Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-2 PI2A polymorphism and risk of acute myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke: A case-cohort study and meta-analyses

Mohammad Hadi Zafarmand^{1,3} Yvonne T van der Schouw¹ Diederick E Grobbee¹ Peter W de Leeuw² Michiel L Bots¹

¹Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, Str 6.131, 3584 CX, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ²Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Maastricht, PO Box 5800, NL-6202 AZ, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ³Persian Gulf Health Research Center, Department of Genomic Health, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Moalem Street, Po Box 3631, Bushehr, Iran

Correspondence: Michiel L Bots Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, Str 6.131, 3584 CX Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands Tel +31 30 250 9352 Fax +31 30 250 5485 Email m.l.bots@umcutrecht.nl

Background: The alanine allele of P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene in a few studies has been associated with a reduced or increased risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Yet, the risk relation has not been confirmed, and data on ischemic stroke (IS) is scarce. We therefore investigated the role of this polymorphism on occurrence of AMI, coronary heart disease (CHD) and IS.

Methods and findings: We performed a case-cohort study in 15,236 initially healthy Dutch women and applied a Cox proportional hazards model to study the relation of the P12A polymorphism and AMI (n = 71), CHD (n = 211), and IS (n = 49) under different inheritance models. In addition, meta-analyses of published studies were performed. Under the dominant inheritance model, carriers of the alanine allele compared with those with the more common genotype were not at increased or decreased risk of CHD (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.82; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.58 to 1.17) and of IS (HR = 1.03; 95% CI, 0.14 to 7.74). In addition no relations were found under the recessive and additive models. Our meta-analyses corroborated these findings by showing no significant association. For AMI we found a borderline significant association under dominant (HR = 0.49; 95% CI, 0.26 to 0.94), and additive (HR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.26 to 1.00) models which could be due to chance, because of small cases in this subgroup. The meta-analysis did not show any association between the polymorphism and risk of AMI under the different genetic models.

Conclusions: Our study in healthy Dutch women in combination with the meta-analyses of previous reports does not provide support for a role of P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene in MI and CHD risk. Also our study shows that the polymorphism has no association with IS risk. Keywords: genetics, myocardial infarction, polymorphism, PPARG gene, risk factors, population-based

Introduction

The most prevalent human PPARG gene mutation is a cytosine to guanine substitution in exon B (codon 12) of this gene (Knouff and Auwerx 2004), resulting in an exchange of proline (P) to alanine (A) at amino acid (Temelkova-Kurktschiev et al 2004). Initially recognized to play a role only in adipogenesis and glucose homeostasis, recent works have shown associations with regulation of cell growth, migration and inflammation (Schiffrin et al 2003; Youssef et al 2004). Also, PPARG2 has a role in insulin signaling, insulin resistance, and development of type 2 diabetes (Memisoglu et al 2003).

There is some evidence that P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene is related to vascular risk factors (Deeb et al 1998; Altshuler et al 2000; Meirhaeghe et al 2000; Masud and Ye 2003; Ostgren et al 2003; Doney et al 2004). A meta-analysis showed a 21% risk reduction for type2 of diabetes (Altshuler et al 2000). Moreover, A12 allele carriers have significantly higher body mass index (BMI) (Masud and Ye 2003), lower insulin resistance (Deeb et al 1998; Meirhaeghe et al 2000) and reduced blood pressure (Ostgren et al 2003; Doney et al 2004). These findings suggest that a possible role in atherosclerosis development. This is supported by recent findings showing a relation of A12A genotype to reduced common carotid intima-media thickness (Temelkova-Kurktschiev et al 2004; Al Shali et al 2004b).

However, information on the relation with acute myocardial infarction (AMI), coronary heart disease (CHD) and ischemic stroke (IS) as the clinical endpoints is scarce, and inconsistent (Vos et al 2000; Ridker et al 2003; Doney et al 2004; Tobin et al 2004; Pischon et al 2005; Li et al 2006) for CHD. A reduced risk for ischemic stroke has been reported (Lee et al 2006). We set out to investigate the relation of P12A polymorphism in *PPARG* gene on occurrence of AMI, CHD and ischemic stroke in middle-aged Dutch women. To expand the evidence further, we performed meta-analyses using published data from observational studies.

Methods

Prospect-EPIC study

Study design, general questionnaire, anthropometric and Laboratory measurements have been described in detail elsewhere (Zafarmand et al in Press). Briefly, the study population consisted of participants of the Prospect-EPIC cohort. Participants were recruited between 1993 and 1997 among women living in Utrecht and vicinity who attended the regional population-based breast cancer-screening program. A total of 17,357 women aged 49-70 were included. Follow-up event information was obtained from the Dutch Centre for Health Care Information, which holds a standardized computerized register of hospital discharge diagnoses. Using the International Classification of Diseases, ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes for the main discharge reason, we categorized cardiovascular disease (codes 390-459) as CHD (codes 410-414), including acute myocardial infarction (code 410), as ischemic cerebrovascular disease (codes 433–435), and other cardiovascular diseases. Whenever multiple events occurred, the first diagnosis was taken as endpoint of interest. All women signed an informed consent form prior to study inclusion. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University Medical Center Utrecht.

We applied the case-cohort design introduced by Prentice (1986). In this design, data is collected on all subjects, but

the data would only be analyzed on cases and sub-cohort members. Cases are those emerging in the total cohort; controls are subjects in the sub-cohort. The sub-cohort is a randomly selected sample of 10% (n = 1736) from the 17,357 women in the total cohort. Women who did not consent to linkage with vital status registries or who were not traceable (cases n = 3/sub-cohort n = 38) were not included. Women who reported a diagnosis of cardiovascular disease (ICD-9; 390-459) at baseline, who had missing questionnaires or blood or DNA samples were excluded. This resulted in 15,236 women in the total cohort and 1519 women in the sub-cohort at baseline (as the control group). All first fatal and non-fatal CHD and ischemic stroke events that arose during follow-up until January 1st 2000 were selected as cases. These were 211 CHD cases, including 71 AMIs, and 49 ischemic cerebrovascular events. For all case subjects follow up ended at the date of diagnosis or at the date of death due to cardiovascular disease.

Genetic analysis

Genetic analysis was performed at the Cardiovascular Genotyping (CAGT) laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine of the University Hospital Maastricht. Genomic DNA was extracted from buffy coats with the use of the QIAamp[®] Blood Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California, USA). Genotyping of the polymorphisms was performed using a multilocus genotyping assay for candidate markers of cardiovascular disease risk (Roche Molecular Systems Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) (Cheng et al 1999). Genotyping was preformed blinded to the case-control status. A random double-check was performed to detect potential genotyping errors.

Data analysis

To assess the relation of P12A polymorphism with the outcome, we used a Cox proportional hazards model with an estimation procedure adapted for case-cohort designs. We used the unweighted method by Prentice, which is incorporated in a SAS macro at http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/general/robphreg.

Baseline characteristics of sub-cohort by genotypes (P12P, P12A and A12A) is given. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was evaluated with the χ^2 test. Frequencies of A12 allele and P12 allele were determined. We assessed the association between the polymorphism and events under different genetic models. The dominant genetic model compares individuals with one or more polymorphic alleles (P12A and A12A genotypes combined) with a group with no polymorphic alleles (P12P). The recessive genetic model

compares the A12A genotype with the combined P12P and P12A genotypes. The additive genetic model assumes that there is a linear gradient in risk between the P12P, P12A and A12A genotypes (P12P genotype baseline). This is equivalent to a comparison of the A12 allele versus the P12 allele (baseline). All analyses were performed for AMI, CHD, ischemic stroke and total ischemic events. A value of p < 0.05 (2-sided) was considered significant.

