Moexipril and left ventricular hypertrophy

George S Chrysant¹ PK Nguyen

University of Oklahoma, ¹Director, Advanced Cardiac Imaging, INTEGRIS Heart Hospital, Oklahoma City, OK, USA **Abstract:** Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors today are the standard therapy of patients with myocardial infarction and heart failure due to their proven beneficial effects in left ventricular remodeling and left ventricular function. ACE inhibitors have also been demonstrated to lead to regression of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). It is believed that the mechanism of action of LVH regression with ACE inhibitors arises from more than simple blood pressure reduction. LVH is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality independent of blood pressure. Moexipril hydrochloride is a long-acting, non-sulfhydryl ACE inhibitor that can be taken once daily for the treatment of hypertension. Moexipril has now also been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on LVH and can lead to LVH regression.

Keywords: moexipril, ACE inhibitor, cardiovascular disease, left ventricular hypertropy

Background

Moexipril hydrochloride is a long acting, non-sulfhydryl angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor that can be taken once daily for the treatment of hypertension (HTN) (White et al 1994; Stimpel et al 1995). It is a pro-drug that needs to be hydrolyzed in the liver into its active carboxylic metabolite, moexiprilat, in order to be effective (Stimpel et al 1995). Moexipril's synthesis has been reported previously in 1982 and 1986 (Hoefle et al 1982; Klucthko et al 1986). It is incompletely absorbed after oral administration, and its bioavailability is low, accounting for 22% of unchanged drug. This is similar in comparison with other ACE inhibitors, such as benazepril, fosinopril, and trandolapril, which have bioavailability of 37%, 32%, and 30%, respectively. Cilazapril, enalapril, quinapril, and ramipril have higher bioavailability (Table 1) (Grass and Morehead 1986; Barfour and Gos 1995; Lancaster and Todd 1998; Singhvi et al 1998; Song and White 2002).

Moexipril exerts its biological and antihypertensive effects after its metabolism in the liver into its active metabolite, moexiprilat, by blocking the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II (Figure 1). Additionally, it blocks the degradation of bradykinin, which causes a hypotensive effect because of the potent vasodilation caused by the production of prostaglandin E2 and nitric oxide. Animal studies comparing moexipril to captopril have demonstrated equivalency in their antihypertensive effects. When compared with enalapril in spontaneously hypertensive rats, both moexipril and enalapril reduced the mean blood pressure by 24% at 28 days (Edling et al 1995). In clinical studies, moexipril produced significant reduction in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure with its maximum effect seen at 6 hours post-administration (Strauss et al 1994; Lucas et al 1995). When administered in a dose between 7.5 mg and 15 mg daily, the blood pressure effects have been shown to last 24 hours.

Correspondence: George S Chrysant 3433 NW 56th Street, Building B, Suite 660, Oklahoma City, OK 73112, USA Tel +1 405 945 4364 Email gsc5@yahoo.com

For personal use only

Table	Pharmacokinotic	charactoristics	of ACE inhibitors	(Eroshlich of al 19		al 1995. Stimp	l ot al 1995)
Table	Pharmacokinetic	characteristics	OFACE INHIBILORS	(Froshiich et al 17	71; Edling et	ai 1775; Sumpe	el el al 1775)

Drug variable	Oral dose⁵ (mg)	Absorption (%)	C _{max} (μg/L)	t _{max} (h)	t _½ (h)	Protein binding (%)	Elimination route
^a Benazepril	10	37	200°	1.5°	10-11	95	B+R
Captopril	100	75	800	1.0	<2	30	R
Cilazapril ^d	2.5	78	82	0.83	9 ℃	NA	R
ªEnalapril	10	60	30–40°	3.5℃	c	50°	R+B
^a Fosinopril	10	32	100°	3.0°	12 ^c	95°	R+B
Lisinopril	10	25	38	7.0	12	10	R
^a Moexipril	15	23	25°	2.0°	10 ^c	72°	B+R
^a Perindopril	8	75	12	3—7°	3–10 ^c	60°	R+B
ªQuinapril	40	60	1456°	I.38℃	2	97 °	R+B
^a Ramipril	10	60	33.6°	2.1°	2–4°	56°	R+B
^a Trandolapril	2	10	2.8 ^c	4-10 ^c	10 ^a	60 ^c	B+R

^aACE inhibitors existing as pro-drugs

^bThese were the doses given for the study of pharmacokinetics of the drug and do not represent necessarily, therapeutic doses.

^cparent drug

^dCilazapril is not yet marketed in the USA.

Abbreviations: B, bile; R, renal; C_{max} , maximal drug concentration; t_{max} , time to maximal drug concentration; NA, not available.

Cardiovascular effects

Moexipril has been demonstrated in in vitro and in vivo studies to possess cardioprotective properties. In rats, administration of 10 mg moexipril either alone or in combination with losartan, one week prior to induction of myocardial infarction, decreased the infarct size. These beneficial effects of moexipril were negated by the bradykinin b, receptor antagonist icatibant. Administration of losartan alone did not demonstrate any significant effect on infarct size (Rosendorff 1996). Although these findings suggest that these beneficial effects of moexipril were mediated exclusively through inhibition of the breakdown of bradykinin, other studies have shown that the cardioprotective effects of ACE inhibitors are mediated through a combination of inhibition of angiotensin II production and bradykinin degradation (Froshlich and Horinak 1991; Brilla et al 1996; Rumble et al 1996; Grohe et al 1997; Chrysant 1998a). Angiotensin II exerts its remodeling effects on the cardiovascular system through its direct proliferative actions and also indirectly through its stimulation of the production of endothelin 1 and 3 (ET₁, ET₃) and the transforming growth factor b, (TGF-b,), all of which have tissue proliferative effects (Figure 2). Bradykinin itself, and through its stimulation in the production of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) and nitric oxide (NO), exerts antiproliferative effects (Chrysant 1998a). In addition, angiotensin II stimulates the production of various protooncogenes, such as c-fos, c-jum and c-myc which all have cellular proliferative actions (Froshlich and Horinak 1991). The antiproliferative effects of moexipril have been demonstrated in vitro studies where moexipril inhibited the estrogen-stimulated growth of neonatal cardiac fibroblasts in rats (Pfeffer et al 1992). It should be stated here that these effects of moexipril on cardiovascular remodeling have been demonstrated with other ACE inhibitors and they appear to be a class effect (Grohe et al 1997).

ACE inhibitors today are the standard therapy of patients with myocardial infarction and heart failure due to their proven beneficial effects in left ventricular remodeling and left ventricular function (SOLVD 1991; Dahlof et al 2002).

Clinical experience with moexipril in hypertension

Moexipril has been extensively studied in patients with mild, moderate, or severe hypertension, compared with placebo and other antihypertensive drugs. It was also studied in fixed combinations with low dose hydrochlorothiazide (HTZ). Most of the studies were double-blind, randomized, multicenter short-term and a few were open label long-term studies. They were based on sitting diastolic blood pressure (SDBP) of 95–120 mmHg, of 8–24 weeks' or 2 years' duration. In all these studies, moexipril was dispensed once daily due to its long elimination half-life of 10 hours, in doses ranging from 7.5–30 mg. The results of the most pertinent studies are listed in Table 2.

Placebo-controlled studies

In placebo-controlled multicenter trials in Europe and the United States of 8–12 weeks' duration in patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension (SDBP 95–114 mmHg), moexipril was more effective than placebo. Given in single daily doses of 7.5–30 mg, moexipril produced a sustained blood-pressure control over 24 hours and decreased the SDBP from 4 to 11 mmHg over placebo with a response rate of 48%–61% for those receiving moexipril 7.5 and



Figure I Classical and alternative pathways of angiotensin II production.

15 mg/day, vs 29% and 34% for those receiving placebo, respectively (Strauss et al 1994; Lucas et al 1995; Stimpel and Koch 1996, 1997).

Comparative studies

The antihypertensive effectiveness and safety of moexipril has also been compared with other antihypetensive drugs in patients with mild to moderately severe hypertension (SDBP 95–114 mmHg). In comparison with HTZ 25 mg once daily, atenolol 25–50 mg once daily, metoprolol 100 mg once daily, verapamil-SR 120–240 mg once daily, nitrendipine 20 mg once daily, and captopril 25–50 mg twice daily, moexipril given in single daily doses of 7.5–15 mg was as effective in lowering the blood pressure as the other antihypertensive drugs (Dickstein et al 1994; Abernethy et al 1995; Chrysant et al 1995; Stimpel et al 1996a, b; White et al 1997).



Figure 2 Tissue level stimulation and inhibition of angiotensin II.

