REVIEW

Managing gastroesophageal reflux disease – comparative efficacy and outcomes of dexlansoprazole MR

Jeanetta W Frye David A Peura

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Virginia Health Sciences Center, Charlottesville, VA, USA **Abstract:** The management of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) has been revolutionized with the development of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Unfortunately, due to the inherent pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of conventional PPIs, many patients continue to suffer from symptoms related to GERD despite appropriate use of PPIs. Dexlansoprazole MR is a PPI with a unique dual delayed-release delivery system that has been designed to address the unmet needs in GERD management. Specifically, dexlansoprazole MR addresses limitations with short plasma half-life and need for meal-associated dosing, characteristic of conventional PPIs. In addition, dexlansoprazole MR has been shown to be effective in several specific clinical situations. These include coadministration with clopidogrel, healing of all grades of erosive esophagitis, improvement in reflux-related quality of life, step down to once-per-day dosing, and treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infections. Furthermore, dexlansoprazole MR has been found to induce symptom improvement in patients with nonerosive esophageal reflux disease, nocturnal heartburn and GERD-related sleep disturbance, and regurgitation. Overall, dexlansoprazole MR is a unique and useful tool in the management of GERD. **Keywords:** GERD, PPI, NERD

Definition of GERD

The definition of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) has been an evolving one. Initially felt to be synonymous with tissue damage, it has been recognized that many patients with GERD experience a strong symptom-based component (including heartburn and regurgitation) in the absence of endoscopic and histologic evidence of injury. With the rise in prevalence of reflux disease, as well as varying manifestations of the disorder, a concise definition was felt to be necessary both to define the problem and to address management recommendations for patients and providers. In 2006, the Montreal consensus defined GERD as "a condition which develops when the reflux of stomach contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications."¹ Using this unifying definition, treatment for patients with GERD should focus on management of both complications from reflux and significant symptoms relative to the disorder.

Epidemiology of GERD

A recently updated review on the epidemiology of GERD, incorporating studies from 1997 to 2011, found the prevalence of GERD in the USA to be 18.1%–27.8%.² Similarly, the prevalence of GERD in South America was 23%. Europe and the Middle East had a similar range of prevalence. In Europe, the prevalence was 8.8%–25.9%, while in the Middle East, the prevalence ranged from 8.7% to 33.3%. Interestingly,

Correspondence: Jeanetta W Frye Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Virginia Health Sciences Center, PO Box 800708, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA Tel +1 434 924 1623 Fax +1 434 244 9463 Email jwf5v@virginia.edu

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com
Dovepress

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S66680

1649

^{© 2015} Fye and Peura. This work is published by Dove Medical Press Limited, and licensed under Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. Permissions by ond the scope of the License are administered by Dove Medical Press Limited, and license they are compared to the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. Permissions by ond the scope of the License are administered by Dove Medical Press Limited, Information on how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php

there was a tendency for GERD to be more prevalent in Northern Europe than Southern Europe. In contrast, East Asia and Australia demonstrated a lower prevalence, with the prevalence in East Asia ranging from 2.5% to 7.8% and that in Australia was 11.6%.³

Risk factors and pathogenesis of GERD

There are many factors that contribute to the development of GERD. Obesity, particularly abdominal obesity, has been shown to significantly increase the risk of GERD presumably by elevating intra-abdominal pressure.⁴ Aging may also play a role, as older patients often experience more severe esophageal mucosal damage.⁵ Just as the definition of GERD has changed over time, the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of the disease have evolved. These include abnormalities in the function of the gastroesophageal junction, including the impact of transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation, lower esophageal sphincter hypotension, and hiatal hernia, as well as decreased esophageal acid clearance and reflux related to the postprandial acid pocket.⁴

Current management of GERD

Current approaches for the management of GERD focus on lifestyle interventions as well as pharmacologic therapy. Traditional recommendations for lifestyle modifications have included weight loss, head-of-bed elevation, and avoidance of meals 2–3 hours prior to sleep. Elimination of tobacco and alcohol, as well as dietary changes including avoidance of chocolate, caffeine, spicy foods, citrus, and carbonated beverages, has also been advocated.^{6,7}

