

# Extent and reasons for nonadherence to antihypertensive, cholesterol, and diabetes medications: the association with depressive symptom burden in a sample of American veterans

Hollis J Weidenbacher<sup>1</sup>  
Christopher A Beadles<sup>1,2</sup>  
Matthew L Maciejewski<sup>1,3</sup>  
Bryce B Reeve<sup>2</sup>  
Corrine I Voils<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Health Services Research in Primary Care, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Policy and Management, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

**Objective:** Persons with depressive symptoms generally have higher rates of medication nonadherence than persons without depressive symptoms. However, little is known about whether this association differs by comorbid medical condition or whether reasons for nonadherence differ by depressive symptoms or comorbid medical condition.

**Methods:** Self-reported extent of nonadherence, reasons for nonadherence, and depressive symptoms among 1,026 veterans prescribed medications for hypertension, dyslipidemia, and/or type 2 diabetes were assessed.

**Results:** In multivariable logistic regression adjusted for clinical and demographic factors, the odds of nonadherence were higher among participants with high depressive symptom burden for dyslipidemia (n=848; odds ratio [OR]: 1.42,  $P=0.03$ ) but not hypertension (n=916; OR: 1.24,  $P=0.15$ ), or type 2 diabetes (n=447; OR: 1.15,  $P=0.51$ ). Among participants reporting nonadherence to antihypertensive and antilipemic medications, those with greater depressive symptom burden had greater odds of endorsing medication nonadherence reasons related to negative expectations and excessive economic burden. Neither extent of nonadherence nor reasons for nonadherence differed by depressive symptom burden among patients with diabetes.

**Conclusion:** These findings suggest that clinicians may consider tailoring interventions to improve adherence to antihypertensive and antilipemic medications to specific medication concerns of participants with depressive symptoms.

**Keywords:** adherence, compliance, chronic conditions, depression, heterogeneity

## Introduction

Pharmacotherapy for hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes can reduce the risk of major cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events, but adherence is often suboptimal.<sup>1-3</sup> Medication nonadherence across these cardiometabolic conditions is associated with adverse events, greater inpatient and outpatient health care utilization, and higher health care costs.<sup>4,5</sup>

In patients with these conditions, numerous studies have shown that coexisting depressive symptoms are associated with higher rates of medication nonadherence.<sup>6-19</sup> However, it is unclear whether these medication nonadherence rates differ across these three comorbid conditions. Although prior condition-specific studies examining the role of depression in nonadherence have indicated different rates of nonadherence,<sup>1,20,21</sup> these studies have used different measures of nonadherence in different populations at different times. To our knowledge, no studies have specifically examined the association between depressive symptoms and medication nonadherence in concordant

Correspondence: Corrine I Voils  
Center for Health Services Research  
in Primary Care, Durham Veterans Affairs  
Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA  
Tel +1 919 286 0411 Ext 5196  
Email corrine.voils@duke.edu

conditions using a consistent measure in the same population at the same time.<sup>22</sup>

Medication nonadherence may differ by depressive symptom burden because depressed patients tend to have a foreshortened view of the future<sup>23–26</sup> and may be more sensitive to physical discomfort. Medications for these three conditions differ in their regimen complexity, side effects, out-of-pocket costs, and early consequences of nonadherence. For example, nonadherence to diabetes medications may be driven by regimen complexity (particularly if insulin is required) or its considerable side effects for some patients, despite the short (primarily insulin) and early adverse effects of nonadherence. Nonadherence to antihypertensive medications may also be driven by its sometimes complex regimen or inconvenient side effects. The impact of depressive symptoms on nonadherence to lipid-lowering medications may be more modest because these medications have the least complex regimen (a single statin), the fewest side effects, and typically the lowest out-of-pocket costs.

Using a validated self-report measure that distinguishes extent of medication nonadherence from reasons for nonadherence,<sup>27</sup> we compared nonadherence rates and the reasons for reported nonadherence among participants with low and high depressive symptom burdens who were prescribed medication for at least one of three cardiometabolic conditions (hypertension, dyslipidemia, or diabetes). Based on prior literature, we expected that the association between depressive symptoms and extent of nonadherence would differ between patients with these different conditions for the reasons noted above. To inform what underlies the extent of nonadherence reported, we also examined the association between depressive symptoms and reasons for nonadherence among the subset of patients reporting nonadherence. These findings can serve to guide interventions to improve adherence using a framework sensitive to the unique barriers presented by different patients, medications, and disease types.

## Methods

### Study setting and population

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Durham Veterans Administration Medical Center (VAMC), Durham, NC, USA. A waiver for documentation of informed consent was approved to preserve participant anonymity. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

Informed consent was obtained from all participants for being included in the study.

In 2012, a randomly selected subset of 1,999 veterans' affairs (VA) patients from a larger claims-based cohort of 7,933 veterans in the Durham VAMC catchment area with one or more of four cardiometabolic conditions (diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and heart failure) were sent a mailed survey. Veterans were initially selected in the larger claims-based cohort from an initial cohort of 29,368 veterans identified from Durham VAMC medical records. Veterans were excluded if they had no outpatient utilization in 2008 ( $n=3,001$ ), were receiving outpatient care at other VAMCs ( $n=11,594$ ), died before the end of the study period ( $n=518$ ), were younger than 40 years in 2008 ( $n=173$ ), had a diagnosis for these conditions that could not be confirmed in VA claims data ( $n=5,363$ ), were seen in outpatient primary care clinics staffed by resident physicians ( $n=339$ ), had a medication for at least one of these four conditions that could not be confirmed in claims data ( $n=401$ ), or were missing data for marital status or copayment status ( $n=46$ ). We restricted the sample to veterans aged 40 years or older because the risk of cardiovascular disease increases markedly with each 20 mmHg increment in systolic blood pressure for adults 40 years and over<sup>28</sup> and the onset for the majority of type 2 diabetes patients occurs after the age of 40 years. By mailing a survey to a random 1,999 of these 7,933 patients, we were able to link the survey data for respondents back to their VAMC claims data to obtain information on demographic and clinical factors to include as covariates.

## Measures

The survey included a validated two-domain self-reported measure of medication nonadherence that assesses extent of nonadherence and reasons for nonadherence.<sup>27</sup> The three-item nonadherence extent measure asks respondents to complete the following statements using a 7-day recall period: "I took all doses of my [condition] medication", "I missed or skipped at least one dose of my [condition] medication", and "I was not able to take all of my [condition] medication". The five response options measured frequency: never, rarely, sometimes, often, and always.<sup>29</sup> Participants who reported nonadherence on the extent scale were asked to complete the 21-item measure of reasons for nonadherence in the last 7 days separately for each condition for which they were taking medications (ie, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes) using five-point scales anchored by "not at all" and "very much". Patients also completed the validated<sup>30–35</sup> Mental Health Inventory

(MHI)-5 scale developed by Veit and Ware<sup>36</sup> as a measure of depressive symptomology.

To examine and adjust for demographic differences between survey respondents across cardiometabolic conditions and levels of depressive symptom burden, we constructed covariates for age (<65 years, 65+ years), sex, race (Caucasian, non-Caucasian, and unreported), marital status (married, divorced/widowed, single/never married, and unknown), copayment status (free VA care or not), number of cardiometabolic conditions (1, 2, 3, or 4), number of other chronic conditions,<sup>37</sup> and number of VA prescribers (0, 1, 2, 3, or 4+) in 2012 from information VA claims data.

## Analysis

All analyses were conducted using Stata/MP (v12.1; Stata-Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA). We did not analyze data for the congestive heart failure patients due to the small sample size. One of the three extent of nonadherence items (“I took all of my doses of [condition] medication”) was not highly correlated with the other two items ( $r=0.27$  and  $r=0.20$ ) as it had been in the initial validation study involving oral administration.<sup>27</sup> In this self-administration of the measure, that item, which was designed to be reverse-scored to reduce acquiescence bias, produced substantial measurement error, with many participants responding in a way that was inconsistent with the other two items. Thus, we excluded this item. The remaining two items were highly correlated ( $r=0.58$ ) and had skewed distributions, with most respondents responding “never” (ie, perfect adherence). Accordingly, following our prior research, responses of “never” were coded as “adherent”, while all other responses were coded as “nonadherent”.<sup>27</sup> Respondents were included in the analysis for nonadherence reasons if at least one extent question was coded as nonadherent. Reasons for nonadherence examined among those reporting nonadherence on the extent scale were treated as individual items and dichotomized, with responses of “not at all” coded as “no”, and all others as “yes”.<sup>27</sup>

We calculated a weighted MHI-5 score for respondents who responded to at least four out of five items by taking the mean of all items for which responses were provided. Scores were then scaled from 0 to 100. We assigned MHI-5 scores <65 and  $\geq 65$  as “low” and “high” depressive symptom burden, respectively (based on validity testing of Rumpf et al<sup>33</sup> against Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM] IV criteria).

We first compared survey respondents and non-respondents on demographic variables available from the VA claims data (ie, age, sex, marital status, race, copayment status,

number of cardiometabolic conditions, and number of VA prescribers in 2012). For each of the three cardiometabolic conditions, we then estimated logistic regression models to compare the odds of nonadherence (ie, anything other than “never” on the extent of nonadherence scale) between respondents with high and low depressive symptom burden adjusting for the previously mentioned demographic variables. Among participants reporting nonadherence in each of the three condition cohorts, we then estimated a logistic regression for each reason for nonadherence, controlling for the same covariates to understand whether the odds of endorsing a reason for nonadherence differed by depressive symptom burden. We corrected for multiple comparisons in the 63 regressions (21 reasons  $\times$  3 cohorts) using Benjamini and Hochberg’s approach to control for false discovery rate;<sup>38,39</sup> a post-adjusted  $P$ -value of <0.05 was considered significant.

## Results

### Comparison of survey respondents and non-respondents

We received 1,026 returned surveys of the 1,999 originally mailed (response rate =51.3%). Respondents were more likely than non-respondents to be greater than 65 years of age, Caucasian, married, and required to pay VA copayments (Table 1). Many survey respondents completed two or all three self-reported medication adherence measures since they had two or more of the three conditions of interest: 415 (40%) completed the hypertension and cholesterol items on the survey; 24 (2%) completed the cholesterol and diabetes items; 44 (4%) completed the hypertension and diabetes items; and 358 (35%) completed items for all three conditions.

### Prevalence of nonadherence and association with depressive symptoms

The unadjusted proportion of participants reporting non-adherence was similar across the three conditions: 37% ( $n=338$ ) of 917 participants taking antihypertensives, 37% ( $n=168$ ) of 437 participants taking diabetes medications, and 39% ( $n=330$ ) of 849 participants taking lipid-lowering medications (Table 2). The unadjusted difference between the depression symptom burden groups was only significant among participants taking lipid-lowering medications (46% vs 34%,  $P=0.001$ ). Adjusted analyses were consistent. That is, the odds of nonadherence to medications to manage dyslipidemia were significantly greater (odds ratio [OR]: 1.42,  $P=0.025$ ) among participants with depressive symptoms than those without (Table 3). In contrast, the adjusted odds

**Table I** Comparison of survey responders and non-responders

	Completed survey				P-value
	Yes (n=1,026)		No (n=974)		
	N	%	N	%	
Age in years (mean, SD)	70.0	9.7	69.0	10.7	0.036
Age (n, %)					
>65 years	303	29.5	341	35.0	0.010
40–64 years	723	70.5	633	65.0	
Sex (n, %)					
Male	969	94.4	934	95.9	0.145
Female	57	5.56	40	4.1	
Race (n, %)					
White	687	67.0	569	58.4	<0.001
Black	290	28.3	369	37.9	
Other	21	2.1	22	2.3	
Unknown	28	2.7	14	1.4	
Marital status (n, %)					
Married	679	66.2	570	58.5	0.001
Divorced/widowed	216	21.0	241	24.7	
Single/never married	95	9.3	101	10.4	
Unknown	36	3.5	62	6.4	
Pays health care copay (n, %)					
Yes	287	28.0	227	23.3	0.019
No	739	72.0	746	76.6	
Missing	0	0.0	1	0.1	
Pays prescription copay (n, %)					
Yes	711	69.3	605	62.1	0.001
No	315	30.7	368	37.8	
Missing	0	0.0	1	0.1	
Gagne comorbidity score (median, IQR)	0.3	1.5	0.0	1.3	0.012
Number of conditions (mean, SD)	6.39	5.0	6.50	5.0	0.613
Number of VA prescribers (n, %)					
0	52	5.1	63	6.5	0.025
1	628	61.2	540	55.4	
2	232	23.6	226	23.2	
3	70	6.8	80	8.2	
4	44	4.3	64	6.7	

**Notes:** P-values were estimated with two- sample t-test for age and number of conditions; with Wilcoxon rank sums for the Gagne Score; and with Fisher's exact test for all others.

**Abbreviations:** IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; VA, veterans' affairs.

of nonadherence did not differ by depressive symptoms for participants taking antihypertensives (OR: 1.24,  $P=0.15$ ) or diabetes medications (OR: 1.15,  $P=0.51$ ).

## Reasons for nonadherence and association with depressive symptoms

Among the subset reporting nonadherence, the most frequent reason for not taking medications for all three conditions was "I forgot" (Table 4), but the proportion endorsing this reason was only significantly different between depressed and non-depressed for nonadherence to lipid-lowering medications (53% vs 38%,  $P=0.01$ ). For hypertension and dyslipidemia medications, the second most frequently endorsed reason was "I ran out of medication", but this was only significantly associated with depressive symp-

toms in the dyslipidemia cohort. In both hypertension and dyslipidemia cohorts, there were significant differences by depressive symptoms in endorsement of "I was afraid they may affect my sexual performance", "I was worried about taking them for the rest of my life", "I was busy", "They cost a lot of money", "I had other medications to take", "I was feeling too ill to take them", "I was afraid the medication would interact with other medication I take", "I was afraid of becoming dependent on them", and "I was supposed to take them too many times a day". In the diabetes cohort, there were no significant differences by depressive symptoms for any of the 21 reasons in unadjusted or adjusted analyses.

