#### Open Access Full Text Article

#### REVIEW

1131

# Prevalence and severity of pain in adult end-stage renal disease patients on chronic intermittent hemodialysis: a systematic review

#### Tonci Brkovic<sup>1</sup> Eliana Burilovic<sup>2</sup> Livia Puljak<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Split, <sup>3</sup>Department of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Laboratory for Pain Research, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia **Objectives:** Understanding the epidemiology of pain in patients on hemodialysis (HD) is crucial for further improvement in managing pain. The aim of this study was to systematically review available evidence on the prevalence and severity of pain in adult end-stage renal disease patients on chronic intermittent HD.

**Materials and methods:** We carried out a systematic review of the literature and developed a comprehensive search strategy based on search terms on pain and HD. We searched the databases MEDLINE, Scopus, PsycINFO, and CINAHL from the earliest date of each database to July 24, 2014. Manuscripts in all languages were taken into consideration. Two authors performed each step independently, and all disagreements were resolved after discussion with the third author. The quality of studies was estimated using the STROBE checklist and Cochrane risk-of-bias tool.

**Results:** We included 52 studies with 6,917 participants. The prevalence of acute and chronic pain in HD patients was up to 82% and 92%, respectively. A considerable number of patients suffered from severe pain. Various locations and causes of pain were described, with most of the studies reporting pain in general, pain related to arteriovenous access, headache, and musculoskeletal pain.

**Conclusion:** The findings of this systematic review indicate high prevalence of pain in HD patients and considerable gaps and limitations in the available evidence. Pain in this population should be recognized as a considerable health concern, and the nephrology community should promote pain management in HD patients as a clinical and research priority to improve patients' quality of life and pain-related disability.

Keywords: pain, hemodialysis, prevalence, intensity, epidemiology

#### Introduction

The prevalence of chronic kidney disease is increasing worldwide, and is expected to continue increasing.<sup>1</sup> There are five stages of chronic kidney disease, with endstage renal disease (ESRD) its final stage. With worsening of their kidney disease, patients develop many complications associated with a high risk of comorbidities and mortality.<sup>2–4</sup> Therefore, health care professionals caring for ESRD patients should aim not only to extend patients' life span but also improve their quality of life.<sup>5</sup> ESRD patients of all ages also have poor quality of life.<sup>6–8</sup> One of the most important qualitative parameters for evaluating patients' quality of life is bodily pain.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, it is important to understand and relieve bodily pain in this population, in order to improve their quality of life and quality of care.

ESRD is defined as loss of renal function requiring renal replacement therapy with any form of chronic dialysis or transplantation or occasionally conservative

Patient Preference and Adherence 2016:10 1131-1150

© 2016 Brkovic et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creative.commons.org/license/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Correspondence: Livia Puljak Laboratory for Pain Research, University of Split School of Medicine, Šoltanska 2, 21000 Split, Croatia Tel +385 21 557 807 Fax +385 21 557 811 Email livia@mefst.hr



management in the elderly or those with significant comorbidities.<sup>10–12</sup> Incidentally, acute kidney injury requiring dialysis is not considered ESRD unless renal function fails to recover.<sup>13</sup>

Pain is common in ESRD patients.<sup>14</sup> Based on data from surveys, when asked, up to 50%–60% of dialysis patients admit to feeling pain, often very severe and not effectively managed, although many will not mention this to their doctors at clinic visits.<sup>14,15</sup> Pain is the major cause of depression, disturbed sleep patterns, impaired dialysis adequacy (if unable to endure full sessions), and likeliness of withdrawal from dialysis.<sup>16</sup>

Therefore, the objectives of this systematic review were to provide an updated analysis of epidemiological studies on pain in patients on hemodialysis (HD), to use both systematic and narrative methods to provide an objective summary of the literature, to assess study quality, and to provide recommendations for practice and research. Understanding the epidemiology of pain in patients on HD is crucial for further improvements in managing pain.

## Materials and methods

A systematic review of literature was carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the Center for Reviews and Dissemination<sup>17</sup> and the MOOSE study.<sup>18</sup> A priori protocol of the systematic review was designed and registered in the PROSPERO database (registration number CRD42015024894).

#### Inclusion/exclusion criteria

We included all studies that reported epidemiology of pain in HD patients. Case reports and interventional studies reporting the effectiveness of interventions for the treatment of pain, as well as studies concerning peritoneal dialysis patients, continuous dialysis procedures, any other non-HD renal replacement therapy (eg, renal transplantation), plasmapheresis, children as participants and psychological studies concerning HD pain, were not included.

#### Search strategy and record screening

The databases MEDLINE, Scopus, PsycINFO, and CINAHL were searched from the earliest date of each database to July 24, 2014, with the help of a library information specialist. The complex search strategy was initially designed for MEDLINE (Table 1), and was then thoroughly adapted for each database. There were no publication type limits. Studies in any language were considered. The search results were exported to the EndNote X7.4 program (Thomson Reuters,

#### Table I MEDLINE search strategy

- I) (h?emodialy\$ or h?emo\$filtrat\$ or ultrafiltrat\$).tw
- 2) exp Renal Dialysis/or exp Hemofiltration/or exp Hemodiafiltration/ or exp Ultrafiltration
- 3) I or 2
- 4) (pain\$ or dolo?r or hurt\$ or ache or aching or pang? or \$algia or \$dynia or discomfort or nocicept\$ or analge\$ or an?esthe\$ or pain?kill\$ or antihyperalg\$ or algesi\$ or anguish\$ or suffer\$).tw
- 5) exp Pain/ or exp Nociception/ or exp Analgesia/ or exp Anesthesia/ or exp Anesthetics/ or exp Analgesics/ or exp Stress, Psychological/ or exp Stress, Physiological/
- 6) 4 or 5
- 7) 3 and 6

New York, NY, USA), and duplicates were removed. Titles and abstracts of records retrieved by bibliographic search were initially screened by two authors (TB and EB) independently. Disagreements were resolved by the third author (LP). Once agreement was reached, the full text of each potentially eligible study was retrieved and analyzed by two authors independently. References and citations of included studies were downloaded from the Web of Science and screened by two authors independently (TB and EB) to identify additional citations that may have been missed through electronic database-search methods.

#### Data extraction

A data-extraction form was designed specifically for the study, and piloted and applied to all patients treated with HD without separation of individual subgroups. The following data were extracted: type of study, manuscript language, country, number of patients, age, sex, and race/ethnicity of patients, time of HD, type of pain studied, time recall for pain assessment, prevalence of pain, causes of pain, pain-intensity measuring tool, and pain-intensity results.

## Assessment of study quality

STROBE checklist was used<sup>19</sup> for assessing the quality of observational studies, where each of the 22 points of the STROBE criteria was assigned equal weight, and a total score was calculated. The Cochrane risk-of-bias tool was used<sup>20</sup> for randomized controlled trials.

## Results

#### Search results

The database search yielded a total of 16,057 (MEDLINE 8,907, Scopus 6,639, CINAHL 425, PsycINFO 86) records. Based on the screening, the authors assessed that 63 full-text studies could contain relevant data. Analysis of full texts indicated that a total of 52 studies met our a priori inclusion criteria and were included in this review (Figure 1). Characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 2. The aim of the current review was to summarize epidemiological findings, and thus the summary of data using meta-analysis was not conducted. The findings were synthesized and described systematically.

#### Excluded studies

Eleven studies were excluded for various reasons: reporting headache and cramps as number and percentage of sessions with the clinical event without specifying number of affected patients;<sup>21</sup> reporting prevalence of various handicaps of HD patients, but no information about pain prevalence;<sup>22</sup> not presenting results separately for patients on different types of dialysis;<sup>23</sup> seven were interventional studies with no data on baseline prevalence of pain;<sup>24–30</sup> and one was a case report.<sup>31</sup>

#### Included studies

A total of 52 studies with 6,917 patients (range 15–591) were included. Studies were grouped according to the

type of pain investigated, including prevalence of pain in general, prevalence by location, including pain related to arteriovenous (AV) access, headache, limb pain, chest pain, abdominal pain, and "other and procedural" pain, as well as such causes of pain as musculoskeletal pain, ischemic pain, and neuropathic pain.

A total of 49 studies were observational, including one letter to the editor, which contained original data that were extracted.<sup>32</sup> Data about baseline pain prevalence were extracted from three interventional studies as well.<sup>33–35</sup> All studies were published in peer-reviewed journals except one, which was freely available on an institution's Web site.<sup>35</sup>

Included studies were published between 1972 and 2014, and 39 of them were published in English. Studies were published in French,<sup>35–37</sup> Italian,<sup>38</sup> Portuguese,<sup>39,40</sup> Serbian,<sup>41,42</sup> and Spanish.<sup>43–47</sup> Studies were conducted mainly in Europe and North America (Table 2), while the remaining studies were conducted in South America,<sup>21,25,39,48–51</sup> Asia,<sup>33,52–54</sup> and Africa.<sup>24,37</sup> Distribution of age, ratio of male to female, and time on HD were very heterogeneous between the studies (Table 2). Race/ethnicity of patients was reported by only 13 studies (Table 2).



Figure I Study flow diagram.

Study	Language	Country	c	Average age	Sex: % of	Time on HD <sup>a</sup>	Race/ethnicity (%)	Painful condition studied
	)			(years) <sup>a</sup>	males			
Aitken et al <sup>55</sup>	English	Scotland	449	<b>60.5±0.72</b>	56.6	5.19±2.3 years (range	Not reported	AVF pain: acute pain on cannulation and
						o-27) (unite in on creation of AVF)		critoric pain between diarysis sessions (most days for at least 4 weeks)
Alessandri et al <sup>78</sup>	English	ltaly	24	58±4	63	HDH patients:	Not reported	HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)
				(range 41–75)		37±3 months		
						HDH-free:		
						45±4 months		
Al-Hilali et al <sup>33</sup>	English	Kuwait	40	48.6±I 4.9	38	34.5±2.7 months	Not reported	Intradialytic symptoms; baseline measure was
André et al <sup>21</sup>	English	Brazil	SHD 36	SHD 36±15	SHD 64	SHD 57±36 months	B/W:	Intradialysis symptoms during last 6 months,
	D		DHD 5	DHD 41±12	DHD 100	DHD 50±21 months	SHD 19/17	including headache
							DHD 2/3	)
Antoniazzi et al <sup>39</sup>	Portuguese	Brazil	50	60% aged 20-49;	56	42.4 months	Not reported	All patients had headaches strictly related to
				38% ≥50				HD sessions (IHS 1988 criteria)
Antoniazzi et al <sup>48</sup>	English	Brazil	123	48.2	58	42.2 months	Not reported	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 1988 criteria)
Antoniazzi et al <sup>49</sup>	English	Brazil	132	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 1988 criteria)
Bana et al <sup>72</sup>	English	NSA	44	38±I9	73	Not reported	Not reported	All types of headache during HD
Barakzoy and	English	NSA	143	Not reported for	37	Not reported	White: 83	Current pain at the time of survey
Moss <sup>15</sup>				all participants			Black: 17	
Barrett et al <sup>79</sup>	English	Canada	147	54土17	61	3.7±3.2 years	Not reported	Somatic symptoms in HD patients (time recall
								for pain unclear)
Binik et al <sup>61</sup>	English	Canada	80 (53 HD,	45.3	61	Range 1.4–2.8 years	Not reported	All types of pain on and off dialysis (time frame
			27 T×)					for reporting pain not reported)
Bouattar et al <sup>36</sup>	French	Morocco	67	43.5±12.9	42	I 22±67 months	Not reported	Chronic pain of all types (duration above
						(range 3–312)		3 months)
Bourbonnais and	English	Canada	25	3 1–80	60	Range	Not reported	Pain either during HD or upon returning home
Tousignant <sup>57</sup>				68% >61		3 months–30 years		
Braz and Duarte <sup>40</sup>	Portuguese	Brazil	162	47.3	58	44.1 months	Caucasian: 26	Musculoskeletal manifestations in HD patients
							Non-Caucasian: 74	(time recall for pain unclear)
Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Spanish	Spain	27	66.7±13.6	48	66.4±61.3 months	Not reported	Intradialytic and chronic pain (definition of
:								chronic pain not reported)
Caplin et al <sup>60</sup>	English	ХŊ	508	Median 64	54	Median 37 months	White: 45	Symptoms specifically experienced during the
						(range 18–64)	Black: 29	HD session
							South Asian: 20	
							Other: 2	
Caplin et al <sup>34</sup>	English	ЛК	519	63 (range 50–75)	56	Median 32 months	White: 37	All symptoms specifically experienced during
						(range 13–52.5)	Black: 34	the HD session
							South Asian: 15	
							Chinese: 7	
							Other: 7	