Recent guidelines published by the American College of Gastroenterology and the American Gastroenterological Association have recommended weight loss for overweight patients, as well as head-of-bed elevation and avoidance of meals prior to sleep for GERD patients with nocturnal symptoms, as there is evidence to support these measures.^{6,7} Unfortunately, there have been no studies that have shown clinical improvement in GERD patients with other lifestyle and dietary modifications, and patient-specific changes are recommended, rather than broadly advocating these measures for every GERD patient.

Current American College of Gastroenterology and American Gastroenterological Association guidelines advocate the use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in both treatment and maintenance of healing of erosive esophagitis. Patients with nonerosive disease are advised to use either H2 receptor antagonists or PPIs for symptom relief.^{6,7}

Unmet needs in GERD management

Clearly, the management of GERD has improved significantly with the development of PPIs. However, many patients still suffer from continued symptoms despite PPI therapy due to the inherent pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic limitations of conventional PPIs.8-11 For example, in a survey of 1,013 GERD patients, 35% of patients taking once-daily PPI therapy reported that their current PPI regimen failed to provide complete symptom relief. In a community-based survey of 617 GERD patients, 42% of those taking once-daily therapy supplemented their PPI with other medication - either over-the-counter or prescription medication, and 22% took PPI twice daily.9 This trend is not exclusive to a Western population. Data from the GERD in Asia Pacific Survey evaluated 450 GERD patients and found that 45% of respondents reported limited improvement in nocturnal symptoms, and 49% had continued symptoms that required additional therapy.¹²

Review of pharmacology, mode of action, and pharmacokinetics of dexlansoprazole MR

PPIs are weak bases that become trapped in acidic environments, undergo acid-catalyzed rearrangement, and subsequently irreversibly bind to and inhibit the active proton pump of the parietal cell.¹³ Acid secretion is restored when new proton pumps are converted from their inactive state to their active form.¹⁰ Conventional PPIs do not entirely eliminate acid secretion; however, single doses of these agents typically inhibit 70%-80% of active pumps.10 While the development of PPIs revolutionized the management of acid-related disorders, unfortunately, there are inherent limitations with traditional PPIs, and many patients suffer from continued symptoms despite PPI therapy as noted above. The drawbacks of conventional PPI therapy primarily involve limitations in the underlying pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the drugs.11 This is manifested in the need for mealtime dosing and the short half-lives of these drugs. Dexlansoprazole MR has been designed to effectively address these issues by prolonging PPI pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles.14

Problem #1: conventional PPIs have a short half-life, often resulting in break-through symptoms and necessitating more frequent dosing

Dexlansoprazole is the *R*-enantiomer of lansoprazole.¹⁵ It is highly protein bound and undergoes elimination via

hepatic biotransformation. The R-enantiomer is subsequently associated with decreased clearance compared to the S-enantiomer; however, this is not the sole mechanism that extends the effect of dexlansoprazole MR, as the elimination half-life of dexlansoprazole is similar to other PPIs (approximately 1–2 hours).^{14,16–18} It is the delivery system, rather than the inherently slower hepatic clearance, that prolongs the plasma residence time of dexlansoprazole MR. The dual delayed-release technology incorporates two distinct sets of enteric-coated granules that are designed to offer two distinct, pH-dependent releases of drug. After ingestion, the gelatin capsule containing the granules dissolves in the stomach, and the first set of granules (~25% of the drug dose) is released into the proximal duodenum (pH 5.5). This results in an early rise in plasma concentration (1-2 hours), similar to other PPIs. The remaining granules (75%) are designed to be released in the distal small intestine (pH 6.75), resulting in a second concentration peak at 4-5 hours after ingestion. This delivery system provides a prolonged duration of acid suppression and helps to limit the necessity for more than once-daily dosing (Figure 1).^{14,17–19} A randomized, open-label, two-period crossover study compared the pharmacodynamic effects of single-dose dexlansoprazole MR 60 mg and esomeprazole 40 mg on 24-hour intragastric pH in healthy adult subjects. Results showed the average 24-hour intragastric pH following a single dose of dexlansoprazole MR was higher compared to a single dose of esomeprazole (58% versus 48%; P=0.0003) The most profound difference was noted in the second half of the day, presumably due to the longer duration of action of dexlansoprazole MR.²⁰

