Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

23

The re-emergence of sodium ion batteries: testing, processing, and manufacturability

Samuel Roberts Emma Kendrick

WMG, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

Correspondence: Emma Kendrick WMG, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK Tel +44 24 7652 3523 Email e.kendrick@warwick.ac.uk

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress in the interval of the in **Abstract:** With the re-emergence of sodium ion batteries (NIBs), we discuss the reasons for the recent interests in this technology and discuss the synergies between lithium ion battery (LIB) and NIB technologies and the potential for NIB as a "drop-in" technology for LIB manufacturing. The electrochemical testing of sodium materials in sodium metal anode arrangements is reviewed. The performance, stability, and polarization of the sodium in these test cells lead to alternative testing in three-electrode and alternative anode cell configurations. NIB manufacturability is also discussed, together with the impact that the material stability has upon the electrodes and coating. Finally, full-cell NIB technologies are reviewed, and literature proof-of-concept cells give an idea of some of the key differences in the testing protocols of these batteries. For more commercially relevant formats, safety, passive voltage control through cell balancing and cell formation aspects are discussed.

Keywords: sodium ion battery, NIB, cell manufacturing, electrode processing, Na ion, cell testing, anode, cathode, full cells

Introduction and background

Sodium ion batteries (NIBs) have been studied for many years, and sodium intercalating materials, in particular, were studied in the 1970s and 1980s. However, as the interest in lithium intercalation materials grew, until recently, the attention toward NIBs subsided.¹⁻⁶ Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) were commercialized by Sony (Japan) in the 1990s, utilizing a material that was invented by Prof John Goodenough (LiCoO₂, LCO) from the University of Oxford.⁶⁻⁸ The patent was held in the UK by UKAEA and licensed to Sony. The material first utilized a hard carbon anode and subsequently a high-performance graphite anode.^{9,10} Although initially optimized for consumer electronics, this technology is now used for a wide variety of applications - the automotive industry being the largest market (Table 1). LIBs currently dominate across many different industries (Table 1), with future growth predicted; the LIB market is predicted to grow from 350 GWh (USD 61 billion) in 2015 to 610 GWh (>USD 95 billion) in 2025.11 Despite this, there is still a large market for lead acid batteries (PbAs), for applications where LIBs are not suitable – the PbA market is reported to have a value of ~USD 20 billion in 2016.11 PbA is a low-energy, low-cost one and will not be displaced until a lower-cost alternative to LIB is established. The replacement of PbAs with low-toxicity batteries, and the growth in emerging markets such as stationary energy storage open up opportunities for alternative battery technologies such as NIBs.

Nanotechnology, Science and Applications 2018:11 23-33

© 2018 Roberts and Kendrick. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Cell properties	Automotive	Personal electronics	Stationary storage	PbA
Market size 2016	45K MWh	31.5K MWh	4.5K MWh	350 GWh
2025	190K MWh	55K MWh	22K MWh	550 GWh
Energy (Wh/L)	~500	~550	~300	~110
C rate: charge/discharge	~2/~2	~0.5/0.5C	~0.5/~2	
Cycle life	>8-10 years	>1-2 years	(10 years)	5 years
Main properties	High energy density/power	High energy density	Low cost, long life	Power, cost

Table I Summary of current major sector use, requirements, and drivers

Abbreviations: PbA, lead acid battery; Wh, watt-hour.

There are many similarities between LIBs and NIBs, especially in terms of the material components, and the manufacturing methodologies of the materials and cells. These similarities make NIBs a "drop-in" technology for LIBs, with the main difference being the charge carrier ion, Na⁺ rather than Li⁺. Hwang et al¹² have compared the main characteristic differences of lithium vs. sodium electrochemical energy devices. Sodium ions (Na⁺) are heavier and have a larger ionic radii than lithium ions (Li⁺), 23 g/mol, 1.02 Å and 6.9 g/mol, 0.76 Å, respectively. This difference in ionic size and atomic weight is important in terms of the maximum specific capacities of the materials, as the difference sizes affect the stability of the host crystal structures upon desodiation and delithiation. For example, it has been well documented that safety implications arise if too much lithium is removed from the Li, CoO, crystal structure (> ca. 0.5 mol Li) because of irreversible changes in the crystal structure.^{13,14} In comparison, sodiumlayered oxides can show a greater stability window for Na_{1,x}MO₂.^{15–17} Furthermore, sodium has an electrode potential of higher standard compared to lithium (-2.71 V vs. SHE cf. -3.02 V vs. SHE), which typically results in lower cell voltages for sodium materials.

In recent years, there has been a huge resurgence in materials research for new sodium ion anode and cathode materials.^{18–28} One of these driving forces is involved in the cost of LIBs. Up to 80% of the cost of a cell manufactured by the larger producers (Giga factories) is the material, and so in order to reduce the cost of the cells further, lower-cost materials are required. Sodium is the sixth most abundant element on the planet, it is found both in sea water and in mineral form, and is, therefore, not geographically limited unlike lithium reserves. Furthermore, the low cost of NIBs is achieved by simply substituting specific parts of an LIB with sodium-containing materials, specifically a cathode, and electrolyte salt replacement. On average, the cathode, anode, and electrolyte contribute to 21%, 7%, and 8%, respectively, of the total cost of a cell. A simple comparison

of one of the brine sources (carbonate salts) of lithium and sodium compounds demonstrates a vast difference in prices; the cost of Li_2CO_3 is USD 6600/Mt and Na_2CO_3 USD 60/ Mt (LME). This results in a cell design and process that can be produced on the same manufacturing lines as LIB, and in similar conditions but at a fraction of the cost.

In addition to cost, NIBs offer a potential safety benefit over LIBs. Aluminum alloys with lithium below 0.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺ but does not alloy with sodium, therefore, can be used as an anodic current collector for NIB (as opposed to copper, a widely used anodic current collector for LIBs). However, aluminum is less dense than copper and thicker current collectors may be required, negatively affecting the volumetric energy density of the cell. Copper current collectors also dissolve into the electrolyte at low voltage and, upon recharge, copper can precipitate out forming dendrites and internal short circuits. As a result, LIBs are typically transported at 5%-30% state of charge, which prevents the dissolving of copper and subsequent precipation.^{29,30} For NIBs, where aluminum can be used as a current collector for both the anode and cathode, safe transportation can be achieved at 0 V (external short circuited) with no energy, and as chemicals with significant benefits to both safety and transport costs.31

In summary, NIB has two main benefits: cost and safety, with the potential to approach the performance characteristics of LIB, with the lower cost associated with PbA technologies. We can compare the cost and performance parameters of NIB technologies with current and future lithium ion technologies. Table 2 shows the comparison data calculated using Batpac^{©32} with material costs estimated using a materials market report.¹¹ Estimates were based upon standard cell constructions with electrodes of ~30% porosity, 20 µm aluminum, and 10 µm copper, and anode-to-cathode ratio was 1.1. NIB is the performance of the state-of-the-art NIB reported in the literature,³³ NIB-1 is the demonstrated and calculated energy density,¹⁶ NIB-2 shows the future targets for NIB with novel tin alloy anodes, and NIBSLI is a low-cost

Table 2 Summary of costs and energy densities of different cell chemistries as estimated by BatPac®

Cathode		NIB	NIB-I	NIB-2	NIBSLI	PbA	LCO	NCA	NMC	NMC442	NMC
Anode		нс	нс	Sn	НС		Gr	Gr	Gr	Gr	Si
Cost	US\$/Wh	131	123	106	116	110	158	159	168	136	130
Energy density	Wh/L	306	340	488	278	90	435	513	444	504	800
	(demo)	180	250								
	Wh/kg	162	191	227	137		206	238	204	241	400

Abbreviations: Gr, graphite; HC, hard carbon; LCO, LiCoO₂; LFP, LiFePO₄; NCA, LiNi_xCo_yAl₂O₂; NIB, sodium ion battery; SLI, Na₂Fe₂(SO₄)₃; NIB-1, Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Mg_{1/6}Ti_{1/6}O₂; NIB-2, Ni_{1/2}Mn_{1/4}Ti_{1/8}Sn_{1/8}O₂; NIB-1, Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Mg_{1/6}Ti_{1/6}O₂; NIB-2, Ni_{1/2}Mn_{1/4}Ti_{1/8}Sn_{1/8}O₁; NIC, Li_{1-xx2}Ni_xMn_xCo₂O₂; PbA, lead acid battery; Wh, watt-hour.



Cell chemistry

Figure I Summary of the energy densities and costs of different cell chemistries and batteries.

Abbreviations: Gr, graphite; HC, hard carbon; LCO, LiCoO₂; NCA, LiNixCoyAlzO2; NIB, sodium ion battery; NMC, LiI-xyzNixMnyCozO2; PbA, lead acid battery; Si, Silicon; Sn, Tin; Wh, watt-hour.

replacement suggestion for PbA. Although NIB technologies currently offer lower-cost solutions than lithium ion technologies, the energy density is still significantly lower than that of lithium. However, with a potential PbA replacement technology, the costs are slightly higher than PbA but improved energy densities are observed, and a comparison of the technologies can be observed in Figure 1.

NIB is a reemerging technology that is in its infancy, and because of the higher atomic weight of sodium and higher standard electrode potential vs. SHE, it is unlikely to reach the energy densities of LIB. However, due to its "drop-in" nature, lower cost, and potential transport benefits, it may offer alternatives to the lower-energy density and low-cost, already-established, PbA technology. This paper looks at the similarities in the manufacturability of NIBs compared with LIBs and summarizes some of the advancements made in full-cell devices and cell commercialization.

Manufacturing

NIBs are being marketed as a "drop-in" technology for LIBs; therefore, the reduction in cost is due solely from the

materials' contribution rather than the manufacturing methods. Here, we compare the LIB manufacturing processes with those required for sodium ion and discuss whether it is truly a "drop-in" technology.

The application of the electrode to the current collectors is typically performed using a tape casting type method; however, other coating methods have been used and developed. The electrodes are formed through a multistep process that begins with the manufacture of an electrode ink (or electrode slurry), as seen in Figure 2.

Many of the fundamental processing techniques utilized for LIB manufacturing are expected to be directly transferable to NIBs and hence a "drop-in" technology. However, material properties can mean additional process and control measures are required for NIB processing, compared to LIB processing. The sodium-layered oxides are more prone to water absorption than the lithium analogs. This is because the spacing between the transition metal layers is larger, and water can more easily intercalate.^{34,35} In particular, this is observed for the O3-type layered oxides, and this can lead to difficulty in producing stable inks for electrode coatings



Figure 2 Standard process of LIB/NIB manufacture. Abbreviations: LIB, lithium ion battery; NIB, sodium ion battery; NMP, N-methyl 2-pyrrolidone; PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride.

and has been observed previously.³⁶ Basic components in an N-methyl 2-pyrrolidone-polyvinylidene fluoride ink will cause an instability in the ink, which will thicken and eventually gel over time. One method in overcoming small levels of basicity is by adding an acid into the formulation.³⁷ Other methods to reduce water intercalation are by selective substitutions into the crystal structure, and Mu et al³⁸ have developed cathode materials using iron and copper substitutions to stabilize the material to air. In other examples sodium-deficient materials have been synthesized, which can also further stabilize the materials to air. However, the sodium-deficient materials have less sodium content, and, therefore, in a non-pre-sodiated full cell, much lower energy densities are observed (Table 2). Sodium materials are generally more basic than the lithium counterparts, and, therefore, careful temperature and humidity control during the mixing and coating is required to ensure a stable ink to ensure coating homogeneity. With the stability of the powders in air being such an issue, we must consider also the shelf life of the electrodes. An electrode shelf-life study by Jung et al³⁹ on electrode coatings for NMC811 and NMC111 compared the degradation of the two electrodes in air over a period of time. The NMC111 material showed very little change; however, significant deterioration of the NMC811 material was observed, with a combination of hydroxides and carbonates forming on the surface of the particles. With the issues observed in ink stabilities for the O₂-layered oxides and the difference in the quality of the coatings when performed in a dry room rather than in the laboratory, the shelf life of these electrodes after manufacturing process and before assembling into a cell should be assessed. There is a high likelihood that even in a dry room atmosphere the shelf life of these sodium-based electrodes are limited. More knowledge about the material and electrode stabilities at varying humidity and temperature is required to gain an understanding of the ink stability over time, and the shelf life of these highly moisture-sensitive components.

One aspect of cell manufacturing that determines the lifetime and performance of the cell is the anode-to-cathode balance and the formation method. In LIBs, typically a 10% excess capacity is utilized for the anode, and this is in part a safety attribute; by increasing the anode capacity it means that lithium dendrites are less likely to form. There is ample capacity for utilizing the lithium from the cathode, and a "margin" to compensate for small inhomogeneities in electrode coatings. In addition, typically the first cycle loss on the graphite is <10% and on the cathode typically <1%.^{40,41}

For the sodium systems, the irreversible capacity on first cycle for the hard carbon anode is typically 20%, and similar irreversible capacities are observed on the layered oxide materials (Figure 3). Surprisingly, when partnered in a cell configuration, the losses are not additive but are complementary, this means that the irreversible sodium loss from the cathode on the first cycle is used to form the SEI layer upon the anode, and is consumed within the first cycle loss upon the anode. Therefore, the precise mass balance of the anode and cathode and the reversible voltage windows can be extremely important for internal cathode- and

anode-specific voltage control within a full cell. When balanced such that the two losses on each electrode are complementary, improved capacity fade during cycling



Figure 3 Voltage profile for a three-electrode full sodium ion cell, showing the cathode, anode, and full-cell voltage, taken from work done at SHARP Laboratories of Europe.

Notes: Data from Smith et al¹⁶ and Treacher et al.⁴²

and improved safety characteristics such as reduced sodium dendrite growth are observed. Controlling the mass ratio between the active charge storage materials of the positive and negative electrodes within the NIB cell stack can also lead to a method of passive control over the maximum and minimum voltages reached by these electrodes during repeated cycling.⁴² In addition, the formation cycles can be chosen such that the first loss is maximized for a higher voltage capacity, and the cell is then subsequently cycled at a lower voltage, which also prolongs the life time of the cell.⁴³ Figure 4 shows the differential capacity plots for a sodium ion full cell utilizing a layered oxide cathode and hard carbon anode. The cells that are formed at 4.2 V utilize the cathode loss to form the SEI on the hard carbon and a good cycle life is observed over 80 cycles (Figure 4A, B). On the other hand, the cell that is cycled at 4.2 V maximum shows a degradation in the differential capacity indicating an irreversible structure change in the cathode upon cycling (Figure 4C, D).



Figure 4 Differential capacities for cells that have undergone a formation cycle to 4.2 V and cycling at 4.0 V (**A**, **B**), compared to 4.3 V cycling (**C**, **D**) taken from work done at SHARP Laboratories of Europe. Notes: Data from Smith et al¹⁶ and Kendrick et al.⁴³

Electrochemical testing and characterization methods

There has been a wealth of new publications regarding materials for NIBs, anodes, electrolytes, and cathodes. In addition, materials that have been investigated for LIBs are also now being revisited for NIB applications. Many articles have summarized these advancements.^{12,18,20,21,23,24,27,28,44-52} However, the testing and electrochemical characterization methodologies have typically been adopted from lithium ion testing; here, we discuss the merits of this, and highlight some of the different observations for sodium ion testing compared with lithium ion. Electrochemical testing of materials is often performed in two-electrode arrangements, with a metallic lithium or sodium counter electrode, commonly referred to as half-cells. For lithium ion materials, half-cell testing gives good material characterization data, and cells can be made in a dry room atmosphere with limited water content. For sodium half-cells, issues can arise in half-cell testing, larger impedances that occur at the metal-electrolyte interfaces are observed, and the cells need to be made in glove boxes where water or oxygen content is limited.

Iermakova et al⁵³ show the differences between sodium and lithium metal symmetrical cells, highlighting the increased interfacial resistances on sodium compared to lithium in standard electrolyte systems during stripping and plating. At all current densities sodium exhibited significantly larger polarizations. At higher current densities of 5 mA cm⁻², thermofusible voltage spikes were observed for sodium, which is indicative of dendrite growth. Similar observations were noted by Ledwoch et al54 in sodium metal counter and reference three-electrode cells during the testing of hard carbon. When controlling the cell voltage between the working and counter electrode, the lower voltage limit (0.01 V vs. Na/Na⁺) was reached almost 30 mAh/g before complete sodiation of the hard carbon, even at low rates of charge and discharge, 0.1 C. At higher rates of charge and discharge, the polarization was shown to reach 0.1 V at 5 C. Both groups also observed variable voltages and polarizations during the stripping and plating process.

These observations indicate that two-electrode halfcell-testing, particularly for negative electrode materials where low-voltage cutoff could be problematic, premature arrival at low voltages can mean that full sodiation may not be observed.

In addition to the high polarizations observed in sodium half-cells, the sodium metal reacts with some electrolyte systems. This leads to electrolyte depletion over time, and inefficiencies in charge and discharge profiles. To combat the electrolyte depletion, a diverse portfolio of salts, solvents, and additives can be used.55,56 However, stabilities of the sodium metal are generally poor in carbonate-based electrolytes.^{57,58} Other solvent systems have been investigated and good reversibility of sodium stripping and plating has been observed with NaPF₆ in glyme⁵⁹ and in fluorinated ethylene carbonate (FEC).^{57,60} In these systems, the formation of a stable Na₂O and NaF surface interface coating was observed. Similar improvements to the sodium metal cycling were observed with NaBr coatings.⁶¹ What is not yet fully understood is the effect of different electrolyte additives upon the stabilization of a low-resistance interface layer on the sodium ion anodes, improving the cycling performance. Che et al have discussed the addition of rubidium and caesium ions for hard carbons to improve this interface.62 A standard additive for LIB, vinyl carbonate, has proved to be ineffectual for sodium ion,63 whereas FEC shows more promise and improves the cycling performance.64

To overcome some of the issues around testing with a sodium metal anode, different testing procedures are utilized. Three-electrode cells can eliminate the observation of the polarization on the sodium metal so long as the operating voltage is controlled between the reference and the working electrode, rather than the counter electrode.

In some cases, testing is performed using a pre-sodiated hard carbon counter electrode, and this method is still often used to overcome the first-cycle losses associated with hard carbon in full cells. It is a workable practice on a small local level, but does not translate through to manufacturing.^{65–67} In the early sodium ion full-cell configurations, lead and tin were used as alloy anode materials for sodium,⁶⁸ and interestingly work is still continuing to investigate and stabilize tin-based anodes to increase the possible energy density of NIB.^{69–72}

While it is important to understand the potential loss in coulombic efficiencies and poor cycle life in a sodium halfcell, we must remember that these materials and electrodes are to be cycled in full cells. This means that the cells do not contain metallic sodium, and, therefore, the issues that arise because of electrolyte decomposition in half-cell testing do not translate into full cells unless dendrite growth is observed. Therefore, carbonate solvents are utilized in full-cell configurations with fewer observed side reactions and inefficiencies. As a result, fewer electrolyte studies have been performed in full cells than in half-cells. However, some interesting phenomena have been observed. It has been shown that the performance of the electrolytes is not solely related to maximizing the ionic conductivities and minimizing the viscosity, but also relates to forming low-resistance interfaces. In

Re-emergence of sodium ion batteries

particular, when DME is used as a cosolvent, high polarizations are observed, and hence incomplete sodiation occurs at the low voltages. Additionally, DME has been shown, by use of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and Bode diagrams, to gradually hinder – more than other cosolvents – cation diffusion through the electrode. In comparison, DMC as a cosolvent has been shown to reduce the resistances of these interfaces resulting in good rate capability.⁷³

For the main organic electrolyte solvent, such as DC and EC, solvation and transport properties of Li⁺ and Na⁺ have been compared computationally,⁷⁴ resulting in differences between the two intercalating ions. It was observed that lithium ions form a tetrahedral solvation sphere in EC, whereas sodium ions exhibit more disordered and flexible coordinations. These more flexible coordinations are beneficial to ion diffusion; the difference in the strength of the coordination and weaker solvation energies leads to fast ionic diffusion for sodium ions, with diffusion coefficients three times that of lithium.⁷⁴

Alternatives to carbonate-based liquid electrolytes such as the polymeric sodium ion conducting electrolytes may also offer advantages in terms of safety, voltage stability, and thermal runaway. In particular, PVDF and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) have been shown to offer interesting properties, with high voltage stabilities (4.7 V vs. Na/Na+) and conductivities of 1 mS/cm at 25°C observed for PEO-based electrolytes.^{75–77}

Ultimately, it is important to understand the electrochemical testing methods and their limitations. The best practices for lithium ion electrochemical testing does not translate to sodium ion electrochemical testing in metal anode or half-cell test configurations. In a sodium ion test the performance of a material in a half-cell is very dependent upon the electrolyte types and is affected by the polarization occurring at the sodium metal and electrolyte interface. Due to coulombic inefficiencies observed because of the side reactions of the electrolyte with the sodium metal, higher specific capacities may be observed upon charge in a half-cell compared to that in a full-cell configuration. In addition, many electrolytes not stable in a half-cell are fine for use in a full-cell configuration; however, care must be taken to ensure that the anodes and cathodes in the cells are well balanced and to prevent sodium dendrites forming on the surfaces of the anodes, as this would cause gassing, as well as coulombic inefficiencies.

Commercial prospects of NIB technologies

As with LIBs, different NIB chemistries are being developed; materials for anodes, cathodes, and electrolytes are all being

investigated in great detail. This section summarizes the work in developing full-cell technologies rather than specific materials. Most cathode and anode materials for NIBs can be characterized into four groups. For cathodes: layered O3, layered P2, polyanionic compounds, and Prussian blue analogs; for anodes: carbonaceous, alloy, phosphoric, and metal oxide/sulfide.^{12,78} A comparison table of the full-cell parings is shown in Table 3. The quoted reversible capacities are typically with respect to the cathode, but when calculated with respect to the anode this is noted in Table 3. It should also be highlighted that the loadings of the respective anodes and cathodes nor the balance between the anode and cathode mass are always recorded, both of which are important for further understanding and optimizing these NIB technologies. The layered oxide cathode cells in general exhibited higher reversible capacities than the polyanion systems. What is noticeable is that the cells for which the anode was pre-sodiated showed higher reversible specific capacities, as expected with extra sodium inserted into the system. If we consider, however, the translation to manufacture, the question remains unanswered to whether pre-sodiation is viable. Whereas for pre-lithiation, a third electrode, or lithiated alloys, may be inserted into the cell under dry conditions,^{79,80} and for sodium this must be performed in a glove box because of sodium reactivity in air.67

Since 2014, several key NIB commercial advancements have been shown by several companies globally. Sumitomo demonstrated a prototype pouch cell using O₃-type NaNi_{0.3}Fe_{0.4}Mn_{0.3}O₂ and hard carbon anode, 650 mAh.⁸¹ In 2015, SHARP labs of America demonstrated a 3 V Prussian white cathode vs. a hard carbon battery, and this exhibited a 30% first-cycle loss and the rate capability was limited by the hard carbon anode.⁸² In 2015, Faradion demonstrated a 126 watt-hour (Wh)/kg cell based upon a nickel-based layered oxide cathode and a hard carbon anode, with 300 cycles. The first cylindrical prototype was demonstrated by CNRS and RS2E and now being commercialized by Tiamat.83 This exhibited over 2000 cycles at 90 Wh/kg. In 2016, SHARP labs of Europe demonstrated a 3.4 and a 4.2 Ah pouch cell with energy densities of 211 and 250 Wh/L currently the highest reported volumetric energy density in a NIB to date.¹⁶ This utilized a tin-doped sodium nickelate oxide material with a hard carbon anode.

Summary and future opportunities

In summary, NIB offers a wealth of new opportunities in terms of new battery technology development. With the advancements of the materials and the improvement in testing techniques, the true properties of these materials can

Material	Cathode/anode active materials	Electrode composition,	Electrode	Electroche	Electrochemical performance		Pre-	References
pairing		A:B:C ^{a,b}	properties				sodiation	
			Coat weight (gsm) ^b	Potential (V)	Current density (mA/g)	Reversible capacity (mAh/g)		
LO3-O	$Na_{0.75}Mn_{0.7}Ni_{0.23}O_2/Na_2BDC$	80:10:10/60:30:10	13–25	2.0-4.2	20 (~C/13)	238 (anode) 50 (cathode)	z	84
LO3-PH	NafCu. (Fe. Mn) . 1C.O /P-TiPC	85:7.5:7.5/-	C: 20/A: 5	1.2-3.4	12 (C/10)	JO (LAUIDUE)	~	85
LO3-C	Na Ni, Ti, Mn, O./hard carbon	80:10:10/94:1:5		1.5-4.0	12	131	· >-	66
LO3-MOS		85:3.75:3.75:7.5° /94.5:0.5:5	I	1.5–3.4	55 (C/2)	87	z	86
LO3-C	NaCrO,⁄hard carbon	80:15:5/96:0:4	I	1.5–3.5		260	×	87
LO3-MOS	Zr-NH ₄ V ₄ O _{l0} /H-Na ₂ Ti ₃ O ₂	I	I	0.1–3.5	100	228	≻	88
LO3-C	Na[Li ₀₀₅ (Ni ₀₂₅ Fe ₀₂₅ Mi ₀₅)02/hard carbon	85:7.5:7.5/-	I	1.75-4.3	17 (C/10)	134	≻	89
LO3-A	NaMnO ₂ /FeSb alloy ribbons	60:20:20/60:20:20	I	I.I–3.5	50	300	z	60
LO3-C	NaCrO ₂ /-	85:3.75:3.75:7.5 ^c /-	I	I.8–3.4	150C	66	z	16
LO3-C	Al ₂ O ₃ –Na[Ni _{0.6} Co _{0.2} Mn _{0.2}]O ₂ /hard carbon	85:10:5/80:0:20	I	1.0-4.1	C/2	~155	z	92
LO3-C	Na(Ni _{1/3} Fe _{1/3} Mn _{1/3})O ₂ /carbon	I	I	1.5-4.1	C/2	001	z	93
LP2-A	Na _{0.6} Ni ₀₂₂ Fe _{0.11} Mn _{0.66} O ₂ /Sb-C	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	0.7-4.1	30	180	×	94
LP2-C	Na _x Ni ₀₂₂ Co ₀₁₁ Mn _{0.66} O ₂ /hard carbon	85:10:5/80:10:10	C: 25.9/A: 12.1	0.5–3.95	S	220	≻	95
LP2-A	Na _{0.6} Ni _{0.22} Fe _{0.11} