimated by body mass index (BMI). However, other adiposity-estimating equations may better capture variations in fat distribution. This study assessed the relationship between OSA severity and 15 adiposity-estimating equations, compared to BMI, with subgroup analyses by sex and age (≤ 50 vs ≥ 50). Patients and Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional cohort study using data from 5021 consecutive adults who underwent a Level 1 polysomnography (2015–2017) in a large academic sleep center in Ottawa, Canada. We assessed correlations between adiposity measures and the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and examined discriminative ability for moderate-to-severe (AHI \geq 15/h) and severe OSA (AHI >30/h) using univariate logistic regressions.

Results: The mean age was 49.5 years, 46.6% were women; the mean BMI was 30.0 kg/m² and 12.7% had severe OSA. All adiposity equations showed negligible (Pearson r 0.0 to ± 0.3) to low (Pearson r ± 0.30 to 0.50) statistically significant correlations with AHI, with many of the equations having a marginally stronger correlation coefficient than BMI, in total and subgroup analysis. Discriminative ability for severe OSA was generally low, with c-indices ranging from 0.52 to 0.67 in the overall sample. However, in females under 50, several equations (eg, Gallagher 2000, Deurenberg 1991 and 1998, ECORE BF) reached excellent discriminative ability (c-indices 0.81), including BMI (c-index 0.80). This pattern was not observed in other subgroups.

Conclusion: In this clinical cohort, BMI was associated poorly with AHI; however, the other equations did not outperform BMI. Moreover, BMI demonstrated poor discriminative ability for moderate/severe and severe OSA, with none of the other equations performing better in this context. Notable subgroup differences-particularly among younger females-suggest that tailoring screening strategies by age and sex may improve risk stratification and support refining obesity-based screening approaches.

Keywords: obstructive sleep apnea, body mass index, adiposity, equations, apnea–hypopnea index, discriminative ability, sex and age stratification

Introduction

Recurring obstructive episodes of the upper airway characterised by apneas and hypopneas describe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA).¹ The prevalence of OSA varies by age, sex, and country, with an estimated global prevalence for adults aged 30-69 at 936 million.² Early screening and diagnosis are important, given the association of untreated OSA with various adverse health outcomes, including reduced executive function³ and increased motor vehicle accidents.⁴ Recent studies highlight the need for improved screening tools to enhance OSA early detection, especially in primary care settings.^{5,6}

Among the various risk factors for OSA considered in screening tools, obesity is one of the most important.⁷ In 2016, the World Health Organization estimated that 650 million adults worldwide were obese.⁸ Adiposity status is incorporated in several clinical screening tools for OSA, including the STOP-BANG questionnaire,⁹ the Berlin questionnaire,¹⁰ the NoSAS¹¹

by and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

1003

and the BOAH scores,¹² which use BMI to measure obesity, given its simplistic formula.⁸ However, all questionnaires rely on BMI, height, and weight, with no central obesity measures (eg, waist circumference) included.

Many studies estimate the relationship between obesity and OSA by utilizing BMI; some studies have found a positive correlation between BMI and AHI,¹³ while others have found no relationship.¹⁴ However, when compared to technologies including dual x-ray absorptiometry, a gold standard for body composition, BMI has been shown to misclassify adiposity status in a significant portion of individuals.^{15,16} While other technologies are valuable tools in measuring body fat,¹⁷ at a population level, they are not cost nor time effective options. Therefore, efforts have been made to develop novel equations using anthropometric data which are more strongly associated with true adiposity when compared to BMI.¹⁸ A study by Krachler et al explored the relationship between multiple anthropometric-derived equations and various cardiovascular risk factors.¹⁹ Two new equations, the Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat (ECORE-BF) and the Clínica Universidad de Navarra-Body Adiposity Estimator (CUN-BAE), have been developed to aid in estimating body fat.^{20–22}

Little is known regarding these equations and their relationship with OSA prevalence and severity. Therefore, our research objective was to investigate correlations between different measures of obesity and markers of OSA presence and severity. We hypothesized stronger correlations between many of these novel adiposity equations and OSA presence/severity when compared to BMI. We also hypothesized that the relationship between different measures of obesity and OSA presence and severity varies by sex and age because of sex differences in fat distribution⁷ and age-related changes in body composition.²³ In addition, postmenopausal changes and hormonal shifts in women may increase susceptibility to OSA. As such, stratified analysis by sex and age groups may improve prediction models and clinical risk stratification.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

We conducted a cross-sectional clinical cohort study using a database of consecutive adults (18 years and older) who underwent a diagnostic sleep study (Level 1 polysomnography [PSG]) between 2015 and 2017 in a large academic clinical center (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Ethics approval was completed through the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (OHSN-REB) (Protocol ID: 20210473-01H). Informed consent from the study participants for this specific study was not obtained. This study uses a database based on routinely collected de-identified clinical data registered and approved by the OHSN-REB. This study is a minimal-risk, meta-analysis, non-interventional, retrospective study that does not require enrollment or any sort of patient participation/contact and does not require any personally identifiable information. Patient confidentiality was upheld during the analysis and review of medical records, as only de-identified data was used in this study. The OHSN-REB abides by all applicable regulations and guidelines pertaining to human participant protection; these include, but are not limited to the Food and Drugs Act and applicable Regulations, the International Council on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, the Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects, the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans, and where applicable, US Federal Regulations (more details can be found at: https://www.ohri.ca/ohsn-reb/procedures.htm).

Data Source

The Ottawa Hospital Sleep database (NCT03834792) is a clinical database consisting of clinical and polysomnographic variables, including demographics, self-reported symptoms, medical history, and PSG indices. Each patient in the cohort underwent a full in-laboratory PSG recording that was scored by a sleep technologist and reviewed by a sleep physician. Height and weight were measured and recorded by a sleep technologist on the night of the sleep study. Details on the database are described elsewhere.²⁴

PSG Indices Considered

Apnea index, apnea–hypopnea index (AHI), mean and minimum oxygen saturation in sleep, and percentage of sleep with an oxygen saturation of less than 88%. Where applicable, indices were quantified for position (ie, supine versus non-supine) and rapid eye movement (REM)/non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep. The sleep software used was Sandman 10.1, and event

Wadden et al 🛛 🚳

scoring was in accordance with the AASM (American Academy of Sleep Medicine) criteria 2012.²⁵ The definition of hypopnea was as follows: \geq 30% decrease in flow from baseline with an associated oxygen desaturation of \geq 3% OR an associated arousal. AHI of 5–15, 15–30, and >30 were classified as mild, moderate, and severe OSA, respectively.

Adiposity-Estimating Equations

Fifteen equations, in addition to BMI, were selected based on data availability and a comprehensive literature review. The equations were as follows: the Rohrer index,²⁶ University of Navarra Clinic-Body Fat Estimator (CUN-BAE) index,²² Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat (ECORE-BF),²⁰ Body surface index (BSI), Normalized weight-adjusted index (NWAI),²⁷ and equations by Larrson,²⁸ Heo,²⁹ Heitmann,³⁰ Visser,³¹ Noppa,³² Gallagher (1996³³ and 2000³⁴) and Deurenberg (1989,³⁵ 1991,³⁶ and 1998).³⁷ All equations are described in Table 1.