Adjusted results were generally similar to the bivariate associations (Table 5), although more reasons remained

**Table 2** Unadjusted percent self-reported adherence and nonadherence by condition

Covariate	Hypertension		P-value	Dyslipidemia		P-value	Type 2 diabetes		P-value
	Adherent n=578	Nonadherent n=338		Adherent n=518	Nonadherent n=330		Adherent n=279	Nonadherent n=168	
Depressive symptoms									
MHI >65 (yes)	59.9	40.1	0.059	54.1	45.9	0.001	58.6	41.4	0.102
MHI <65 (no)	65.2	34.8		65.6	34.4		64.9	35.1	
Age (years)									
40–64	59.2	40.8	0.034	54.3	45.7	0.001	58.2	41.8	0.092
65+	65.3	34.5		65.3	34.7		65.0	35.0	
Sex									
Male	63.8	36.2	0.068	61.4	38.6	0.264	63.9	36.1	0.009
Female	52.7	42.3		55.6	44.4		38.5	61.5	
Race									
White	65.1	34.9	0.139	66.0	34.0	<0.001	65.8	34.2	0.145
Black	58.2	41.8		51.2	48.3		56.2	43.8	
Other	66.7	33.3		53.1	46.9		62.5	37.5	
Marital									
Married	63.9	36.1	0.390	64.5	35.6	0.013	64.7	35.3	0.389
Divorced/widowed	61.7	38.3		52.5	47.5		58.1	41.9	
Never married	58.8	41.2		55.8	44.2		56.9	43.1	
Unknown	100	0.0		40.0	60.0		100.0	0.0	
Pays health care copay									
Yes	61.3	38.7	0.797	67.1	32.9	0.058	66.4	33.6	0.528
No	63.6	36.4		58.8	41.2		61.3	38.7	
Unknown	66.7	36.9							
Pays prescription copay									
Yes	60.9	39.1	0.118	62.0	38.0	0.759	62.9	37.1	0.924
No	68.2	31.8		59.0	41.0		61.6	38.4	
Unknown	66.7	33.3							
Number of conditions									
1	85.7	14.3	0.458			0.792			0.879
2	62.2	37.8		62.4	37.6		65.8	34.2	
3	64.2	35.8		60.1	39.9		61.6	38.4	
4	55.6	44.4		58.8	41.2		60.7	39.3	
Number of VA prescribers									
0	62.6	37.4	0.278			0.433			0.157
1	63.7	36.3		60.6	39.4		65.5	34.5	
2	63.2	36.8		57.5	42.5		63.9	36.1	
3	53.9	46.2		61.8	38.2		43.6	56.4	
4	72.7	27.3		60.4	39.6		63.4	36.6	

**Note:** Percentages and P-values estimated using Fisher's exact test.

**Abbreviations:** MHI, Mental Health Inventory; VA, veterans' affairs.

statistically significant between groups with hypertensive medications than dyslipidemia (six reasons vs two reasons). The group differences by depression symptom burden disappeared for the most frequently reported nonadherence reasons.

## Discussion

This study represents the first comparison, to our knowledge, of the association between depressive symptoms and self-reported medication nonadherence and reasons for nonadherence across three concordant cardiometabolic conditions. We

expected that the association between depressive symptoms and nonadherence and reasons for nonadherence might differ by condition because the individual medication regimens differ among these conditions in terms of regimen complexity, side effects, out-of-pocket costs, and consequences of nonadherence.

Our rates of extent of nonadherence are similar to non-adherence rates from prior condition-specific analyses based on medication refill data,<sup>1,20</sup> but lower than nonadherence rates reported in studies using other self-report measures.<sup>12,40</sup> In our study, depressive symptom burden was significantly

**Table 3** Adjusted self-reported nonadherence by condition

Condition	Hypertension n=917		Dyslipidemia n=849		Type 2 diabetes n=437	
	OR	P-value	OR	P-value	OR	P-value
Covariate						
High depressive symptom burden	1.24	0.149	1.42	0.025	1.15	0.512
Age ≥65 years	0.77	0.087	0.74	0.058	0.84	0.450
Female	1.55	0.139	0.88	0.706	2.55	0.041
Race						
Black	1.38	0.045	1.59	0.005	1.39	0.139
Other	0.87	0.727	1.65	0.196	0.83	0.75
Marital status						
Divorced/widowed	1.03	0.875	1.55	0.016	1.23	0.401
Single/never married	1.03	0.265	1.21	0.325	1.01	0.969
Pays health care copay	1.19	0.347	0.94	0.723	0.98	0.938
Pays prescription copay	1.45	0.038	1.17	0.381	1.09	0.72
Number of conditions						
3	0.90	0.464	0.99	0.944	1.04	0.877
4	1.51	0.254	1.11	0.792	1.25	0.633
Number of VA prescribers						
1	0.88	0.523	1.34	0.199	0.82	0.496
2	0.87	0.562	1.40	0.187	0.73	0.355
3	1.33	0.341	1.05	0.338	1.81	0.162
4	0.63	0.199	1.16	0.683	0.83	0.674

**Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; VA, veterans' affairs.

associated with extent of nonadherence to lipid-lowering medications but not to nonadherence to antihypertensive or diabetes medications. The finding of no association for antihypertensive or diabetes medications is at odds with other condition-specific studies, which have used different adherence and depression measures (antihypertensive, diabetes).<sup>40,41–44</sup> The lack of an observed difference in our study may be the result of measurement sensitivity (MPR and various self-report), or, in the case of diabetes, small sample size (168 diabetes vs 578 for hypertension and 518 for dyslipidemia). Future research in larger samples should examine the extent of and reasons for nonadherence separately for individuals taking oral agents and those taking insulin. We suspect there are important differences between oral and injectable diabetes medications, but our measure did not address these medication modes independently.

