

Comment on “Predictive Value of Advanced Lung Cancer Inflammation Index and Development of a Nomogram for Prognosis in Patients with Cervical Cancer Treated with Radiotherapy” [Response to Letter]

Qiong Yu¹, Xin Jin²

¹Department of Digestive Medicine, Wuhan Sixth Hospital and Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuhan, Hubei, People's Republic of China;
²Department of Clinical Nutrition, Hubei Cancer Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Xin Jin, Email jinxinrd@alumni.hust.edu.cn

Dear editor

We are grateful for Li's thoughtful comments regarding our study, “Predictive Value of Advanced Lung Cancer Inflammation Index and Development of a Nomogram for Prognosis in Patients with Cervical Cancer Treated with Radiotherapy”.^{1,2} We appreciate the opportunity to address these valuable points, which highlight critical areas for refining the clinical applicability of our findings. Below, we respond to each concern constructively.

We acknowledge that our cohort predates recent advances in immunotherapy. However, there are a few points that we would like to clarify. The NCCN 2024 guidelines recommend immunotherapy as the first-line treatment for cervical cancer, and the KEYNOTE-A18 trial has shown excellent results. Nevertheless, the decision to choose immunotherapy for patients with cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy remains constrained.^{3,4} First, immunotherapy is only recommended for patients with FIGO Stage III–IVA cervical cancer. It is not recommended for patients with stage II. Second, the results from CALLA trial showed that durvalumab did not significantly improve the progression-free survival rate in a biomarker unselected, all-comers population. Only for patients with high-risk locally advanced cervical cancer with tumor PD-L1 expression (Tumor Area Positivity $\geq 20\%$) might benefit from the durvalumab.⁵ Third, due to economic constraints, some patients are unable to undergo immunotherapy. Thus, not all patients with cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy receive immunotherapy. Consequently, the predictive framework proposed in our research continues to offer clinical utility within contemporary medical practice. However, attention should be paid to the predictive role of the Advanced Lung Cancer Inflammation (ALI) Index in the prognosis of patients receiving concurrent immunotherapy and chemoradiotherapy in future studies.

Furthermore, as a single-center retrospective study, some factors that affected prognosis were unable to be collected, such as HPV status and critical treatment variables, etc. Additionally, some short-term results related to radiotherapy (toxicity of the radiation therapy, etc) could not be evaluated due to incomplete records. These are significant limitations of the article. However, after considering age, pathological type, and FIGO stage, the findings from our study showed that ALI still had good predictive efficacy. Considering that these factors are easily obtainable in clinical practice, we consider that ALI and the prediction model based on ALI are promising predictive tools to predict survival times. This conclusion has also been widely studied and verified in other cancer types.⁶ Notably, to improve the applicability of the results, we agree with Dr. Li's viewpoint. We need more multi-center prospective studies to further verify the conclusion.

In addition, the degree of missing data was presented in the Figure S1, and the analysis and verification of the data were carried out by experienced researchers. The author mentioned that decision curve analysis is necessary for comparing models with FIGO staging and ALI. However, the primary aim was focused on internal validation of the ALI model rather than comparative performance assessment. And the staging factor was taken into account in the analyses.

In summary, Dr. Li's insights underscore key translational gaps in our work. We agree that ALI's prognostic value must be re-evaluated in the immunotherapy era and validated through rigorous multicenter collaboration. Our team is committed to addressing these limitations via multicenter prospective study integrating HPV genotyping, toxicity profiles, and treatment heterogeneity data. More mechanistic studies linking ALI components to tumor microenvironment features are also needed to identify actionable therapeutic targets. In conclusion, current research has confirmed the prognostic predictive efficacy of ALI and we posit that future research will transform ALI from a statistical indicator into a clinically valuable tool.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Yu Q, Sun Y, Zhang S, Xu X, Pi G, Jin X. Predictive value of advanced lung cancer inflammation index and development of a nomogram for prognosis in patients with cervical cancer treated with radiotherapy. *J Inflamm Res.* 2025;18:5371–5382. doi:10.2147/JIR.S501513
2. Li K. Comment on “Predictive value of advanced lung cancer inflammation index and development of a nomogram for prognosis in patients with cervical cancer treated with radiotherapy” [Letter]. *J Inflamm Res.* 2025;18:8525–8526. doi:10.2147/JIR.S542961
3. Abu-Rustum NR, Yashar CM, Arend R, et al. NCCN Guidelines(R) insights: cervical cancer, version 1.2024. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2023;21(12):1224–1233. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2023.0062
4. Lorusso D, Xiang Y, Hasegawa K, et al. Pembrolizumab or placebo with chemoradiotherapy followed by pembrolizumab or placebo for newly diagnosed, high-risk, locally advanced cervical cancer (ENGOT-cx11/GOG-3047/KEYNOTE-A18): overall survival results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2024;404(10460):1321–1332. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(24)01808-7
5. Monk BJ, Toita T, Wu X, et al. Durvalumab versus placebo with chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer (CALLA): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2023;24(12):1334–1348. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(23)00479-5
6. Pang H, Dai L, Chen L, et al. Prognostic value of the advanced lung cancer inflammation index in patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy: a propensity-score matching cohort study and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer.* 2024;24(1):583. doi:10.1186/s12885-024-12349-9

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Inflammation Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Inflammation Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Inflammation Research

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Inflammation Research is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings on the molecular basis, cell biology and pharmacology of inflammation including original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries on: acute/chronic inflammation; mediators of inflammation; cellular processes; molecular mechanisms; pharmacology and novel anti-inflammatory drugs; clinical conditions involving inflammation. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group