Meta-analysis

Search strategy and data extraction

For the meta-analysis, published data was used concerning the P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene and MI, CHD and IS. The search was done on November 15, 2006. In addition, our own data were included. Studies were found with PubMed/Medline, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Embase using the following text search string: (Pro12Ala OR P12A) AND ("Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma" OR PPARG) AND (coronary disease OR coronary heart disease OR CHD OR myocardial infarction OR MI OR myocardial infarct OR coronary artery disease OR CAD OR ischemic heart disease OR IHD OR cardiovascular disease OR heart disease OR angina OR ischemic stroke OR CVA OR stroke OR cerebrovascular accident). The following constraints were applied to the search: (1) only published articles in journals or their supplements (English); and (2) studies only in human subjects. Manual bibliography review was added. This search (done by MHZ and MLB) identified 36 potentially relevant articles. Studies were included if they reported the relative risks, ORs or HRs and 95% confidence intervals [CIs] for events related to PPARG2 P12A polymorphism or provided raw data that allowed estimation of these values. We excluded 24 studies because of other endpoints (such as vascular risk factors); one repeated publication; one study which did not provide sufficient data; two review papers; and one study with carotid intima-media thickness as endpoint. Since only one paper had been found for ischemic stroke, we excluded ischemic stroke from the meta-analysis. Hence, data were available for these analyses from 8 original reports (6 studies found with databases, one additional article identified by a hand search and our data) involving 2793 cases and 7680 controls (Table 4). As Pischon and colleagues (2005) had provided data from two different studies (Nurses' Health Study [NHS] in women and Health Professionals Follow-up Study [HPFS] in men), we consider them in the analysis as two studies. The following information was extracted from each study: first author, study design, year of publication, geographical location, definition and number of cases and controls, mean age of cases and controls, gender, genotype frequency, genotyping methods and consistency of genotype frequencies with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Data analysis

For the meta-analysis, Mantel-Haenszel was used as fixed effects model and the DerSimonian-Laird method was used as random-effects model, all under different genetic models. The Egger's test with 95% CI was used for evaluating publication bias. In each study, we tested for HWE by using an asymptomatic χ^2 test or an exact test among the controls (Trikalinos et al 2006). We used Cochran's χ^2 – based Q statistic for between-study heterogeneity (Lau et al 1997), which is considered significant for p < 0.10, as well as the *I*² statistic for estimation of inconsistency in meta-analyses. I^2 represents the percentage of the observed between-study variability due to heterogeneity rather than to chance and ranges from 0 to 100 percent where a value of 0% indicates no observed heterogeneity, and larger values an increasing degree of heterogeneity. Values above 75 percent imply high heterogeneity (Higgins et al 2003). Meta-analysis was carried out using STATA 9.1.

Results

Prospect-EPIC study results

General characteristics of the randomly sampled participants of the cohort (n = 1519) are given in Table 1. Of the participants 1143 (75.2%) had the common type allele (P12P), 346 (22.8%) were heterozygous for the A12 allele (P12A), and 30 (2%) were homozygous for the A12 allele. The genotype distribution was in HWE.

None of conventional risk factors were statistically significantly related to the P12A polymorphism (Table 1). Median follow up time for the sub-cohort was 4.3 years, with a total of 6,525 person years. The actual follow-up in the baseline cohort of 15,236 women was 64,768 person years. Due to the case-cohort design, 23 women in the sub-cohort eventually were CHD cases and 5 of them were ischemic stroke cases (totally 28 cases). Clinical characteristics of CHD cases and controls are presented in Table 1.

Comparing allele frequencies in cases and control groups separately did not show significant difference between them, except for myocardial infarction, which showed a borderline significant relation (Table 2).

A lower risk of AMI with only borderline effects was found under dominant (OR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.26 to 1.00; p = 0.05) and additive models (OR = 0.49; 95% CI, 0.26 to 0.94; p = 0.03) but not under recessive inheritance mode

