ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Genetic and chemical knockdown: a complementary strategy for evaluating an anti-infective target

Vasanthi Ramachandran^{1,*} Ragini Singh^{2,*} Xiaoyu Yang¹ Ragadeepthi Tunduguru¹ Subrat Mohapatra² Swati Khandelwal² Sanjana Patel² Santanu Datta²

¹AstraZeneca India R&D, Bangalore, India; ²Cellworks India, Bangalore, India

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: The equity of a drug target is principally evaluated by its genetic vulnerability with tools ranging from antisense- and microRNA-driven knockdowns to induced expression of the target protein. In order to upgrade the process of antibacterial target identification and discern its most effective type of inhibition, an in silico toolbox that evaluates its genetic and chemical vulnerability leading either to stasis or cidal outcome was constructed and validated. By precise simulation and careful experimentation using enolpyruvyl shikimate-3-phosphate synthase and its specific inhibitor glyphosate, it was shown that genetic knockdown is distinct from chemical knockdown. It was also observed that depending on the particular mechanism of inhibitor could be orders of magnitude different. Susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* to glyphosate and the lack of it in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* could be predicted by the in silico platform. Finally, as predicted and simulated in the in silico platform, the translation of growth inhibition to a cidal effect was able to be demonstrated experimentally by altering the carbon source from sorbitol to glucose.

Keywords: knockdown, inhibition, in silico, vulnerability

Introduction

Most anti-infective drug-discovery programs have focused their efforts on processes of identifying potential inhibitors against a target critical for the growth of the pathogen. A valid target at the genetic level is essential for the survival of the pathogen, and at the functional level, its structure and/or biological activity is substantially different from that of the host. This would hopefully lead to the identification of unique chemical entities that specifically inhibit the intended target. However, more often than not, target or cell based screens have either failed to generate leads that can be developed into molecules with antimicrobial potency or yielded inhibitors that kill the pathogen through a nonspecific/unknown mechanism of action. This has been exemplified in a review on anti-infective high-throughput screens by the GlaxoSmithKline research laboratories (Collegeville, Pennsylvania, USA), which indicated that the success rate of the path that linearly follows essential genes through high-throughput screens in the quest for novel anti-infectives has been abysmal.^{1,2} The lack of efficacy of potent enzyme inhibitors has been primarily attributed to the efflux of the molecule or lack of permeability across the bacterial cell wall.3 This explanation has however remained predominantly unsubstantiated, as it is often difficult to determine the exact cause behind this lack of connection of "nanomolar potency" of enzyme inhibitors to concomitant antimicrobial effect. Evidence delineating enzyme inhibition and its effect on cellular growth has

Correspondence: Vasanthi Ramachandran AstraZeneca India R&D, off Bellary Road, Hebbal, Bangalore 560024, India Email vasanthi.ramachandran@ astrazeneca.com

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/AABC.S39198 L

Open Access Full Text Article

been demonstrated in a quantitative manner. Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden have postulated two basic tenets by which the enzyme inhibition leads to the cessation of growth of an organism: "either the flux through an essential metabolic pathway can be decreased to a point where life is no longer possible or metabolite concentration can be increased to toxic levels."4 Recent findings have furthered our understanding of the molecular events that follow the inhibition of the target within the cell by the compound being tested, which results either in a stasis or cidal outcome. It is seen that independent of the target inhibited, bacterial cell death ultimately occurs through a generalized mechanism involving modulation of multiple pathways that generate free radicals.5 Cidality due to the generation of free radicals arises when the intracellular redox ratio, ie, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)/ reduced NAD (NADH) level, which is exquisitely balanced around 0.5-2 during growth under normal conditions, shoots beyond 5 on account of an enzyme/pathway inhibition by a bactericidal drug. Thus, anti-bacterials that are bactericidal lead to an elevated redox ratio, while there is no such change upon exposure to bacteriostatic drugs even with the cessation of growth. It would be ideal if one could predict objectively the modulation of this ratio based on a predictive in silico platform. The details of the first version of such an in silico tool for the bacteria Escherichia coli have been published.⁶ A salient feature of this platform is its unique capability to predict the differential efficacy between the type of inhibitors (viz competitive, uncompetitive, noncompetitive). The updated version of this model has been used in the present work and it is an extension of the earlier tool with the inclusion of additional pathways built into it along with other additional features.

It is now generally accepted that instead of essential genes, vulnerable targets are more appropriate candidates in anti-infective drug discovery. Vulnerability is defined as the extent of inhibition of a target required to have a negative impact on growth, leading to cessation of cellular growth and ultimately cell death.7,8 The in silico platform thus offers an ideal computational base for the prediction of vulnerable targets. In addition, this tool also provides additional knowhow on the targets, such that they could then be categorized as those whose inhibition could lead to either bactericidal or bacteriostatic outcomes. In practical terms, this would entail the generation of a series of knockdown (10%-99.9%) of all the genes and then short-listing only those that translate to a growth arrest. An ideal way to test the veracity of the platform would be to identify such a vulnerable target, prove experimentally at a cellular level by generating knockdowns,