Problem #2: for maximum efficacy, typical PPIs must be dosed prior to meals

Parietal cell proton pumps are activated with meals, and the majority of pumps are inserted with the morning meal. Typical PPIs inhibit stimulated acid secretion, and are recommended to be taken prior to food consumption, particularly 30-60 minutes prior to the morning meal, in order to maximize acid suppression. This requirement can be burdensome for many patients and can lead to issues with continued symptoms. On the other hand, due to its prolonged duration of action, dexlansoprazole MR inhibits both basal and stimulated gastric acid secretion, and is effective regardless of food consumption.²¹ Using a randomized, open-label crossover study design to evaluate the impact of food on the pharmacodynamics of dexlansoprazole MR, fasting subjects were given placebo 30 minutes before, 5 minutes before, or 30 minutes after a high-fat breakfast on day 1, and on day 3 were given dexlansoprazole MR for each crossover period. Dexlansoprazole plasma concentrations were measured on day 3 and on days 1 and 3. Twenty-four-hour intragastric pH was also measured. No clinically meaningful differences in pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic measures between any of the periods were observed. The investigators concluded that food as well as timing of intake of dexlansoprazole MR dosing relative to a meal did not impact the drugs ability to inhibit acid.²² An additional randomized, open-label,

Combines two types of enteric-coated granules, each with a different pH-dependent release for extended duration of acid suppression

Release 1

Granule 1 comprises 25% of total dose and is released at pH 5.5 within 1–2 hours of dosing



Release 2 Granule 2 comprises 75% of total dose and is released at pH 6.75 4–5 hours after dosing

Figure I Dexlansoprazole dual delayed-release technology releases drug in two phases.

Note: Data from Behm BW, Peura DA. Dexlansoprazole MR for the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;5:439-445.14

four-way crossover study evaluated 48 healthy subjects receiving dexlansoprazole MR 60 mg once daily 30 minutes before breakfast, lunch, dinner, or an evening snack. There were no clinically meaningful differences in mean 24-hour intragastric pH, or in the percentage of time 24-hour intragastric pH which was greater than 4, implying the lack of effect of mealtime during the day on acid control.²³

Safety, efficacy, and tolerability of dexlansoprazole MR

Dexlansoprazole MR is well tolerated, and has been shown to have a safety profile similar to lansoprazole. Data from 4.270 patients from six controlled studies and a 12-month safety study showed fewer adverse events per 100-patient months in the dexlansoprazole MR group compared to the lansoprazole and placebo groups (15.64-18.75 versus 21.06 and 24.49, respectively).²⁰ Additionally, fewer patients receiving dexlansoprazole MR discontinued therapy, when compared to placebo (P < 0.05).²⁴ No concerning histologic findings were noted on gastric biopsies.²⁴ The most frequent adverse event was diarrhea, followed by abdominal pain, nausea, upper respiratory tract infection, vomiting, and flatulence.¹⁷ Figure 2 outlines the most common adverse events seen in patients during the dexlansoprazole MR clinical trials. Additionally, there are several potential risks associated with PPIs as a class. These include risk of Clostridium difficile and other enteric infections, possible increased risk of communityacquired pneumonia in short-term users, and an unclear association between bone fracture and PPI use.7