	sub-cohort (N = 1519)		p-value ^₅	CHD cases	Sub-cohort	p-value ^c
	P12P	PI2A	AI2A				
N total	1143	346	30	-	211	1519	-
Age at intake (yr)ª	$\textbf{57.2} \pm \textbf{6.1}$	57.1 ± 6.0	56.1 ± 5.4	0.63	$\textbf{60.5} \pm \textbf{5.9}$	57.1 ± 6.1	<0.01
Body mass index (kg/m²) ^a	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{3.9}$	25.8 ± 4.1	$\textbf{26.4} \pm \textbf{3.6}$	0.69	$\textbf{26.8} \pm \textbf{3.9}$	$\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{4.0}$	<0.01
Weight (kg)ª	69.5 ±11.2	69.9 ±11.8	72.7 ±11.6	0.28	71.1±11.3	69.7 ± 11.3	0.08
Height (cm)ª	164.2 ± 6	164.5 ± 5	165.8 ± 6	0.24	162.8 ± 6	164.3 ± 6	0.01
Waist to hip ratio ^a	$\textbf{0.78} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{0.78} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{0.79} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	0.56	0.81 ± 0.06	$\textbf{0.79} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	<0.01
Hypertension (%) ^d	34.6	29.8	33.3	0.25	51.7	33.4	<0.01
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)ª	133.1 ± 20.2	131.3 ± 19.3	$\textbf{132.9} \pm \textbf{19.5}$	0.35	143.3 ± 22.3	132.7 ± 20.0	<0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)ª	$\textbf{79.1} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	$\textbf{78.7} \pm \textbf{10.5}$	$\textbf{79.8} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	0.80	$\textbf{81.6} \pm \textbf{10.7}$	$\textbf{79.0} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	<0.01
Presence of diabetes (%)	2.3	2.0	3.3	0.88	5.7	2.2	<0.01
Presence of hypercholesterolemia (%)	4	4	0	0.53	11.4	3.9	<0.01
Current alcohol consumption (%)	87.9	88.3	88.9	0.97	80.9	88.0	<0.01
Smoking status (%) Past	34.6	35.3	33.3	0.96	26.1	34.8	<0.01
Current	23.1	21.4	33.3	0.31	34.1	22.9	<0.01
Pack- years ^e	$\textbf{6.8} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	6.1 ± 9.1	$\textbf{7.9} \pm \textbf{11.3}$	0.38	$\textbf{9.8} \pm \textbf{11.4}$	$\textbf{6.7} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	<0.01
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)ª	5.9 ± I	5.8 ± 1	5.9 ± 1.1	0.14	6.4 ± I	5.9 ± I	<0.01
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)ª	$\textbf{I.6}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	1.6 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	0.70	$\textbf{1.4}\pm\textbf{0.3}$	$\textbf{I.6}\pm\textbf{0.4}$	<0.01
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) ^a	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	4.0 ± 1.1	0.28	4.4 ± I	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	<0.01
Serum glucose (mmol/L) ^a	4.5 ± 1.4	4.5 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 1.5	0.31	5.1 ± 2.5	4.5 ± 1.4	<0.01

 Table I Baseline characteristics of the sub-cohort according to genotype, and clinical characteristics of CHD cases and controls in

 the Prospect – Epic cohort

Notes: "Mean \pm standard deviation; "Comparison of risk factors across genotypes, using the ANOVA F test (continuous variables) and the χ^2 statistic (categorical variables); "Comparison of risk factors across disease status, using the *independent samples t-test* (continuous variables) and the χ^2 statistic (categorical variables); "Defined as a systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mm Hg and/or questionnaire positive; "The number of packs of cigarettes smoked per day by the number of years the person has smoked.

Abbreviations: HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; CHD, coronary heart disease (ICD 410-414).

 Table 2 Genotype and allele frequencies of the polymorphism among AMI, CHD, and ischemic stroke cases and sub-cohort of the

 Prospect – Epic cohort

Genotype/allele	Acute my	ocardial infarction	Corona	ry heart disease	Ischem	ic stroke	Sub-cohe	ort
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
No. of subjects	71		211		49		1519	
Genotype								
P/P	61	85.9	167	79.1	38	77.6	1143	75.2
P/A	10	14.1	41	19.4	10	20.4	346	22.8
A/A	0	0.0	3	1.4	I	2	30	2
Allele								
Pro	132	93.0	375	88.9	86	87.8	2632	86.6
Ala	10	7.0	47	11.1	12	12.2	406	13.4
	$\chi^2 = 4.77$		$\chi^2 = 1.61$		$\chi^2 = 0.10$)		
	Df=I		df=I		df=I			
	p = 0.03		p = 0.20		p = 0.75			