and then cross-validate with an additional complementary approach, which in the current scenario would be through the use of known specific chemical moieties. There is a tacit but unsubstantiated assumption that targets that are genetically vulnerable are also chemically vulnerable and vice versa. To put this assumption to test, one needs a known small-molecule inhibitor that specifically inhibits an essential enzyme, has the capability to permeate into the cell, and in addition engages the target intracellularly. Among the many essential enzymes evaluated by the in silico platform one pair of target and a specific inhibitor was the enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) and glyphosate. This pair was used to test the equivalence of genetic and chemical vulnerability. Glyphosate (N-phosphonomethyl-glycine) is one of the most widely used herbicides in the world and exhibits broad-spectrum herbicidal activity with minimal human and environmental toxicity. The extraordinary success of this simple, small molecule is mainly attributable to the high specificity of glyphosate for the plant enzyme EPSPS coded by the gene aroA in the shikimate pathway, that leads to the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids.9,10 EPSPS uses both shikimate-3-phosphate (S3P) and phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) as substrates to produce inorganic phosphate and EPSP. Inhibition of EPSPS activity results in reduced biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids and also causes the accumulation of intermediates in the shikimate pathway (shikimic acid and some hydroxybenzoic acids), which may be toxic at high concentrations.¹¹ Using in silico modeling, we evaluated the genetic and chemical vulnerability of EPSPS and validated the predictions experimentally with the specific inhibitor glyphosate. Since the kinetic parameters of the inhibitors have to be plugged in to the platform for effective simulation, the enzymes from E. coli and Mycobacterium tuberculosis were characterized and their IC₅₀ for glyphosate evaluated. The results unraveled a complex but logical linkage between genetic knockdown (GKD) and chemical knockdown (CKD).

Materials and methods In silico platform

The Cellworks (Bangalore, India) *E. coli* platform is a virtual representation of the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli* found maximally among human gut microflora. The current system is an extension of the earlier platform,⁶ and comprises the following pathway blocks: NAD biosynthesis pathway, folate/chorismate biosynthesis pathway, purine biosynthesis pathway/pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway, pantothenate (vitamin B_5) biosynthesis pathway, tricarboxylic

acid cycle, glycolysis pathway, pentose phosphate pathway, Entner–Doudoroff pathway, fatty acid biosynthesis pathway, branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis pathway, and the cell-wall biosynthesis pathway.

Input towards development of in silico platforms was extracted from published data on enzyme kinetics, flux distribution, operon structures, and gene regulations. Dynamicity is conferred to the system by interconnecting ordinary differential equations describing kinetic behavior of each enzyme in the pathway, intracellular enzyme levels, and metabolite concentrations, as well as other kinetic parameters.

Once built, the system is validated against published literature. Validation ensures that the in silico system mimics the actual physiology of the biological system under the various conditions tested. The details of the validation protocol have been describer earlier;⁶ a few additional features are described in Supplementary materials. The platform was built to model *E. coli* growth in media with either glucose or acetate or sorbitol as C-source under aerobic conditions.

The in silico platform can evaluate and differentiate between GKD and CKD. GKD is initiated by sequentially reducing the concentration of the target enzyme by any factor (from 10% to 99.99%). Since $V_{max} = kcat \times [E]$, where E is the concentration of the enzyme, GKD is equivalent to reducing the V_{max} by the same factor. In contrast, CKD has the possibility of three diverse implications. Depending upon the type of inhibition, viz competitive, uncompetitive, and noncompetitive and the Ki of the inhibitor, the relevant equation for the reaction is incorporated into the platform, and the downstream effect of the different kinds of inhibition can be modeled and measured (output in form of plots) based on biomass, growth rate, and other biochemical markers.

Biomass reduction or growth rate less than one-tenth of the wild type is indicative of stasis. On the other hand, the metric of cell death in an in silico platform is the redox ratio, which is NAD/NADH. Under normal growth conditions, this ratio is maintained at a constant value between 0.5 and 2. However, cidality causes an exponential increase in this ratio. Mutations (knockout or knockdown of genes), which cause the redox ratio to rise above 5, are found to be lethal (cidal effect) to the system. In contrast, mutations/changes either through genetic or chemical means that cause only the arrest of biomass without a concomitant increase in redox ratio are classified to be bacteriostatic. Several essential genes in *E. coli* were differentiated as cidal and static by this in silico platform.

Modeling and vulnerability of shikimate pathway

The shikimate pathway links the metabolism of carbohydrates to the biosynthesis of aromatic compounds. In a sequence of seven metabolic steps (Figure 1), phosphoenol pyruvate and erythrose-4-phosphate are converted to chorismate, the precursor of the aromatic amino acids and many other aromatic secondary metabolites. Moreover, chorismate is also the primary substrate for the formation of folate in *E. coli*, which has



Figure I Network diagram of metabolic pathway of shikimic acid production in Escherichia coli.

Notes: Key enzymes and genes of the pathway: 2-dehydro-3-deoxyphosphoheptonate aldolase (aroH), 3-dehydroquinate synthase (aroB), 3-dehydroquinate dehydratase (aroD), shikimate dehydrogenase (aroE), shikimate kinase I (aroK), 3-phosphoshikimate-I-carboxyvinyltransferase (aroA), chorismate synthase (aroC). **Abbreviations:** PEP, phosphoenol pyruvate; E4P, erythrose-4-phosphate; DAHP, 3-deoxy-D-*arabino*-heptulosonate-7-phosphate; DHQ, 3-dehydroquinate; DHS,

³⁻dehyroshikimate; SKM, shikimate; S3P, shikimate-3-phosphate; EPSP, 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate; CHA, chorismate.

an important contribution to biomass formation in the bacteria. One striking feature of the folate biosynthesis pathway is feedback inhibition of the folates on their own biosynthesis, which helps in maintaining their levels in the cell.

One of the genes of the shikimate pathway - aroA, has been extensively studied as it codes for aroA (EPSPS), the target enzyme for glyphosate, one of the well-known herbicides. It forms EPSP from S3P and PEP via an addition/ elimination reaction.

To evaluate the vulnerability of the genes in the shikimate pathway, we have sequentially knocked down all the seven genes in the pathways from 10% to 99.99% by reducing the concentration of each of the enzymes and simulating the growth curve with glucose as the carbon source. To ascertain an interconnect between GKD and CKD, we also evaluated the vulnerability of the target gene aroA when the enzyme EPSPS was inhibited by an inhibitor like glyphosate. All the three different forms of inhibition type - competitive, uncompetitive, and noncompetitive - were tested and growth kinetics simulated at various concentrations of the inhibitor, ranging from 1 µM to 100,000 µM while keeping the Ki value at 3 μ M for all the three types of inhibition.