Add-on studies

Moexipril was also studied in patients with moderate to severe hypertension as add-on therapy to pre-existing drugs. In one study, moexipril 7.5 once daily, or verapamil-SR 180 mg once daily, were added to pretreatment with HCTZ 25 mg once daily if the SDBP was 100–114 mmHg inclusive (Chrysant and Simpel 1998). If after 4 weeks of treatment the SDBP was \geq 90 mmHg, the dose of moexipril was increased to 15 mg once daily and that of verapamil-SR to 240 mg once daily. This resulted in further, but similar decrease of SDBP by week 8. Similar results were reported by other investigators when moexipril 7.5 or 15 mg once daily were added to HCTZ 25 mg once daily, or to nifedipine sustained release 20 mg twice daily (Chrysant 1994; Chrysant et al 1996).

Fixed combination studies

Moexipril has been thoroughly investigated in the treatment of hypertension as a low-dose combination with HCTZ. In multi-factorial design studies, the combination of moexipril 3.75 mg to 30 mg with HCTZ 3.125 to 50 mg were more efficacious in lowering the blood pressure than the individual components alone (Chrysant 1997). In another study, 223 patients with SDBP of 95–114 mmHg and SSBP ≤200 mmHg, were treated with a fixed very low dose combination of moexipril/HCTZ 3.75/6.25 mg once daily, and demonstrated a reduction of their SSDP/SDBP by -7.6/-7.6 mmHg compared with placebo of +0.2/-3.9 mmHg (Chrysant 1998b). The fixed combinations of HCTZ 12.5 mg with moexipril 7.5 mg or with metoprolol 100 mg given once daily were studied in 140 hypertensive patients (SDBP 95-114 mmHg), for 12 weeks (Chrysant et al 1983). In this study both combination treatments reduced the SSBP/SDBP by 17.6/12.8 and 17.2/13.9 mmHg for the moexirpil-HCTZ and metoprolol-HCTZ combinations, respectively (Table 2).

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)

LVH, determined by echocardiography, is a left ventricular mas (LVM) or LVM index (LVMI); LVM/ body surface area in the upper 2.5%–5.0% of the adult population (Levy et al 1988; Phillips and Diamond 1999). An LVMI of $\geq 25 \text{ g/m}^2$ is considered to be approximately at the 95th percentile. A direct and progressive relationship exists between LVM and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, including risk of coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), stroke, and sudden death (Frolich et al 1992; Devereux and Roman 1993; Haider et al 1998). Increased LVM has been shown to predict the risk of CV events, especially fatal events, independent of BP or CHD (Koren et al 1991; Ghali et al 1992; Levy et al 1994). Conversely, in persons with CHD, the relative risk of mortality is increased two-fold by LVH (Phillips and Diamond 1999). In the Framingham cohort, each increase in LVM of 50 g/m² was associated with an increase in relative risk of CVD to 1.49 in men, and 1.57 in women (Levy et al 1988).

LVH is an important risk factor for CVD morbidity and mortality independent of BP. The prognostic importance of LVH has been shown in population studies such as the Framingham Heart Study and the Honolulu Heart Program, as well as in clinical studies of patients with essential HTN, secondary HTN and CHD (Koren et al 1991; Ghali et al 1992; Levy et al 1994). LVH found on resting ECG has been associated with the highest risk of fatal CHD, conferring a relative risk of 11.4 in one cohort of 7682 men followed for 12 years (Knutsen et al 1988). In the Framingham cohort, follow-up was extended until a subject developed CVD, died or attended clinic two years later. The increased risk of CV events was related to baseline electrocardiogram (ECG) voltage by quartile (sum of R wave in lead AVL and the S wave in lead $V3 \ge 1.3 \text{ mV}$ [25th percentile], 1.8 mV [50th percentile], and 2.3 mV [75th percentile]) and repolarization abnormalities (classified as normal, mildly abnormal [ST-T flattening, isolated Table 2 Summary of clinical trails with moexipril

Author		Drug	Change in SDBP from	Duration
(n = no. pts)		(mg)	baseline (mmHg)	(weeks)
	ative studies	(()
Compare	Persson (n = 201) (1996)	MO 7.5 od MO 15 od HCTZ 25 od	8.7 10.1 10.5	8 8 8
	Stimpel, Oparil (n = 97)	MO 15 od	-10.0	12
	(1998)	HCTZ 25 od	-11.8	12
	Stimpel,Weberª (n = 116)	MO 15 od	-10.0	8
	(1998)	ATE 50 od	-8.4	8
	Abernethy (n = 178)	MO 75–15 od	-10.0	24
	(1995)	VER-SR 180–240 od	-11.0	24
	Stimpel (n = 159)	MO 7.5–15 od	-9.8	12
	(1996a)	CAP 25–50 BID	-8.7	12
	Agabiti-Rosei (n = 93)	MO 15 od	-15.2	8
	(1999)	NIT 20 od	-13.6	8
Add-on s	Chrysant ^b (n = 147)	MO 7.5-15 od	-11.0	12
	(1995)	VER-SR 180–240 od	-12.0	12
	Dickstein ^b (n = 200)	MO 3.75 od	-8.4	8
	(1994)	MO 7.5 od	-8.8	8
	(1994)	MO 15 od PL od	0.0 8.9 4.6	8 8
	Persson ^c (n = 203) (1996)	MO 3.75 od MO 7.5 od MO 15 od PL od	-6.0 -9.0 -9.0 -5.0	8 8 8 8
Eived cou	mbination studies			·
	White (n = 272) (1997)	MO 15 od MO 30 od HCTZ 25 od HCTZ 50 od MO/HCTZ 15/25 od MO/HCTZ 30/50 od	8.0 9.7 8.1 11.0 16.0 17.9	12 12 12 12 12 12 12
	Chrysant (n = 223)	MO/HCTZ 3.75/6.25 od	-7.6	12
	(1998)	PL od	-3.9	12
	Stimpel (n = 140)	MO/HCTZ 7.5/12.5 od	-12.8	12
	(1997)	MET/HCTZ 100/12.5 od	-13.9	12
Open lab	el long-term studies			
-	White (n = 172)	MO 7.5–15 od	-14.0	52
	(1995)	MO/HCTZ 7.5–15/25 od	-17.0	52
	White (n = 281)	MO 7.5–30 od	-14.0	52
	(1994)	MO/HCTZ 7.5–30/12.5 od	-15.0	52

^aHCTZ 25 mg od was added if necessary.

^bHCTZ 25 mg background-therapy.

^cNifedipine 20 mg background-therapy.

Abbreviations: ATE, atenolol; CAP, captopril; HCTZ, hydrochlorothiazide; MET, metoprolol; MO, moexipril; NIT, nitrendipine; VER-SR, verapamil SR.

ST depression, T-wave inversion], or severely abnormal [ST depression in association with inverted or biphasic T waves]). Persons in the highest quartile of voltage (sum of R wave in lead AVL and the S wave in lead V3 \geq 2.3 mV) were at highest risk. When voltage decreased or repolarization abnormalities improved (in men only), risk declined and prognosis improved

by as much as 50%. Conversely, if voltage increased serially over two years of follow-up, risk doubled (Levy et al 1994). The prevalence of ECG evidence of LVH declined from 4.5% to 2.5% in men and 3.6% to 1.1% in women over 38 years of follow-up. Mean BP reduction was greater in women than in men (-15/-8 mmHg vs -4/-3 mmHg) and the prevalence of

severe HTN (SBP \geq 160 mmHg or DBP \geq 100 mmHg) declined to a greater extent in women than men (28% and 18.5% to 7.7% and 9.2% respectively) over this period (Devereux 1995). The reduction in BP, particularly in severe HTN, is thought to correlate with the increased use of pharmacologic agents over the last several decades.

In addition to LVM or LVMI, the geometric pattern of the hypertrophied LV has been shown to relate to CV events. Four geometric patterns have been described: 1) concentric LVH (increased LVM and increased relative wall thickness, RWT) 2) eccentric LVH (increased LVM and normal RWT); 3) concentric remodeling (normal LVM and increased RWT); 4) normal geometry (normal LVM and RWT) (Krumholz et al 1995; Verdecchia et al 1995; Ghali et al 1998). The pattern associated with the highest incidence of morbidity and mortality is concentric LVH (Verdecchia et al 1995, 1998). The incidence of CV events, in one study, was 30% in those with concentric LVH, 25% in those with eccentric LVH, 15% in those with concentric remodeling, and 9% in those with normal geometry (Koren et al 1991). In another study, subjects with LVH (LVMI of >125 g/m²) at baseline experienced a 47% lower event rate when LVMI was reduced to $<125 \text{ g/m}^2$ at follow-up (mean follow-up was 9 years) (p = 0.002) (Schlaich and Schmeider 1998). The prognostic importance of LV geometry independent of CHD risk factors and LVM/LVMI is controversial. A study of 694 hypertensive patients with normal LVMI (<125 g/m²) showed that concentric remodeling of the LV was an important predictor of CV mortality, independent of conventional risk factors for CHD (Verdecchia et al 1998). However, other studies demonstrated that when traditional risk factors for CHD and LVM are taken into account, the geometric pattern of the LV is less predictive of CV events (Krumholz et al 1995; Phillips and Diamond 1999).

Pathophysiologic mechanisms for the development of LVH include both hemodynamic (increased BP, wall stress, and increasing arterial stiffness of central arteries) and non-hemodynamic factors (genetics, activation of the sympathetic nervous system, and the RAAS) (Gottdiener et al 1997). LVM has been shown to be closely related to SBP, while RWT appears to be more closely related to DBP. A large body of evidence relates the development of LVH to increased RAAS activity, in particular angiotensin II. Angiotensin II has been shown to stimulate fibroblast activity, synthesis, and release of cytokines and growth factors and myocardial fibrosis. Aldosterone has been associated with an increase in collagen in the myocardium, leading to interstitial fibrosis in ventricular tissue.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and left ventricular hypertrophy

Antihypertensive therapy is effective in producing regression of LVH (Liebson et al 1995; Moser and Herbert 1996; Schmieder et al 1996; Thurmann et al 1998). An analysis of six large HTN trials involving a total of 26 741 patients showed that long-term use of any antihypertensive medication except direct vasodilators leads to regression of LVH (Thurmann et al 1998). Further, a large meta-analysis of 39 trials of diuretics, beta-blockers (BBs), calcium channel blockers (CCBs), and ACE inhibitors (ACEIs) in hypertensive subjects showed that LVM was related to the treatment-induced decline in BP, particularly SBP (p < 0.001 vs p = 0.08 for DBP). Reductions in LVM of 13%, 9%, 6%, and 7% were demonstrated with ACEIs, CCBs, BBs, and diuretics, respectively (Moser and Herbert 1996). Diuretics have been shown in several trials to be effective in reducing LVM (Neaton et al 1993; Moser and Herbert 1996; Schmieder et al 1996; Thurmann et al 1998). Results of studies that have examined the effects of BBs and CCBs on regression of LVH have been mixed, with some studies suggesting that one or both of these agents are not effective (Neaton et al 1993; Moser and Herbert 1996; Schmieder et al 1996).

Agents that interrupt the RAAS, including ACEIs and antiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), may be more effective than other classes of antihypertensive drugs in reducing LVM. Whether reduced synthesis of angiotensin II, with its cytokine/growth factor-stimulating effects, potentiation of bradykinin with resultant stimulation of NO synthesis and release, and/ or inhibition of aldosterone synthesis are salient factors in LVH reversal related to use of these drugs is a matter of conjecture. Further, whether, in fact, these agents effect reversal of LVH via mechanisms other than BP lowering is a matter of active debate.

In the LVH regression substudy of the HOPE trial, the use of ramipril led to LVH regression in nearly 92% of patients (Devereux et al 2004). This effect was independent of blood pressure control. Consequently, the use of ramipril led to a reduction in death, MI, and stroke. Another interesting result was that the use of ramipril was associated with prevention of new HF.

Moexipril and left ventricular hypertrophy

The use of moexipril in hypertensive subjects has been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on LVH. In one trial of 72 patients with echocardiographic evidence of LVH (defined as a LVMI of >111 g/m² in men and >106 g/m² in women), a dose of 15 mg of moexipril daily led to a significant decrease in LVMI. The average LVMI was reduced from 121 ± 20 g/m² to 103 +/-17 g/m² (p < 0.001) in a period of 24 weeks (Sayegh et al 2005). Another more robust study, the Moexipril and REgression of left ventricular hypertrophy in combination therapy (MORE) trial, examined the effects of moexipril in 426 hypertensive patients (Spinar and Vitovec 2005). While no predefined value was given for LVH, the baseline LVMI in this study was 149 g/m^2 , which is well above any published accepted value for LVH by LVMI. The presence of LVH was established by echocardiography. Moexipril was added on to the existing therapy and titrated up as needed to achieve blood pressures of <140/90 mmHg. In this trial, BBs were used in over 50% of patients as either monotherapy or in combination with diuretics and/ or CCBs. After 6 months of follow-up, the average blood pressure was reduced from 161/97 mmHg to 136/82 mmHg (p < 0.0001), and the LVMI decreased from $149 \pm -51 \text{ g/m}^2$ to $137 \pm -47 \text{ g/m}^2$ (p < 0.0001). Several interesting findings were observed. First, an improvement in left atrial size was demonstrated (39.81 mm vs 39.04 mm, p = 0.002) in addition to an improvement in LV size and LVMI. Second, an improvement in LV diastolic function was demonstrated with a significant increase in the E/A ratio from 0.91 to 0.94 (p < 0.0005). Third, there was a significant reduction in pulse pressure (PP) from 12.5 mmHg to 9.8 mmHg (p < 0.01). This is an important finding due to the very well established link between PP and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Therefore, it appears that moexipril as added therapy provides benefits beyond simple blood pressure lowering that have been demonstrated with other ACE inhibitors.

Conclusion

Moexipril is a nonsulfhydryl ACE inhibitor with prolonged duration of action and is suitable for once a day administration for the treatment of hypertension. In doses of 7.5, 15, and 30 mg alone or in combination with other antihypertensives, such as HCTZ and calcium channel blockers, moexipril is effective for the treatment of moderate to severe hypertension. Evidence is also emerging that moexipril, like other ACE inhibitors, is effective in reducing left ventricular hypertrophy. This is an extremely important feature as LVH has well established links to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

References

Abernethy DR, Fox AAL, Stimpel M. 1995. Moexipril in the treatment of mild to moderate essential hypertension: comparison with sustained release varapamil. *J Clin Pharmacol*, 35:795–9.

- Agabiti-Rosei E, Ambrosioni E, Pirelli, et al. 1999. Efficacy and tolerability of moexipril and nitrendipine in postmenopausal women with hypertension: MADAM study group. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*, 55:185–9.
- Barfour JA, Gos KL. 1995. Benazepril, a review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy in hypertension and congestive heart failure. *Drugs*, 42:511–39.
- Brilla CG, Maubara I, Weber KT. 1996. Advanced hypertensive heart disease in spontaneously hypertensive rats: lisinopril-medicated regression of myocardial fibrosis. *Hypertension*, 28:269–74.
- Chrysant SG. 1994. Antihypertensive effectiveness of low dose lisinoprilhydrochlorothiazide combinations: a large multicenter study. Arch Intern Med, 154:737–43.
- Chrysant, SG. 1997. Perindopril/hydrochlorothiazide dose combination for the treatment of hypertension: a multicenter study. *J Clin Pharmacol*, 37:47–52.
- Chrysant SG. 1998a.Vascular remodeling: the role of angiostensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Am Heart J, 135:S21–S30.
- Chrysant, SG. 1998b. Fixed low-dose drug combination for the treatment of hypertension. *Arch Fam Med*, 7:370–6.
- Chrysant, SG Dunn M, Marples D, et al. 1983. Severe reversible azortemia from captopril therapy: report of three cases and review of the literature. *Arch Intern Med*, 143:437–41.
- Chrysant SG, Fox AAL, Stimpel M. 1995. Comparison of moexipril, a new ACE inhibitor, to varapamil-SR as add-on therapy to low dose hydrochlorothiazide in hypertensive patients. *Am J Hypertens*, 8:418–21.
- Chrysant, SG, Fagan T, Glazer R, et al. 1996. Effects of benazepril and hydrochlorothiadize, given alone and in low and high-dose combinations, on blood pressure in patients with hypertension. *Arch Fam Med*, 5:17–24.
- Chrysant SG, Stimpel M. 1998. Antihypertensive effectiveness of a very low fixed-dose comination of moexipril and hydrochlorothiazide. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol, 31:384–90.
- Dahlof B, Devereux RB, Kjeldsen SE, et al. 2002. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomized trial against atenolol. *Lancet*, 359:995–1003.
- Devereux RB. 1995. Left ventricular geometry, pathophysiology and prognosis. J Am Coll Cardiol, 25:885–7.
- Devereux RB, Dahlof B, Gerdts E, et al. 2004. Regression of hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy by losartan compared with atenolol: the losartan intervention for endpoint reduction in hypertension (LIFE) trial. *Circulation*, 110:1456–62.
- Devereux RB, Roman MJ. 1993. Inter-relationships between hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy and coronary heart disease. J Hypertens, 11:3–9.
- Dickstein K, Aasland T, Ferrari P, et al. 1994. Comparison of the efficacy of three dose levels of moexipril versus placebo as add-on therapy to hydrochlorothiazide in patients with moderate hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol, 24:247–55.
- Edling O, Bao G, Feelisch M, et al. 1995. Moexipril, a new angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor: pharmacological characterization and comparison with enalapril. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, 275:854–63.
- Frohlich ED, Apstein C, Chobanian AV, et al. 1992. The heart in hypertension. N Engl J Med, 327:998–1008.
- Froshlich ED, Horinak S. 1991. Cardiac and aortic effects of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. *Hypertension*, 18(Suppl II):2–7.
- Ghali JK, Liao Y, Cooper RS. 1998. Influence of left ventricular geometric patterns on prognosis in patients with or without coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 31:1635–40.
- Ghali JK, Liao Y, Simmons B, et al. 1992. The prognostic role of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with or without coronary artery disease. *Ann Intern Med*, 117:831–6.
- Gottdiener JS, Reda DJ, Massie BM, et al. 1997. Effect of single-drug therapy on reduction of left ventricular mass in mild to moderate hypertension. *Circulation*, 95:2007–14.

Grass GM, Morehead W. 1989. Evidence for site specific absorption of a nove ACE inhibitor. *Pharm Res*, 6:759–65.

- Grohe C, Kahlert S, Lobbert K, et al. 1997. Effects of moexiprilat on estrogen-stimulated cardiac fibroblast growth. Br J Pharmacol, 121:1–5.
- Haider AW, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, et al. 1998. Increased left ventricular mass and hypertrophy are associated with increased risk for sudden death. J Am Coll Cardiol, 32:1454–62.
- Hoefle ML, Klutchko S. 1982. Substituted acyl derivatives of 1, 2, 3, 4 tetrahydroquinoline 3-carboxylic acids. *US Pat*, 944–9.
- Knutsen R, Knutsen S, Curb JD, et al. 1988. The predictive value of resting electrocardiograms for 12-year incidence of coronary heart disease in the Honolulu Heart Program. J Clin Epidemiol, 41:293–302.
- Koren MJ, Devereux RB, Casale PN, et al. 1991. Relation of left ventricular mass and geometry to morbidity and mortality in uncomplicated essential hypertension. *Ann Intern Med*, 114:345–52.
- Krumholz HM, Larson M, Levy D. 1995. Prognosis of left ventricular geometric patterns in the Framingham Heart Study. J Am Coll Cardiol, 25:879–84.
- Klucthko S, Blankely CJ, Fleming RW, et al. 1986. Synthesis of the novel angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor quinapril and related compounds. A divergence of structure-activity relationship for non-sulfhydryl and sulfhydryl types. J Med. Chem, 29:1953–961.
- Lancaster SG, Todd PA. 1998. Moexipril: a preliminary review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use in hypertension and congestive heart failure. *Drugs*, 35:646–67.
- Levy D, Anderson KM, Savage DD, et al. 1988. Echocardiographically detected left ventricular hypertrophy: prevalence and risk factors. The Framingham Heart Study. Ann Intern Med, 108:7–13.
- Levy D, Salomon M, D'Agostino RB, et al. 1994. Prognostic implications of baseline electrocardiographic features and their serial changes in subjects with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Circulation*, 90:1786–93.
- Liebson PR, Grandits GA, Dianzumba S, et al. 1995. Comparison of five antihypertensive monotherapies and placebo for change in left ventricular mass in patients receiving nutritional-hygienic therapy in the Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study (TOMHS). *Circulation*, 91:698–706.
- Lucas CD, Darga LL, Fox AAL, et al. 1995. A study of the efficacy and safety of moexipril in mild to moderate hypertension. Am J Ther, 2:886–92.
- Moser M, Hebert PR. 1996. Prevention of disease progression, left ventricular hypertrophy and congestive heart failure in hypertension treatment trials. J Am Coll Cardiol, 27:1214–18.
- Neaton JD, Grimm RJ Jr, Stamler J, et al. 1993. Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study Research Group. Treatment of Mild Hypertension Study: final results. *JAMA*, 270:713–24.
- Persson B, Stimpel M. 1996. Evaluation of the antihypertensive efficacy and tolerability of moexipril, a new ACE inhibitor, compared to hydrochlorothiazide in elderly patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*, 50:259–64.
- Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, et al. 1992. Effect of captopril on morality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Results of the survival and ventricular enlargement trial. The SAVE Investigators. N Engl J Med, 327:669–77.
- Phillips RA, Diamond JA. 1999. Left ventricular hypertrophy, congestive heart failure, and coronary flow reserve abnormalities in hypertension. In Oparil S, Weber MA (eds). Hypertension: A Companion to Brenner and Rector's The Kidney. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company. p. 244–77.
- Rosendorff C. 1996. The rennin-angiotensin system and vascular hypertrophy. J Am Coll Cardiol, 28:803–12.
- Rumble JR, Komers R, Cooper ME. 1996. Kinins or nitric oxide, or both are involved in the antitrophic effects of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors on diabetes-associated mesenteric vascular hypertrophy in the rat. J Hypertens, 14:601–7.
- The SOLVD Investigators. 1991. Effects on enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med*, 325:293–302.

- Sayegh F, Topouchian J, Hlawaty M, et al. 2005. Regression of left ventricular hypertrophy with moexipril, an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, in hypertensive patients. *Am J Ther*, 12:3–8.
- Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE. 1998. Left ventricular hypertrophy and its regression: pathophysiology and therapeutic approach. J Hypertens, 11:1394–404.
- Schmieder RE, Martus P, Klingbeil A. 1996. Reversal of left ventricular hypertrophy in essential hypertension. JAMA, 275:1507–13.
- Singhvi SM, Duchin KC, Morrison RA, et al. 1998. Disposition of fosinopril sodium in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 25:9–15.
- Song JC, White CM. 2002. Clinical pharmacokinetics and selective pharmacodynamics of new angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. An update. *Clin Pharmacokinet*, 41:207–24.
- Spinar J, Vitovec J. 2005. MORE-moexipril and regression of left ventricular hypertrophy in combination therapy. A multicentric open label clinical trial. *Int J Cardiol*, 100:199–206.
- Stimpel M, Bonn R, Koch BK, et al. 1995. Pharmacology and clinical use of the new ACE inhibitor moexipril. *Cardiovasc Drug Rev*, 13:211–29.
- Stimpel M, Koch B. 1996. Antihypertensive treatment in postmenopausal women with hypertension and obesity: moexipril versus atenolol [abstract]. Am J Hypertens, 9:175A.
- Stimpel M, Koch B, Jansen T, et al. 1996a. Moexrpril versus captopril in patients with mild to moderate hypertension. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol, 28:769–73.
- Stimpel M, Koch B, Dickstein K. 1996b. Moexipril as add-on therapy to hydrochlorothiazide in moderate to severe hypertension. *Cardiology*, 87:313–18.
- Stimpel M, Koch B. 1997. Antihypertensive treatment with moexipril plus HCTZ vs. metrprolol plus HCTZ in patients with mild to moderate hypertension. J Hum Hypertens, 11:133–7.
- Stimpel M, Koch B, Oparil S. 1998. Antihypertensive treatment in postmenopausal women: results from a prospective, randomized, double blind, controlled study comparing an ACE inhibitor (moexipril) with a diuretic (hydrochlorothiazide). *Cardiology*, 89:271–6.
- Stimpel M, Koch B, Weber MA. 1998. Comparison between moexipril and atenolol in obese postmenopausal women with hypertension. *Maturitas*, 30:69–77.
- Strauss HM, Zhu YC, Redlich T, et al. 1994. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in infarct-induced heart failure in rats: bradykinin versus angiotensin II. J Cardiovasc Risk, 1:255–62.
- Thurmann PA, Kenedi P, Schmidt A, et al. 1998. Influence of the angiotensin II antagonist valsartan on left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with essential hypertension. *Circulation*, 98:2037–42.
- Verdecchia P, Schillaci G, Borgioni C, et al. 1995. Adverse prognostic significance of concentric remodeling of the left ventricle in hypertensive patients with normal left ventricular mass. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 25:879–84.
- Verdecchia P, Schillaci G, Borgioni C, et al. 1998. Prognostic significance of serial changes in left ventricular mass in essential hypertension. *Circulation*, 97:48–54.
- White WB, Fox AAL, Stimpel M. 1994. Long term efficacy and safety of moexipril in the treatment of hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens*, 8:917–921.
- White, WB, Koch B, Stimpel M. 1997. Usefulness of moexipril and hydrochlorothiazide in moderately severe essential hypertension. *Am J Ther*, 4:123–9.
- White WB, Stimpel M. 1995. Long-term efficacy and safety of moexipril alone and in combination with hydrochlorothiazide in elderly patients with hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens*, 9:879–84.