Name of Equation/Anthropometric Measurement	Equation/ Units						
Body Mass Index (BMI)	Weight (kg)/ [height (m)] ²						
Rohrer index	Weight (kg)/ [height (m)] ³						
Equation by Larsson et al	Female: BF%= [-24.18 + 1.181 × (weight (kg) / height (m))] / weight (kg) × 100%						
	Male: BF%= [-30.84 + 1.120 x (weight (kg) /height (m))] / weight (kg) x 100%						
Equation by Gallagher et al (2000)	BF%= 64.5–848 x (1/BMI) + 0.079 x age (yrs) $-16.4 \times \text{sex}^* + 0.05 \times \text{sex} \times \text{age}$ (yrs) + 39.0 x sex x (1/BMI)						
Equation by Deurenberg et al (1991)	BF%= 1.2 x BMI + 0.23 x age (yrs) -10.8 x sex* - 5.4						
University of Navarra Clinic-Body Fat Estimator (CUN-BAE) index	$\begin{split} & BF\%{=}{-44.988}{+}(0.503{\times}_{age}\ (yrs)) + (10.689{\times}_{sex}{\#}){+}(3.172{\times}BMI) - (0.026{\times}BMI^2) + \\ & (0.181{\times}BMI{\times}_{sex}) - (0.02{\times}BMI{\times}_{age}\ (yrs)) - (0.005{\times}BMI^2{\times}_{sex}){+}(0.00021{\times}BMI^2{\times}_{age}\ (yrs)) \end{split}$						
Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat	BF%=-97.102 + (0.123 x age (yrs)) + (11.900 x sex#) + (35.959 x (LnBMI))						
(ECORE-BF)							
Body Surface Index (BSI)	BSI = Weight (kg) / $$ BSA						
	BSA: weight (kg) ^{0.425} x height (cm) ^{0.725} x 0.007184						
Normalized weight-adjusted index (NWAI)	[(weight (kg)/10) - (10 x height (m)) + 10]						
Equation by Deurenberg et al (1998)	BF% = 1.294 x BMI + 0.20 x age (yrs) - 11.4 x sex* - 8.0						
Equation by Heo et al (2013)	Female: BF%= [-17.6 + 1.72 x (weight (kg)/height (m) ²)]/ weight (kg) x 100%						
	Male: BF%= $[-24.0 + 1.77 \times (weight (kg)/height (m)^2)]/ weight (kg) \times 100\%$						
Equation by Heitmann et al (1990)	Female: BF% = [0.988 x BMI + 0.344 x weight (kg) + 0.094 x age (yrs) - 30.180]/weight (kg) x 100%						
	Male: BF% = [0.988 x BMI + 0.242 x weight (kg) + 0.094 x age (yrs) - 30.180]/weight (kg) x 100%						
Equation by Gallagher et al (1996)	BF% = 1.46 x BMI + 0.12 x age (yrs) - 11.61 x sex* - 10.02						
Equation by Visser et al (1994) (uses Siri's	$BD = 0.0226 \times sex^* - 0.0022 \times BMI + 1.0605$						
equation for BF%)	BF% = (4.95/BD - 4.50) × 100%						
Equation by Noppa et al (1979)	BF% = [1.52 × BMI – 17.8]/weight (kg) × 100%						
Equation by Deurenberg et al (1989)	Female: BF% = 0.672 x BMI + 26.6						
	Male: BF% = 0.835 x BMI + 10.2						

 Table I Adiposity Estimating Equations

Notes: *Sex = 1 for males, 0 for females. #Sex = 0 for male, 1 for female.

Abbreviations: BD, body density; BF%, body fat percentage; BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; BSI, body surface index.

Analyses: Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the cohort. We used Pearson correlations with 95% confidence intervals to assess the relationship between measures of OSA severity and adiposity estimating equations/anthropometric data listed above. A Pearson correlation coefficient of ± 0.90 to 1.00 was interpreted as a very high correlation, ± 0.70 to 0.90 as a high correlation, ± 0.50 to 0.70 as a moderate correlation, ± 0.30 to 0.50 as low correlation and 0.0 to ± 0.30 as a negligible correlation.^{38,39} Logistic regressions were used to assess the relationship and quantify the discriminative ability of various adiposity equations and the presence of moderate/severe (AHI>15) or severe OSA (AHI>30). The estimates, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), were standardized to report the effect per interquartile range (IQR), comparing the 75th to 25th percentile. The discriminative ability was assessed using the c-statistic.⁴⁰ The c-statistic value ranges from 0.5 to 1 with a value of 0.7–0.8, 0.8–0.9, and >0.9 interpreted as acceptable, excellent, and outstanding discrimination, respectively.⁴¹

Secondary analysis: To understand the effect of sex and age on the relationship between measures of OSA and obesity, given the importance of these variables on body composition,⁴² we repeated all analyses described above stratified by sex and age ($<50 \text{ vs} \ge 50$ as based on the median age distribution in the cohort). The age of 50 years is also often considered a clinically important cutoff due to several physiological (eg, close to the average age of menopause ⁴³), epidemiological factors (eg, increased prevalence of moderate to severe OSA ⁴⁴ and associated increased cardiovascular risk).⁴⁵

The number of missing data for AHI, used to define OSA severity, ranged from 128 (2.5% for BMI) to 131 (2.6% for Heo and Heitmann equations). Since complete case analysis is considered reasonable and unlikely to introduce significant bias when missing data is below 5%,⁴⁶ we proceeded with complete case analysis.

Results

Descriptive Data

The descriptive data in the total cohort and by subgroups are shown in Table 2 and <u>Supplementary Table 1</u>. Of 5021 adult individuals included in the current analysis, 2679 (53.4%) were male, and 2569 (51.2%) were 50 years and older. The mean age was 49.5 years (SD of 14.9), while the mean BMI and AHI were 30.0 kg/m² (SD of 6.7) and 13.8/hr (SD of 15.79), respectively. The percentage of individuals with an AHI of <5, 5–15, 15–30, and >30 were 36.0% (1807), 31.5% (1581), 19.9% (997), and 12.7% (636), respectively.

Correlative Analysis

Table 3 shows Pearson correlations between adiposity estimating equations and indices derived from the PSG in the total cohort. All adiposity equations showed a statistically significant positive association with AHI ranging from 0.05 (Deurenberg 1989) to 0.31 (BSI). Most correlations were negligible (0.0 to \pm 0.3); however, BSI and NWAI were consistent with low correlations (\pm 0.30 to 0.50). Notably, BMI had a correlation coefficient of 0.29. Moreover, all equations were negatively associated with mean oxygen saturation in sleep. Correlation coefficients ranged from -0.11 (Deurenberg 1989) to -0.30 (Deurenberg 1991), thus negligible, but approaching low correlations. BMI had a correlation coefficient of -0.27. Similar findings, approaching low, but statistically significant, correlations were evident for other respiratory-related PSG variables (Table 3).

Logistic Regression and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curves

Figures 1–3 and <u>Supplementary Table 2</u> present ROC curve analyses and ORs with 95% CIs and c-statistics from logistic regressions for the total cohort. The odds of being diagnosed with severe OSA associated with an IQR increase in obesity measures ranged from 1.12 to 1.97, with the highest odds associated with an increase in BSI (Figure 3 and <u>Supplementary Table 2</u>). The c-indices ranged from 0.52 to 0.67, demonstrating a less than acceptable discriminative ability, with BSI, NWAI, and BMI showing the greatest discriminative ability (Figure 1 and <u>Supplementary Table 2</u>). Similar trends were evident regarding moderate/severe OSA (Figure 2 and <u>Supplementary Table 2</u>).