PCR amplification, cloning, and expression of aroA from E. coli and M. tuberculosis

The aroA gene was polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-amplified from E. coli K-12 with gene sequence-specific primers with a cloning site NdeI in the forward and BamHI in the reverse primer. The primer sequences are EcoF5' gagacatatggaatccctgacgttacaac and EcoR5' gagaggatcctcaggctgcctggctaatcc. For the M. tuberculosis aroA, the DNA from the strain H37Rv was used as the template. The forward primer was MtuF5' gagacatatgaagacatggccagcccca and the reverse primer was MtuR5' gagaggatcctcaaccgccggacccctgcccg.¹²The PCR products were cloned into a TA cloning vector and the sequences confirmed. The inserts were then subcloned into the vector pET 20b at the NdeI and the BamHI sites. The clones with the confirmed insert were then transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells. It was seen that optimum soluble protein was expressed when the cells were grown overnight without the addition of the inducer isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. The purification of the protein was through the usage of Q Sepharose and phosphocellulose columns. The final eluted protein was dialyzed against the storage buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 0.4 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol at a concentration of 0.6 mg/mL for the E.coli EPSPS and 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 10% glycerol,¹³ at a concentration of 3 mg/mL for the

EPSPS enzymatic activity/inhibition assay

Enzyme activity was measured by estimating the rate of inorganic phosphate released using a continuous spectrophotometric coupling assay with purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP EC 2.4.2.1) and 2-amino-6-mercapto-7-methylpurine ribonucleoside (MESG).¹⁵ In the presence of inorganic phosphate, the substrate MESG was converted to ribose 1-phosphate and 2-amino-6-mercapto-7-methylpurine by PNP. This reaction with MESG resulted in a spectrophotometric shift in maximum absorbance from 330 nm for MESG to 360 nm for 2-amino-6-mercapto-7-methylpurine. An EnzChek® phosphate assay kit (E-6646),¹⁶ from Molecular Probes (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to monitor EPSPS enzymatic activity/inhibition assay. The reactions were carried out in a final volume of 100 µL or 200 µL in a 96-well, flat bottom, Corning (Tewksbury, MA, USA) Costar 9017 and 96-well half-area flat-bottom Costar 3695 plates. Using a SpectraMax plate reader (Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), all reactions were monitored for absorbance at 360 nm continuously every 30 seconds under the kinetics reading mode in SoftMax Pro (Molecular Devices). The final conditions used for 100 µL reaction were: pH 7.5, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 100 µM sodium azide, 200 µM MESG, 20 ng EPSPS, 600 µM S3P, 450 µM PEP, and 0.1 U PNP. Each component in the assay was optimized and the final reaction volume was 100 µL. All reactions were started by adding the appropriate amount of EPSPS enzyme. All reactions with M. tuberculosis EPSPS were supplemented with 25 mM KCl. With excess substrate concentrations, 50 ng of enzyme maintained linearity for 15 minutes, and 12.5 ng of enzyme maintained linearity for 30 minutes. A reaction volume of 100 µl and an enzyme amount of 10-20 ng gave good linear range. PNP could be reduced to no less than 0.05 U/100 µL reaction to maintain sufficient rate for MESG modification.

Determination of kinetic parameters of E. coli EPSPS

EPSPS catalyzes a bisubstrate reaction. Therefore, in the determination of Km for the substrate, one substrate was provided in excess (5-10 Km), while the other substrate was given at various concentrations. All reactions were linear

for at least 600 seconds, with $R^2 > 0.95$. The data were collected as triplicates, and data points at the extreme ends with error > 10% were excluded. The data were plotted as rate versus substrate concentration. The average values were fitted using XLFit to equation 253 [Michaelis–Menten steady state model: $v = V_{max}/(1 + Km/x)$].

Determination of kinetic parameters for *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS

Using the aforementioned conditions, we measured the Km for *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS for the substrates S3P and PEP using substrate concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 5 Km, as recorded for *E. coli* EPSPS. At lower substrate concentrations, the reaction lost linearity too soon and the data had large variations. Therefore, we reduced the enzyme to 5 ng to achieve better linearity.

Glyphosate sensitivity

Glyphosate, or N-phosphonomethyl-glycine, was procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Preliminary results with glyphosate inhibition suggested that M. tuberculosis EPSPS is approximately 2 magnitudes less sensitive than E. coli EPSPS. Glyphosate has been shown to bind to the PEP-binding pocket of EPSPS. Here, we used saturating concentrations of S3P (~5-6 Km) and saturating concentrations of PEP (10 Km for E. coli and 5 Km for *M. tuberculosis*) to compare the IC_{50} of glyphosate for *E. coli* and M. tuberculosis EPSPS. Using varying concentrations from 0.06 to 120 µM glyphosate, the IC₅₀ for *E. coli* EPSPS was determined, whereas for *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS, glyphosate concentrations ranging from 3 to 3000 µM were used. The IC₅₀ for glyphosate was calculated using GraphPad (La Jolla, CA, USA) Prism software. Rates from linear regions of the enzymatic reactions were taken. Triplicate data was plotted as %inhibition versus glyphosate concentration. The data were fit to equation 205, four-parameter sigmoidal dose-response model: $y = (A + [\{B - A\}/\{1 + ([C/x] \times D)\}]),$ where A, minimum inhibition, was set to 0% inhibition, and B, maximum inhibition was set to 100% inhibition. C gave the value of $\mathrm{IC}_{\scriptscriptstyle 50}$ at 50% inhibition, while D gave the Hill coefficient of the sigmoidal inhibition curve.