(Table 3). The analyses were repeated for CHD and ischemic stroke as primary outcomes and also for all ischemic events (CHD and ischemic stroke). No statistically significant association was seen for risks of CHD and ischemic stroke (Table 3). None of the risk factors was statistically significantly associated with genotypes (Table 1) and we did not consider them as confounders in our data. The rationale for not adjusting for the risk factors is that we did not want to adjust in a primary analysis for intermediates in a potential causal pathway and that because genes are randomly assigned at conception (Mendelian randomization) confounding by lifestyle related factors (or intermediate phenotypes) should not be a problem in genetic epidemiology studies (Smith and Ebrahim 2004).

We examined the interaction between the P12A polymorphism and risk factors for each of the events separately by introduction of risk factor*A12 allele carriers term in the logistic regression models. No significant interactions between P12A and risk factors in AMI, CHD and total ischemic events were seen, apart from smoking (current) and A12 allele carriers (P = 0.027). In the light of the many associations we studied, this may actually be a chance finding.

Meta-analysis results

Table 4 shows the characteristics of studies included in this meta-analysis. The genotype frequencies in the studies were consistent with HWE. The meta-analyses did not show a significant association under dominant genetic model (OR = 0.85; 95% CI, 0.61 to 1.17; p = 0.32), recessive model (OR = 1.37; 95% CI, 0.77 to 2.47; p = 0.29) and additive model (OR = 0.94; 95% CI, 0.72 to 1.25; p = 0.69) (Figure 1). Furthermore, pooled estimate (Figure 2) did not show a significant association between the polymorphism and CHD, under dominant genetic model (OR = 0.99; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.23; p = 0.92), recessive model (OR = 1.40; 95% CI, 0.94 to 2.08; p = 0.10) and additive model (OR = 1.04; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.25; p = 0.64). There was evidence for heterogeneity under dominant and additive genetic models for MI (p = 0.002, p = 0.006) and CHD (p = 0.002, p = 0.01), respectively. To deal with heterogeneity we used random-effect model (the DerSimonian-Laird method) for pooling data. There was not evidence for significant publication bias (Egger's test = 1.85; 95%CI, -4.86 to 8.56; p = 0.54).

Discussion

In this prospective study among healthy Dutch women aged 49 to 70 years, no statistically significant association for

exchanging proline with alanine in *PPARG* gene was seen with CHD and ischemic stroke risk under different genetic models. We found a borderline effect for AMI risk under the dominant and additive models, which could be a chance finding. In the meta-analyses of published observational studies we did not find any significant association for the polymorphism and AMI and CHD risks under different inheritance models.

In this study, prevalent cases of CHD and cerebrovascular disease were excluded to prevent introducing bias due to potentially selective survival. The Prospect study is a population-based cohort, which makes it less susceptible to selection bias. Additional strengths are the comprehensive data and sample collection, complete hospital admission and mortality follow-up, and the case-cohort design which combines the advantages of cohort studies (multiple outcomes and time-dependent covariates) with those of case-control analyses (fewer subjects), thus being more efficient. Since the genotypes were in the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we did not have misclassification of exposure (genotypes). Limitations are the relative short period of follow-up and the relative small number of cases. The latter in general reduces the power to show a statistical significant relations.

A new aspect is that we conducted meta-analyses on the relation between the P12A polymorphism and MI, CHD and ischemic stroke under different genetic models. Yet, due to

 Table 3 Hazard ratios of cardiovascular events under different genetic models for P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene in the Prospect – Epic cohort

Different events	Inheri- tance Model	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value
Acute	Dominant	0.51	0.26-1.00	0.05
myocardial	Recessive	0.34	0.00-2.68	0.47
infarction	Additive	0.49	0.26-0.94	0.03
Coronary	Dominant	0.82	0.58-1.17	0.27
heart disease	ecessive	0.72	0.22-2.37	0.58
	Additive	0.81	0.59-1.12	0.20
Ischemic	Dominant	0.90	0.46-1.78	0.77
stroke	Recessive	1.03	0.14-7.74	0.97
	Additive	0.90	0.49-1.67	0.75
All ischemic	Dominant	0.85	0.62-1.17	0.31
events	Recessive	0.78	0.27-2.22	0.63
	Additive	0.83	0.62-1.11	0.21