Dexlansoprazole MR and clopidogrel

There is continued debate regarding the potential of PPIs to reduce the effectiveness of clopidogrel. A recent randomized, open-label, two-period crossover study examined the effects of four different PPIs (including dexlansoprazole MR, lansoprazole, omeprazole, and esomeprazole) on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of clopidogrel.²⁵ All PPIs were found to decrease the peak plasma concentration of the active metabolite of clopidogrel; however, dexlansoprazole MR was found to have the least effect. Additionally, dexlansoprazole MR did not significantly decrease the area under the curve for clopidogrel active metabolites, and more importantly, did not significantly interfere with the action of clopidogrel on platelet function. The study concluded that among PPIs, dexlansoprazole MR and lansoprazole could be selected to minimize any effect of the PPI-clopidogrel interaction.25

Dexlansoprazole MR and erosive esophagitis

Erosive esophagitis is a significant complication of GERD. PPIs have been shown to be the most effective treatment for healing erosive esophagitis, and have been shown to maintain healing.²⁶ In two identical, double-blind, randomized controlled trials, the role of dexlansoprazole MR in healing erosive esophagitis was examined. Patients from 188 US centers and 118 non-US centers with endoscopically confirmed erosive esophagitis (at least 30% of participants had moderate or severe LA Grade C or D esophagitis) were randomized to 8 weeks of one of three treatment groups: dexlansoprazole

Adverse event (%)	Placebo (n=896)	Dexlansoprazole 30 mg (n=455)	Dexlansoprazole 60 mg (n=2,218)	Dexlansoprazole total (n=2,621)	Lansoprazole 30 mg (n=1,363)
Diarrhea	2.9	5.1	4.7	4.8	3.2
Abdominal pain	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	2.6
Nausea	2.6	3.3	2.8	2.9	1.8
URTI	0.8	2.9	1.7	1.9	0.8
Vomiting	0.8	2.2	1.4	1.6	1.1
Flatulence	0.6	2.6	1.4	1.6	1.2

Treatment-emergent adverse events ≥2% incidence (%)

Figure 2 Most common adverse events: dexlansoprazole vs lansoprazole.

Note: Copyright © 2009. Dove Medical Press. Adapted from Wittbrodt ET, Baum C, Peura DA. Delayed release dexlansoprazole in the treatment of GERD and erosive esophagitis. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2009;2:117–128.¹⁷

Abbreviation: URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.

MR 60 mg or 90 mg or lansoprazole 30 mg. The proportion of patients who demonstrated complete endoscopic healing of erosive esophagitis over 8 weeks was the primary efficacy endpoint. Life table analysis in the intention-to-treat population indicated that dexlansoprazole MR healed 92%-95% of patients versus 86%-92% healed by lansoprazole. Individual study results using more conservative statistical methods, crude rate analysis, demonstrated that esophagitis healing rates for both 60 mg and 90 mg dexlansoprazole MR doses at week 8 were superior to lansoprazole in one study, while 60 mg dexlansoprazole MR was non-inferior, and 90 mg was superior to lansoprazole in the other study.²⁶ In an integrated analysis, healing of moderate-to-severe (LA Grades C and D) esophagitis was significantly greater with dexlansoprazole MR 90 mg than lansoprazole. All treatment groups had good symptom control and tolerated study medications.²⁶

Dexlansoprazole MR has not only been shown to be effective in providing initial symptom relief and healing of erosive esophagitis, but it has also been shown to provide continued symptom relief and maintain endoscopic healing in patients with erosive esophagitis. A Phase III, randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled, 6-month trial evaluated the efficacy of dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and 60 mg daily in maintaining healed erosive esophagitis and providing symptom relief. Patients who had participated in one of the two esophagitis healing trials and achieved complete mucosal healing were eligible to participate. The primary efficacy endpoint was the percentage of patients who maintained healed esophagitis for 6 months as assessed by endoscopy. Dexlansoprazole MR was significantly more effective in maintaining healed erosive esophagitis than placebo. The cumulative rates of maintaining healing over 6 months using the intention-to-treat population and timeto-event (life table) analysis were 74.9% and 82.5% in the dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and 60 mg groups, respectively, compared with 27% in the placebo group. Additionally, both dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and 60 mg were highly effective in relieving patient symptoms. Median percentages of 24-hour heartburn-free days during the 6-month trial were 96% and 91% in patients receiving dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and 60 mg, respectively, compared to 29% with placebo.21