Correlative Analysis Stratified by Sex, Age, and Sex/Age Subgroups

When the cohort was stratified based on sex, age, and both age and sex, similar results were found (<u>Supplementary</u> <u>Tables 3–10</u>). While some adiposity equations had mildly stronger correlation coefficients than BMI, others had minimally weaker correlation coefficients with AHI. Regardless, all associations with AHI were generally negligible

Variable	Total			Male			Female			Age <50				Age > 50						
	N	Mean		SD	Ν	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD
Age (years)	5021	49.5	±	14.9	2679	49.5	±	14.9	2341	49.5	±	14.8	2452	37.0	±	8.3	2569	61.4	±	8.8
Weight (kg)	4892	87.4	±	21.1	2612	92.5	±	19.4	2279	81.6	±	21.4	2403	89.3	±	22.9	2489	85.7	±	19.0
Height (cm)	4894	170.5	±	10.1	2612	176.9	±	7.6	2281	163.3	±	7.4	2404	171.8	±	10.0	2490	169.3	±	10.1
BMI (kg/m2)	4893	30.0	±	6.7	2612	29.5	±	5.7	2280	30.6	±	7.7	2403	30.2	±	7.2	2490	29.9	±	6.3
ESS	4983	8.4	±	4.7	2659	8.2	±	4.6	2323	8.7	±	4.9	2435	8.9	±	4.7	2548	7.9	±	4.7
PSG indices							•													
Apnea Index (events/hr)	5021	3.1	±	7.5	2679	4.1	±	8.9	2341	2.0	±	5.2	2452	2.3	±	6.8	2569	3.9	±	8.0
AHI (events/hr)	5021	13.8	±	15.8	2679	16.5	±	16.9	2341	10.8	±	13.8	2452	10.9	±	14.6	2569	16.7	±	16.3
Min. wake SaO2 (%)	5018	75.7	±	29.2	2677	75.3	±	29.2	2340	76.2	±	29.2	2452	77.7	±	28.8	2566	73.9	±	29.5
Sleep with SaO2 < 88% (%)	5021	1.5	±	7.8	2679	1.5	±	7.5	2341	1.5	±	8.2	2452	0.9	±	6.3	2569	2.2	±	9.0
Mean Sleep SaO2 (%)	5020	94.8	±	2.0	2678	94.7	±	1.9	2341	95.0	±	2.1	2451	95.5	±	1.7	2569	94.1	±	2.1
Min Sleep SaO2 (%)	5019	83.3	±	17.6	2677	82.4	±	18.2	2341	84.2	±	16.8	2451	84.3	±	18.6	2568	82.3	±	16.4
Adiposity-Estimating Equation	5																			
Rohrer index	4891	17.7	±	4.3	2611	16.7	±	3.3	2279	18.8	±	4.9	2403	17.6	±	4.5	2488	17.8	±	4.1
Larrson	4890	34.4	±	9.2	2611	28.7	±	6.2	2279	40.9	±	7.6	2403	34.3	±	9.3	2487	34.6	±	9.1
Gallagher 2000	4892	32.2	±	9.0	2612	26.1	±	5.4	2280	39.0	±	7.1	2403	30.7	±	9.3	2489	33.6	±	8.4
Deurenberg 1991	4892	36.2	±	10.6	2612	30.6	±	7.5	2280	42.7	±	9.8	2403	33.5	±	10.8	2489	38.9	±	9.6
CUN BAE	4892	35.9	±	9.6	2612	30.2	±	6.9	2280	42.4	±	7.9	2403	34.5	±	10.2	2489	37.2	±	8.7

Table 2 Anthropometric and Polysomnographic Variables for the Study Population: Total and by Sex and Age Subgroups

Wadden et al 🔷

Table 2 (Continued).

Variable	Total			Male			Female			Age <50				Age > 50						
	Ν	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD	N	Mean		SD
ECORE BF	4892	36.0	±	10.1	2612	30.1	±	6.8	2280	42.8	±	8.9	2403	34.5	±	10.4	2489	37.5	±	9.6
BSI	4891	61.6	±	11.1	2611	63.6	±	10.0	2279	59.2	±	11.9	2403	62.4	±	12.1	2488	60.8	±	10.1
NWAI	4891	1.7	±	1.9	2611	1.6	±	1.8	2279	1.8	±	2.1	2403	1.7	±	2.1	2488	1.6	±	1.8
Deurenberg 1998	4892	34.7	±	11.1	2612	28.7	±	7.8	2280	41.5	±	10.4	2403	32.3	±	11.5	2489	37.0	±	10.3
Нео	4890	35.5	±	8.8	2611	29.9	±	5.7	2279	42.0	±	7.1	2403	34.9	±	8.6	2487	36.2	±	8.9
Heitmann	4890	32.5	±	8.9	2611	27.3	±	5.5	2279	38.4	±	8.3	2403	30.8	±	9.1	2487	34.1	±	8.4
Gallagher 1996	4892	33.6	±	11.9	2612	27.4	±	8.4	2280	40.6	±	11.4	2403	32.2	±	12.5	2489	34.9	±	11.2
Visser	4892	42.0	±	9.6	2612	36.3	±	6.1	2280	48.6	±	8.7	2403	42.1	±	10.0	2489	41.9	±	9.2
Noppa	4891	31.3	±	6.3	2611	28.8	±	4.5	2279	34.2	±	6.7	2403	30.8	±	6.2	2488	31.8	±	6.3
Deurenberg 1989	4892	40.6	±	7.9	2612	34.9	±	4.8	2280	47.2	±	5.2	2403	40.6	±	8.1	2489	40.6	±	7.7

Note: Data presented as means and standard deviations.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; BMI, body mass index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; PSG, polysomnography; SaO2, oxygen saturation.

Table 3	Pearson	Correlations	Between	Sleep-Related	Breathing	Polysomnography	Parameters	and
Adiposity	Estimating	g Equations for	⁻ the Total	Cohort				