In addition to being novel by assessing medication nonadherence in concordant conditions using a consistent measure in the same population at the same time, this study is also novel because we examined whether the impact of depressive symptoms on reasons for nonadherence differed between these three conditions. We found that some reasons offered for nonadherence varied between participants reporting higher and lower depression symptom burden. Although the overall top reason for nonadherent behavior in all conditions was forgetting, this reason was not significantly different between depressed and non-depressed

respondents in any condition. Participants with greater depressive symptom burden taking antihypertensive medications expressed more concerns about the medication, although participants with a higher depressive symptom burden taking dyslipidemia medications were more likely to actually be nonadherent. Cost was also a concern for individuals with a higher depressive symptom burden taking hypertension and dyslipidemia medications, even though it was not one of the most commonly endorsed reasons for any condition. Although many veterans obtain VA medications at no cost or for a relatively low copayment (US\$9 per 30-day supply), participants with a higher depressive burden with these conditions were somewhat more likely to be responsible for copays.

These adjusted results suggest that extent of nonadherence to lipid-lowering medications may have the greatest room for improvement for patients with comorbid depression. Providers may want to assess whether patients who are nonadherent to lipid-lowering medications are concerned about having to take them for their entire lives or out-of-pocket costs because these two reasons were more likely to be endorsed by participants with depressive symptoms. Participants were also concerned about the impact of antihypertensives on their sexual performance<sup>45</sup> and complexity of their regimen, so these issues should be considered when counseling patients about increasing their adherence. Similar to Laba et al<sup>46</sup> we assume that medication nonadherence



**Table 4** Frequency and percent of reported reasons for nonadherence by depressive symptom burden and condition

Condition		Hypertension					Dyslipidemia					Type 2 diabetes				
No	Item	Low (n=192)		High (n=146)		FDR-p	Low (n=175)		High (n=155)		FDR-p	Low (n=87)		High (n=80)		FDR-p
		Freq	%	Freq	%		Freq	%	Freq	%		Freq	%	Freq	%	
<b>Negative expectations or worry</b>																
4	I worried about taking them for the rest of my life	22	12.64	38	29.92	<0.001	15	9.87	36	26.47	<0.001	7	8.97	18	26.87	0.070
10	I was afraid of becoming dependent on them	10	5.88	15	15.20	0.018	14	9.21	24	17.52	0.012	5	6.49	6	9.09	0.692
11	I was afraid they may affect my sexual performance	22	12.64	39	29.77	<0.001	19	12.18	33	23.24	<0.001	10	12.82	16	25.53	0.070
19	I was afraid the medication would interact with other medication I take	17	9.94	21	17.21	0.038	10	6.58	21	15.11	0.030	5	6.49	9	13.85	0.070
<b>Poor memory</b>																
2	I forgot	78	43.33	70	51.85	0.095	59	37.82	76	52.78	0.011	41	5.62	31	41.89	0.787
18	I ran out of medication	44	25.73	41	31.78	0.117	31	20.0	39	27.66	0.044	22	28.21	16	24.24	0.436
<b>Complex medication regimen</b>																
15	I was supposed to take them too many times a day	4	2.35	14	11.38	0.013	7	4.64	16	11.68	0.012	4	5.19	8	12.12	0.070
16	I had other medications to take	17	10.06	30	24.39	0.015	15	9.87	24	17.65	0.013	8	10.39	7	10.94	0.692
<b>Concerns about drug effects</b>																
3	The medication caused some side effects	23	13.07	25	19.84	0.046	22	14.47	33	24.09	0.012	10	12.82	19	27.94	0.070
17	They make me need to urinate too often	30	17.34	35	28.23	<0.001	20	13.16	28	20.44	0.012	12	15.38	13	19.70	0.184
20	My (lab measure) was too low	32	18.29	19	15.57	0.623	13	8.44	13	9.42	0.716	20	25.64	22	33.33	0.428
21	I was feeling too ill to take them	18	1.47	22	18.18	0.044	11	7.19	24	17.78	0.012	10	12.82	9	13.85	0.353
<b>Does not take condition seriously</b>																
13	I felt I did not need them	24	14.20	20	16.53	0.407	18	11.92	23	16.55	0.081	10	12.99	13	19.70	0.184
7	I did not have any symptoms of (condition)	33	19.19	27	22.50	0.033	21	13.82	24	18.05	0.081	18	22.78	17	25.37	0.977
<b>Financial burden</b>																
5	They cost a lot of money	27	15.61	32	25.20	<0.001	14	9.40	25	18.25	<0.001	10	12.82	11	16.18	0.153
<b>Interferes with lifestyle</b>																
1	I was busy	26	14.86	33	25.78	0.015	21	13.82	26	18.71	0.025	14	18.18	13	19.12	0.692
6	I came home late	32	18.5	28	23.14	0.414	28	18.79	25	18.25	0.559	19	25.33	16	23.19	0.738
8	I was with friends or family members	31	17.92	26	21.49	0.117	20	13.25	24	17.52	0.116	13	16.88	9	13.24	0.911
9	I was in a public place	20	11.83	18	14.88	0.300	16	10.67	16	11.85	0.523	11	14.29	6	8.96	0.869
12	The time to take them was between my meals	18	10.47	18	14.52	0.06	17	11.04	18	12.86	0.287	7	8.97	15	22.39	0.070
14	I was traveling	40	22.99	26	2.63	0.843	32	21.05	23	16.91	0.716	27	34.62	13	19.40	0.911