Dexlansoprazole MR and nonerosive esophageal reflux disease

Nonerosive esophageal reflux disease (NERD) is a term used to describe symptoms suggestive of GERD in patients with no endoscopic evidence of esophagitis.¹⁸ Treatment of

patients with NERD can be challenging, as many patients may not respond to traditional PPI therapy. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study compared a 4-week course of dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and 60 mg with placebo in patients with NERD. Patients enrolled in the study were required to have symptoms of heartburn for at least 6 months prior to enrollment, as well as symptoms of heartburn for ≥ 4 out of 7 days prior to randomization. These patients were also required to have no evidence of esophageal erosions on endoscopy. The primary endpoint of the study was the percentage of 24-hour heartburn-free days. Results showed a significant difference in symptom relief (as assessed by 24-hour heartburn-free days) in patients receiving dexlansoprazole MR versus those receiving placebo (54.9% and 50% versus 18.5%, respectively; P>0.00001).¹⁸ Additionally, a significantly greater percentage in the dexlansoprazole MR groups (30 mg and 60 mg) achieved sustained resolution of heartburn by the end of treatment compared with placebo (59% and 42% versus 14% respectively; *P*<00001).¹⁸

A systematic review and indirect comparison of randomized controlled trials comparing the effectiveness of dexlansoprazole MR and esomeprazole in patients with NERD suggested significantly better symptom control in NERD patients treated with dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg versus esomeprazole 20 mg or 40 mg.²⁷

Dexlansoprazole MR and body mass index

A higher body mass index (BMI) is a strong and independent risk factor for the development of GERD, as well as for complications of the disease, such as erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, and esophageal adenocarcinoma.²⁸ Only a small number of studies have investigated the effect of BMI on response to PPI therapy. Results from these studies have been conflicting, with some studies showing a positive impact of BMI on response to PPI therapy and other showing no effect or a negative effect of BMI on PPI therapy.²⁸ A 2012 study of both NERD patients and patients with erosive esophagitis confirmed the association between a higher BMI and severity of esophagitis as well as increasing GERD symptoms, measured by a symptom diary.²⁸ NERD patients with higher BMI treated with dexlansoprazole MR tended to receive more benefit in symptom relief when compared to those with a lower BMI. This benefit was significantly more pronounced in patients with erosive esophagitis treated with dexlansoprazole MR. Moreover, healing rates in patients treated with dexlansoprazole but not lansoprazole were higher in obese patients compared with those with a BMI < 30 kg/m².²⁸ The study concluded that both NERD patients and erosive esophagitis patients were more symptomatic with increasing BMI. While this was a trend in patients with NERD, this was statistically significant for patients with erosive esophagitis. While all patients benefited from dexlansoprazole MR treatment, those with a higher BMI appeared to have the greatest benefit.²⁸

Dexlansoprazole MR, nocturnal heartburn, and GERD-related sleep disturbances

Many patients with GERD suffer from nocturnal symptoms and associated sleep disturbance. In fact, up to 89% of patients with GERD suffer from nocturnal symptoms.²⁹ Dexlansoprazole MR has been found to be effective in reducing these nighttime symptoms. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluated the effect of dexlansoprazole 30 mg for relief of nocturnal symptoms over a 4-week period in patients with three or more nights of moderate-to-severe heartburn per week, prior to randomization. The secondary endpoint of the study was to assess the efficacy of dexlansoprazole MR for improvement of GERD-related sleep disturbance. Dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg was significantly better than placebo in decreasing nocturnal symptoms, with 73.1 heartburn-free nights in the dexlansoprazole group versus 35.7 heartburn-free nights in the placebo group (P < 0.0001).²⁹ Additionally, a greater percentage of patients in the dexlansoprazole group (47.5%) had relief of nocturnal heartburn symptoms over the last week of the study period compared to placebo (19.6%; P < 0.001).²⁹ Finally, dexlansoprazole was found to be effective at reducing GERD-related sleep disturbance (69.7% in the dexlansoprazole group versus 47.9% in the placebo group; P<0.001).²⁹

Dexlansoprazole MR and step down to once-per-day therapy

Many patients with GERD have symptoms that are not well controlled on once-daily PPI therapy. A 2009 survey of 619 patients with GERD found that 22.2% of GERD patients were using a bid PPI regimen due to uncontrolled GERD symptoms, or persistent nocturnal symptoms.⁹ The ability to decrease dosing, while maintaining symptom control, would be beneficial for many reasons, including convenience, compliance, and cost. A multicenter, single-blind study evaluated the efficacy of dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg once daily in maintaining control of heartburn in patients whose symptoms were previously well controlled on twice-daily PPI. The study found that 88% of patients maintained symptom control after stepping down from twice-daily therapy to once-daily dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg.³⁰

Dexlansoprazole MR and regurgitation

Regurgitation is a significant symptom manifestation of GERD, and unfortunately, is often not adequately treated with traditional PPIs. In fact, regurgitation may be an important factor in patients who experience incomplete response to PPI treatment.³¹ Symptoms of regurgitation were recently evaluated in a post hoc analysis of NERD and erosive esophagitis patients receiving dexlansoprazole MR, placebo, or lansoprazole. This study utilized the PAGI-SYM (Patient Assessment of Upper Gastrointestinal-Symptom Severity) questionnaire to assess the effectiveness of PPI therapy on symptoms of regurgitation. NERD patients with at least mild symptoms of regurgitation received dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg, 60 mg, or placebo for 4 weeks. At weeks 2 and 4, both dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg and dexlansoprazole 60 mg provided a statistically significant improvement in regurgitation symptoms compared with placebo. Patients with erosive esophagitis and at least mild regurgitation received either dexlansoprazole MR 60 mg or lansoprazole 30 mg. There was a statistically significant improvement in regurgitation symptoms in the week 4 dexlansoprazole group compared with lansoprazole. Both groups had similar improvement in regurgitation symptoms at week 8.32

Dexlansoprazole MR and quality of life

Dexlansoprazole MR has been shown to improve several health-related quality of life measures in GERD patients. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel group study comparing dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg to placebo found a significantly greater decrease in overall impairment of work productivity and impairment of regular activities in the dexlansoprazole MR group, as well as reduced number of impaired work hours when compared to placebo.²⁹

A study of 178 patients with well-controlled GERD symptoms on twice-daily PPI who were subsequently switched to masked dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg daily and placebo for 6 weeks observed a statistically significant improvement in quality of life scores (P=0.16) (PAGI-QOL – Patient Assessment of Upper Gastrointestinal Disorders-Quality of Life) in patients with well-controlled symptoms on dexlansoprazole MR 30 mg.³⁰

Dexlansoprazole MR and Helicobacter pylori

There are very limited data on the use of dexlansoprazole MR in the treatment of H. pylori infections. A recent prospective, open-label, single-center pilot study of 13 patients evaluated the efficacy of dual therapy (amoxicillin 1 g bid and dexlansoprazole MR 120 mg bid) in the treatment of H. pylori infections. The authors theorized that maintaining a nonacidic environment with the use of dexlansoprazole MR would prevent bacteria from converting to a non-replicative state, and improve eradication rates. The results, however, were disappointing, and the study was stopped early due to a high failure rate - the eradication rate was only 53%.³³ Whether treatment with more frequent doses of amoxicillin, as has been used in other studies, would have resulted in better cure of infection remains to be seen.³⁴ Although further studies are needed to define the role of dexlansoprazole MR in the management of *H. pylori*, presumably, it should be as effective as other PPIs when used as a part of traditional treatment regimens.

Comparison of dexlansoprazole MR and other PPIs

While there are no direct comparisons of dexlansoprazole MR with PPIs other than lansoprazole, an indirect comparison of randomized controlled trials of dexlansoprazole in the treatment of erosive esophagitis healing, maintenance of healed erosive esophagitis, and treatment of NERD suggested better treatment effect of dexlansoprazole MR in symptom control in NERD. However, there was no significant difference in erosive esophagitis outcomes.²⁷

Conclusion – dexlansoprazole MR's place in therapy

Dexlansoprazole MR is a PPI with a unique delivery system that extends its duration of action and has been shown to be effective for management of many aspects of GERD symptoms. Its distinctive pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties make it a useful tool in the armamentarium of GERD therapy. Dexlansoprazole MR can be considered for patients with varying manifestations of GERD, including those with a new diagnosis of GERD, erosive esophagitis, or need for maintenance of healing of erosive esophagitis, as well as symptomatic nonerosive GERD. Additionally, patients who require 24-hour symptom relief, experience nocturnal symptoms, have incomplete response to oncedaily conventional PPI therapy, are obese, use clopidogrel, or require dosing flexibility are all excellent candidates for treatment with dexlansoprazole MR (Table 1). Table I Patients for whom dexlansoprazole MR is appropriate

New diagnosis of GERD Healing and maintenance of healing of erosive esophagitis Symptomatic nonerosive GERD Need for 24-hour heartburn symptom relief Need for dosing flexibility Nocturnal heartburn and GERD-related sleep disturbance Step down to once-daily dosing Poor response to once-daily PPI Obese patients Regurgitation Concern for drug–drug interaction – particularly clopidogrel Abbreviations: GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

Disclosure

Dr Peura is a consultant and speaker for Takeda and a consultant for Pfizer. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R, Global Consensus G. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101:1900–1920. [quiz 43].
- El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut.* 2014;63:871–880.
- Dent J, El-Serag HB, Wallander MA, Johansson S. Epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut*. 2005;54:710–717.
- Boeckxstaens G, El-Serag HB, Smout AJ, Kahrilas PJ. Symptomatic reflux disease: the present, the past and the future. *Gut.* 2014;63: 1185–1193.
- 5. Becher A, Dent J. Systematic review: ageing and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease symptoms, oesophageal function and reflux oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;33:442–454.
- Kahrilas PJ, Shaheen NJ, Vaezi MF, et al. American Gastroenterological Association Medical Position Statement on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;135:1383–1391, 1391. e1–e5.
- Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013;108:308–328. [quiz 29].
- Chey WD, Mody RR, Izat E. Patient and physician satisfaction with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs): are there opportunities for improvement? *Dig Dis Sci.* 2010;55:3415–3422.
- Chey WD, Mody RR, Wu EQ, et al. Treatment patterns and symptom control in patients with GERD: US community-based survey. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009;25:1869–1878.
- Katz PO, Scheiman JM, Barkun AN. Review article: acid-related disease – what are the unmet clinical needs? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2006;23(suppl 2):9–22.
- Shin JM, Kim N. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the proton pump inhibitors. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013;19:25–35.
- Goh KL, Choi MG, Hsu WP, et al. Unmet treatment needs of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Asia: gastroesophageal reflux disease in Asia Pacific Survey. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;29:1969–1975.
- Schubert ML, Peura DA. Control of gastric acid secretion in health and disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134:1842–1860.
- Behm BW, Peura DA. Dexlansoprazole MR for the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011;5:439–445.

- Katsuki H, Yagi H, Arimori K, et al. Determination of R(+)- and S(-)lansoprazole using chiral stationary-phase liquid chromatography and their enantioselective pharmacokinetics in humans. *Pharm Res.* 1996;13:611–615.
- Abel C, Desilets AR, Willett K. Dexlansoprazole in the treatment of esophagitis and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2010;44:871–877.
- Wittbrodt ET, Baum C, Peura DA. Delayed release dexlansoprazole in the treatment of GERD and erosive esophagitis. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2009;2:117–128.
- Fass R, Chey WD, Zakko SF, et al. Clinical trial: the effects of the proton pump inhibitor dexlansoprazole MR on daytime and nighttime heartburn in patients with non-erosive reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;29:1261–1272.
- Vakily M, Zhang W, Wu J, Atkinson SN, Mulford D. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a known active PPI with a novel dual delayed release technology, dexlansoprazole MR: a combined analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2009;25: 627–638.
- Kukulka M, Eisenberg C, Nudurupati S. Comparator pH study to evaluate the single-dose pharmacodynamics of dual delayed-release dexlansoprazole 60 mg and delayed-release esomeprazole 40 mg. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2011;4:213–220.
- Metz DC, Howden CW, Perez MC, Larsen L, O'Neil J, Atkinson SN. Clinical trial: dexlansoprazole MR, a proton pump inhibitor with dual delayed-release technology, effectively controls symptoms and prevents relapse in patients with healed erosive oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29:742–754.
- 22. Lee RD, Vakily M, Mulford D, Wu J, Atkinson SN. Clinical trial: the effect and timing of food on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of dexlansoprazole MR, a novel dual delayed release formulation of a proton pump inhibitor evidence for dosing flexibility. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29:824–833.
- 23. Lee RD, Mulford D, Wu J, Atkinson SN. The effect of time-of-day dosing on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of dexlansoprazole MR: evidence for dosing flexibility with a dual delayed release proton pump inhibitor. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;31:1001–1011.
- Peura DA, Metz DC, Dabholkar AH, Paris MM, Yu P, Atkinson SN. Safety profile of dexlansoprazole MR, a proton pump inhibitor with a novel dual delayed release formulation: global clinical trial experience. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;30:1010–1021.

- 25. Frelinger AL 3rd, Lee RD, Mulford DJ, et al. A randomized, 2-period, crossover design study to assess the effects of dexlansoprazole, lansoprazole, esomeprazole, and omeprazole on the steady-state pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of clopidogrel in healthy volunteers. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:1304–1311.
- 26. Sharma P, Shaheen NJ, Perez MC, et al. Clinical trials: healing of erosive oesophagitis with dexlansoprazole MR, a proton pump inhibitor with a novel dual delayed-release formulation results from two randomized controlled studies. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29:731–741.
- Wu MS, Tan SC, Xiong T. Indirect comparison of randomised controlled trials: comparative efficacy of dexlansoprazole vs esomeprazole in the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;38:190–201.
- Peura DA, Pilmer B, Hunt B, Mody R, Perez MC. The effects of increasing body mass index on heartburn severity, frequency and response to treatment with dexlansoprazole or lansoprazole. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;37:810–818.
- 29. Fass R, Johnson DA, Orr WC, et al. The effect of dexlansoprazole MR on nocturnal heartburn and GERD-related sleep disturbances in patients with symptomatic GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106:421–431.
- Fass R, Inadomi J, Han C, Mody R, O'Neil J, Perez MC. Maintenance of heartburn relief after step-down from twice-daily proton pump inhibitor to once-daily dexlansoprazole modified release. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;10:247–253.
- Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Hughes N. Response of regurgitation to proton pump inhibitor therapy in clinical trials of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106:1419–1425. [quiz 26].
- Peura DA, Pilmer B, Hunt B, Mody R, Perez MC. Distinguishing the impact of dexlansoprazole on heartburn vs regurgitation in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;38: 1303–1311.
- Attumi TA, Graham DY. High-dose extended-release lansoprazole (dexlansoprazole) and amoxicillin dual therapy for Helicobacter pylori infections. *Helicobacter*. 2014;19:319–322.
- Yang JC, Lin CJ, Wang HL, et al. High-dose dual therapy is superior to standard first-line or rescue therapy for helicobacter pylori infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;13(5):895–905.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal

Dovepress