Variables	ВМІ	Rohrer index	Larrson	Gallagher 2000
Apnea Index	0.07 (0.04–0.10)	0.05 (0.03–0.08)	-0.03 (-0.06-0.00)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.01)
AHI	0.29 (0.26–0.31)	0.25 (0.22–0.27)	0.10 (0.07–0.13)	0.11 (0.08–0.14)
Supine AHI	0.22 (0.19–0.25)	0.17 (0.14–0.20)	0.03 (0.00-0.06)	0.04 (0.01–0.07)
Non-Supine AHI	0.33 (0.30–0.36)	0.30 (0.27–0.32)	0.16 (0.13–0.19)	0.16 (0.13–0.19)
REM AHI	0.39 (0.36–0.41)	0.37 (0.35–0.40)	0.26 (0.23–0.29)	0.27 (0.24–0.30)
Non-REM AHI	0.25 (0.23–0.28)	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.06 (0.04–0.09)	0.07 (0.04–0.10)
Mean wake SaO2	-0.15 (-0.18-0.13)	-0.14 (-0.17-0.11)	-0.07 (-0.10-0.04)	-0.10 (-0.13-0.07)
% sleep with SaO2 <88%	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.08 (0.06–0.11)	0.06 (0.03–0.09)	0.07 (0.04–0.10)
Mean sleep SaO2	-0.27 (-0.30-0.25)	-0.25 (-0.28-0.23)	-0.16 (-0.19-0.14)	-0.20 (-0.23-0.17)
Mean REM SaO2	-0.29 (-0.31-0.26)	-0.27 (-0.30-0.25)	-0.18 (-0.20-0.15)	-0.21 (-0.24-0.18)
Mean non-REM SaO2	-0.26 (-0.29-0.24)	-0.24 (-0.27-0.22)	-0.15 (-0.18-0.13)	-0.19 (-0.22-0.16)
Min Sleep SaO2	-0.09 (-0.12-0.06)	-0.08 (-0.11-0.05)	-0.04 (-0.07-0.01)	-0.04 (-0.07-0.01)
Variables	Deurenberg 1991	CUN BAE	ECORE BF	BSI
Apnea Index	0.03 (0.00–0.06)	0.01 (-0.02-0.03)	0.00 (-0.02-0.03)	0.09 (0.06–0.12)
AHI	0.20 (0.18–0.23)	0.16 (0.13–0.18)	0.16 (0.13–0.19)	0.31 (0.28–0.33)
Supine AHI	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	0.09 (0.06-0.12)	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.27 (0.24–0.30)
Non-Supine AHI	0.25 (0.23–0.28)	0.21 (0.18–0.24)	0.21 (0.19–0.24)	0.33 (0.31–0.36)
REM AHI	0.35 (0.33–0.38)	0.31 (0.28–0.34)	0.32 (0.29–0.34)	0.36 (0.33–0.38)
Non-REM AHI	0.16 (0.14–0.19)	0.12 (0.09–0.15)	0.12 (0.09–0.15)	0.28 (0.26-0.31)
Mean wake SaO2	-0.17 (-0.20-0.14)	-0.12 (-0.15-0.09)	-0.13 (-0.15-0.10)	-0.16 (-0.18-0.13)
% sleep with SaO2 <88%	0.09 (0.07–0.12)	0.07 (0.05–0.10)	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.06 (0.04–0.09)
Mean sleep SaO2	-0.30 (-0.32-0.27)	-0.23 (-0.26-0.20)	-0.24 (-0.27-0.21)	-0.27 (-0.29-0.24)
Mean REM SaO2	-0.31 (-0.34-0.28)	-0.24 (-0.27-0.21)	-0.25 (-0.28-0.22)	-0.27 (-0.29-0.24)
Mean non-REM SaO2	-0.28 (-0.31-0.26)	-0.22 (-0.25-0.19)	-0.23 (-0.25-0.20)	-0.26 (-0.29-0.24)
Min Sleep SaO2	-0.06 (-0.09-0.03)	-0.05 (-0.08-0.02)	-0.05 (-0.08-0.02)	-0.10 (-0.13-0.07)
Variables	NWAI	Deurenberg 1998	Heo	Heitmann
Apnea Index	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.03 (0.00–0.05)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.00)	0.00 (-0.02-0.03)
AHI	0.30 (0.27–0.32)	0.20 (0.17–0.22)	0.08 (0.05–0.10)	0.15 (0.12–0.18)
Supine AHI	0.23 (0.20–0.26)	0.12 (0.09–0.15)	0.00 (-0.03-0.03)	0.08 (0.05–0.11)
Non-Supine AHI	0.34 (0.31–0.36)	0.25 (0.22–0.27)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	0.20 (0.17–0.23)
REM AHI	0.39 (0.36–0.41)	0.35 (0.32–0.37)	0.23 (0.20-0.26)	0.31 (0.28–0.34)
Non-REM AHI	0.26 (0.24–0.29)	0.16 (0.13–0.18)	0.04 (0.01–0.07)	0.11 (0.08–0.14)

(Continued)

Mean wake SaO2	-0.15 (-0.18-0.13)	-0.15 (-0.18-0.13)	-0.06 (-0.09-0.04)	-0.13 (-0.16-0.11)
% sleep with SaO2 <88%	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.09 (0.06–0.12)	0.06 (0.04–0.09)	0.07 (0.05–0.10)
Mean sleep SaO2	-0.27 (-0.30-0.25)	-0.28 (-0.30-0.25)	-0.14 (-0.17-0.11)	-0.24 (-0.27-0.22)
Mean REM SaO2	-0.29 (-0.31-0.26)	-0.29 (-0.32-0.27)	-0.16 (-0.19-0.13)	-0.25 (-0.28-0.22)
Mean non-REM SaO2	-0.26 (-0.29-0.24)	-0.27 (-0.29-0.24)	-0.13 (-0.16-0.10)	-0.23 (-0.26-0.21)
Min Sleep SaO2	-0.09 (-0.12-0.06)	-0.06 (-0.09-0.03)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.00)	-0.05 (-0.08-0.02)
Variables	Gallagher 1996	Visser	Noppa	Deurenberg 1989
Apnea Index	0.01 (-0.01-0.04)	-0.03 (-0.05-0.00)	0.03 (0.00-0.05)	-0.05 (-0.08-0.03)
AHI	0.18 (0.16–0.21)	0.11 (0.09–0.14)	0.17 (0.14–0.19)	0.05 (0.02-0.08)
Supine AHI	0.10 (0.07–0.13)	0.03 (0.00–0.06)	0.10 (0.07–0.13)	-0.03 (-0.06-0.00)
Non-Supine AHI	0.24 (0.21–0.27)	0.18 (0.15–0.21)	0.21 (0.18-0.24)	0.12 (0.09-0.15)
REM AHI	0.34 (0.31–0.36)	0.27 (0.25–0.30)	0.30 (0.28–0.33)	0.21 (0.18–0.24)
Non-REM AHI	0.14 (0.12–0.17)	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.13 (0.10-0.16)	0.02 (-0.01-0.05)
Mean wake SaO2	-0.13 (-0.15-0.10)	-0.06 (-0.09-0.03)	-0.12 (-0.15-0.09)	-0.03 (-0.05-0.00)
% sleep with SaO2 <88%	0.08 (0.05–0.11)	0.06 (0.03–0.09)	0.08 (0.05–0.10)	0.05 (0.03–0.08)
Mean sleep SaO2	-0.25 (-0.27-0.22)	-0.16 (-0.19-0.13)	-0.21 (-0.23-0.18)	-0.11 (-0.14-0.09)
Mean REM SaO2	-0.26 (-0.29-0.24)	-0.19 (-0.21-0.16)	-0.22 (-0.25-0.19)	-0.14 (-0.17-0.11)
Mean non-REM SaO2	-0.23 (-0.26-0.21)	-0.15 (-0.18-0.12)	-0.20 (-0.22-0.17)	-0.10 (-0.13-0.07)
Min Sleep SaO2	-0.06 (-0.09-0.03)	-0.04 (-0.07-0.01)	-0.05 (-0.08-0.02)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.00)

Table 3 (Continued).

Notes: Estimates are presented as correlation coefficients (r) and 95% confidence intervals.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; SaO2, oxygen saturation.

to low, with the highest correlation coefficient noted for REM AHI of 0.53 for Deurenberg 1991 and 1998 equation in female younger than 50 years old.

Logistic Regression and ROC Curves Stratified Based on Sex, Age, and Sex/Age Subgroups

When the cohort was stratified based on sex, age, and both age and sex, similar results were found (Figure 4, <u>Supplementary Tables 11</u> to <u>18</u> and <u>Supplementary Figures 1–8</u>). While some adiposity equations had mildly higher discriminatory ability for severe OSA compared to BMI, others had a minimally weaker discriminatory ability. Regardless, most equations' discriminatory ability ranged from less than acceptable to acceptable. However, in females younger than 50, c-indices ranged from 0.73 to 0.81, demonstrating acceptable to excellent discriminative ability, with Gallagher 2000, Deurenberg 1991, ECORE BF, Deunrenberg 1998, Heitmann, and Gallagher 1996 all showing the greatest discriminative ability (c-indices of 0.81). However, other equations, including BMI, had c-indices of 0.80, also corresponding with excellent discriminative ability (Supplementary Table 17 and Supplementary Figure 7).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to comprehensively assess the relationship between the severity of OSA and a particular set of BMI, height, and weight-based adiposity-estimating equations, including the Rohrer index, CUN-BAE



Figure I Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses of BMI and 15 adiposity-estimating equations in discrimination of individuals with severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) versus not in the total study population.

index, ECORE-BF, BSI, NWAI, and equations by Larrson, Heo, Heitmann, Visser, Noppa, Gallagher (1996 and 2000) and Deurenberg (1989, 1991, and 1998), compared with BMI. We did not demonstrate stronger correlations between novel adiposity equations and OSA presence/severity compared to BMI, as hypothesized, regardless of stratification. In the total study population, BMI was poorly correlated with AHI, and the other equations did not outperform BMI. Similarly, BMI demonstrates poor discriminative ability for moderate/severe and severe OSA; however, none of the other equations performed better in this context. This study further supports the argument that measures of obesity alone should

\infty Wadden et al

1.00 0.75 Sensitivity 0.50 0.25 0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1 - Specificity ROC Curve (Area) Rohrer index (0.6473) Larrson (0.5492) BMI (0.6658) Gallagher 2000 (0.5538) Deurenberg 1991 (0.6084) CUN BAE (0.5793) ECORE BF (0.5818) BSI (0.6691) NWAI (0.6668) Heitmann (0.5784) Deurenberg 1998 (0.6036) Heo (0.5367) Gallagher 1996 (0.5980) Deurenberg 1989 (0.5193) Visser (0.5530) Noppa (0.5961)

ROC Curves for Comparisons

Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses of BMI and 15 adiposity-estimating equations in discrimination of individuals with moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) versus not in the total study population.

not be used to discriminate between severe or moderate/severe OSA. Critically, no adiposity-estimating equations outperformed BMI.

In the literature, BMI has taken precedence for estimating obesity due to its ease of calculation. BMI is strongly associated with body fat,⁴⁷ but can misclassify obesity in a proportion of individuals.^{15,16,48} Studies have demonstrated a relationship between increasing BMI and OSA^{13,49} and a similar correlation (r=0.38) between AHI and BMI,¹³ compared to the current study. Conversely, other studies found no relationship between AHI and BMI, but did note a negative relationship (r=-0.42) between minimum oxygen saturation and BMI,¹⁴ which was stronger than in the current



Figure 3 Forest plot of odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the association between BMI and 15 adiposity-estimating equations and severe or moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) for the total study population.

study. Notably, for most of the used equations, cut-offs do not exist to qualify someone as underweight, normal weight, overweight, or obese as they do for BMI,⁸ and thus, estimates per IQR were used in the present study. Further studies are warranted to assess this complex relationship. Importantly, little data exists regarding OSA and obesity defined by the adiposity equations investigated in this study. At the time of the current manuscript preparation, only one study was



Figure 4 Forest plot of odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the association between BMI and 15 adiposity-estimating equations and moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) by sex and age subgroups.

available; this study showed an increased risk of self-reported sleep-disordered breathing with increasing Rohrer index in primary school students (OR of 1.82; 95% CI: 1.46–2.27; p<0.001).⁵⁰ However, compared to our study, no objective measures of OSA were used, nor was a comparison to BMI made.

In the current study for females younger than 50, many obesity estimating equations, including BMI, showed an excellent discriminative ability for severe OSA. The differences observed when stratified by sex and age could be explained by variations in body fat percentages and distribution in males vs females,^{51,52} as women, on average, have more body fat than men⁵³ and, as body fat can increase with age.⁵⁴ However, equations incorporating sex-specific formulas may have performed poorly as they technically should not be used to assess discriminative ability in the total population, given different distributions for males and females. This assumption was confirmed by the fact that when stratified by age and sex, the discriminative ability for equations was very close (ie, smaller variation than in the total cohort). Furthermore, the relationship between BMI and AHI, as a predictor of OSA, varies between men and women. When assessing the impact of BMI on AHI, mediating factors—characteristics that help explain the relationship between two variables—have been shown to vary by sex. Upper airway mechanics have been additionally shown to be differentially controlled by sex, age, and BMI, and partly mediate the relationship between these risk factors and OSA.⁵⁵ For example, Nokes et al in the study involved 2146 individuals (43% women), showing that BMI was significantly associated with AHI across both sexes and, at the same time, demonstrated the different AHI-BMI mediating factors between men and women.⁵⁶ Specifically, while in men, the correlation between BMI and AHI was influenced by reductions in upper airway stiffness, circulatory delay, and an increased arousal threshold, in women, only upper airway stiffness and circulatory delay significantly mediate the BMI-AHI relationship.⁵⁶ Taking together, these findings suggest the potential value of developing or adapting screening tools that account for sex- and age-related differences in obesity measures and OSA risk. For example, incorporating age- and sex-specific thresholds or weighting different anthropometric measures differently in prediction models could improve early identification of individuals at risk for OSA, particularly in underserved or underdiagnosed populations such as younger women. This approach may be especially relevant in specific settings, such as population-level screening, where access to full diagnostic testing is limited. However, given the exploratory nature of these stratified analyses and the potential for type I error due to multiple comparisons, these findings should be considered hypothesis-generating and require validation in external cohorts.

Regarding other metabolic conditions, Kracher et al showed BMI and adiposity estimated by averaging values obtained by Larsson, Gallagher, and Deurenberg (1991 and 1998), had higher discriminatory power when compared to DEXA for cardiometabolic risk markers (1.9% for BMI, 3.7% for adiposity-estimating equations p<0.01).¹⁹ Both the Deurenberg 1991 equation and CUN-BAE have also been shown to aid in identifying metabolic syndrome,⁵⁷ which has been linked to OSA.⁵⁸ For women, the Deurenberg 1991 equation and CUN-BAE had similar areas under the curve (AUC >0.90 and >0.89, respectively) for predicting metabolic syndrome (depending on the metabolic syndrome model).⁵⁷ For men, both the Deurenberg and CUN-BAE had AUC values of >0.84 (depending on the metabolic syndrome model).⁵⁷ In our current paper, it is unclear in the correlative analysis why the Deurenberg 1991 equation seemed to consistently have the highest r-value once the data was stratified; further investigations are required. Notably, the Deurenberg 1991 and other equations take into consideration age, sex, and BMI, which may aid in the performance.

Another obesity measure that could be considered while investigating the relationship between the severity of OSA and obesity is the Body Roundness Index (BRI). While newer, BRI specifically aims to augment the shortcomings of BMI by measuring visceral fat via waist circumference in addition to height and weight, as visceral fat is more closely linked to health risks when compared to overall body weight.⁵⁹ Zhang et al explore further the relationship between BRI and all-cause mortality in their study of US adults using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey from 1999 to 2018 (N=32,995 and a median follow-up period of about 10 years).⁶⁰ This study demonstrated an increasing trend of BRI during a nearly 20-year period, especially in women and elderly individuals, and a U-shaped association between BRI and all-cause mortality,⁶⁰ suggesting that BRI as a noninvasive screening tool could be incorporated into public health practice, pending validation in future independent studies.

Our study is affected by several limitations. First, single-center cross-sectional study design and using clinical- (vs community) based data may affect the generalizability of our findings and may introduce selection bias. However, it is generalizable to the Canadian population given the current cohort's median BMI of 28.9 kg/m2 was similar to the average BMI found in the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network⁶¹ of 28.5 kg/m2 in January 2011 and 29.1 kg/m2 in

December 2016. Regarding the percentage of severe OSA (12.7% in the current study), various Canadian studies differ regarding the percentage of individuals referred for a sleep study and ultimately diagnosed with severe OSA. For example, two studies, one from Saskatchewan and the other from British Columbia, reported severe OSA incidence of 5.2%⁶² and 26%,⁶³ respectively. Notably, the Sleep Heart Health Study (5800 participants) had 21% of the population with moderate-severe sleep apnea.⁶⁴ We also cannot exclude the possibility of measurement bias related to the BMI measurements (clinically routinely collected data) and variability in AHI due to night-to-night fluctuations. Thus, our results are needed to be externally validated, including on community-based cohorts. Another limitation was that only 15 obesity estimating equations were used in the analysis. Many more do exist in the literature,⁶⁵ but we were limited by the variables accessible in the dataset – we did not have access to some variables required for other calculated equations, such as neck, waist, and hip circumference. Of note, both an increased waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio have been associated with OSA severity and prevalence.⁶⁶ Therefore, future studies should incorporate other adiposity estimating equations and imaging techniques,⁶⁷ specifically focusing on fat distribution, to assess associations with OSA. To support the importance of fat distribution, the study that utilized abdominal magnetic resonance imaging before and after a weight loss intervention demonstrated that improved AHI with weight loss was primarily mediated by tongue fat reductions.⁶⁸

Furthermore, while it was not in our study's focus, we could not exclude a modifiable effect of OSA endotypic traits on the relationship between adiposity estimating equations and OSA severity.⁶⁹ For example, obese individuals often exhibit high upper airway collapsibility and poor upper airway compensation due to excess fat deposition around the airway and reduced lung volumes, while in non-obese individuals, OSA is more often driven by high loop gain, low arousal thresholds, or neuromuscular dysfunction rather than airway anatomy.¹ OSA endotypic traits could also explain 30% of the relative sex differences in non-REM AHI.⁷⁰ While exploring the intersection between age and sex, for men, age has been shown to correlate with increased collapsibility, increased loop gain, and decreased arousal threshold, whereas, in women, OSA endotypic traits were not associated with age, except for an increase in loop gain with advancing age.⁷¹

Overall, this is the first study to assess the relationship between measures of OSA severity and 15 BMI, height, and weightbased adiposity equations compared to BMI. While some equations had slightly stronger correlations with AHI, most had negligible or low correlations with AHI, regardless of stratification. While the discriminative ability for OSA for most equations was less than acceptable, in females younger than 50, many equations (including BMI) had excellent discriminative ability. These results further support the limitation of both the BMI, as one of the most commonly used measurements in clinics and research, and other evaluated BMI-based measures of adiposity in screening for OSA within clinical guidelines. However, if confirmed in future studies, in females under 50, BMI could serve as a simple screening tool for identifying those at risk for OSA. Future studies are required to both externally validate our findings and to further explore the relationship between other adiposity-estimating equations and OSA. Utilizing multi-parameter screening by combining BMI with measures of fat distribution, such as neck, waist, and hip circumference, demographic characteristics, relevant symptoms and the presence of relevant comorbidities, such as cardiovascular, may provide better discriminative value than using measures of adiposity alone. Advanced statistical methods, including machine learning,⁷² would also help to improve the discriminative power and personalized screening approach for OSA.

Data Sharing Statement

The dataset from this study is available under REB approval upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Ottawa Methods Center at the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute for aiding with data analysis. The authors also wish to thank the research assistants at the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Moussa Meteb, Aseel Ahmad, and Randa Ahmad, for their role in databases preparation as well as Mouaz Saymeh for his role in the manuscript preparation.

Author Contributions

DW, GC, SDA, ZWG, JF, and TK were involved in the study conceptualization and methodology. MS, GC, SDA, ZWG, JF, ES, and BY were involved in validation, visualization, and writing (review and editing). DW and TK were also

involved in investigation, formal analysis, validation, and writing (original draft). TK was additionally involved in project administration and supervision (of DW) and is a custodian of the TOH Sleep Database (data curation). ES was additionally involved in formal analysis. TK, ES, and DW had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All co-authors (DW, MS, GC, SDA, ZWG, JF, ES, BY, TK) agreed on the journal to which the article will be submitted, reviewed, and agreed on all versions of the article before submission, during revision, the final version accepted for publication, and any significant changes introduced at the proofing stage, and take responsibility and be accountable for the contents of the article.

Disclosures/Disclosure of Interest

The TOH Sleep Database was created with the support from the Ottawa Hospital Sleep Walk and the University of Ottawa Department of Medicine (DOM) Developmental Research Grant (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). The analyses, conclusions, opinions, and statements expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not reflect those of the funding or data sources; no endorsement is intended or should be inferred.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. TK was supported by the PSI Foundation when the project was conducted. Outside of the submitted work, TK projects are supported by the CIHR and CHEST foundations.

The abstract of this paper was presented at the 2022 American Thoracic Society International Conference as a poster presentation with interim findings. The poster's abstract was published in the American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine: <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm-conference.2022.205.1_MeetingAbstracts.A2709</u>. Only the abstract was presented at the conference with preliminary results: correlation coefficients only for the total sample without stratifications. Since the abstract was presented, we have performed several additional analyses, including logistic regressions, presenting c-indices, visualizing the discriminative ability and subgroup analyses stratifying by age and sex; this is why additional co-investigators were involved in bringing expertise in the analytic approaches and interpretations of the obtained results.

References

- 1. Eckert DJ, Malhotra A. Pathophysiology of adult obstructive sleep apnea. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2008;5(2):144-153. doi:10.1513/pats.200707-114MG
- Benjafield AV, Ayas NT, Eastwood PR, et al. Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019;7(8):687–698. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30198-5
- 3. Olaithe M, Bucks RS. Executive dysfunction in OSA before and after treatment: a meta-analysis. *Sleep.* 2013;36(9):1297–1305. doi:10.5665/ sleep.2950
- 4. George CF. Sleep apnea, alertness, and motor vehicle crashes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176(10):954–956. doi:10.1164/rccm.200605-629PP
- 5. Li X, Zha L, Zhou L, et al. Diagnostic utility of obstructive sleep apnea screening questionnaires: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Sleep Breath*. 2024;29(1):14. doi:10.1007/s11325-024-03169-z
- Feltner C, Wallace IF, Aymes S, et al. Screening for obstructive sleep apnea in adults: updated evidence report and systematic review for the us preventive services task force. JAMA. 2022;328(19):1951–1971. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.18357
- 7. Romero-Corral A, Caples SM, Lopez-Jimenez F, et al. Interactions between obesity and obstructive sleep apnea: implications for treatment. *Chest*. 2010;137(3):711–719. doi:10.1378/chest.09-0360
- 8. WHO. *Obesity and overweight*. 2021; Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. Accessed May 7, 2025.
- 9. Mergen H, Altındağ B, Zeren Uçar Z, et al. The predictive performance of the STOP-bang questionnaire in obstructive sleep apnea screening of obese population at sleep clinical setting. *Cureus*. 2019;11(12):e6498. doi:10.7759/cureus.6498
- 10. Tan A, Yin JDC, Tan LWL, et al. Using the Berlin Questionnaire to predict obstructive sleep apnea in the general population. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2017;13(3):427–432. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6496
- 11. Guichard K, Marti-Soler H, Micoulaud-Franchi J-A, et al. The NoSAS score: a new and simple screening tool for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in depressive disorder. J Affect Disord. 2018;227:136–140. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.10.015
- 12. da Silva Machado G, Araújo HGS, Corrêa PB, et al. The role of obstructive sleep apnea risk (BOAH Score) in predicting angina: evidence from NHANES 2017–2020. *Sleep Science Practice*. 2024;8(25). doi:10.1186/s41606-024-00117-4.
- 13. Lovin S, Bercea R, Cojocaru C, et al. Body composition in obstructive sleep apneahypopnea syndrome bio-impedance reflects the severity of sleep apnea. *Multidiscip Respir Med.* 2010;5(1):44–49. doi:10.1186/2049-6958-5-1-44
- 14. Ciavarella D, Tepedino M, Chimenti C, et al. Correlation between body mass index and obstructive sleep apnea severity indexes A retrospective study. *Am J Otolaryngol.* 2018;39(4):388–391. doi:10.1016/j.amjoto.2018.03.026
- 15. Kennedy AP, Shea JL, Sun G. Comparison of the classification of obesity by BMI vs. dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in the Newfoundland population. *Obesity*. 2009;17(11):2094–2099. doi:10.1038/oby.2009.101

- 16. Pinheiro A, Filho NS, França AKTDC, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of the body mass index in the diagnosis of obesity in patients with non-dialysis chronic kidney disease: a comparison between gold standard methods and the cut-off value purpose. *Nutr Hosp.* 2019;36(1):73–79. doi:10.20960/nh.1880
- 17. Wang H, Chen YE, Eitzman DT. Imaging body fat: techniques and cardiometabolic implications. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2014;34 (10):2217–2223. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303036
- Sun G, Cahill F, Gulliver W, et al. Concordance of BAI and BMI with DXA in the Newfoundland population. *Obesity*. 2013;21(3):499–503. doi:10.1002/oby.20009
- 19. Krachler B, Völgyi E, Savonen K, et al. BMI and an anthropometry-based estimate of fat mass percentage are both valid discriminators of cardiometabolic risk: a comparison with DXA and bioimpedance. *J Obes*. 2013;2013;862514. doi:10.1155/2013/862514
- 20. Molina-Luque R, Romero-Saldaña M, Álvarez-Fernández C, et al. Equation cordoba: a simplified method for estimation of body fat (ECORE-BF). Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(22):4529. doi:10.3390/ijerph16224529
- Molina-Luque R, Yañez AM, Bennasar-Veny M, et al. A comparison of equation cordoba for estimation of body fat (ECORE-BF) with other prediction equations. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(21):7940. doi:10.3390/ijerph17217940
- 22. Ares Blanco J, Valdés Hernández S, Botas Cervero P, et al. Estimation of body fat mass using the CUN-BAE index and mortality risk by sex in the Asturias Study cohort. *Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr (Engl.* 2019;66(8):487–494. doi:10.1016/j.endinu.2019.02.008
- 23. Malhotra A, Huang Y, Fogel R, et al. Aging influences on pharyngeal anatomy and physiology: the predisposition to pharyngeal collapse. *Am J Med.* 2006;119(1):72e9–14. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.01.077
- 24. Kendzerska T, Povitz M, Leung RS, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and incident cancer: a large retrospective multicenter clinical cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2021;30(2):295–304. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-20-0975
- 25. Berry RB, Budhiraja R, Gottlieb DJ, et al. Rules for scoring respiratory events in sleep: update of the 2007 AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events. Deliberations of the sleep apnea definitions task force of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. J Clin Sleep Med. 2012;8(5):597–619. doi:10.5664/jcsm.2172
- 26. Mei Z, Grummer-Strawn LM, Pietrobelli A, et al. Validity of body mass index compared with other body-composition screening indexes for the assessment of body fatness in children and adolescents. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;75(6):978–985. doi:10.1093/ajcn/75.6.978
- 27. Domenech-Asensi G, Gómez-Gallego C, Ros-Berruezo G, et al. Critical overview of current anthropometric methods in comparison with a new index to make early detection of overweight in Spanish university students: the normalized weight-adjusted index. *Nutr Hosp.* 2018;35(2):359–367. doi:10.20960/nh.1189
- 28. Larsson I, Henning B, Lindroos AK, et al. Optimized predictions of absolute and relative amounts of body fat from weight, height, other anthropometric predictors, and age 1. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83(2):252–259. doi:10.1093/ajcn/83.2.252
- 29. Heo M, Kabat GC, Gallagher D, et al. Optimal scaling of weight and waist circumference to height for maximal association with DXA-measured total body fat mass by sex, age and race/ethnicity. *Int J Obes.* 2013;37(8):1154–1160. doi:10.1038/ijo.2012.201
- 30. Heitmann BL. Evaluation of body fat estimated from body mass index, skinfolds and impedance. A comparative study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1990;44 (11):831–837.
- 31. Visser M, van den Heuvel E, Deurenberg P. Prediction equations for the estimation of body composition in the elderly using anthropometric data. *Br J Nutr.* 1994;71(6):823–833. doi:10.1079/BJN19940189
- 32. Noppa H, Andersson M, Bengtsson C, et al. Body composition in middle-aged women with special reference to the correlation between body fat mass and anthropometric data. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1979;32(7):1388–1395. doi:10.1093/ajcn/32.7.1388
- 33. Gallagher D, Visser M, Sepulveda D, et al. How useful is body mass index for comparison of body fatness across age, sex, and ethnic groups? Am J Epidemiol. 1996;143(3):228–239. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008733
- 34. Gallagher D, Heymsfield SB, Heo M, et al. Healthy percentage body fat ranges: an approach for developing guidelines based on body mass index. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;72(3):694–701. doi:10.1093/ajcn/72.3.694
- 35. Deurenberg P, van der Kooy K, Hulshof T, et al. Body mass index as a measure of body fatness in the elderly. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1989;43(4):231-236.
- 36. Deurenberg P, Weststrate JA, Seidell JC. Body mass index as a measure of body fatness: age- and sex-specific prediction formulas. *Br J Nutr.* 1991;65(2):105–114. doi:10.1079/BJN19910073
- 37. Deurenberg P, Yap M, van Staveren WA. Body mass index and percent body fat: a meta analysis among different ethnic groups. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1998;22(12):1164–1171. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0800741
- 38. Hinkle DWW, Jurs S. Applied Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences. 5th ed. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 2003.
- 39. Mukaka MM. Statistics corner: a guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. Malawi Med J. 2012;24(3):69-71.
- 40. Pepe M. The Statistical Evaluation of Medical Tests for Classification and Prediction. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- 41. Hosmer DLS. Applied Logistic Regression. 2nd ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2000.
- 42. Huayi Z, Gang X, Laiyuan L, et al. Age- and sex-related trends in body composition among Beijing adults aged 20-60 years: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):1519. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-16459-0
- 43. Santoro N. Perimenopause: from Research to Practice. J Womens Health. 2016;25(4):332-339. doi:10.1089/jwh.2015.5556
- 44. Peppard PE, Young T, Barnet JH, et al. Increased prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2013;177(9):1006–1014. doi:10.1093/aje/kws342
- 45. D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008;117(6):743–753. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579
- 46. Bennett DA. How can I deal with missing data in my study? Aust N Z J Public Health. 2001;25(5):464–469. doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.2001. tb00294.x
- 47. Ranasinghe C, Gamage P, Katulanda P, et al. Relationship between Body Mass Index (BMI) and body fat percentage, estimated by bioelectrical impedance, in a group of Sri Lankan adults: a cross sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13(1):797. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-797
- 48. Rubino F, Cummings DE, Eckel RH, et al. Definition and diagnostic criteria of clinical obesity. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2025;13(3):221–262. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(24)00316-4
- 49. Quintas-Neves M, Preto J, Drummond M. Assessment of bariatric surgery efficacy on obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). *Rev Port Pneumol*. 2016;22 (6):331–336. doi:10.1016/j.rppnen.2016.05.006

- Sakamoto N, Gozal D, Smith DL, et al. Sleep duration, snoring prevalence, obesity, and behavioral problems in a large cohort of primary school students in Japan. Sleep. 2017;40(3). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsw082.
- 51. Blaak E. Gender differences in fat metabolism. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2001;4(6):499-502. doi:10.1097/00075197-200111000-00006
- 52. Davidson FE, Matsha TE, Erasmus RT, et al. Associations between body fat distribution and cardiometabolic risk factors in mixed-ancestry South African women and men. *Cardiovasc J Afr:* 2019;30(6):321–330. doi:10.5830/CVJA-2019-028
- 53. Bredella MA. Sex Differences in Body Composition. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017;1043:9-27.
- 54. Zamboni M, Rossi AP, Fantin F, et al. Adipose tissue, diet and aging. Mech Ageing Dev. 2014;136-137:129–137. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2013.11.008
- 55. Kirkness JP, Schwartz AR, Schneider H, et al. Contribution of male sex, age, and obesity to mechanical instability of the upper airway during sleep. *J Appl Physiol.* 2008;104(6):1618–1624. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00045.2008
- 56. Nokes B, Orr JE, White S, et al. Effect of obesity on sleep apnea pathogenesis differs in women versus men: multiple mediation analyses in the retrospective SNOOzzzE cohort. *J Appl Physiol*. 2024;136(6):1516–1525. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00925.2023
- 57. Lopez-Gonzalez AA, Jover AM, Martínez CS, et al. The CUN-BAE, Deurenberg Fat Mass, and visceral adiposity index as confident anthropometric indices for early detection of metabolic syndrome components in adults. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):15486. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-19343-w
- 58. Castaneda A, Jauregui-Maldonado E, Ratnani I, et al. Correlation between metabolic syndrome and sleep apnea. *World J Diabetes*. 2018;9 (4):66–71. doi:10.4239/wjd.v9.i4.66
- 59. Shuster A, Patlas M, Pinthus JH, et al. The clinical importance of visceral adiposity: a critical review of methods for visceral adipose tissue analysis. Br J Radiol. 2012;85(1009):1–10. doi:10.1259/bjr/38447238
- 60. Zhang X, Ma N, Lin Q, et al. Body roundness index and all-cause mortality among US adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2024;7(6):e2415051. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.15051
- 61. Goodarzynejad H, Meaney C, Brauer P, et al. Recent trends in adult body mass index and prevalence of excess weight: data from the Canadian primary care sentinel surveillance network. Can Fam Physician. 2022;68(2):128–138. doi:10.46747/cfp.6802128
- 62. Dosman JA, Karunanayake CP, Fenton M, et al. Obesity, sex, snoring and severity of OSA in a first nation community in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Clocks Sleep*. 2022;4(1):100–113. doi:10.3390/clockssleep4010011
- 63. Allen AJ, Amram O, Tavakoli H, et al. Relationship between travel time from home to a regional sleep apnea clinic in British Columbia, Canada, and the severity of obstructive sleep. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016;13(5):719–723. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201509-613BC
- Mazzotti DR, Keenan BT, Lim DC, et al. Symptom subtypes of obstructive sleep apnea predict incidence of cardiovascular outcomes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200(4):493–506. doi:10.1164/rccm.201808-1509OC
- 65. Pineau JC, Ramirez Rozzi FV. Measuring body fat-How accurate is the extrapolation of predictive models in epidemiology? *PLoS One*. 2022;17(2): e0263590. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0263590
- 66. Unal Y, Ozturk DA, Tosun K, et al. Association between obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and waist-to-height ratio. *Sleep Breath*. 2019;23 (2):523-529. doi:10.1007/s11325-018-1725-4
- 67. Glicksman A, Hadjiyannakis S, Barrowman N, et al. Body fat distribution ratios and obstructive sleep apnea severity in youth with obesity. J Clin Sleep Med. 2017;13(4):545–550. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6538
- 68. Wang SH, Keenan BT, Wiemken A, et al. Effect of weight loss on upper airway anatomy and the apnea-hypopnea index. The importance of tongue fat. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;201(6):718–727. doi:10.1164/rccm.201903-0692OC
- 69. Eckert DJ, White DP, Jordan AS, et al. Defining phenotypic causes of obstructive sleep apnea. Identification of novel therapeutic targets. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2013;188(8):996–1004. doi:10.1164/rccm.201303-0448OC
- Won CHJ, Reid M, Sofer T, et al. Sex differences in obstructive sleep apnea phenotypes, the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Sleep. 2020;43. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsz274
- Hang LW, Tsai Y-C, Finnsson E, et al. Sex-specific age-related worsening of pathological endotypic traits in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep. 2025;48(1). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsae185.
- 72. Shi Y, Zhang Y, Cao Z, et al. Application and interpretation of machine learning models in predicting the risk of severe obstructive sleep apnea in adults. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2023;23(1):230. doi:10.1186/s12911-023-02331-z

Nature and Science of Sleep



Publish your work in this journal

Nature and Science of Sleep is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal covering all aspects of sleep science and sleep medicine, including the neurophysiology and functions of sleep, the genetics of sleep, sleep and society, biological rhythms, dreaming, sleep disorders and therapy, and strategies to optimize healthy sleep. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/nature-and-science-of-sleep-journal

🖪 💥 in 🔼 🛛 1019