**Abbreviation:** FDR-p, false discovery rate *P*-value; Freq, response frequency.

is a multifactorial outcome influenced not only by the patient's affective status, but also by symptom severity, complexity of the medication regimen, number and nuisance value of the medication side effects, short- and medium-term consequences of not taking the medication, and the affordability of the drug to the patient. It is of particular interest that adherence was worst for dyslipidemia, which arguably has the least complex regimen and fewest side

effects. Several reasons may explain this result: Elevated cholesterol is relatively asymptomatic; cholesterol levels do not vary significantly over short periods of time; and cholesterol levels cannot be self-monitored. In contrast, elevated blood pressure and blood glucose cause symptoms; values can vary significantly over short periods of time; and patients can self-monitor their blood pressure and blood glucose levels.

**Table 5** Adjusted odds of self-reported reasons for nonadherence among participants with high depressive symptom burden

Condition		Hypertension (n=338)		Dyslipidemia (n=330)		Type 2 diabetes (n=167)	
No	Item	OR	FDR-p	OR	FDR-p	OR	FDR-p
<b>Negative expectations/worry</b>							
4	I worried about taking them for the rest of my life	3.09	0.011	3.63	0.021	4.96	0.105
10	I was afraid of becoming dependent on them	2.38	0.152	2.13	0.119	1.44	0.818
11	I was afraid they may affect my sexual performance	3.09	0.011	2.34	0.084	3.41	0.205
19	I was afraid the medication would interact with other medications I take	2.27	0.108	2.64	0.105	2.79	0.391
<b>Poor memory</b>							
2	I forgot	1.44	0.263	1.71	0.116	0.70	0.53
18	I ran out of medication	1.54	0.252	1.61	0.206	0.94	0.979
	Complex medication regimen						
15	I was supposed to take them too many times a day	6.52	0.017	2.40	0.185	3.38	0.348
16	I had other medications to take	3.11	0.011	1.70	0.282	0.98	0.979
<b>Concerns about drug effects</b>							
3	The medication caused some side effects	1.42	0.479	2.03	0.116	2.88	0.205
17	They make me need to urinate too often	2.33	0.028	2.04	0.116	3.10	0.252
20	My (lab measure) was too low	1.07	0.854	1.36	0.573	1.57	0.488
21	I was feeling too ill to take them	1.57	0.386	2.76	0.084	1.16	0.922
<b>Does not take condition seriously</b>							
13	I felt I did not need them	1.62	0.252	1.45	0.452	1.78	0.445
7	I did not have any symptoms of (condition)	1.26	0.613	1.38	0.503	2.54	0.348
<b>Financial burden</b>							
5	They cost a lot of money	2.86	0.011	3.17	0.042	1.96	0.445
<b>Interferes with lifestyle</b>							
1	I was busy	1.90	0.126	1.50	0.402	0.86	0.922
6	I came home late	1.22	0.613	0.91	0.85	0.53	0.445
8	I was with friends or family members	1.20	0.621	1.40	0.483	1.02	0.979
9	I was in a public place	1.31	0.613	1.00	0.999	0.64	0.652
12	The time to take them was between my meals	1.31	0.613	1.05	0.951	3.81	0.205

**Abbreviations:** FDR-p, false discovery rate P-value; OR, odds ratio.

There are several limitations to this study. First, these associations between reasons for nonadherence and depressive symptom burden are cross-sectional, and they should not be considered causal relationships. We adjusted for a number of demographic and clinical variables in our analysis, but unobserved covariates (eg, severity of conditions, income, daily pill burden) may have influenced our findings. Second, it is possible that individuals with high vs low depressive burden have different reporting tendencies, such that self-reported information may be more accurate among one group than the other (eg, Tang et al<sup>17</sup>; but also see Wang et al<sup>7</sup> Gonzalez et al<sup>47</sup>). Third, we do not have information about what class(es) of medications our participants were taking for their conditions, which could influence extent of nonadherence and specific reasons for nonadherence. Fourth, we did not have access to medication possession ratios from claims data contemporaneous to this survey, which would have allowed us to compare how the association of depressive symptom burden varies between self-reported medication adherence and

refill measures of adherence. Last, the estimated association between depressive symptoms and self-reported medication nonadherence may be subject to survey response bias, since survey responders were more likely to be older, white, and married than non-responders.

## Conclusion

This research provides initial evidence demonstrating heterogeneity in both the extent of medication nonadherence and specific reasons for nonadherence among individuals with and without depressive symptoms in three concordant cardiometabolic conditions. Survey respondents with depressive symptoms generally expressed more concerns about medication side effects/interactions and the duration and frequency of taking their medications than participants without depressive symptoms. Our results suggest that clinicians may be able to identify specific medication concerns of participants with depressive symptoms that can serve as intervention targets for achieving improved adherence.



Future research should systematically reckon with the variety of regimens, side effects, and costs of different medications within chronic conditions to observe which factors are medication-specific, which are associated with depressive symptom burden, and how these factors interact. With a framework to structure short- and medium-term costs and rewards for adherence, the heterogeneity in reasons for medication nonadherence across chronic conditions, medication classes, and patient characteristics may begin to form more predictable categories. Similarly, longitudinal studies of variability in reasons for nonadherence and how these reasons fluctuate with the point of pharmaceutical intervention (ie, initiation vs maintenance) and changing depressive symptom burden over time are also needed.<sup>29</sup> Together, these areas of research will inform development of more effective interventions to improve medication adherence in at-risk patient populations.

## Disclosure

Dr Maciejewski has received consultation funds from Daiichi Sankyo and ResDAC at the University of Minnesota, and owns stock in Amgen due to his spouse's employment. Dr Beadles, Dr Reeve, Dr Weidenbacher, and Dr Voils report that they have no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Cramer JA. A systematic review of adherence with medications for diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(5):1218–1224.
- Aikens JE, Piette JD. Longitudinal association between medication adherence and glycaemic control in Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2013;30(3):338–344.
- Gomez Sandoval YH, Braganza MV, Daskalopoulou SS. Statin discontinuation in high-risk patients: a systematic review of the evidence. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2011;17(33):3669–3689.
- DiMatteo MR, Giordani PJ, Lepper HS, Croghan TW. Patient adherence and medical treatment outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2002;40(9):794–811.
- Viswanathan M, Golin CE, Jones CD, et al. Interventions to improve adherence to self-administered medications for chronic diseases in the United States: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(11):785–795.
- DiMatteo MR, Lepper HS, Croghan TW. Depression is a risk factor for noncompliance with medical treatment: meta-analysis of the effects of anxiety and depression on patient adherence. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(14):2101–2107.
- Wang PS, Bohn RL, Knight E, Glynn RJ, Mogun H, Avorn J. Noncompliance with antihypertensive medications: the impact of depressive symptoms and psychosocial factors. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2002;17(7):504–511.
- Wing RR, Phelan S, Tate D. The role of adherence in mediating the relationship between depression and health outcomes. *J Psychosom Res*. 2002;53(4):877–881.
- Gonzalez JS, Safren SA, Cagliero E, et al. Depression, self-care, and medication adherence in type 2 diabetes: relationships across the full range of symptom severity. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(9):2222–2227.
- Cha E, Erlen JA, Kim KH, Sereika SM, Caruthers D. Mediating roles of medication-taking self-efficacy and depressive symptoms on self-reported medication adherence in persons with HIV: a questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2008;45(8):1175–1184.
- Schoenthaler A, Ogedegbe G, Allegrante JP. Self-efficacy mediates the relationship between depressive symptoms and medication adherence among hypertensive African Americans. *Health Educ Behav*. 2009;36(1):127–137.
- Aggarwal B, Mosca L. Lifestyle and psychosocial risk factors predict non-adherence to medication. *Ann Behav Med*. 2010;40(2):228–233.
- Krousel-Wood MA, Frohlich ED. Hypertension and depression: coexisting barriers to medication adherence. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2010;12(7):481–486.
- Gentil L, Vasiliadis HM, Prévile M, Bossé C, Berbiche D. Association between depressive and anxiety disorders and adherence to antihypertensive medication in community-living elderly adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012;60(12):2297–2301.
- Khdour MR, Hawwa AF, Kidney JC, Smyth BM, McElnay JC. Potential risk factors for medication non-adherence in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012;68(10):1365–1373.
- Dempe C, Jünger J, Hoppe S, et al. Association of anxious and depressive symptoms with medication nonadherence in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *J Psychosom Res*. 2013;74(2):122–127.
- Tang HY, Sayers SL, Weissinger G, Riegel B. The role of depression in medication adherence among heart failure patients. *Clin Nurs Res*. 2014;23(3):231–244.
- Kim J, Oshima TC. Effect of multiple testing adjustment in differential item functioning detection. *Educ Psychol Meas*. 2013;73(3):458–470.
- Siegel D, Lopez J, Meier J. Antihypertensive medication adherence in the Department of Veterans Affairs. *Am J Med*. 2007;120(1):26–32.
- Briesacher BA, Andrade SE, Fouayzi H, Chan KA. Comparison of drug adherence rates among patients with seven different medical conditions. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2008;28(4):437–443.
- Rolnick SJ, Pawloski PA, Hedblom BD, Asche SE, Bruzek RJ. Patient characteristics associated with medication adherence. *Clin Med Res*. 2013;11(2):54–65.
- Piette JD, Kerr EA. The impact of comorbid chronic conditions on diabetes care. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29(3):725–731.
- Yapko MD. *Brief Therapy Approaches to Treating Anxiety and Depression*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner-Mazel; 1989.
- Greene SM. The relationship between depression and hopelessness. Implications for current theories of depression. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1989;154:650–659.
- Martz E, Livneh H. Do posttraumatic reactions predict future time perspective among people with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus? *Rehabil Couns Bull*. 2007;50(2):87–98.
- Allwood MA, Baetz C, DeMarco S, Bell DJ. Depressive symptoms, including lack of future orientation, as mediators in the relationship between adverse life events and delinquent behavior. *J Child Adolesc Trauma*. 2012;5(2):114–128.
- Voils CI, Maciejewski ML, Hoyle RH, et al. Initial validation of a self-report measure of the extent of and reasons for medication nonadherence. *Med Care*. 2012;50(12):1013–1019.
- Sacks FM, Obarzanek E, Windhauser MM, et al. Rationale and design of the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension trial (DASH). A multicenter controlled-feeding study of dietary patterns to lower blood pressure. *Ann Epidemiol*. 1995;5(2):108–118.
- Voils CI, King HA, Neelon B, et al. Characterizing weekly self-reported antihypertensive medication nonadherence across repeated occasions. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2014;8:643–650.
- Berwick DM, Murphy JM, Goldman PA, Ware JE Jr, Barsky AJ, Weinstein MC. Performance of a five-item mental health screening test. *Med Care*. 1991;29(2):169–176.
- McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr. Construction and validation of an alternate form general mental health scale for the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey. *Med Care*. 1995;33(1):15–28.

32. McCabe CJ, Thomas KJ, Brazier JE, Coleman P. Measuring the mental health status of a population: a comparison of the GHQ-12 and the SF-36 (MHI-5). *Br J Psychiatry*. 1996;169(4):516–521.
33. Rumpf HJ, Meyer C, Hapke U, John U. Screening for mental health: validity of the MHI-5 using DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric disorders as gold standard. *Psychiatry Res*. 2001;105(3):243–253.
34. Friedman B, Heisel M, Delavan R. Validity of the SF-36 five-item Mental Health Index for major depression in functionally impaired, community-dwelling elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53(11):1978–1985.
35. Cuijpers P, Smits N, Donker T, ten Have M, de Graaf R. Screening for mood and anxiety disorders with the five-item, the three-item, and the two-item Mental Health Inventory. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009;168(3):250–255.
36. Veit CT, Ware JE Jr. The structure of psychological distress and well-being in general populations. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1983;51(5):730–742.
37. Gagne JJ, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Levin R, Schneeweiss S. A combined comorbidity score predicted mortality in elderly patients better than existing scores. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64(7):749–759.
38. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Royal Statist Soc B*. 1995;57(1):289–300.
39. Thissen D, Steinberg L, Kuang D. Quick and easy implementation of the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for controlling the false positive rate in multiple comparisons. *J Educ Behav Stat*. 2002;27(1):77–83.
40. McAdam-Marx C, Bellows BK, Unni S, et al. Determinants of glycaemic control in a practice setting: the role of weight loss and treatment adherence (The DELTA Study). *J Clin Pract*. 2014;68(11):1309–1317.
41. Sjosten N, Nabi H, Westerlund H, et al. Effect of depression onset on adherence to medication among hypertensive patients: a longitudinal modelling study. *J Hypertension*. 2013;31(7):1477–1484; discussion 1484.
42. Gonzalez JS, Peyrot M, McCarl LA, et al. Depression and diabetes treatment nonadherence: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(12):2398–2403.
43. Gonzalez JS, Safren SA, Delahanty LM, et al. Symptoms of depression prospectively predict poorer self-care in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2008;25(9):1102–1107.
44. McKellar JD, Humphreys K, Piette JD. Depression increases diabetes symptoms by complicating patients' self-care adherence. *Diabetes Educ*. 2004;30(3):485–492.
45. Voils CI, Sandelowski M, Dahm P, et al. Selective adherence to antihypertensive medications as a patient-driven means to preserving sexual potency. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2008;2:201–206.
46. Laba TL, Brien JA, Jan S. Understanding rational non-adherence to medications. A discrete choice experiment in a community sample in Australia. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2012;13:61.
47. Gonzalez JS, Schneider HE, Wexler DJ, et al. Validity of medication adherence self-reports in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(4):831–837.

## Patient Preference and Adherence

### Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focuses on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to optimize

clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest for the journal. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal>

Dovepress