Bone/joint pain over the past 7 days Shoulder-pain syndrome on long-term HD	(time frame for reporting pain not reported)	Physical symptoms over the prior week	Chronic LBP (duration above 3 months)			Chronic pain of all types (duration above	3 months), pain in previous 24 hours,	and current pain		Chronic pain of all types (duration above	3 months)				Dialysis patients' symptom burden, including	pain (time frame for reporting pain not	reported)	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	Evaluation of pain before, during, and after	dialysis	All types of headache, HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)				Pain's epidemiology and characteristics in	chronic HD, including AVF puncture pain	Chronic bone pain (>3 months)		Pain in HD patients: instant (24 hours), acute	(>3 days), and chronic $(>3$ months)	All patients had MS symptoms at the time	of the study	Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic	pain not reported)
White: 64 Not reported		White: 26 African-American: 35	Not reported			Caucasian: 78.5	Asian: 7.8	African: 1.5	Other: 5.9	Caucasian: 78.4	Asian: 7.8	First Nations: 6.4	African: 1.5	Other: 5.9	White: 72.6	Aboriginal: 11.3	Others: 16.1	Not reported	Not reported		Not reported				Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		Not reported	
4.4±5.8 years 182 months	(range 120-210)	4±4 years	Median (range) With I BP.	Vithout LBP. VVithout LBP.	I.5 years (0–29)	45.0 <u>+</u> 38.5 months				33.2±49.4 months	Median 15.6 months				3.3±2.8 years			32.9±22.8 months	Not reported		HDH patients:	57.2±60.4 months	HDH-free:	49.2±44.1 months	82±56 months	(range 4–252)	Median 48 months	(range 15–300)	5.21±3.89 years		Median 24 months	(range 2–276)	Not reported	
67 73		55	51			58				58					9.3			59	Not reported		65				45		52		Not reported		48		73	
59±14 Median 45	(range 31–59)	59	51.9±14.8			60±16.9				60±16.9					61.3±16.3			46.22±14.3	Not reported		Range 20–86				55.3±13.3		53.9±I 4.3	(range 20–82)	51.5	(range 22–78)	56.04±16.77		<b>68</b> <u>+</u> 33	(range 33–88)
د/ 15		62	205			205				205					591			177	35		143				66		95		95		50		100	
UK UK		NSA	Brazil			Canada				Canada					Canada			Brazil	Spain		Serbia				Morocco		Turkey		Turkey		Turkey		ltaly	
English English		English	English			English				English					English			English	Spanish		Serbian				French		English		English		English		Italian	
Carreon et al <sup>80</sup> Chattopadhyay	et al <sup>81</sup>	Claxton et al <sup>62</sup>	Cristofolini et al <sup>50</sup>			Davison <sup>14</sup>				Davison et al <sup>82</sup>					Davison et al <sup>83</sup>			Jesus et al <sup>si</sup>	Armendáriz et al <sup>44</sup>		Djurić et al <sup>41</sup>				El Harraqui et al <sup>37</sup>		Elsurer et al <sup>84</sup>		Er et al <sup>85</sup>		Fidan et al <sup>86</sup>		Fortina et al <sup>38</sup>	

1135

-90 -75) -75 -75 -75 -75 -79)	Study	Language	Country	c	Average age	Sex: % of	Time on $HD^{a}$	Race/ethnicity (%)	Painful condition studied
old et al <sup>10</sup> English         Nutzerland         123         Range 36-90         61         32 year (mage         Not reported           real <sup>10</sup> English         Turkey         20         44±16         22         5±38 years         Not reported           real <sup>10</sup> English         Turkey         20         44±16         22         5±38 years         Not reported           real <sup>110</sup> English         transe         20         44±16         22         5±38 years         Not reported           real <sup>110</sup> English         transe         12         373±13.8         60.2         99±40.9         Not reported           real <sup>110</sup> English         USA         45         47.242.0         Not reported           real <sup>110</sup> English         Distant         43         39±40.9         norts           real <sup>110</sup> English         Distant         43         41.20         Not reported           real <sup>110</sup> English         Distant         4.3         37±13.9         Not reported           et al <sup>110</sup> English         Distant         2.4         Not reported         Attan-American 91.4           et al <sup>110</sup> English         Distant					(years) <sup>a</sup>	males			
	Gamondi et al <sup>87</sup>	English	Switzerland	123	Range 36–90	61	3.5 years (range 1–22)	Not reported	Pain during the past 4 weeks
$ \left[ e  e^{1/3} \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	Goksan et al <sup>88</sup>	English	Turkey	63	44±10	52	5±3.8 years	Not reported	All patients HDH (IHS 1988 and 2003 criteria)
etal       (arge 15-75)       ( $7.7\pm12$ monts         etal       English       Israel       100       645       45       41.3323 monts         etal*       English       USA       128       57.3 $\pm 13.8$ 602       39.9 $\pm 40.9$ monts       Anb: 19         etal*       English       USA       128       57.3 $\pm 13.8$ 602       39.9 $\pm 40.9$ monts       Anb: 31.9         etal*       English       USA       45       53       Not reported       Not reported         atal*       English       USA       45       808       60.2       39.9 $\pm 40.9$ monts       Anb: 31.3         ise etal*       English       USA       45       802       57       44 monts       Not reported         ise atal*       English       USA       45       80.9       23       34.8 $\pm 18$ monts       Not reported         ise atal*       English       USA       166       58.4 (arage 5-7.9)       23       24.8 monts       Not reported         ise atal*       English       Iran       26       33.7 $\pm 19.4$ 23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23       23	Goksel et al <sup>59</sup>	English	Turkey	250	44.9±16.9	42	HDH patients:	Not reported	Prevalence of all types of headache;
etal <sup>3</sup> English       Israel       100       645       45       45       41:220 months       Anab. 31         etal <sup>3</sup> English       USA       128       57.3±13.8       60.2       39.9±40.9 months       Anab. 31         etal <sup>9</sup> English       USA       128       57.3±13.8       60.2       39.9±40.9 months       Anab. 31         etal <sup>9</sup> English       USA       42       S7.3±13.8       60.2       39.9±40.9 months       Anab. 69         etal <sup>9</sup> English       USA       45       S7.3±13.8       60.2       39.9±40.9 months       Anab. 31         etal <sup>9</sup> English       USA       45       S7       39.9±40.9 months       Anab. 31         etal <sup>9</sup> English       USA       45       S7       S9.9±40.9 months       Anän or Pacific         if eral <sup>9</sup> English       USA       45       S7       S4       Nor reported         if eral <sup>9</sup> English       USA       165       6.3       37.21.9       S1       Atian of Pacific         if etal <sup>9</sup> English       Iran       26       53.4±1.4       41.8       S1.4±1.6       Nor reported         stitea cal <sup>9</sup> English       Iran </td <td></td> <td>)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(range 15–75)</td> <td></td> <td>47.7±42 months</td> <td></td> <td>further studies only patients with headache</td>		)			(range 15–75)		47.7±42 months		further studies only patients with headache
etallaEnglishIsrael10064.54541.3132months $etallaUSA12857.3±13860.239.9±40.9Non-Arab 69etallaEnglishUSA12857.3±13860.239.9±40.9Non-Arab 69etallaEnglishUSA4557.3±13860.239.9±40.9Non-Arab 69etallaEnglishUSA4553Not reportedNot reportedtallaEnglishUSA42Range 20-7561.939.9±40.9Non trisc 7tallaEnglishPakistan 42Range 20-7561.939.9±40.9Non trisc 7tallaEnglishPakistan 42Range 20-7561.939.9±40.9Not reportedtallaEnglishPakistan 4216.861.9Not reportedNot reportedtallaEnglishPalan16.653.4±14.441.854.9ansNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2633.7±21.92344 monthsNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2633.7±21.92344 monthsNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2633.7±21.92344 monthsNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2635.4±14.441.854.54.9ansNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2635.4±14.441.854.54.9ansNot reportedtallaEnglishIran2653.4±14.441.8$					)		Control:		experiences during HD sessions and in the
et all et all tet allEnglishIsrael100645454546Ad:42.0 monthsArab: 31et all et all tet allUSA128 $57.34138$ 60.2 $39.940.9$ monthsArab: 49et all tet allUSA128 $57.34138$ 60.2 $39.940.9$ monthsArica-Americane 91.4et all tet allEnglishUSA4553Nor reportedArica-Americane 31.3et all tet allEnglishUSA16560.95244 monthsNor reportedille et all tet allEnglishUSA16560.95244 monthsNor reportedille et all tet allEnglishUSA16560.9539 monthsNor reportedille et all tet allEnglishJam16658.4 (range579 yearsNor reportedille et all tet allEnglishJam16653.7221.92349.432.956Nor reportedat an oth tat andEnglishSerbia31853.7221.92349.432.956Nor reportedat all tat andEnglishSerbia31853.7221.92349.432.956Nor reportedat all tat andEnglishSerbia31855.194.15.56.349.432.956Nor reportedat all tat andEnglishSerbia31855.194.15.56.349.432.956Nor reportedat all tat andEnglishSerbia31851.44.441.8							41.3±32 months		72 hours after a session (IHS 2004 criteria)
et al <sup>10</sup> English USA 128 57.3±138 60.2 39.9±40.9 months MincarAmerican: 91.4 (ange 3-2.04) Mon-American: 91.4 (inge 3-2.46) Mon-American: 91.4 (inge 3-2.46) Mon-American: 91.4 (inge 3-2.46) Mon-American: 91.4 (inge 3-2.47) Mon-American: 91.4 (inge 3-3.46) Mon-Am	Golan et al <sup>52</sup>	English	Israel	001	64.5	45	40.4±42.0 months	Arab: 31	Chronic pain (duration above 3 months)
et al       English       USA       128 $57,3\pm13.8$ 60.2 $39,440.9$ months       African-American: 91.4         et al       English       USA       45       53       Not reported       Not reported       Not reported         et al       English       USA       45       53       Not reported       Not reported       Not reported         if et al       English       USA       165       60.9       52       44 months       Not reported       Not reported         if et al       English       Lan       26       53,414.4       41.8       Asians: 1.2       Asians: 1.2         if et al       English       Lan       26       584 (ange       57       9 years       Not reported         if et al       English       Lan       26       35,721.9       23       48.818       Not reported         if et al       English       Lan       26       35,721.9       23       9 years       Not reported         if et al       English       Lan       26       53,414.4       41.8       54.45,9487       Not reported         if et al       English       Lan       26       33,43.279       Not reported       Not reported							(range 3–204)	Non-Arab: 69	
et al <sup>16</sup> English     USA     45     53     Not reported     Not reported       et al <sup>16</sup> English     USA     45     53     Not reported     Not reported       if et al <sup>17</sup> English     USA     45     64     Not reported     Not reported       if et al <sup>17</sup> English     USA     165     60.9     20-75     64     Not reported       if et al <sup>13</sup> English     Dish     165     584 (range     57     9 years     Not reported       ifie et al <sup>13</sup> English     Iran     26     35.721.9     23     44.100ths     Not reported       ifie et al <sup>13</sup> English     Iran     26     35.721.9     23     94.84.8     Not reported       atmet et al <sup>16</sup> English     Iran     26     33.721.9     23     94.84.8     Not reported       atmet et al <sup>16</sup> English     Iran     26     33.721.9     23     94.84.8     Not reported       atmet et al <sup>18</sup> English     Iran     26     33.74.14.4     41.8     54.45.9     Not reported       atmet et al <sup>18</sup> English     Serbia     Serbia     318     55.19.4.15.5     6.3     9.44.9.2.5     Not reported       voic et al <sup>18</sup> English <td< td=""><td>Harris et al<sup>89</sup></td><td>English</td><td>NSA</td><td>128</td><td>57.3±13.8</td><td>60.2</td><td>39.9±40.9 months</td><td>African-American: 91.4</td><td>Pain during HD treatment (excluding needle</td></td<>	Harris et al <sup>89</sup>	English	NSA	128	57.3±13.8	60.2	39.9±40.9 months	African-American: 91.4	Pain during HD treatment (excluding needle
et al <sup>10</sup> English USA 45 53 Not reported 412 years Not reported tach in the indication of the indicati					(range 24–86)			White: 7	insertion) and at times between dialysis
transform the field of the fie								Asian or Pacific	treatment (nondialysis days) during past month
et al tet al inEnglishUSA4553Not reported4.2 yearsNot reported $tal$ EnglishUSA1656.095.244 monthsNot reported $tal$ EnglishUSA1656.095.244 monthsNot reported $tal$ EnglishJapan166584 (range579 yearsNot reportedlike et al isEnglishJapan166584 (range579 yearsNot reportedsince et al isEnglishIran2.635.7±1.92.334.8±18 monthsNot reportedsince et al isEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.25Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.25Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.25Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.25Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.25Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.854.4±3.255Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.874.3±3.556Not reportedstate et al itsEnglishItaly9.56.34±1.444.1.874.3±3.556Not reported								Islander: 1.6	
tea <sup>11</sup> English Pakistan 4.2 Range 20–75 64 Not reported Not Not reported Not reported Not Not reported Not Not reported Not Not reported Not reported Not Not Not Reported Not Not reported Not Not Not Reported Not Not Reported Not Not Reported Not Not Not Not Reported Not Not Not Reported Not Not Reported Not	lacono et al <sup>90</sup>	English	NSA	45	53	Not reported	4.2 years	Not reported	In-center HD chronic pain
le ca $^{12}$ English USA 165 60.9 52 44 months African-American 33.3 Vine: 63 Vine: 64 Vine:	Khan et al <sup>91</sup>	English	Pakistan	42	Range 20–75	64	Not reported	Not reported	Symptomatology in ESRD patients on HD
like et al <sup>13</sup> English Japan 166 584 (range 57 9 years Vinte. 63 Asian: 1.2 Coher: 2.5 Asian: 1.2	Kimmel et al <sup>92</sup>	English	NSA	165	60.9	52	44 months	African-American: 33.3	Symptoms of patients on HD, including pain
like et al <sup>3</sup> English Japan 166 584 (range 57 9 years Other: 2.5		I						White: 63	(time frame for reporting pain not reported)
like et al <sup>3</sup> EnglishJapan166584 (range579 yearsOther: 2.5et al <sup>4</sup> EnglishIran26 $35.7421.9$ 23 $34.84.8$ monthsNot reportedattrace et al <sup>2</sup> EnglishIran26 $35.7421.9$ 23 $34.84.8$ monthsNot reportedattrace et al <sup>3</sup> EnglishIran26 $35.7421.9$ 23 $34.84.8$ monthsNot reportedattrace et al <sup>3</sup> EnglishIraly95 $63.44.14.4$ $41.8$ $54.4.5$ yearsNot reportedvić et al <sup>38</sup> EnglishSerbia318 $55.19\pm15.5$ $63$ $49.34\pm9.56$ monthsNot reportedvić et al <sup>38</sup> EnglishSerbia126 $58 (range 20-79)$ $62$ $HDH$ patients:Not reportedvić et al <sup>49</sup> EnglishCanada77 $52\pm2$ $53$ $33.4\pm63.29$ monthsNot reportedvical <sup>39</sup> EnglishCanada77 $52\pm2$ $53$ $35.01\pm53.17$ Not reportedvical <sup>39</sup> EnglishSpain38 $65\pm14.8$ $47$ $65.13\pm76.5$ monthsNot reporteduez CaleroSpaishSpain32 $6.7\pm13.6$ $53$ $51.3\pm78.5$ monthsNot reporteduez CaleroSpaishSpain32 $6.7\pm13.6$ $53$ $6.7\pm13.6$ $53$ $51.3\pm78.5$ monthsuez CaleroSpaishSpain32 $6.7\pm13.6$ $53$ $51.3\pm78.5$ monthsNot reporteduez CaleroSpaishSpain32 $6.7\pm13.6$ $53$								Asian: I.2	
like et al <sup>33</sup> English Japan 166 58.4 (range 57 9 years Not reported et al <sup>44</sup> English Iran 26 35.7 $\pm 2.19$ 23 34.4 B months Not reported Jante et al <sup>32</sup> English Iran 26 35.4 \pm 14.4 4.1 8 54.5 years Not reported wir et al <sup>13</sup> English Italy 95 6.3.4 \pm 14.4 4.1 8 5.4.5 years Not reported wir et al <sup>13</sup> English Serbia 318 55.19 \pm 15.5 6.3 HDH patients: Not reported wir et al <sup>13</sup> English Serbia 126 58 (range 20–79) 6.2 HDH patients: Not reported tal <sup>14</sup> Serbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20–79) 6.2 HDH patients: Not reported tal <sup>12</sup> English Canada 77 5.2 \pm 2 3 3.5.6.3 \pm 3.5.0.4 + 10 H. et al <sup>13</sup> English San 38 6.5 \pm 14.8 4.7 6.5.1 \pm 7.8.5 months HDH free: 5.6.3 \pm 1.7 Not reported tal <sup>13</sup> te al <sup>13</sup> English San 33 6.5 \pm 1.4.8 4.7 6.5.1 \pm 7.8.5 months HDH free: 5.6.3 \pm 1.7 Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 33 6.5 \pm 1.4.8 4.7 6.5.1 \pm 7.8.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5 \pm 1.4.8 4.7 6.5.1 \pm 7.8.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5 \pm 1.4.8 5.1 \pm 7.6.6 + 7.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5 \pm 1.4.8 5.1 \pm 7.6.6 + 7.5 + 7.5.6 + 7.5 +								Other: 2.5	
te $al^{14}$ English Iran 26 35.7±21.9 23 (range 0.3-22.7) Not reported ante et $al^{12}$ English Iran 26 35.7±21.9 23 (ange 9-75 months) Not reported ante et $al^{12}$ English Italy 95 6.34±14.4 41.8 5±4.5 years Not reported wić et $al^{16}$ English Serbia 318 55.19±15.5 6.3 HDH patients: Not reported tal <sup>12</sup> Serbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20-79) 6.2 HDH patients: Not reported tal <sup>12</sup> Serbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20-79) 6.2 HDH patients: Not reported te $al^{13}$ English Canada 77 5.2±2 5.3 3±6.3.29 months te $al^{13}$ English Serbia 318 6.5±14.8 47 6.5.13±78.5 months uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5±14.8 47 6.5.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5±14.8 47 6.5.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 6.5±14.8 5.3 Pain patients: Not reported $al^{12}$ $al^{12}$ $blin Patients: Not reported al^{13} blin Patients: Not reportedal^{13} blin Patients: Not reportedal^{14} blin Patients: Not reportedblin Patients: Not reported$	Konishiike et al <sup>53</sup>	English	Japan	166	58.4 (range	57	9 years	Not reported	Shoulder pain at the time of survey in long-
et al <sup>54</sup> English Iran 26 $3.57\pm1.9$ 23 $3.43\pm1.8$ months Not reported dance et al <sup>12</sup> English Italy 95 $6.34\pm14.4$ $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported vić et al <sup>13</sup> English Serbia 318 $55.19\pm15.5$ $6.3$ HDH patients: Not reported $49.43\pm3.5.6$ months HDH $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported $49.43\pm3.2.5.19\pm15.5$ $6.3$ HDH $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported $49.43\pm3.2.5.19\pm15.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ $5.3$ HDH $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported $41.8$ $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported $42.43\pm3.2.5.19\pm15.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ Not reported $10\pm1^{10}$ et al <sup>13</sup> English Canada 77 $5.2\pm2$ $5.1$ $3.5\pm0.4$ years Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 38 $65\pm14.8$ $47$ $6.13\pm78.5$ months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 $6.5\pm14.8$ $47$ $6.13\pm78.5$ months Not reported $10\pm6.0.3$ months Spain $2.2\pm0.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ months Not reported $10\pm0.5\pm0.3$ months Spain $5.2\pm0.5$ $5.19\pm15.5$ months Not reported $5.1\pm15.5$ months Spain $5.5\pm0.5$ $5.10\pm5.5$ months Spain $5.5\pm0.5$ $5.10\pm5.5$ months Spain $5.5\pm0.5$ $5.10\pm5.5$ months Spain $5.5\pm0.5$ $5.10\pm5.5$ months Spain $5.5\pm0.5$ $5.1\pm0.5$ $5.1\pm0$					35–78)		(range 0.3–22.7)		term HD patients
lance et al <sup>12</sup> English Italy 95 $6.34\pm14.4$ 41.8 $5\pm4.5$ months) Ance et al <sup>13</sup> English Italy 95 $6.34\pm14.4$ 41.8 $5\pm4.5$ years Not reported wic et al <sup>13</sup> English Serbia 318 55.19\pm15.5 6.3 HDH patients: Not reported 49.43\pm39.56 months HDH Artee: 55.63\pm53.25 months HDH Patients: Not reported 73.34\pm63.29 months HDH Patients: Not reported 49.43\pm39.56 months HDH Patients: Not reported 65.63\pm53.17 Not reported 126 58 (range 20-79) 6.2 HDH patients: Not reported 13.34\pm63.29 months HDH Patients: Not reported 13.34\pm63.29 months HDH Patients: Not reported 13.5\pm0.4 years Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 $6.5\pm14.8$ 47 $6.513\pm78.5$ months Not reported 13.5\pm0.4 years Not reported 61.5\pm60.3 months 126 $6.5\pm13.6$ 53 $6.5\pm13.6$ 53 $6.5\pm13.6$ 53 $6.5\pm13.7$ Not reported 13.5\pm0.4 years Not reported 14.5\pm0.3 months Not re	Malaki et al <sup>54</sup>	English	Iran	26	35.7±21.9	23	34.8±18 months	Not reported	Leg pain present at least for three to four
Jante et al ante et al s EnglishEnglishItaly95 $6.3 \pm 14.4$ $4.18$ $5\pm 4.5$ yearsNot reportedvić et al s EnglishSerbia318 $55.19\pm 15.5$ $6.3$ HDH patients:Not reported49.43±39.56MDH-free: S5.63±53.2HDH-free: S5.63±53.2Not reportedttal²SerbiaSerbia126 $58 (range 20-79)$ $6.2$ HDH patients:Not reportedttal²SerbiaSerbia126 $58 (range 20-79)$ $6.2$ HDH patients:Not reportedttal²SerbiaSerbia126 $58 (range 20-79)$ $6.2$ HDH patients:Not reportedttal²SerbiaSerbia126 $58 (range 20-79)$ $6.2$ $73.34t63.29$ monthsNot reportedtet al³EnglishCanada77 $52.42$ $53$ $3.540.4$ yearsNot reporteduez CaleroSpanishSpain32 $6.7\pm 13.6$ $53$ $6.7\pm 13.6$ $6.7\pm 13.6$ $6.7\pm 13.6$ uez CaleroSpanishSpainSpainNot reported $6.7\pm 13.6$ $6.7\pm 13.6$ $6.7\pm 13.6$ $73.2\pm 0.4$							(range 9–75 months)		times per week, persisting for several weeks
vić et al <sup>18</sup> English Serbia 318 55.19±15.5 63 HDH patients: Not reported 49.43±39.56 months HDH-free: 99.43±39.56 months HDH-free: 55.63±53.2 months HDH-free: 55.63\pm53.2 months HDH-free: 55.63\pm53.	Mercadante et al <sup>32</sup>	English	Italy	95	63.4±14.4	41.8	5±4.5 years	Not reported	Chronic pain (IASP 1986 criteria)
49.43±39.56 months         HDH-free:         55.63±53.2         HDH-free:         55.63±53.2         HDH-free:         55.63±53.2         HDH-free:         55.63±53.2         HDH-free:         55.63±53.2         HDH-free:         73.34±63.29 months         HDH-free:         73.34±63.29 months         HDH-free:         59.01±53.17         vet al <sup>93</sup> English       Canada         77       52±2       53         35±0.4 years       Not reported         uez Calero       Spanish       Spain         spanish       Spain       85         bain free:       Sol.1±53.17         Not reported       St.1±6.13.6         Not reported       St.1±6.03         uez Calero       Spanish         Spanish       St.1±6.03         Spanish       St.1±6.03         St.1±6.03       St.1±6.03         St.1±6.03       St.1±6.03	Milinković et al <sup>58</sup>	English	Serbia	318	55.19±15.5	63	HDH patients:	Not reported	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)
t al <sup>42</sup> Serbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20–79) 62 HDH-free: 55.63±55.22 months 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 59.01±53.17 Not reported 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 59.01±53.17 Not reported 65.13±78.5 months Not reported 65.13±78.5 months Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain-free: 70,00000000000000000000000000000000000							49.43±39.56 months		
tt al <sup>12</sup> Serbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20–79) 62 HDH patients: Not reported 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 59.01±53.17 Not reported 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 59.01±53.17 Not reported 65.13±7 spain 38 65±14.8 47 65.13±78.5 months Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain free: 70.000 months Pain free:							HDH-free:		
t al <sup>1<sup>2</sup></sup> 5erbian Serbia 126 58 (range 20–79) 62 HDH patients: Not reported 73.34±63.29 months HDH-free: 59.01±53.17 Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 38 65±14.8 47 65.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 66.7±13.6 53 Pain patients: Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain free:							55.63±53.2		
HDH-free:       59.01±53.17         6 t al <sup>93</sup> English       Canada       77       52±2       53       3.5±0.4 years       Not reported         uez Calero       Spanish       Spain       38       6.5±14.8       47       6.5.13±78.5 months       Not reported         uez Calero       Spanish       Spain       32       6.6.7±13.6       53       Pain patients:       Not reported         uez Calero       Spanish       Spain       32       6.6.7±13.6       53       Pain patients:       Not reported         uez Calero       Spanish       Spain       32       6.6.7±13.6       53       Pain patients:       Not reported	Nikić et al <sup>42</sup>	Serbian	Serbia	126	58 (range 20–79)	62	HDH patients: 73.34±63.29 months	Not reported	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)
r et al <sup>93</sup> English Canada 77 52±2 53 3.5±0.4 years Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 38 6.5±14.8 47 6.5.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 66.7±13.6 53 Pain patients: Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain-free:							HDH-free: 59.01+53.17		
uez Calero Spanish Spain 38 65±14.8 47 65.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 66.7±13.6 53 Pain patients: Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain-free:	Parfrey et al <sup>93</sup>	English	Canada	77	52±2	53	3.5±0.4 years	Not reported	Nonspecific symptoms currently experienced
uez Calero Spanish Spain 38 65±14.8 47 65.13±78.5 months Not reported uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 66.7±13.6 53 Pain patients: Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain-free:									in dialysis patients, including headache
uez Calero Spanish Spain 32 66.7±13.6 53 Pain patients: Not reported 61.5±60.3 months Pain-free	Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>46</sup>	Spanish	Spain	38	65±14.8	47	65.13±78.5 months	Not reported	Current intradialytic pain
61.5±60.3 months Pain-free:	Rodriguez Calero	Spanish	Spain	32	66.7±13.6	53	Pain patients:	Not reported	Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic
Pain-free:	et al <sup>45</sup>						61.5±60.3 months		pain not reported)
							Pain-free:		

Brkovic et al

Chronic and intradialytic pain (definition of chronic pain not reported)	Pain in the HD population, including pain on needle insertion (no details about the type of cannulation or pain reporting period)	AV-access puncture pain (rope-ladder technique)	Symptoms, including pain, in previous 7 days	Note: 'Average age and time on hemodialysis presented as mean or mean ± SD, unless indicated differently. Abbreviations: AV, arteriovenous; AVF, arteriovenous fistula: BWV, black/white ratio: DHD, daily hemodialysis; ESRD, end-stage renal disease: HD, hemodialysis; HDH, HD headache: IASP, International Association for the Study of Pain: IHS, International Headache Society: LBP, low-back pain; MS, musculoskeletal; SD, standard deviation; SHD, standard HD: Tx, transplant.
Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Black: 48 White: 5 I Asian: 1	hemodialysis; HDH, HD he
Pain patients: 60.5±58.5 Pain-free: 45.7±44.2 months	Not reported	47 months, median 25 months	4±4.1 years	ıd-stage renal disease; HD,   'x, transplant.
48	Not reported	73	62	Ferently. hemodialysis; ESRD, er n; SHD, standard HD; T
66.7±13.6	Not reported	66.8±I3.I	61.9	<b>Note:</b> "Average age and time on hemodialysis presented as mean or mean ± SD, unless indicated differently. <b>Abbreviations:</b> AV, arteriovenous; AVF, arteriovenous fistula: B/W, black/white ratio: DHD, daily hemodialysis; ESRD, end-stage renal IHS, International Headache Society; LBP, Iow-back pain; MS, musculoskeletal; SD, standard deviation; SHD, standard HD; Tx, transplant.
27	156	66	179	is mean or mean ± îstula; B/W, black/ MS, musculoskeleta
Spain	NSA	France	NSA	ialysis presented a F, arteriovenous f P, low-back pain; N
Spanish	English	French	English	id time on hemoc rteriovenous; AV łache Society; LBI
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>47</sup>	Shayamsunder et al <sup>94</sup>	Vergne et al <sup>35</sup>	Weisbord et al <sup>95</sup>	Note: <sup>a</sup> Average age an Abbreviations: AV, a IHS, International Head

Pain in hemodialysis

#### Prevalence and severity of pain in general

Nineteen studies with 2,377 patients (range 27–591) that were included examined the general prevalence of pain, both chronic and acute, in the analyzed cohorts of HD patients (Table 3). The reported prevalence of chronic pain ranged from 33% to 82%, while the prevalence of acute pain (current pain, intradialytic pain, pain during the past 4 weeks) ranged from 21% to 92% (Table 3).

Rodriguez Calero et al showed very high prevalence of intradialytic pain, with only 8% of patients reporting no pain at all. Analgesics were prescribed to 18% of patients, and the Pain Management Index (PMI) showed clear undertreatment of pain, which was more accentuated among patients who reported more intense pain.<sup>46</sup>

Characteristics of pain were reported by only a few studies. Bouattar et al reported that patients described their chronic pain as continuous (21%), frequent (18%), intermittent (47%), and rare (15%).<sup>36</sup> Severity of pain in general was reported with various pain scales in all studies except one. The pain-assessment scales used in studies reporting general pain were the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), visual analog scale (VAS), PMI, Brief Pain Inventory, modified Edmonton Symptom Assessment System, and the McGill–Melzack Pain Questionnaire (Table 3). While the average reported pain intensity tended to be low, multiple studies indicated high prevalence of patients with moderate or severe pain (Table 3). Reported prevalence of severe/intensive pain ranged from 0%<sup>45</sup> to 76%.<sup>15</sup>

#### Prevalence and severity of AV-access pain

In the included studies, pain related to AV access was described in various terms, relating not only to AV fistula (AVF), which is why the broader term "AV access" is used herein to describe pain reported in these studies.

Ten studies with a total of 1,028 patients (range 25–449) analyzed prevalence and/or severity of pain related to AV access (Table 4). If available, type of AV access was extracted (Table 4). Only two studies provided details about AV access. Aitken et al<sup>55</sup> indicated that there was a trend toward more severe pain with rope-ladder cannulation (27.7%) compared to buttonhole cannulation (18.2%); however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (*P*=0.09). In Vergne et al, some patients had rope-ladder cannulation of AVF, but some also had a graft.<sup>35</sup>

The majority of studies were observational, with two interventional studies reporting baseline pain intensity.<sup>35,56</sup> The prevalence of acute and chronic pain or both was studied, ranging from 12%<sup>57</sup> to 80.2%<sup>52</sup> (Table 4). Severity of pain was not always reported. Different pain-assessment scales

Study	Painful condition studied	Prevalence of general pain (%)	Pain-intensity measurement tool	Pain-intensity results
Barakzoy and Moss <sup>IS</sup>	Current pain of all types at the time of survey	54	SF-MPQ (VAS)	Current pain Moderate or severe: 86% Initial pain Severe: 76%
Bouattar et al <sup>36</sup>	Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months)	50.7	Descriptive verbal scale	Chronic pain Low: 3% Moderate: 41% Severe: 44 Verv severe: 12%
Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Intradialytic and chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not reported)	During the session: 92.5 Off-session: 77.7	VAS, MPQ, PMI	PG, 2010-01-01-01-01 Intradialytic vs chronic pain VAS: 3.28±2.22 vs 2.67±2.13 PMI: 0.81±0.76 vs −0.12±0.94) MPO: similar in both situations
Davison <sup>14</sup>	Chronic pain of all types, (duration above 3 months), pain during past 24 hours, current pain	20	BPI, MPQ	Previous 24 hours Mild (0–4): 17.5 Moderate (5–6): 27.2 Severe (7–10): 55.3 (BPI 7.03±2.40) Current Mild: 44.7 Moderate: 28.2 Severe: 55.3 (BPI 4.99±2.96) MPQ PRI 22.2±13.8, PPI 2.6±1.6, NWC 8.7±5.2
Davison et al <sup>82</sup>	Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months)	50.2	BPI	Moderate or severe: 41.4%
Davison et al <sup>83</sup>	Dialysis patients' symptom burden, including pain (time frame for reporting pain not reported)	72.4	mESAS	Moderate or severe: 46.5%
Armendáriz et al <sup>14</sup> Er et al <sup>85</sup>	Evaluation of pain before, during, and after dialysis Instant pain (24 hours), acute (>3 days), and chronic (>3 months)	71.4 63.1 A: 26 C: 33	VAS ММРQ	VAS results not reported Not specified whether instant or chronic Mild: 28.3 Disturbing: 23.3 Severe: 31.7 Very severe: 10 Intolerable: 6.7
Gamondi et a <sup>lø7</sup>	Pain during the past 4 weeks	66	VAS and BPI	Previous 4 weeks Mild: 17% Moderate: 21% Intense: 61% At the time of interview

				No pain: 68% Mild: 16% Moderate: 8.5% Intense: 6%
Golan et al <sup>52</sup>	Chronic pain (duration above 3 months)	51	BPI	Chronic pain Mild: 49% Moderate: 31.4% Severe: 19.6%
Harris et a <sup>l99</sup>	Pain during HD treatment (excluding needle insertion) and at times between dialysis treatment (nondialysis days) during past months	HD: 30.7 Off HD: 44.1	Modified MPQ	During dialysis: 2.1±3.5 Highest level of pain: 3.9% Between dialyses: 3.1±3.8 Highest level of pain: 7.9%
Kimmel et al <sup>92</sup>	Symptoms of patients on HD, including pain (time frame for reporting pain not reported)	21	Not measured	NA
Mercadante et al <sup>32</sup> Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>46</sup>	Chronic pain (IASP 1986 criteria) Intradialysis pain of all types	48 92	NRS VAS, MPQ, PMI	5.59±1.63 (95% CI 5.12–6.03) Intradialysis pain: 3.31±2.22
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>45</sup>	Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not reported)	82	VAS, MPQ, PMI, BPI	Chronic pain: 2.41±2.13 Severe (VAS >7.5): no case reported
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>47</sup>	Chronic and intradialytic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not reported)	ID: 92 C: 78	VAS, MPQ, PMI, BPI	ID: 3.28±2.22 C: 2.67±2.13
Shayamsunder et al <sup>94</sup>	Pain in ESRD patients treated with chronic HD	During HD: 30 On non-HD days: 44	Not measured	NA
lacono et al <sup>90</sup> Fortina et al <sup>38</sup>	In-center HD chronic pain Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not reported)	60 37	5-point Likert scale VAS	Mean 3.3 Not reported for general pain
Abbreviations: A, acute; BPI, Edmonton Symptom Assessmer	Abbreviations: A, acute: BPI, Brief Pain Inventory: C, chronic: CI, confidence interval; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; IASP, International Association for the Study of Pain; ID, intradialytic pain; mESAS, modified Edmonton Symptom Assessment System; MPQ, McGill Pain Questionnaire; MMPQ, McGill-Melzack Pain Questionnaire; NA, not applicable; NRS, numeric rating scale; NWC, number of words chosen; PMI, Pain Management Index; PPI,	l-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; l. Pain Questionnaire; NA, not applicable; N	ASP, International Association for th AS, numeric rating scale; NWC, num	e Study of Pain; ID, intradialytic pain; mESAS, modified ber of words chosen; PMI, Pain Management Index; PPI,

edmonton symptom Assessment system; וידיכן, וידוכטוו ראות עופגנוסחתורופן, וידוכוטן-וידובע; וידוכוטן-וידובעג ראות עופגנוסחתומורפ present pain intensity; PRI, Pain Rating Index; SF-MPQ, short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire; VAS, visual analog scale.

Table 4 Type of pain s	Table 4 Type of pain studied, prevalence and assessment tools for AV-access pain	cess pain			
Study	Timing and type of AV-access pain studied	Type of cannulation	Prevalence of AV-access pain (%)	Pain-assessment tool for AV-access pain	Pain-intensity results
Aitken et a <sup>ls</sup>	Both acute pain (on cannulation) and chronic pain (between dialysis sessions, most days for at least 4 weeks) related to AVF	Rope-ladder, buttonhole	Any pain AP 25 CP 50 Severe (VAS >5) AP 24 AP 24	VAS, BPI, and MPQ	Pain on cannulation Median 3 (IQR 0.5-4.5) Severe pain 24.4% Between dialyses 0 (IQR 0-1) Cevere pain 3.3%
Binik et al <sup>61</sup>	Acute (current) pain related to fistula	Not reported	On HD 15 Dialysis pain reported by post-Tx 74	Structured interview and MPQ	On HD 3.6
Bourbonnais and Tousignant <sup>57</sup>	Acute needling pain from both insertion of needle and removal	Not reported	12	Not measured	٩Z
Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Both acute intradialytic and chronic pain related to vascular access Definition of chronic pain not reported	Not reported	AP 21.9 CP 21.8	Not measured	٨A
El Harraqui et al <sup>37</sup>	Chronic pain associated with vascular access Chronic pain defined as pain of $>3$ months' duration	Not reported	15.1	Not measured	٩
Golan et al <sup>52</sup>	Pain related to repeated dialysis access cannulation as a form of chronic pain (needle insertion in patients with a fistula or graft)	Not reported	80.2	Not measured	٩
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>46</sup>	Acute intradialytic assessment of pain related to vascular access	Not reported	21	Not measured	٨٨
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>47</sup>	Chronic pain related to vascular access	Not reported	37	Not measured	٩Z
Shayamsunder et al <sup>94</sup>	Pain in ESRD patients treated with chronic HD, including acute intradialytic pain on needle insertion	Not reported	78.4	Not measured	٩
Vergne et al <sup>35</sup>	Acute AVF (natural or PTFE graft) puncture pain	Rope-ladder	57.5	NRS	Low pain 79% Moderate 13% Severe 8%
Abbreviations: AP, acute ca McGill Pain Questionnaire; N/	Abbreviations: AP, acute cannulation pain; AV, arteriovenous; AVF, arteriovenous fistula; BPI, brief pain inventory; CP, chronic cannulation pain; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; IQR, interquartile range; MPQ, McGill Pain Questionnaire; NA, not applicable; NRS, numeric rating scale; PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene; Tx, transplant; VAS, visual analog scale.	eriovenous fistula; BPI, brief pain inventory; CP, chronic cannulation pa PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene; Tx, transplant; VAS, visual analog scale.	chronic cannulation pain; ESRD, end AS, visual analog scale.	-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis;	IQR, interquartile range; MPQ,

were used, including the MPQ, VAS, Brief Pain Inventory, PMI, and numeric rating scale.

#### Prevalence and severity of headache

A total of 24 studies with 3,444 patients (range 24–519) analyzed prevalence and/or severity of headache in HD patients. Some studies looked for headache as part of the overall symptom burden in HD patients; a subset of studies analyzed different types of headache in HD patients, while some analyzed specifically HD headache (HDH) (Table 5). Two studies were interventional,<sup>33,34</sup> and prevalence of headache before the intervention was reported for those studies. The other studies were observational.

Reported prevalence rates of headache in HD patients varied considerably. For presentation of these results, it is important to emphasize that some studies reported prevalence of all headaches, while others reported specifically prevalence of HDH according to International Headache Society (IHS) diagnostic criteria. Some studies reported both. The reported prevalence of all kinds of headaches ranged from 11.8%<sup>36</sup> to 76.1%.<sup>51</sup> The reported prevalence of HDH, diagnosed according to the 1988 or 2004 IHS criteria, ranged from 6.6%<sup>58</sup> to 68%<sup>39</sup> (Table 5).

Severity of headache was assessed with various scales, including descriptive scales, the MPQ, and the VAS. Different severity of headache was observed in the included studies, indicating that headache pain can be very debilitating. Analyzing types of headache, Goksel et al reported average pain intensity on the VAS as  $6.06\pm2.4$ .<sup>59</sup> The prevalence of severe pain ranged from  $36\%^{51}$  to  $88\%^{41}$  (Table 5).

#### Prevalence of limb pain

Six studies with 422 patients (range 26–205) reported the prevalence of lower- and/or upper-limb pain without analyzing causes of that pain. Studied pain was chronic, acute, or both (Table 6). The reported prevalence of chronic lowerleg pain was very similar in the three studies examining this type of pain, while the prevalence of lower-leg pain lasting several weeks was 42% and current intradialytic pain reported by 34% of patients in the three studies that examined it. Chronic upper-limb pain prevalence was more heterogeneous (Table 6). A sixth study reported the prevalence of chronic peripheral neuropathy as 13% without specifying the affected body part.<sup>14</sup> None of the studies reported pain severity of limb pain.

I able 5 Studies of	lable 5 Studies of headache prevalence			
Study	Timing and type of headache studied	Prevalence of headache (%)	Pain-assessment tool for headache	Pain-intensity results
Alessandri et al <sup>78</sup>	HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	HDH: 50	Not described in methods	Most patients had mild or moderate severity of pain
Al-Hilali et al <sup>33</sup>	Intradialytic symptoms, including headache; baseline measure was used for this study	H: 37.5 (before the intervention)	Not measured	NA
André et al <sup>21</sup>	Intradialysis symptoms during last 6 months, including headache	H: 13	Not measured	NA
Antoniazzi et al <sup>39</sup>	All patients had headaches strictly related to HD sessions (IHS	H: 70.7	Not measured	NA
	1988 criteria)	HDH: 68		
		(in the second half of HD: 86)		
Antoniazzi et al <sup>48</sup>	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 1988 criteria)	H: 70.7	Not measured	NA
		(during HD: 57.5) HDH· 28		
Antoniazzi et al <sup>49</sup>	All types of headache: HDH (IHS 1988 criteria)	HDH: 21.2	Not measured	A N
Bana et al <sup>72</sup>	All types of headache during HD	H: 70	Descriptive measure	An average of 6 headache
			of headache severity	units per dialysis
Barrett et al <sup>79</sup>	Somatic symptoms in HD patients, including headache (time	H: 45	Perception of	Mentioned in methods,
	recall for pain unclear)		symptom severity	but results not reported
				(Continued)

Dovepress

Study	Timing and type of headache studied	Prevalence of headache (%)	Pain-assessment tool for headache	Pain-intensity results
Binik et $a^{ 6 }$	All types of pain on and off dialysis, including headache	On HD: 62.3 Off HD: 43 Bennered hv nore-Tv: 66.7	Очм	On HD 6.2 Off HD 7
Bouattar et al <sup>36</sup>	Chronic pain of all types, including headache	H: 11.8	Descriptive verbal scale	Low 3% Moderate 41% Severe 44%
Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Intradialytic and chronic pain, including headache	IH: 31.5 CH: 6.2 IU: 53 4	Not measured	very severe 12% NA
Caplin et al <sup>%</sup>	Symptoms specifically experienced during the HU session, including headache	IH: 53.6	Not measured	AN
Caplin et al <sup>34</sup> Jesus et al <sup>51</sup>	All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	IH: 56 H: 76.1 HDH: 6.7	Not measured VAS	NA Moderate 63.6% VAS ≥8 (severe) 36.4%
Djurić et al⁴	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	H: 19 HDH: 5.6	VAS	VAS >8 (severe) 87.5%
Gamondi et al <sup>87</sup>	Pain duration, intensity, perception, and localization in patients reporting pain during the 4 weeks before the interview	H: 31	Not measured	AN
Goksan et al <sup>88</sup>	All patients HDH (IHS 1988 and 2003 criteria)	HDH: 48	Descriptive scale for intensity of headache	Moderate 73% Severe 27% Very severe 87%
Goksel et al <sup>59</sup>	Prevalence of all types of headache; further studies, only patients with headache experiences during HD sessions and in the 72 hours after a session; IHS 2004 criteria	HDH: 30	VAS	Mean ± SD 6.06±2.4
Golan et al <sup>52</sup> Milinković et al <sup>58</sup>	Chronic pain (lasting 3 months and more), including headache All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	CH: 54.9 HDH: 6.6	Not measured VAS	NA Severe (VAS >8) 52%
Nikić et al <sup>42</sup>	All types of headache; HDH (IHS 2004 criteria)	H: 32.5 HDH: 34	VAS	Mild 14.3% Moderate 50% Severe (VAS >8) 35.7%
Parfrey et al <sup>93</sup>	Nonspecific symptoms experienced in dialysis patients, including headache	H: 41 (during or just after HD 56)	Not reported for HD patients separately	NA
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>47</sup>	Intradialytic and chronic pain, including headache	IH: 31.5 CH: 6.2	Not measured	AN
Weisbord et al <sup>95</sup>	Physical and emotional symptoms in maintenance-HD patients, including headache	H: I9	DSI	Mean 3.03

Study	Painful condition studied	Prevalence of limb pain (%)
Bouattar et al <sup>36</sup>	Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months),	UL 74
	including upper- and lower-limb pain	LL 62
Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Intradialytic and chronic pain (definition of chronic pain not	LL: ID 34, C 63
	reported), including upper- and lower-limb pain	UL: ID 11, C 19
Davison <sup>14</sup>	Chronic pain (duration above 3 months), including painful PN	PN 13
Malaki et al <sup>54</sup>	Leg pain present at least three to four times per week,	LL 42
	persisting for several weeks	
Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>46</sup>	Current intradialytic pain	LL 34
Rodriguez Calero et al45	Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not	LL 63
	reported), including lower-limb pain	
Rodriguez Calero et al47	Intradialytic and chronic pain (definition of chronic pain not	UL: ID 10.5, C 18.7
	reported), including upper- and lower-limb pain	LL: ID 34.2, C 62.5

Table 6 Prevalence of limb pain

Abbreviations: C, chronic; ID, intradialytic; LL, lower limb; PN, peripheral neuropathy; UL, upper limb.

# Prevalence and severity of musculoskeletal pain

A total of 21 studies with 2,778 patients (range 15–519) reported the prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in HD patients (Table 7). The studies reported different types of pain, ranging from carpal tunnel syndrome to muscle cramps. Data on pain severity for musculoskeletal pain indicated that such pain can be considerable (Table 7).

#### Prevalence of chest pain

Six studies with 747 patients (range 27–508) reported the prevalence of chest pain in HD patients.<sup>36,43,46,47,60,61</sup> Two studies reported the prevalence of intradialytic pain as exactly 2.6%,<sup>43,46</sup> while the third found chest pain during HD sessions to be 25%.<sup>60</sup> Chronic chest pain was reported to be 5.9%<sup>36</sup> and 9.3%.<sup>43</sup> Binik et al reported chest pain both on and off dialysis in 13% of the sample.<sup>61</sup> Only Binik et al reported pain severity using MPQ score as 6.4 on dialysis and 6.9 off dialysis.<sup>61</sup>

#### Prevalence and severity of abdominal pain

Six studies reported the prevalence of abdominal pain.<sup>36,43,46,47,52,61</sup> Prevalence of intradialytic abdominal pain was reported as 16% in two studies,<sup>43,46</sup> chronic abdominal pain as 18% in two studies<sup>36,52</sup> and 9.3% in one study.<sup>43</sup> Binik et al reported the prevalence of abdominal pain both on and off dialysis as 17%.<sup>61</sup> Only one study reported the severity of abdominal pain as being 7.3 on MPQ score for on-HD abdominal pain.<sup>61</sup>

#### Prevalence of other pain

Several studies reported the prevalence of "other" pain, but this type of pain was rarely specified. Golan et al reported that 13% of patients had chronic pain from various other sources, such as phantom pain, steal syndrome, and nonspecific diffuse pain.<sup>52</sup> Davison found prevalence of other combined chronic pain (including trauma, polycystic kidney disease, malignancy, and calciphylaxis) to be 18.4%.<sup>14</sup> Claxton et al reported the prevalence of other pain over the prior week as 18%, but without specifying any details about the location or causes of that pain.<sup>62</sup> Calls et al<sup>43</sup> and Rodriguez Calero et al<sup>47</sup> (using the same raw data) reported the prevalence of other (polycystic kidney disease, neoplasia) pain during HD sessions as 3.7% and chronic pain as 7.4%. Severity of pain listed as "other" was not reported in these studies.

## Prevalence of procedural pain

Five studies reported the prevalence of poorly defined "procedural pain".<sup>14,43,45–47</sup> Calls et al reported that 26% of patients suffered from procedural pain, including cramps, headaches, and pain related to vascular access.<sup>43</sup> Davison reported that 6.8% of patients experienced significant pain due to recurrent symptoms related to HD that included "cramping, headaches, and access-related pain, such as pain from needling fistulas and pain in the fistula hand". This represented 14% of patients reporting a problem with pain.<sup>14</sup> Rodriguez Calero et al reported that 25.9% patients identified the procedure itself as the cause of the pain,47 while another study showed a slightly higher prevalence (29%).<sup>46</sup> Finally, according to their most recent results, 38% suffered from procedure-related pain.<sup>45</sup> Therefore, while three studies did not explain what procedural pain was,45-47 the other two explained that procedural pain can have different locations and causes,<sup>14,43</sup> which were reported as specific types of pain in other studies included in this review.

junicidid         conficient         conficteding	Study	Timing and type of MS	Prevalence of MS pain (%)	Pain-assessment	Pain-intensity results
Intradistic syntoms     Campa: 16		pain studied		tool for MS pain	•
we used for vitie study reactivity structures     we used for structures     Not measured       we used for structures     we used for structures     Not measured       we used for structures     we used for structures     Not measured       we used for structures     we used for structures     Not measured       we used for structures     we used for structures     Not measured       we used for structures     measured     <	Al-Hilali et al <sup>33</sup>	Intradialytic symptoms, including muscle cramps; baseline measure	Cramps: 23	Not measured	NA
Interderistist symptoms during transmist formatistist symptoms during transmist formatististic pain and cramps in the cramps and formatistic cramps and bone pain in the cramps and formatistic cramps and the cramps and bone pain in the cramps and formatistic cramps and bone pain in the cramps and bone pain in the cramps and formatistic cramps and bone pain in the cramps and bone pain in		was used for this study			:
Construction     Construction     Construction     Construction     Construction       Construction     Construction     Construction     Construction     Construction <td< td=""><td>André et al<sup>21</sup></td><td>Intradialysis symptoms during last</td><td>Cramps: 18 (type of cramps not</td><td>Not measured</td><td>NA</td></td<>	André et al <sup>21</sup>	Intradialysis symptoms during last	Cramps: 18 (type of cramps not	Not measured	NA
Including joint pain and cramps.Cramps sol, top of cramps of cramps sol, bone is, top of cramps of bon and of dippes of pain and cramps and too and of dippes of pain and cramps sol, bone is, tor of the cramp sol, bone is, tor of the cramp sol, bone is, tor of the cramps sol, bone is, tor of the cramps sol, bone is, tor of the cramp sol, tor of the cramp sol, bone is, tor of the cramp sol, tor of th	Barrett et al <sup>79</sup>	6 months, including cramps Somatic symptoms in HD patients,	specified) Joint pain: 43	Not measured	NA
Air Marken resound     Section       Air Marken resound     Marken resound       Air Marken resound     Marken resound       Marken resound     Marken r		including joint pain and cramps;	Cramps: 53 (type of cramps not		
All types of pain on and of datysis, including campa and hone pain including campa and hone pain including campa and hone pain above 3 months)     On HD: campa 81, hone 11 by and any space 15, hone 15, hone 15, pain any space 15, hone 17, hong any space 16, hone 11, hong any space 15, hone 17, hong and space pain 15, hone 17, hong any space 16, hong any space 16, hong and space pain 15, hone 17, hong and schemat 29, hone 11, hong and schemat 20, hone 12, hone 11, hong and schemat 20, hone 11, hong and schemat 20, hone 12, hone 11, hong and schemat 20, hone 12, hone 12, hone 11, hong and schemat 20, hone 12, hone 12, hone 11, hone 12,	:	time recall for pain not reported	specified)		
including cramps and bone pain brokest     Off HD: cramps & bone 15, buck 57       Prive for upon shows     Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 37     Ort HD: cramps & bone 37       Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 37     Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 37     Not measured pain above 37       Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 37     Chronic pain of above 37     Not measured pain above 37     Not measured pain above 37       Chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain (difficition of chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain out (difficition of chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35       Chronic pain out above 31     Not measured pain 35     Not measured pain 35	Binik et al <sup>61</sup>	All types of pain on and off dialysis,	On HD: cramps 81, bone 11	MPQ	On HD: cramps 10.7, bone 11.3
Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months)     Post: X: reporting didysis pain: tation of all types (duration baby a months)     Post: X: reporting didysis pain: tation of a months)       Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months)     Post: X: reporting didysis pain: tetruthome months)     Not measured       Tetration     Camps and muscle pain: 1,55     Not measured       Manaficstations in HD patients (me recall for pain unclear)     Not measured       Manaficstations in HD patients (me recall for pain unclear)     Not measured       Manaficstations in HD patients (me recall for pain unclear)     Not measured       Manaficstations in HD patients (definition of thronic pain unclear)     Not measured       Manaficstations in HD patients (definition of thronic pain unclear)     Not measured       Manaficstations     Not measured       Manaficstations     Not measured       Manaficstations     Not measured       Manaficstation     No		including cramps and bone pain	Off HD: cramps 62, bone 15, back 5.7		Off HD: cramps 9.9, bone 8.4, back
Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 3 months)     Chronic pain of all ypes (duration above 3 months)     Not measured bar durage ether HD or upon return home stratmatic: 2.9     Not measured bar durage pain: 2.9     Not measured bar durage pain: 2.9     Not measured bar durage pain: 2.9       Pain durage ether HD or upon return home stratmatic: 3     Not measured pain: 1.456     Not measured pain: 1.456     Not measured pain: 1.456       Pain durage in HD patens (imm ercall for pain unclear)     Cramps and muscle pain: 1.456     Not measured pain: 1.456     Not measured pain: 1.456       Tradialytic and chronic pain (definition of chronic pain (definition of chronic pain durage the HD seston     Not measured pain: 7     Not measured pain: 7       Symptoms specifically pared durage the HD seston     Dis 3.7     Not measured pain: 7     Not measured pain: 7       Symptoms specifically paint pain over the past     Dis 3.7     Not measured pain: 7     Not measured pain: 7       Symptoms specifically paint pain over the past     Dis 3.7     Not measured pain: 7     Not measured pain: 7       Symptoms specifically paint pain over the past     Dis 3.7     Not measured pain: 7     Not measured pain: 7			Post-Tx reporting dialysis pain:		
Anomesia     October     October     October     October     October       Pain during either HD or upon     Pain during either HD or upon     October     October     October       Pain during either HD or upon     Manifesteitons in HD patiens     October     October     October       Pain during either HD or upon     Manifesteitons in HD patiens     October     October     October       Manifesteitons in HD patiens     October     Manifesteitons     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     Manifest     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     Manifest     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     Manifest     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     Manifest     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     Manifest     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     October     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     October     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     October     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     October     Not measured       Offention of chronic pain not     October     October     Not measured	yel		cramps 51.9, bone 3.7		
Pain during ether HO or upon reurn home     Constantices     Not measured       Fain during ether HO or upon reurn home     Not measured     Not measured       NS manifestations in HD patients     Not measured     Not measured       NS manifestations in HD patients     Not measured     Not measured       If the real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine real for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured       (ine for pain undex)     Not measured     Not measured </td <td>bouattar et al<sup>25</sup></td> <td>Chronic pain of all types (duration</td> <td></td> <td>Not measured</td> <td>AV1</td>	bouattar et al <sup>25</sup>	Chronic pain of all types (duration		Not measured	AV1
random gate in the number of upon return home     Carlop attinues     Not measured       Namifestations in HD patients     (inter recall for pain undex)     On a maines       Namifestations in HD patients     (inter recall for pain undex)     On measured       (inter recall for pain undex)     CTS: 1     Not measured       Intradialytic and chronic pain (definition of chronic pain nuct     Not measured     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     Not measured       (affinition of chronic pain nuct     D: 33     C. 74       Backadine     D: 33     D: 23       (ating the HD sestion     D: 34     Not measured       Marge in HD sestion     D: 34     Not measured       Marge in HD sestion     D: 34     D: 34       Marge in HD sestion     D: 34     Not measured       Marge in HD sestion     D: 34     Not measured       Marge in HD sestion     D: 34     Not measured       Marge in HD sestion     D:	Donancia card	above 3 montus) Dein during aither UD an unon	Commence: 2.9		< 1 2
Terminifications     Terminifications     Terminification       Terminifications     (interreal for pain unclear)     Out and used pain. 7       (interreal for pain unclear)     (interreal for pain unclear)     Out and used pain. 7       (interreal for pain unclear)     CTS: 1     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     Not measured     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     D: 3       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     Not measured       (definition of chronic pain not     D: 3     D: 3       (integrated)     D: 2     D: 3       (integrated)     D: 3     D: 3       (integrated)     D: 3     D: 3       (integrated)     D: 3     D: 4       (			Ctatrips and muscle paint. 23		
Intradistructure     Antigation       (ime recall for pain unclean)     Evention       (ime recall for pain unclean)     Evention       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       Intradistytic and chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (cross)     Magai: 2       (massured)     Cross       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Cross       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Cross       (definition of chronic pain unclean)     Magai: 2       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Cross       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured       (massured)     Massured </td <td>Prove and Duranto<sup>40</sup></td> <td>MC manifestations in LD pationts</td> <td>JUIIILE AILU VACK PAILI. 1,730 Arthrolain: 14</td> <td></td> <td>₹N Z</td>	Prove and Duranto <sup>40</sup>	MC manifestations in LD pationts	JUIIILE AILU VACK PAILI. 1,730 Arthrolain: 14		₹N Z
Thradialytic and chronic pain (definition of chronic pain not caread)     CTS:1       Intradialytic and chronic pain not (definition of chronic pain not peported)     MS pain module       intradialytic and chronic pain not (definition of chronic pain not magnetic caread)     Mot measured       intradialytic and chronic pain not careadialy secified)     Mot measured       intradialytic and chronic pain not uning the HD session during the HD session     Dot measured       All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session     Not measured       All symptoms the past     Sone/joint pain. 37     DSI (Likert scale I-5)		(time recall for pain unclear)	Bone pain: 7		
Myalga: 1     Myalga: 2       Inradialytic and chronic pain not (definition of chronic pain not reported)     D: 33       (definition of chronic pain not (definition of chronic pain not reported)     D: 33       reported)     C: 7       C: 7     C: 7       C: 7     C: 7       C: 7     C: 7       C: 7     C: 7       Backache during the HD session during the HD session     D: 28.9       All symptoms specifically experienced HD session     Not measured specified)       Massured during the HD session     Not measured specified)       All symptoms specifically experienced     Cramps not specified)       MD session     Backache: 51       MD session     Sone/joint pain over the past       T days     Sone/joint pain over the past			CTS: I		
Inradialytic and chronic pain not inradialytic and chronic pain not definition of chronic pain not imported) (definition of chronic pain not imported) (c. 77 C. 77 C. 77 C. 77 C. 77 C. 74 Backache Backache Backache D. 3.3. C. 74 Backache D. 2.3. C. 74 Backache D. 2.4. Backache D. 2.			Myalgia: 2		
(definition of chronic pain not reported)     ID: 33 C: 77 C: 7 C: 7       C: 7 C: 7     C: 7 C: 37 C: 7.4       Symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session     ID: 38 C: 37 C: 7.4       Symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session     ID: 39 C: 37 C: 7.4       Symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session     Not measured specified)       Al symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session     Not measured specified)       Backache: 51 HD session     Not measured specified)       Data     Specified)       Al symptom specified)     Specified)       Symptom specified)     Specified)       Specified)     Specified)       Backache: 51 HD session     Not measured specified)       Adys     Specified)       7 days     DSI (Likert scale 1-5)	Calls et al <sup>43</sup>	Intradialytic and chronic pain	MS pain	Not measured	NA
reported) C: 77 CTS: D: 3.7 CTS: D: 3.7 C: 7.4 Backache D: 28 Symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session during the HD session during the HD session during the HD session during the HD session Backache: 51 All symptoms specified) HD session Bone/joint pain over the past 7 days		(definition of chronic pain not	ID: 33		
CTS: D: 3.7 C: 7.4 Backache during the HD session during the HD session MI symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session MI symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session MD session HD setting HD session HD session HD setting HD setting HD session HD setting HD setti		reported)	C: 77		
ID: 3.7       ID: 3.7         C: 7.4       Exclared         Symptoms specifically experienced       ID: 28.9         during the HD session       ID: 28.9         during the HD session       ID: 28.9         All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session       Not measured         All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session       Backache: 51       Not measured         Backache: 51       All symptoms specifically       Specified)       Not measured         All symptoms specifically       Specified)       Backache: 51       Not measured         Backache:       ID session       Backache: 51       Not measured         Bane/joint pain over the past       Bone/joint pain: 37       DSI (Likert scale I-5)         7 days       T days       Specified)       Specified)			CTS:		
C: 7.4 Backache Symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session MI symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session Backache: 51 MI symptoms specified) HD session Backache: 51 Mot measured specified) Backache: 51 Mot measured Stramps not Backache: 51 Mot measured Stramps not Backache: 51 Mot measured Stramps not Backache: 51 Mot measured Stramps not DSI (Likert scale 1–5) 7 days			ID: 3.7		
Backache       Backache         Symptoms specifically experienced       ID: 28.9         during the HD session       Camps: 74 (type of cramps not during the HD session         during the HD session       Cramps: 74 (type of cramps not specified)         All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session       Not measured specified)         All symptoms specifically experienced during the HD session       Backache: 51         All symptoms specified)       Not measured specified)         HD session       Specified)         Algs       Specified)         HD session       Bone/joint pain over the past         7 days       Tamps: 37			C: 7.4		
ID: 28.9       ID: 28.9         Symptoms specifically experienced       C: 37.5         during the HD session       C: 37.5         during the HD session       C: 37.5         All symptoms specifically       Cramps: 74 (type of cramps not specified)         All symptoms specifically       Backache: 51         All symptoms specified)       Backache: 51         All symptoms specified)       Not measured         Backache: 51       Not measured         All symptoms the       Backache: 51         All symptoms the       Distribution         Adys       Distribution         Adys       Distribution			Backache		
C: 37.5       C: 37.5         Symptoms specifically experienced       Cramps: 74 (type of cramps not during the HD session       Not measured         during the HD session       specified)       Backache: 51       Not measured         All symptoms specifically       Backache: 51       Not measured         All symptoms specifically       Cramps: 74.3 (type of cramps not specified)       Not measured         HD session       Backache: 51       Not measured         PO session       Bone/joint pain over the past       Bone/joint pain: 37       DSI (Likert scale 1–5)         7 days       7 days       Bone/joint pain: 37       DSI (Likert scale 1–5)			ID: 28.9		
Symptoms specifically experienced       Cramps: 74 (type of cramps not       Not measured         during the HD session       specified)       Not measured         All symptoms specifically       Backache: 51       Not measured         All symptoms specifically       Cramps: 74.3 (type of cramps not       Not measured         Experienced during the       specified)       Not measured         HD session       Bone/joint pain over the past       Bone/joint pain: 37       DSI (Likert scale 1–5)         7 days       7 days       Particulary       Particulary       Particulary			C: 37.5		
during the HD session specified) Backache: 51 All symptoms specifically Cramps: 74.3 (type of cramps not Not measured specified) HD session Bone/joint pain over the past Bone/joint pain: 37 DSI (Likert scale 1–5) 7 days	Caplin et al <sup>60</sup>	Symptoms specifically experienced	Cramps: 74 (type of cramps not	Not measured	NA
All symptoms specifically     Dackache: 51 Cramps: 74.3 (type of cramps not     Not measured       experienced during the HD session     specified)     Specified       HD session     Bone/joint pain: 37     DSI (Likert scale 1–5)       7 days     7 days     Packache: 37		during the HU session	specified)		
All symptoms specifically champs: 74.3 (type of cramps not measured experienced during the specified) burgeneric specified burgeneric and bur	134		Backache: 51		4
experienced during the specified) HD session Bone/joint pain over the past Bone/joint pain: 37 DSI (Likert scale 1–5) 7 days	Capilly et al	All symptoms specifically	Cramps: 74.3 (type of cramps not	Not measured	AN AN
HD session Bone/joint pain over the past Bone/joint pain: 37 DSI (Likert scale 1–5) 7 days		experienced during the	specified)		
7 days	Carreon at al <sup>80</sup>	Runa/inint min aver the met	Rone/inint pain: 37	DSI /I ikent scale 1_5)	Median: 3+1
		7 davs			Distribution
2-3 (mild) 29% 2-3 (moderate) 36% 3-4 (severe) 21% 4-5 (excruciatine) 14%					
2–3 (moderate) 36% 3–4 (severe) 21% 4–5 (excruciatine) 14%					I-2 (mild) 29%
3-4 (severe) 21% 4-5 (excruciatine) 14%					2–3 (moderate) 36%
4–5 (excruciatine) 14%					3-4 (severe) 21%
					4-5 (excruciating) 14%

ΔA	2.8 for bone/joint pain	NA	NA	VAS (mean $\pm$ SD) 39.8±32.1	VAS hand (mean ± SD) 2.58±2.51 VAS upper extremities (mean ± SD) 2.58±2.51	Mean score by different scorers Patients 3 Physicians 1.9 Dorrmodice 1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Y
Not measured	DSI; Likert scale 0–4	Not measured	Not measured	VAS	VAS	VAS (0-4)	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
SP: 67 CTS: 73 KP: 7	Bone/joint pain: 53	LBP: 36	MS: 63 CTS- 1 9	Bone pain: 52	Arthralgia: 60 Myalgia: 62 Cramps: 82 Rone pain: 48	OA: 24	MS: 64 Cramos: 25	BP: 26 OMP: 22 CIP: 12	CJP: 59 CJP: 59 BP/NP: 55 Legs/feet: 55 Hands/arms: 37	Bone pain: 52 Cramps: 40	SP: 36	MS: ID 33, C 77 CTS: ID 3.7, C 7.4 Backache: ID 28.9, C 37.5
SP syndrome on long-term HD (time frame for reporting pain not reported)	Physical symptoms over the prior	Chronic LBP (duration above 3 months)	Chronic pain of all types (duration above 3 months)	Chronic bone pain (duration above 3 months)	All patients had MS symptoms at the time of the study	Chronic pain of all types (definition of chronic pain not reported)	Pain during the past 4 weeks	Chronic pain (duration above 3 months)	In-center HD chronic pain	Symptomatology in ESRD patients on HD	Shoulder pain at the time of survey in long-term HD patients	Rodriguez Calero     Intradialysis and chronic pain     MS: ID 33, C 77     Not measured     NA       et al <sup>17</sup> (definition of chronic pain not     CTS: ID 3.7, C 7.4     reported), including CTS, backache     Backache: ID 28.9, C 37.5       and MS pain     and MS pain     MS: ID 28.9, C 37.5     Mot measured     NA
Chattopadhyay et al <sup>si</sup>	Claxton et al <sup>62</sup>	Cristofolini et al <sup>50</sup>	Davison <sup>14</sup>	Elsurer et al <sup>84</sup>	Fidan et al <sup>86</sup>	Fortina et al <sup>38</sup>	Gamondi et al <sup>87</sup>	Golan et al <sup>s2</sup>	lacono et al <sup>90</sup>	Khan et al <sup>91</sup>	Konishiike et al <sup>53</sup>	Rodriguez Calero et al <sup>47</sup>

Dovepress

## Prevalence of ischemic pain

Prevalence of ischemic pain as a cause of pain was reported in three studies.<sup>43,45,46</sup> In a study on current dialytic pain, with a prevalence of 32% it was reported as the most prevalent cause of pain,<sup>46</sup> while in another study on intradialytic ischemic pain its prevalence was even higher -37%.<sup>43</sup> Prevalence of ischemic pain as a cause of chronic pain was reported as  $25\%^{45}$  and 30%.<sup>43</sup>

## Prevalence of neuropathic pain

According to the literature, while a high percentage of HD patients have shown electrophysiological evidence of nerve damage, only a small proportion have been reported as suffering from neuropathic pain.<sup>63–65</sup> Generally, it occurs more frequently in males. Only three studies reported the prevalence of neuropathic pain.<sup>14,15,52</sup> The older the study was, the higher the prevalence shown. Davison reported a prevalence of neuropathic pain as 12.6%.<sup>14</sup> Three years later, Barakzoy and Moss reported it as 31%,<sup>15</sup> while Golan et al yielded a figure of 41.2%.<sup>52</sup>

## Quality of included studies

The STROBE checklist indicated that 49 observational studies were generally of moderate quality: scores ranged in sum from 6 to 18, with a median of 13 points. Three interventional studies assessed using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool had high or unclear risk of bias in six of seven domains. Conflict of interest in the included studies was reported in only eleven of 52 studies: nine acknowledged support from public governmental grants/institutions or a private foundation; one indicated support from a small educational grant, but the source of the grant was not mentioned; while one study simply indicated that there was no conflict of interest.

## Discussion

The results of this systematic review offer a comprehensive view of epidemiological studies on pain in HD patients, indicating that pain can be very prevalent and severe in HD patients. Although some studies did not examine pain as a single concept, but reported specifically pain affecting certain body parts, such as headache or musculoskeletal pain, a uniform conclusion of the included studies indicates that pain is very prevalent in HD patients.

We found that the prevalence of acute and chronic pain in HD patients can be up to 82% and 92%, respectively, which is consistent with previous research. A previously published systematic review of symptom prevalence in ESRD, which included 59 studies, reported a mean HD-pain prevalence of 47% (8%–82%).<sup>66</sup> Identifying prevalence rates has pertinent implications for investigating the fundamental pathophysiology and developmental pathways of pain in HD. Beyond reporting prevalence of general pain, the prevalence of various types of pain in HD patients was also reviewed in this study. Most of the studies reported the prevalence of pain related to AV access, headache, and musculoskeletal pain.

Pain related to AV access is a particular type of pain that can be expected in all HD patients. Vascular access is required to permit HD. AVF is the most effective and efficient method of achieving vascular access.<sup>55</sup> However, if HD is performed three times per week via AVF, this will repeatedly expose patients to the stress and pain of approximately 320 needle punctures/year. It is often necessary to make more than one attempt at cannulation to maintain an adequate blood flow.<sup>25</sup> It is necessary to use large needles to achieve the required rate of flow for dialysis, which can often lead to bruising and pain, especially in patients with new fistulae.<sup>55</sup>

It has been suggested in the literature that AVF cannulation is an easy and painless procedure.<sup>28</sup> However, it has been shown that repeated insertion of the AVF needles can cause considerable pain, both on and between dialysis sessions, with subsequent fear and anxiety.<sup>25</sup> Patients consider pain during needle insertion the most common problem regarding dialysis vascular access.<sup>25,67</sup> AVF-cannulation pain may adversely impact quality of life, and pain is cited as the primary reason for patients failing to tolerate dialysis via AVF.<sup>68</sup> Even though severe pain leading to regular avoidance of dialysis or abandonment of AVF is rare, over 10% of patients have experienced pain severe enough to require early cessation of HD at least once.<sup>55</sup>

There have been a number of published systematic reviews<sup>69–71</sup> on the impact of the different puncturing technique on the incidence of AVF-cannulation pain, all with equivocal results, showing various limitations, such as incomplete literature search<sup>69</sup> or even overall poor quality and substantial heterogeneity among studies that precluded pooling of outcomes.<sup>70</sup> Pain arising from AVF access was common and often multimodal in nature, frequently leading to avoidance or shortening of dialysis sessions and even abandonment of otherwise well-functioning AVF. Furthermore, pain is often a sign of underlying anatomical problems with AVF, and should always be investigated in the first instance.<sup>55</sup>

A considerable number of studies in this systematic review analyzed the prevalence and/or severity of headache. Bana et al first described headache during HD in 1972, and reported its prevalence as 70%.<sup>72</sup> Before 1988, the taxonomy of headache was not uniform, and diagnostic criteria were rarely based on operational rules. In 1988, the IHS instituted a classification system that has become the standard for headache diagnosis and clinical research. The classification was endorsed by all the national headache societies represented in the IHS and also by the World Federation of Neurology.<sup>73</sup> The 1988 IHS criteria for headache related to HD consider that the headaches must begin during HD and terminate within 24 hours. However, it has been noted that some headaches cannot be classified.<sup>48</sup> The IHS revised the criteria for HDH in 2004, and described this condition as a headache that starts during an HD session and resolves within 72 hours after the session.<sup>74</sup> Our systematic review has shown the prevalence of headache, and particularly HDH, to be very high and among the most common problems in the HD population.

With regard to musculoskeletal pain, Braz and Duarte indicated that they excluded patients with previously confirmed rheumatologic disease or who said they had any osteoarticular manifestation before the HD treatment (episode of arthritis of unknown etiology, bursopathy, and diffuse bone pain, among others, not properly investigated or undiagnosed) to prevent these as potential confounding factors.<sup>40</sup> Such a statement was not present in other studies reporting general, limb, or musculoskeletal pain. Therefore, it is highly likely that high prevalence of limb and musculoskeletal pain in HD patients indicates comorbidities, and not such pain related to HD.

One of the strengths of this study is the inclusion of literature published in languages other than English and gray literature. In this way, we were able to locate multiple studies that were conducted outside Europe and North America. These studies indicated that pain is a considerable burden in developing countries as well.

Although this paper provides valuable data on the prevalence and severity of pain in HD patients, there were numerous limitations in the available evidence from primary studies. Data included in this systematic review indicate gaps that we still need to overcome in future literature on pain in HD patients. First, very few studies on the prevalence and severity of pain in HD patients were conducted in developing countries. Studies from those settings would be welcome for informing practice and research needs. Second, future studies need to pay particular attention to reporting, specifically for which period a patient is reporting pain. Several included studies did not report recall time for pain. Chronic pain was mostly defined as pain duration  $\geq 3$  months, but some studies of did not define what they considered chronic pain as pain lasting

at least 4 weeks.<sup>55</sup> Future studies should clearly indicate what they consider to be chronic pain and when exactly the pain was measured, ie, what the recall period expected of patients was (ie, current pain, pain in the last week, pain lasting  $\geq$ 3 months).

Third, sample sizes need to be bigger. Half of the studies presented herein were small, with fewer than 100 patients included. The estimates of pain were sometimes based on median prevalence rates that may have been affected by the small sample size used, and should thus be interpreted with caution. Future studies should include a sufficient number of patients to gain a more representative sample. Fourth, validated pain-assessment tools should be used. The studies included in this review used various scales for pain assessment, which hinders comparability of pain intensity. While some studies used measures of pain intensity/severity to report average pain intensity, others reported the prevalence of different pain intensities in the analyzed sample. Future studies should all use the VAS for pain reporting, together with other pain-assessment scales. Additionally, studies should also report average pain, as well as percentage of patients experiencing different pain intensities. Furthermore, some of the included studies did not provide clear definitions of certain modalities of pain, such as cramps, making it difficult to judge whether these were indeed musculoskeletal cramps that are typical for HD patients.<sup>75,76</sup> Finally, the quality of the included studies was low to moderate. The authors of future studies should consult checklists for conducting and reporting trials, in order to improve the quality of available evidence.

The role of systematic reviews is to provide reliable actionable evidence, and also to point out where evidence is missing or when there are gaps in our research knowledge.<sup>77</sup> This systematic review provided a comprehensive overview of our current knowledge of the prevalence and severity of pain in HD patients, with actionable guidance for future studies on this topic. Based on the available evidence, prevalence and severity of pain varied widely between studies. It is thus necessary to explore factors associated with pain in HD patients to gain insight into the reasons behind such heterogeneity in pain prevalence and severity.

#### Conclusion

The findings of this systematic review indicated a high prevalence of pain in HD patients, and thus pain in this population should be recognized as a considerable health concern. This review should encourage the nephrology community to promote pain management in HD patients as a clinical and research priority for improving quality of life and pain-related disability. However, there are considerable gaps in the literature that future studies should address when devising a study protocol.

#### Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Ms Ana Utrobicic for helping us to retrieve full texts of the manuscripts. Thanks to Ms Dalibora Behmen for professional English-language editing.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- Hoerger TJ, Simpson SA, Yarnoff BO, et al. The future burden of CKD in the United States: a simulation model for the CDC CKD Initiative. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2015;65(3):403–411.
- Kovesdy CP, Mehrotra R, Kalantar–Zadeh K. Battleground: chronic kidney disorders mineral and bone disease – calcium obsession, vitamin D, and binder confusion. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008;3(1):168–173.
- Schiffrin EL, Lipman ML, Mann JF. Chronic kidney disease: effects on the cardiovascular system. *Circulation*. 2007;116(1):85–97.
- 4. Robinson BE. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease and anemia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2006;7(9 Suppl):S3–S6; quiz S17–S21.
- Hsu HJ, Yen CH, Hsu KH, et al. Factors associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain in patients with chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrol*. 2014;15:6.
- Tong A, Wong G, McTaggart S, et al. Quality of life of young adults and adolescents with chronic kidney disease. *J Pediatr*. 2013;163(4): 1179–1185.e5.
- Kul M, Çengel-Kültür SE, Şenses-Dinç G, Bilginer Y, Uluç S, Baykan H. Quality of life in children and adolescents with chronic kidney disease: a comparative study between different disease stages and treatment modalities. *Turk J Pediatr.* 2013;55(5):493–499.
- Feng L, Yap KB, Ng TP. Depressive symptoms in older adults with chronic kidney disease: mortality, quality of life outcomes, and correlates. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2013;21(6):570–579.
- Lapane KL, Quilliam BJ, Benson C, Chow W, Kim MS. Impact of noncancer pain on health-related quality of life. *Pain Pract*. 2015;15(4): 333–342.
- Hsu CY, Vittinghoff E, Lin F, Shlipak MG. The incidence of end-stage renal disease is increasing faster than the prevalence of chronic renal insufficiency. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;141(2):95–101.
- Hallan SI, Coresh J, Astor BC, et al. International comparison of the relationship of chronic kidney disease prevalence and ESRD risk. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2006;17(8):2275–2284.
- Eriksen BO, Ingebretsen OC. The progression of chronic kidney disease: a 10-year population-based study of the effects of gender and age. *Kidney Int.* 2006;69(2):375–382.
- Levey AS, de Jong PE, Coresh J, et al. The definition, classification, and prognosis of chronic kidney disease: a KDIGO Controversies Conference report. *Kidney Int*. 2011;80(1):17–28.
- Davison SN. Pain in hemodialysis patients: prevalence, cause, severity, and management. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2003;42(6):1239–1247.
- Barakzoy AS, Moss AH. Efficacy of the World Health Organization analgesic ladder to treat pain in end-stage renal disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;17(11):3198–3203.
- Santoro D, Satta E, Messina S, Costantino G, Savica V, Bellinghieri G. Pain in end-stage renal disease: a frequent and neglected clinical problem. *Clin Nephrol.* 2013;79 Suppl 1:S2–S11.
- Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews: CRD's Guidance for Undertaking Systematic Reviews in Health Care. York, UK: CRD; 2009.

- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. *JAMA*. 2000;283(15): 2008–2012.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Int J Surg.* 2014;12(12):1495–1499.
- 20. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- André MB, Rembold SM, Pereira CM, Lugon JR. Prospective evaluation of an in-center daily hemodialysis program: results of two years of treatment. *Am J Nephrol.* 2002;22(5–6):473–479.
- Borgel F, Benhamou PY, Zmirou D, Balducci F, Halimi S, Cordonnier D. Assessment of handicap in chronic dialysis diabetic patients (Uremidiab section study). *Scand J Rehabil Med.* 1992;24(4):203–208.
- Devins GM, Armstrong SJ, Mandin H, et al. Recurrent pain, illness intrusiveness, and quality of life in end-stage renal disease. *Pain*. 1990;42(3): 279–285.
- Celik G, Ozbek O, Yilmaz M, Duman I, Ozbek S, Apiliogullari S. Vapocoolant spray vs lidocaine/prilocaine cream for reducing the pain of venipuncture in hemodialysis patients: a randomized, placebocontrolled, crossover study. *Int J Med Sci.* 2011;8(7):623–627.
- Figueiredo AE, Viegas A, Monteiro M, Poli-de-Figueiredo CE. Research into pain perception with arteriovenous fistula (AVF) cannulation. *J Ren Care*. 2008;34(4):169–172.
- Andersen C, Danielson K, Ladefoged J. EMLA cream for pain prevention in hemodialysis patients. *Dial Transplant*. 1989;18(12):684–685.
- Asgari MR, Motlagh NH, Soleimani M, Ghorbani R. Effect of lidocaine spray on the pain intensity during insertion of vascular needles in hemodialysis patients. *Faslnamahi Kumish*. 2012;14(3):271–279.
- Crespo R, Rivero MF, Contreras MD, Guisado C. Influence of bevel position of the needle on puncture pain in haemodialysis. *EDTNA ERCA J.* 1994;20(4):21–23.
- Ludwig K, Ruder H, Wendt MA, Richter R. Pain reduction in children and adolescents on hemodialysis with local anesthetic cream. *Monatsschr Kinderheilkd*. 1997;145(1):60–62.
- McPhail S. Hemodialysis needles can be pain free: use of a topical anaesthetic cream. J CANNT. 1992;2(4):19–20.
- 31. Peiffer-Labauge A, Marcelli C, Mourad G, et al. [Painful leg syndrome in hemodialyzed patients for chronic renal insufficiency with recent kidney transplantation] Syndrome douloureux des membres inférieurs chez l'insuffisant rénal chronique hémodialyse récemment transplanté. *Rev Rhum Mal Osteoartic*. 1991;58(3):187–191. French.
- 32. Mercadante S, Ferrantelli A, Tortorici C, et al. Incidence of chronic pain in patients with end-stage renal disease on dialysis. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2005;30(4):302–304.
- Al-Hilali N, Al-Humoud HM, Ninan VT, Nampoory MR, Ali JH, Johny KV. Profiled hemodialysis reduces intradialytic symptoms. *Transplant Proc.* 2004;36(6):1827–1828.
- Caplin B, Alston H, Davenport A. Does online haemodiafiltration reduce intra-dialytic patient symptoms? *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2014; 124(3–4):184–190.
- Vergne H, Darnis MC, Ostertag A, Poux JM. Douleur à la ponction de la fistule artério-veineuse en hémodialyse. 2002. Available from: http:// cnrd.fr/IMG/pdf/VERGNE.pdf. Accessed April 27, 2016.
- 36. Bouattar T, Skalli Z, Rhou H, et al. [The evaluation and analysis of chronic pain in chronic hemodialysis patients] Évaluation et analyse de la douleur chez les hémodialysés chroniques. *Nephrol Ther.* 2009; 5(7):637–641. French.
- 37. El Harraqui R, Abda N, Bentata Y, Haddiya I. [Evaluation and analysis of pain in chronic hemodialysis] Évaluation et analyse de la douleur en hémodialysé chronique. *Nephrol Ther.* 2014;10(7):500–506. French.
- Fortina F, Agliata S, Ragazzoni E, et al. [Chronic pain during dialysis: pharmacological therapy and its costs] Il dolore chronico in dialisi. *Minerva Urol Nefrol.* 1999;51(2):85–87. Italian.

- 39. Antoniazzi AL, Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Speciali JG. [Headache and hemodialysis: evaluation of the possible triggering factors and of the treatment] Cefaléia relacionada à hemodiálise: análise dos possíveis fatores desencadeantes e do tratamento empregado. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2002;60(3A):614–618. Portuguese.
- Braz AD, Duarte AL. Musculoskeletal manifestations in hemodialysis patients. *Rev Bras Reumatol*. 2003;43(4):223–231.
- Djurić M, Zidverc-Trajković J, Sternić N, et al. [Hemodialysis-related headaches] Hemodijalizne glavobolje. *Vojnosanit Pregl.* 2007;64(5): 319–323. Serbian.
- Nikić PM, Zidverc-Trajković J, Andrić BR, Djurić M, Stojimirović BB. [Headache associated with haemodialysis] Glavobolja kod bolesnika na hemodijalizi. *Srp Arh Celok Lek.* 2008;136(7–8): 343–349. Serbian.
- 43. Calls J, Rodríguez Calero M, Hernández Sánchez D, et al. [An evaluation of pain in haemodialysis patients using different validated measurement scales] Evaluación del dolor en hemodiálisis mediante diversas escalas de medición validadas. *Nefrologia*. 2009;29(3):236–243. Spanish.
- Armendáriz MP, Terceño AM, García ME, Blázquez SF. Evaluation of pain in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *Rev Soc Esp Enferm Nefrol*. 2010;13(4):264–266.
- 45. Rodriguez Calero MA, Sánchez DH, Navarro JG, Amer FJ, Ginesta JC. [Evaluation of chronic pain in a population of patients on haemodialysis] Evaluación del dolor crónico en una población de pacientes hemodializado. *Rev Soc Esp Enferm Nefrol*. 2007;10(2):65–71. Spanish.
- Rodriguez Calero MA, Sánchez DH, Navarro JG, Amer FJ, Ginesta JC, Llull JS. [Assessment and management of intra-dialysis pain] Evaluación y manejo del dolor intradiálisis. *Rev Soc Esp Enferm Nefrol*. 2006;9(2):65–70. Spanish.
- Rodriguez Calero MA, Sánchez DH, Navarro JG, Ginesta JC. Dolor intrasesión y dolor crónico en pacientes que reciben hemodiálisis. *Metas Enferm*. 2009;12(2):12–18. Spanish.
- Antoniazzi AL, Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Speciali JG. Headache associated with dialysis: the International Headache Society criteria revisited. *Cephalalgia*. 2003;23(2):146–149.
- Antoniazzi AL, Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Tepper SJ, Speciali JG. Headache and hemodialysis: a prospective study. *Headache*. 2003;43(2): 99–102.
- Cristofolini T, Draibe S, Sesso R. Evaluation of factors associated with chronic low back pain in hemodialysis patients. *Nephron Clin Pract*. 2008;108(4):c249–c255.
- Jesus A, Oliveira HA, Paixão MO, Fraga TP, Barreto FJ, Valença MM. Clinical description of hemodialysis headache in end-stage renal disease patients. *Arg Neuropsiquiatr*. 2009;67(4):978–981.
- Golan E, Haggiag I, Os P, Bernheim J. Calcium, parathyroid hormone, and vitamin D: major determinants of chronic pain in hemodialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;4(8):1374–1380.
- Konishiike T, Hashizume H, Nishida K, Inoue H, Nagoshi M. Shoulder pain in long-term haemodialysis patients: a clinical study of 166 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1996;78(4):601–605.
- Malaki M, Mortazavi FS, Moazemi S, Shoaran M. Insomnia and limb pain in hemodialysis patients: what is the share of restless leg syndrome? *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2012;23(1):15–20.
- Aitken E, McLellan A, Glen J, Serpell M, Mactier R, Clancy M. Pain resulting from arteriovenous fistulae: prevalence and impact. *Clin Nephrol.* 2013;80(5):328–333.
- Verhallen AM, Kooistra MP, van Jaarsveld BC. Cannulating in haemodialysis: rope-ladder or buttonhole technique? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2007;22(9):2601–2604.
- 57. Bourbonnais FF, Tousignant KF. The pain experience of patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J.* 2012;39(1):13–19; quiz 20.
- Milinković M, Zidverc-Trajković J, Sternić N, et al. Hemodialysis headache. *Clin Nephrol.* 2009;71(2):158–163.
- Goksel BK, Torun D, Karaca S, et al. Is low blood magnesium level associated with hemodialysis headache? *Headache*. 2006;46(1): 40–45.

- Caplin B, Kumar S, Davenport A. Patients' perspective of haemodialysisassociated symptoms. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011;26(8):2656–2663.
- Binik YM, Baker AG, Kalogeropoulos D, et al. Pain, control over treatment, and compliance in dialysis and transplant patients. *Kidney Int*. 1982;21(6):840–848.
- Claxton RN, Blackhall L, Weisbord SD, Holley JL. Undertreatment of symptoms in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2010;39(2):211–218.
- Krishnan AV, Phoon RK, Pussell BA, Charlesworth JA, Bostock H, Kiernan MC. Altered motor nerve excitability in end-stage kidney disease. *Brain*. 2005;128(Pt 9):2164–2174.
- Laaksonen S, Metsärinne K, Voipio-Pulkki LM, Falck B. Neurophysiologic parameters and symptoms in chronic renal failure. *Muscle Nerve*. 2002;25(6):884–890.
- Baumgaertel MW, Kraemer M, Berlit P. Neurologic complications of acute and chronic renal disease. *Handb Clin Neurol.* 2014;119:383–393.
- Murtagh FE, Addington-Hall J, Higginson IJ. The prevalence of symptoms in end-stage renal disease: a systematic review. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2007;14(1):82–99.
- Bay WH, Van Cleef S, Owens M. The hemodialysis access: preferences and concerns of patients, dialysis nurses and technicians, and physicians. *Am J Nephrol.* 1998;18(5):379–383.
- Ferrans CE, Powers MJ. Quality of life of hemodialysis patients. ANNA J. 1993;20(5):575–581; discussion 582.
- Grudzinski A, Mendelssohn D, Pierratos A, Nesrallah G. A systematic review of buttonhole cannulation practices and outcomes. *Semin Dial*. 2013;26(4):465–475.
- Wong B, Muneer M, Wiebe N, et al. Buttonhole versus rope-ladder cannulation of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2014;64(6):918–936.
- Atkar RK, MacRae JM. The buttonhole technique for fistula cannulation: pros and cons. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2013;22(6):629–636.
- Bana DS, Yap AU, Graham JR. Headache during hemodialysis. *Headache*. 1972;12(1):1–14.
- [No authors listed]. Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias and facial pain. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. *Cephalalgia*. 1988;8 Suppl 7:1–96.
- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2004;24 Suppl 1:9–160.
- Kobrin SM, Berns JS. Quinine a tonic too bitter for hemodialysisassociated muscle cramps? *Semin Dial*. 2007;20(5):396–401.
- Canzanello VJ, Burkart JM. Hemodialysis-associated muscle cramps. Semin Dial. 1992:5(4):299–304.
- 77. Palmer SC, Craig JC, Jones A, Higgins G, Willis N, Strippoli GF. Celebrating 20 years of evidence from the Cochrane Collaboration: what has been the impact of systematic reviews on nephrology? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2015;30(6):871–877.
- Alessandri M, Massanti L, Geppetti P, Bellucci G, Cipriani M, Fanciullacci M. Plasma changes of calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance P in patients with dialysis headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2006; 26(11):1287–1293.
- Barrett BJ, Vavasour HM, Major A, Parfrey PS. Clinical and psychological correlates of somatic symptoms in patients on dialysis. *Nephron*. 1990;55(1):10–15.
- Carreon M, Fried LF, Palevsky PM, Kimmel PL, Arnold RM, Weisbord SD. Clinical correlates and treatment of bone/joint pain and difficulty with sexual arousal in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Hemodial*. 2008;12(2):268–274.
- Chattopadhyay C, Ackrill P, Clague RB. The shoulder pain syndrome and soft-tissue abnormalities in patients on long-term haemodialysis. *Br J Rheumatol*. 1987;26(3):181–187.
- Davison SN, Jhangri GS. The impact of chronic pain on depression, sleep, and the desire to withdraw from dialysis in hemodialysis patients. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*. 2005;30(5):465–473.

- Davison SN, Jhangri GS. Impact of pain and symptom burden on the health-related quality of life of hemodialysis patients. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management*. 2010;39(3):477–485.
- Elsurer R, Afsar B, Mercanoglu E. Bone pain assessment and relationship with parathyroid hormone and health-related quality of life in hemodialysis. *Ren Fail*. 2013;35(5):667–672.
- Er MS, Eroğlu M, Altinel EC, Altinel L. Hemodialysis and pain. *The Turkish Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation Journal*. 2013; 22(2):167–170.
- Fidan F, Alkan BM, Tosun A, Altunoğlu A, Ardiçoğlu O. Quality of life and correlation with musculoskeletal problems, hand disability and depression in patients with hemodialysis. *Int J Rheum Dis.* 2016; 19(2):159–166.
- Gamondi C, Galli N, Schonholzer C, et al. Frequency and severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2013;143:w13750.
- Goksan B, Karaali-Savrun F, Ertan S, Savrun M. Haemodialysis-related headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2004;24(4):284–287.
- Harris TJ, Nazir R, Khetpal P, et al. Pain, sleep disturbance and survival in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012;27(2): 758–765.

- Iacono SA. Chronic Pain in the Hemodialysis Patient Population. *Dial Transplant*. 2004;33(2):92–101.
- Khan MA. Frequency of symptomatology in patients on hemodialysis: A single center experience. *Rawal Med J.* 2012;37(1):24–26.
- Kimmel PL, Emont SL, Newmann JM, Danko H, Moss AH. ESRD patient quality of life: symptoms, spiritual beliefs, psychosocial factors, and ethnicity. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2003;42(4): 713–721.
- Parfrey PS, Vavasour HM, Henry S, Bullock M, Gault MH. Clinical features and severity of nonspecific symptoms in dialysis patients. *Nephron.* 1988;50(2):121–128.
- Shayamsunder AK, Patel SS, Jain V, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Sleepiness, sleeplessness, and pain in end-stage renal disease: distressing symptoms for patients. *Sem Dialysis*. 2005;18(2):109–118.
- Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Arnold RM, et al. Prevalence, severity, and importance of physical and emotional symptoms in chronic hemodialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16(8):2487–2494.

#### Patient Preference and Adherence

#### Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focuses on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to optimize

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal

clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest for the journal. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.