Susceptibility testing: MIC and MBC for glyphosate

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of glyphosate against *E. coli* K-12 was determined using the broth microdilution method, wherein the MIC was taken as the lowest concentration at which no growth was observed.

Briefly, serial twofold dilutions of the stock solution of glyphosate or an antibacterial agent were dispensed in a 96-well microplate, and to this 100 µL of inoculum (E. coli K-12 grown in M9 minimal media) with either glucose or sorbitol as the carbon source was prepared and added, such that the final cell number was approximately 5×10^5 colony-forming units/mL. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, the plate was examined for inhibition of growth. The lowest concentration with no visible bacterial growth was defined as the MIC. Since EPSPS inhibition will lead to reduced synthesis of aromatic amino acids, supplementing the media with aromatic amino acids should lead to modulation of MIC. In order to check this reversal of MIC, the same growth media was supplemented with aromatic amino acid mixture (20 µg/mL each of tryptophan, phenylalanine, and tyrosine) and the MIC determination was redone with the modified media. Tetracycline (Sigma-Aldrich) and novobiocin (Sigma-Aldrich) were also screened for MICs under similar conditions, and these served as negative controls (drugs known to have a different mode of inhibition). Minimum bactericidal activity was determined by plating aliquots from the MIC plates on to M9 agar and enumerating the colony-forming units following 24-hour incubation at 37°C. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was defined as the concentration that caused a >99.9% reduction in colony-forming units.

Results Predicting cidality and stasis

By definition, knockout of any essential gene would lead to the arrest of biomass that results in bacteriostasis. In some cases, there would be an additional change in the redox ratio rendering this process irreversible, and hence leading to cell death.⁵ Following the initial revelation of the linkage between the increase in redox ratio and bacterial cidality, there has been limited corroboration of this former phenomenon,^{17,18} including the generation of reactive oxygen species. Possibly due to the diffusion/export of the cofactor to the growth media, in an experimental scale this change could be transitory and hence prove difficult to detect. In contrast, however transient the change in redox ratio is, the platform offers the advantage of capturing all the events. The upward shift in the redox ratio of the steady-state value (0.5-2) to almost 10 and above is currently considered as a generic marker of all bactericidal compounds. Studies with cidal compounds in our laboratory have corroborated this finding across bacterial species (Mycobacterium bovis bacillus Calmette-Guérin and E. coli) (unpublished observations).

Our in silico simulation indicates that knockout of some genes leads to an increase in redox ratio, while in other cases no such increase is seen. The genes showing the differential behavior once knocked out are listed in Table 1.

Vulnerability of the genes in the shikimate pathway

In the shikimate pathway, the end-product chorismate is the essential precursor of the aromatic amino acids and many other critical metabolites (Figure 1). Using the in silico E. coli platform, we simulated a graded knockdown of all the genes in the shikimate pathway with glucose as the carbon source (Table 2). All enzymes of this pathway that do not have any homologues in E. coli, viz aroB, aroD, aroA, and *aroC*, are deemed to be essential. What is to be noted additionally is that *aroE*, which has an isoform, *vdiB*, is also essential. This indicates that when the carbon source is glucose, in the absence of aroE, ydiB cannot compensate for the minimal flux through the pathway. The in silico simulation also indicates that none of the essential genes except aroCare vulnerable. The targets require a near-total knockdown to cause a cessation of growth. Comparing the results in Figures 2 and 3, it is also seen that in the case of aroA inhibition, the biomass arrest occurs prior to the increase of the redox ratio. The point of biomass arrest is equivalent to MIC, while the increase in redox ratio is equivalent to MBC. It is well known that $MBC \ge MIC$, and the same relationship is reproduced in our in silico simulation.

In order to compare between GKD and CKD, we compared the difference in vulnerability by simulating knockdowns by inhibitor with different mechanism, viz competitive, noncompetitive, and uncompetitive. We used the target aroA as it has a specific inhibitor – glyphosate. It was seen (Figure 4) that cell growth was vulnerable only at concentrations wherein the inhibitor is expected to be soluble and when the mode of inhibition was uncompetitive.

 Table I Classification of genes as bacteriostatic (growth arrest)

 and bactericidal (growth arrest and increase in redox ratio)

Bactericidal	Bacteristatic
Essential genes	
aroA, aroB, aroC, aroD, foIA, foID,	cdsA, dut, folC, gpsA, kdsA, kdsB, rlpB,
folE, folK, glnA, glyA, gmk,	lpxA, lpxB, lpxC, lpxH, lpxK, msbA,
guaA, guaB, nadE, prs, purA,	murA, murB, murC, murD, murE,
purB, purC, purD, purF, purH, purK,	murF, murG, murI, nadA, nadD, pgsA,
purL, purM, pyrF, pyrG	plsB, plsC, psd, pssA, pyrC, pyrD, pyrE,
	pyrH, thyA, tmk, waaA, waaB, waaC,
	waaF, waaG, waaO, waaP, waaQ, waaR,
	waaU, waaY

Table 2 Graded knockdown data of shikimate pathway genes in	
glucose media and impact on growth	

Gene	Knockdo	wn		
	70%	90 %	99 %	100%
aroA	+	+	+	_
aroB	+	+	+	_
aroC	+	+	_	_
aroD	+	+	+	_
aroE	+	+	+	-
aroF	+	+	+	+
aroG	+	+	+	+
aroH	+	+	+	+
aroK	+	+	+	+
aroL	+	+	+	+
ydiB	+	+	+	+

Notes: +, growth; -, growth arrest

It should be noted that binding of glyphosate to EPSPS has been shown to be uncompetitive with respect to S3P.¹⁹ The predicted MIC of glyphosate was 500 μ M when the mode of inhibition was uncompetitive. This mode of inhibition was preferred for all other essential targets from this pathway. All other types of inhibitors were found to be one to two orders of magnitude less potent (Table 3). Comparing the results from GKD (Table 2) and CKD (Figures 2 and 3), it is evident that GKD is only a subset of CKD, as the latter has a diverse inhibition mode. As will be elaborated in the later sections, GKD is only equivalent to the noncompetitive CKD.

Kinetic characterization of EPSPS

For *E. coli* EPSPS, the Km for S3P was ~30 μ M and the Km for PEP was ~52 μ M. All of these values lie within the range of previously reported Km values for the *E. coli* EPSPS enzyme.²⁰⁻²³ The current study has for the first time led to the unraveling of the kinetic characterization of *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS. Data reported here indicate that the Km for S3P and for PEP for the *M. tuberculosis* enzyme to be ~16 μ M and ~104 μ M, respectively, for the *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS (Figure 5).

Kinetic parameters and glyphosate sensitivity

In our glyphosate IC_{50} studies, values for the *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS were about two orders of magnitude (260 μ M) higher than values for the *E. coli* EPSPS (3 μ M) (Figure 6A and B). These data may explain the lack of MIC for glyphosate in *M. tuberculosis*.

The Km of PEP for *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS was marginally higher than that for the *E. coli* enzyme. In contrast,



Figure 2 Effect of different concentrations (250 µM, 500 µM, 1000 µM) of drug (uncompetitive inhibitor) against aroA on biomass in glucose media was estimated through in silico simulation.

Notes: Up to 250 μ M of the inhibitor, the biomass levels were found to be similar to that of untreated. At 500 μ M and beyond, the biomass levels indicated growth arrest. All the inhibitors that were used in simulation had the same molecular weight as glyphosate (169 Da).

Eschenburg et al²³ have shown that for the *E. coli* G96A mutant EPSPS, which is insensitive to glyphosate, the Km for PEP is significantly higher. The G96A mutation affected the PEP/ glyphosate-binding pocket and the interaction between the enzyme and both the substrate and the inhibitor. Our results indicate that the glyphosate insensitivity of the *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS is not a result of differences in the PEP/glyphosate-binding pocket, suggesting that structural differences in other parts of the EPSPS enzymes may be relevant.

MIC and MBC with glyphosate

The MIC of glyphosate for *E. coli* K-12 when the source of carbon is glucose was found to be similar to that when grown in sorbitol, ie, $600 \ \mu g/mL$ and $300 \ \mu g/mL$,

respectively (Table 4). In both the carbon sources, when the media is supplemented with aromatic amino acids ($20 \mu g/mL$ each) the MIC shifts to a 5×-10× higher value, thereby indicating the correct mode of action and that this agent targets the shikimate pathway. The MBC for glyphosate in glucose-containing media was ~ MIC, which clearly indicates that the compound is bactericidal (Table 5). However, this was not the case when sorbitol was used as the carbon source. In the case of the latter, the MBC could not be deduced ($\geq 2500 \mu g/mL$).

Discussion

The challenge in drug discovery is the identification of a specific inhibitor for a validated drug target, and an understanding





Notes: At 500 μM and 1000 μM, the NAD/NADH ratio showed a sharp increase, indicating cidality. The mode of action and molecular weight is equivalent to that of glyphosate.



Figure 4 Effect of various inhibitors (uncompetitive, noncompetitive, competitive) on growth rate of *Escherichia coli*; uncompetitive inhibitor arrest growth at lower concentrations followed by noncompetitive and competitive inhibitors. Note: All the inhibitors that were used in simulation had the same molecular weight as glyphosate (169 Da).

of its downstream effect. With the in silico system described, it is not only possible to identify vulnerable targets but also to extend this further to aid medicinal chemists in discerning which type of inhibitor is best suited to kill the bacteria. The in silico technology offers the unique ability to perform knockdowns, knockouts, and overexpression studies and to check their effects on completely unrelated pathways and make predictions that are otherwise not obvious. In recent years, literature in anti-infective biology has seen a spurt of data for GKD of several essential genes. This has been masterfully reviewed.²⁴ It has been taken for granted that genes identified as vulnerable by GKD would be a better target than those that are not. Our results clearly indicate that this simple extrapolation may be misleading. GKD can be done in two different ways: either the mRNA of the target gene is reduced by antisense technology or the gene is put under an inducible promoter. In both these techniques, the downstream effect is the reduction of the concentration of the enzyme in the cell, which in enzymological terms is equivalent to reducing the

V_{max} while keeping Km unchanged. The effect of inhibitors on the kinetic parameters of a target enzyme is well known. In Table 6, we have listed the effects on V_{max} and Km by different types of inhibitors. It is evident that only the inhibitors of the noncompetitive type will be equivalent to GKD. It should be borne in mind that small molecules that are pure noncompetitive inhibitors are rare, like the Mg ions binding to cysteine residues of enzymes to reduce V_{max} while keeping Km unchanged and in protein-protein interaction between transcription factors.²⁵ Since there is an order of magnitude difference between efficacy pattern by different inhibitors, where uncompetitive inhibitors seem to be the most potent, it might be relevant to interrogate what value GKD brings to the anti-infective drug-discovery programs. In a similar vein, siRNA, which is equivalent to GKD, has been used in target validation in mammalian cells, and many times lack of efficacy has been observed.26

The inhibition of glyphosate as a specific inhibitor of EPSPS in the area of herbicides is well documented.

Table 3 Targets from shikimate pathway: relationship between MIC, flux, and the type of inhibition

Gene	Concentration for MIC					
	Competitive		Noncompetitive		Uncompetitive	
	Drug concentration (µM)	Flux (μmol/second)	Drug concentration (μM)	Flux (μmol/second)	Drug concentration (µM)	Flux (μmol/second)
Control	0	0.147	0	0.147	0	0.147
aroA	80,000	0.0002	30,000	0.0019	500	5E-04
aroB	120,000	0.0539	5000	0.0024	1000	0.012
aroC	80,000	0.0598	5000	0.0008	250	0.015
aroD	400,000	0.0522	5000	0.0043	3000	0.007

Note: The concentrations that brought in growth arrest (MIC) were different amongst the various targets, inhibitor type, and flux through the pathway. Abbreviation: MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.



Figure 5 Determination of Km of shikimate-3-phosphate (S3P) and phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS). Kinetic parameters of Mtu EPSPS determined at a fixed concentration of one substrate and variable concentrations of the other. (**A**) Apparent Km for PEP was determined to be 104 μ M (O-O); (**B**) apparent Km for S3P was determined to be 16 μ M (O-O).

Note: Data points indicate mean reaction rates with standard deviation from a single experiment with each of the sample run as triplicates.



Figure 6 (**A** and **B**) IC_{50} determination. (**A**) Inhibition of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) by glyphosate. *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS was assayed in the presence of increasing concentrations of glyphosate. Data points (**O**—**O**) indicate mean of triplicate measurements. Analysis was done using GraphPad Prism software. The IC_{50} of glyphosate for the Mtu EPSPS was found to be 260 μ M. (**B**) Inhibition of *Escherichia coli* EPSPS by glyphosate. *E. coli* EPSPS was assayed in the presence of increasing concentration of glyphosate. Data points (**e**—**e**) indicate mean of triplicate measurements. Analysis was done using GraphPad Prism software. The IC_{50} of glyphosate for *E. coli* EPSPS was found to be 3 μ M.

Sample no.	Compound name	Medium used	MIC (μg/mL)
l	Glyphosate	M9 with sorbitol	300
2	Glyphosate	M9 with glucose	600
3	Glyphosate	M9 with sorbitol with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	>2500
4	Glyphosate	M9 with glucose with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	2500
5	Tetracycline	M9 with sorbitol	32
6	Tetracycline	M9 with glucose	16
7	Tetracycline	M9 with sorbitol with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	32
8	Tetracycline	M9 with glucose with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	16
9	Novobiocin	M9 with sorbitol	I
10	Novobiocin	M9 with glucose	I
11	Novobiocin	M9 with sorbitol with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	I
12	Novobiocin	M9 with glucose with (20 μ g/mL) aromatic amino acids	I

Table 4 MIC of glyphosate in Escherichia coli K-12

Notes: MIC of glyphosate for *Escherichia coli* K-12 estimated under different growth conditions. MIC was determined using broth dilution method. The growth medium was varied with respect to the carbon source and the supplements.

Abbreviation: MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

Table 5 MBC of glyphosate f	r Escherichia coli K-12 estimated under	different growth conditions
------------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

Sample no.	Compound name	Medium used	Start concentration (μ g/mL)	MIC (µg/mL)	MBC (µg/mL)	MBC/MIC ratio
I	Glyphosate	M9 with sorbitol	2500	300	>2500	>8.3
2	Glyphosate	M9 with glucose	2500	600	600	1

Notes: The growth medium was varied with respect to the carbon source and the supplements. Colony-forming units were enumerated after samples were plated on M9 agar, and the lowest concentration that resulted in >99.9% reduction in counts was considered as MBC.

Abbreviations: MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

Similar studies were undertaken with *E. coli* and the mode of action confirmed using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), viz accumulation of shikimic acid following glyphosate exposure and the reversal of it in the presence of aromatic amino acids (data not shown).

The characterization of kinetic parameters of EPSPS along with the inhibition profile of glyphosate clarifies several issues. As in silico simulation suggests, the uncompetitive nature of its inhibition accentuates the MIC. In addition, as the simulation suggests (data not shown) and the experimental data indicate, there is loss of cidality (Table 5) when the carbon source is switched from glucose to sorbitol. This can be explained based on the change in the NAD/NADH under both conditions. When glucose is converted to Glu-6 P, there is no net increase in NADH concentration, and consequently this does not alter the NAD/NADH ratio, whereas when the C source is changed to sorbitol, for each molecule of sorbitol that is converted to F-6P, there is a concomitant production of one molecule of NADH due to sorbitol dehydrogenase. This change brings about a decrease in the redox ratio, thereby explaining the lack of cidality when glucose is replaced with sorbitol.

As an orthogonal validation of the connection between MIC, IC_{50} , and inhibitor type, the kinetic parameters of EPSPS of *E. coli* and *M. tuberculosis*, which share similar shikimate pathways, were evaluated. It was seen that the IC_{50} for glyphosate for *M. tuberculosis* was a couple of orders of magnitude higher than *E. coli*, thus explaining the lack of MIC (beyond the solubility of glyphosate, as the predicted MIC for *M. tuberculosis* is 30 mg/mL) for the former pathogen. With detailed structural analysis of aroA and glyphosate binding at hand, we now understand the structural features that make some aroA mutants insensitive

Table 6 Relationship between inhibition type and kineticparameters

Inhibition	V _{max}	Km
KD/noncompetitive	Lower	Unchanged
Competitive	Unchanged	Increases
Uncompetitive	Lower	Lower

Abbreviations: V_{max} , maximum reaction rate; Km, substrate concentration at half V_{max} , KD, knockdown.

to glyphosate.²⁷ It would thus be possible to make glyphosate analogues that are inhibitory to *M. tuberculosis* EPSPS, but with the added caveat that they need to be uncompetitive in their mode of inhibition to be effective.

In summary, the value of an essential target with regard to its vulnerability and the resultant translation to a cidal outcome is therefore dictated by the mode of its inhibition by the specific chemical entity as well as the milieu in which this interaction occurs.

Acknowledgments

The confirmatory mechanisms of action of glyphosate in *E. coli* mentioned were experimentally demonstrated by Asha Balakrishnan, Vinayak Nadiger, Ramanujulu Murugappan, and Sheela David, and the limited studies with cidal compounds across bacterial species (*M. bovis* bacillus Calmette–Guérin and *E. coli*) to identify biomarkers were performed by Pavithra Vishwanath. Thanks are due to deJonge Boudewijn, Gautam Sanyal, Suresh Solapure and Umender Sharma for internal review.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Chan PF, Holmes DJ, Payne DJ. Finding the gems using genomic discovery: antibacterial drug discovery strategies – the successes and the challenges. *Drug Discov Today Ther Strateg.* 2004;1:519–527.
- Payne DJ, Gwynn MN, Holmes DJ, Pompliano DL. Drugs for bad bugs: confronting the challenges of antibacterial discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2007;6:29–40.
- Black MT, Bruton G. Inhibitors of bacterial signal peptidases. Curr Pharm Des. 1998;4:133–154.
- Eisenthal R, Cornish-Bowden A. Prospects for antiparasitic drugs. The case of *Trypanosoma brucei*, the causative agent of sleeping sickness. *J Biol Chem.* 1998;273:5500–5505.
- Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ. A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. *Cell*. 2007;130:797–810.
- Barve A, Gupta A, Solapure SM, et al. A kinetic platform for in silico modeling of the metabolic dynamics in *Escherichia coli*. Adv Appl Bioinform Chem. 2010;3:97–110.
- Datta S. Understanding the characteristics of a good anti-infective drug target. J Parasitic Dis. 1999;23:139–140.
- Kaur P, Agarwal S, Datta S. IPTG inducible promoter system for delineating bacteristatic and bactericidal targets in mycobacteria. *PloS One*. 2009;4:e5923.

- Pollegioni L, Schonbrunn E, Siehl D. Molecular basis of glyphosate resistance-different approaches through protein engineering. *FEBS J*. 2011;278:2753–2766.
- Bentley R. The shikimate pathway a metabolic tree with many branches. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 1990;25:307–384.
- de María N, Becerril JM, García-Plazaola JI, Hernandez A, De Felipe MR, Fernandez-Pascual M. New insights on glyphosate mode of action in nodular metabolism: role of shikimate accumulation. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2006;54:2621–2628.
- Oliveira JS, Pinto CA, Basso LA, Santos DS. Cloning and overexpression in soluble form of fuctional shikimate kinase and 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Protein Expr Purif.* 2001;22:430–435.
- Oliveira JS, Mendes MA, Palma MS, Basso LA, Santos DS. One step purification of 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Protein Expr Purif.* 2003;28: 287–292.
- 14. Bradford M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem.* 1976;72:248–254.
- Webb MR. A continuous spectrophotometric assay for inorganic phosphate and for measuring phosphate release kinetics in biological systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1992;89:4884–4887.
- Molecular Probes. EnzChek Phosphate Assay Kit (E-6646) product information. 2002. Available from: http://probes.invitrogen.com/media/ pis/mp06646.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2012.
- Bowden SD, Ramachandran VK, Knudsen GM, Hinton JC, Thompson A. An incomplete TCA cycle increases survival of *Salmonella typhimurium* during infection of resting and activated murine macrophages. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e13871.
- Singh A, Karimpour-Fard A, Gill RT. Increased mutation frequency in redox-impaired *Escherichia coli* due to ReIA- and RpoS-mediated repression of DNA repair. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2010;76:5463–5470.
- Alibhai MF, Stallings WC. Closing down on glyphosate inhibition with a new structure for drug discovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:2944–2946.

- Huynh QK, Kishore GM, Bild GS. 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes from *Escherichia coli*, identification of Lys-22 as a potential active site residue. *J Biol Chem*. 1988;263:735–739.
- Shuttleworth WA, Evans JNS. Site-directed mutagenesis and NMR studies of histidine-385 mutants of 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes. *Biochemistry*. 1994;33:7062–7068.
- Shuttleworth WA, Pohl ME, Helms GL, Jakeman DL, Evans JN. Site-directed mutagenesis of putative active site residues of 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes. *Biochemistry*. 1999;38:296–302.
- Eschenburg S, Healy ML, Priestman MA, Lushington GH, Schönbrunn E. How the mutation glycine96 to alanine confers glyphosate insensitivity to 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase enzymes from *Escherichia coli*. *Planta*. 2002;216:129–135.
- Sharma U. Current possibilities and unresolved issues of drug target validation in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Expert Opin Drug Discov*. 2011;6:1171–1186.
- 25. Sauro HM. Enzyme Kinetics for Systems Biology. Lexington (KY): Ambrosius; 2011.
- Larsson E, Sander C, Marks D. mRNA turnover rate limits siRNA and microRNA efficacy. *Mol Syst Biol*. 2010;6:433.
- Li L, Lu W, Han Y, et al. A novel RPMXR motif among class II 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthases is required for enzymatic activity and glyphosate resistance. *J Biotechnol*. 2009;144: 330–336.
- Peng L, Arauzo-Bravo MJ, Shimizu K. Metabolic flux analysis for a ppc mutant *Escherichia coli* based on 13C-labelling experiments together with enzyme activity assays and intracellular metabolite measurements. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2004;235:17–23.
- Fong SS, Nanchen A, Palsson BO, Sauer U. Latent pathway activation and increased pathway capacity enable *Escherichia coli* adaptation to loss of key metabolic enzymes. *J Biol Chem.* 2006;281:8024–8033.
- Sauer U, Canonaco F, Heri S, Perrenoud A, Fischer E. The soluble and membrane-bound transhydrogenases UdhA and PntAB have divergent functions in NADPH metabolism of *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(8):6613–6619.

Supplementary materials Knockout of PGI

Phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI) is the enzyme that mediates the reversible formation of fructose 6-phosphate from glucose 6-phosphate. When the gene encoding for the PGI enzyme is knocked out, glucose uptake by glycolysis is halted, since PGI initiates glycolysis. Important metabolites such as phosphoenol pyruvate and pyruvate become deficient, hence the TCA cycle slows down. This knockout shows normal cell growth due to rerouting of carbon metabolism towards the pentose phosphate and Entner-Doudoroff pathways, which otherwise (when PGI is present) contribute minimally to glucose uptake. The pentose phosphate and Entner-Doudoroff pathways replenish pyruvate and phosphoenol pyruvate; also, there is an additional contribution from glyoxylate shunt in the case of PGI knockout. This experimental observation²⁸ could be simulated in the in silico model using respective virtual gene knockout at a constant glucose source concentration of 670 μM. A comparison of the two is shown in Table S1.

Knockout of phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase gene

Another flux-distribution study, in the case of a nonessential gene taken into account to validate the in silico system, is phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase (*ppc*) gene knockout study. The *ppc* gene codes for the enzyme that mediates the formation of oxaloacetate from phosphoenolpyruvate. In the case of *ppc* gene knockout, there is a lack of formation of oxaloacetate, leading to cessation of the tricarboxylic acid cycle.

Table SI Comparison of predicted flux distribution tren	nds for
$\ensuremath{\textit{pgi}}$ gene knockout mutant with reported experimental	trends
obtained using glucose as the sole carbon source	

Reactions	Trend of reaction as per literature	Trend of reaction as per in silico system
Glucose 6-phosphate to fructose 6 phosphate (catalyzed by PGI	Decreases	Decreases
enzyme)		
Glucose 6-phosphate to	Increases	Increases
glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate		
(via pentose phosphate pathway)		
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	Decreases	Decreases
to PEP (in glycolysis)		
Phospho 6-gluconate	Increases	Increases
to pyruvate (ED pathway)		
Malate to pyruvate	Decreases	Decreases
(catalyzed by maeB enzyme)		
Oxaloacetate to phosphoenol	Increases	Increases
pyruvate (catalyzed by pck enzyme)		

For the cell to survive, there is redistribution of flux in order to maintain the level of oxaloacetate. As a consequence, there is an observed upregulation of reaction producing oxaloacetate and downregulation of reaction using it. There is an increase in formation of oxaloacetate from malate (reaction catalyzed by the enzyme malate dehydrogenase). There is upregulation of shunt, which maintains malate levels. Also, there is a corresponding decrease in utilization of oxaloacetate to form phosphoenol pyruvate (reaction catalyzed by enzyme phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase).

This experimental observation reported in the literature²⁸ could be simulated on the in silico system with glucose as the sole carbon source at a concentration of 670 μ M (Table S2).

Knockout of two nonessential genes

The PGI enzyme catalyzes the conversion of glucose 6-phosphate to fructose 6-phosphate, while udhA (pyridine nucleotide transhydogenase) catalyzes the reoxidation of NADPH. Individually, both these genes are nonessential for the growth of the bacteria, as their knockout neither affects the biomass to a considerable level nor causes an increase in redox ratio (NAD/NADH ratio). Our model shows that both of these nonessential genes when knocked out together turn out to be lethal for the cell.

In a PGI mutant, glucose catabolism primarily takes place through the pentose phosphate pathway. This flux rerouting causes nearly a 100% overproduction of NADPH. PGI mutant shows low growth of the cell, while growth is abolished when udhA is knocked out in this mutant.

Such observation in wet lab suggests that udhA knockout turns out to be essential in conditions of NADPH excess in the system (such as in the case of PGI mutant), and similar results were obtained from the in silico system when simulated glucose was used as the sole carbon source with a concentration of 670 μ M (Table S3 and Figure S1).

Table S2 Comparison of experimental and in silico result in case

 of ppc knockout mutant

Reaction	Trend of reaction as per literature	Trend of reaction as per in silico system
Pyruvate to acetyl coenzyme A	Increases	Increases
Malate to oxaloacetate	Increases	Increases
Oxaloacetate to phosphoenol pyruvate***	Decreases	Decreases
Malate to pyruvate	Increases	Increases

Note: ***Data taken from Fong et al.2

Table S3 Growth rate in wild-type and pgi–udhA double knockout $^{\scriptscriptstyle 30}$

Strain	Growth rat	
WT	0.67 per hour	
pgi–udhA	0	

Abbreviations: WT, wild-type strain of E. coli; pgi-udhA, pgi-udhA double mutant.



Figure SI Effect of double knockout (pgi–udhA) on biomass levels and growth rates. Notes: From the plot, growth rates (slope of the lines) of wild-type *E. coli* strain and *pgi–udhA* double-mutant strain can be compared. Simulation results show steady-state attainment of biomass in *pgi–udhA* double-mutant strain, which is indicative of growth arrest.

Advances and Applications in Bioinformatics and Chemistry

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Advances and Applications in Bioinformatics and Chemistry is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that publishes articles in the following fields: Computational biomodeling; Bioinformatics; Computational genomics; Molecular modeling; Protein structure modeling and structural genomics; Systems Biology; Computational Biochemistry; Computational Biophysics; Chemoinformatics and Drug Design; In silico ADME/Tox prediction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read read quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/advances-and-applications-in-bioinformatics-and-chemistry-journal