	Author	Year of publication	Country	Study design	Cases	S		Controls	rols		Effect measurement (With 95% Cl; P value)	ent value)		Mean age ± SD (years)	± SD	Sex	End point	Allele frequency	P (HWE)
					P/P	P/A	A/A	P/P	P/A	A/A	Dominant	Recessive	Additive	Cases	Controls			I 2Ala	
	Vos et al	2000	Nether- lands	Case- control	437	105	21	512	122	12	1.1 (0.84–1.45; 0.49)	2.0 (1.0–4.2; 0.049)	1.2 (0.9–1.5; 0.19)	No data	No data	Σ	Σ	0.113	0.14
5	Bluher et al	2002	Ger- many	Cross- sectional	174	23	4	140	22	7	0.91 (0.50–1.6; 0.74)	1.6 (0.3– 9.09; 0.56)	0.97 (0.56– 1.7; 0.91)	67.1 (43– 91) ^a	63.3 (33–87)ª	M/F	CHD	0.079	0.30
m	Ridker et al	2003	NSA	Nested Case- control	425	92	9	1610	451	3 N	0.77 (0.60– 0.98; 0.03)	0.76 (0.32– 1.8; 0.55)	0.79 (0.63– 0.99; 0.04)	58.3	58.4	Σ	Σ	0.123	0.93
4	Tobin et al	2004	ž	Case- control	434	103	0	381	120	4	0.80 (0.60– 1.07; 0.13)	2.33 (0.73– 7.5; 0.14)	0.87 (0.67– 1.14; 0.31)	61.9 ± 9.2	58.6 ± 10.7	M/F	Σ	0.127	60.0
5	Doney et al	2004	Scotland Cohort	Cohort		35			4		0.21 (0.06– 0.69; 0.01)	No data	No data	No data	64.4 ± 11.6	M/F	Σ	0.111	0.69
9	Pischon et al (NHS study)	2005	NSA	Cohort	187	54	4	386	93	9	1.2 (0.84–1.7; 0.31)	1.3 (0.37– 4.7; 0.66)	1.19 (0.85– 1.7; 0.30)	60.4 ± 0.4	60.3 ± 0.3	щ	сHD	0.108	0.88
~	Pischon et al (HPFS study)	2005	NSA	Cohort	187	59	4	407	16	4	1.44 (1.0–2.07; 0.05)	2.02 (0.5– 8.16; 0.31)	1.4 (1.01– 1.97; 0.042)	65.2 ± 0.5	65.I ± 0.4	Σ	CHD	0.099	0.66
œ	Li et al	2006	China	Case- control	195	23	0	588	36	5	1.83 (1.06–3.1; 0.03)	0.57 (0.0– 4.9; 0.41)	1.69 (0.98– 2.8; 0.057)	65.0 ± I I	62.I ± 8.2	M/F	Σ	0.032	0.08
6	Zafarmand et al (present study)	2007	Nether- lands	Case- cohort	167	4 -	m	1143	346	30	0.82 (0.58– 1.17; 0.27)	0.72 (0.22– 2.37; 0.58)	0.81 (0.59– 1.12; 0.20)	61±6	57土 6	ш	GHD ⊠	0.134	0.52



Figure I Meta-analyses of AMI risk under the different genetic models. These forest plots show the overall odds ratio for 6 studies included in the meta-analysis under the dominant, recessive, and additive models respectively. Doney and colleagues (2004) did not provide data for recessive and additive models. Size of cubes represents weight of each study.

the genotype frequency, its power is limited for most studies especially under recessive genetic model. The results of these meta-analyses indicate no association between the polymorphism and risk of MI and CHD under different genetic models. However it must be noted that an important issue in every meta-analysis is publication bias as negative studies are less likely to be submitted or accepted for publication, especially when this concerns smaller studies. Although publication bias was not present based on Egger's test, the performance of this test and the usual funnel plot have been challenged (Peters et al 2006) and so we can not completely rule out low probability for missing of small negative studies.



Figure 2 Meta-analyses of CHD risk under the different genetic models. These forest plots show the overall odds ratio for 9 studies included in the meta-analysis under the dominant, recessive, and additive models respectively. Doney and colleagues (2004) did not provide data for recessive and additive models. Size of cubes represents weight of each study.

The Physician's Health Study (Ridker et al 2003) reported a modest protective effect of P12A polymorphism in PPARG gene for incidence of AMI among 523 individuals who subsequently developed myocardial infarction and 2092 individuals who remained free of reported cardiovascular disease in a prospective cohort of 14916 initially healthy American white men (Physician's Health Study cohort) aged 40 to 84 years over a mean follow-up period of 13.2 years. The Ala12 allele was associated with 23% reduction in myocardial infarction risk (OR 0.77; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.98). In a recent case-control study of 844 subjects including 218 patients, increased risk of MI was seen under dominant mode of inheritance (OR 1.83; 95% CI, 1.06-3.1) (Li et al 2006). Under dominant and additive modes of inheritance we found a statistically significant association (p = 0.05 and 0.03, respectively) for risk of AMI, but it must be considered that the number of AMI cases was 71. Since it has been documented that very large sample sizes are required to provide sufficiently precise estimates of genotype-disease associations (Smith and Ebrahim 2004), the power in our study was low (under 20%) which means that the probability for having a false positive finding was around 80%. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of 6 studies with 1739 AMI cases and 5903 controls to obtain a more precise estimate. The meta-analysis did not show a significant association under dominant, recessive and additive genetic models. Moreover, in a very recent prospective population-based study of multi-locus candidate gene polymorphisms by a group of investigators who had previously published a part of their results (Ridker et al 2003), showed that neither these three polymorphisms nor the others were predictors of MI (Zee et al 2006).

Our findings with respect to CHD are in accordance with results from Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-up Study respectively in women and men of 245 cases of nonfatal MI or fatal CHD in women (compared with 485 controls) and 250 in men (compared with 502 controls) during 8 and 6 years of follow-up that the P12A polymorphism is not associated with decreased risk of CHD (Pischon et al 2005). In a cross-sectional study of patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 in Germany (in 201 patients with and 164 without CHD) that the A12 allele was not related to CHD risk (Bluher et al 2002). Our findings and the meta analyses findings agree with these findings. Recently, P12A polymorphism has been related to a reduced risk for ischemic stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes (Lee et al 2006). We found no association between the polymorphism and risk of occurrence of ischemic stroke under different genetic models. As these two studies are the only ones available, further studies are needed.

In conclusion, this study in healthy women free from previous cardiovascular disease and the meta-analyses show that, the P12A polymorphism in *PPARG* gene is not associated with future risk of AMI, CHD, and ischemic stroke.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the participants of the Prospect-EPIC study. We thank all field workers, laboratory technicians and skillful contributions to the data collection and all those who contributed to this study.

Funding

Dr Zafarmand has been supported by a grant from the Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education (FN12265). The Prospect-EPIC study was funded by "Europe Against Cancer" Programme of the European Commission (SANCO).

Declaration of interests

Authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

- Al Shali KZ, House AA, Hanley AJ, et al. 2004. Genetic variation in PPARG encoding peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma associated with carotid atherosclerosis. *Stroke*, 35:2036–40.
- Altshuler D, Hirschhorn JN, Klannemark M, et al. 2000. The common PPARgamma Pro12Ala polymorphism is associated with decreased risk of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet*, 26:76–80.
- Bluher M, Klemm T, Gerike T, et al. 2002. Lack of association between peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma-2 gene variants and the occurrence of coronary heart disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Endocrinol*, 146:545–51.
- Cheng S, Grow MA, Pallaud C, et al. 1999. A multilocus genotyping assay for candidate markers of cardiovascular disease risk. *Genome Res*, 9:936–49.
- Deeb SS, Fajas L, Nemoto M, et al. 1998. A Pro12Ala substitution in PPARgamma2 associated with decreased receptor activity, lower body mass index and improved insulin sensitivity. *Nat Genet*, 20:284–7.
- Doney AS, Fischer B, Leese G, et al. 2004. Cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes is associated with variation at the PPARG locus: a Go-DARTS study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 24:2403–7.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. 2003. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, 327:557–60.
- Knouff C, Auwerx J. 2004. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma calls for activation in moderation: lessons from genetics and pharmacology. *Endocr Rev*, 25:899–918.
- Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH. 1997. Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews. Ann Intern Med, 127:820–6.
- Lee BC, Lee HJ, Chung JH. 2006. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma2 Pro12Ala polymorphism is associated with reduced risk for ischemic stroke with type 2 diabetes. *Neurosci Lett*, 410:141–5.

- Li L, Cheng LX, Nsenga R, et al. 2006. Association between Pro12Ala polymorphism of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma 2 and myocardial infarction in the Chinese Han population. *Clin Cardiol*, 29:300–4.
- Masud S, Ye S. 2003. Effect of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-gamma gene Pro12Ala variant on body mass index: a meta-analysis. *J Med Genet*, 40:773–80.
- Meirhaeghe A, Fajas L, Helbecque N, et al. 2000. Impact of the Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor gamma2 Pro12Ala polymorphism on adiposity, lipids and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*, 24:195–9.
- Memisoglu A, Hu FB, Hankinson SE, et al. 2003. Prospective study of the association between the proline to alanine codon 12 polymorphism in the PPARgamma gene and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 26:2915–17.
- Ostgren CJ, Lindblad U, Melander O, et al. 2003. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-gammaPro12Ala polymorphism and the association with blood pressure in type 2 diabetes: skaraborg hypertension and diabetes project. *J Hypertens*, 21:1657–62.
- Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, e al. 2006. Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. JAMA, 295:676–80
- Pischon T, Pai JK, Manson JE, et al. 2005. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma2 P12A polymorphism and risk of coronary heart disease in US men and women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 25:1654–8.
- Prentice RL. 1986. A case-cohort design for epidemiologic cohort studies and disease prevention trials. *Biometrika*, 73:1–11.
- Ridker PM, Cook NR, Cheng S, et al. 2003. Alanine for proline substitution in the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-2 (PPARG2) gene and the risk of incident myocardial infarction. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 23:859–63.

- Schiffrin EL, Amiri F, Benkirane K, et al. 2003. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: vascular and cardiac effects in hypertension. *Hypertension*, 42:664–8.
- Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 2004. Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations. *Int J Epidemiol*, 33:30–42.
- Temelkova-Kurktschiev T, Hanefeld M, Chinetti G, et al. 2004. Ala12Ala genotype of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma2 protects against atherosclerosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 89:4238–42.
- Tobin MD, Braund PS, Burton PR, et al. 2004. Genotypes and haplotypes predisposing to myocardial infarction: a multilocus case-control study. *Eur Heart J*, 25:459–67.
- Trikalinos TA, Salanti G, Khoury MJ, et al. 2006. Impact of violations and deviations in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium on postulated gene-disease associations. *Am J Epidemiol*, 163:300–9.
- Vos HL, Doggen CJM, Rosendaal FR. 2000. Effect of the PPAR gamma2 Pro12Ala polymorphism on the risk of myocardial infarction. *Blood*, 96:62B.
- Youssef J, Badr M. 2004. Role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in inflammation control. *J Biomed Biotechnol*, 2004:156–166.
- Zafarmand MH, van der Schouw YT, Grobbee DE, et al. 2007. T64A polymorphism in β_3 adrenergic receptor gene (ADRB3) and coronary heart disease: a case-cohort study and meta-analysis. *J Intern Med*, In Press.
- Zee RYL, Cook NR, Cheng S, et al. 2006. Multi-locus candidate gene polymorphisms and risk of myocardial infarction: a population-based, prospective genetic analysis. *J Thromb Haemost*, 4:341–8.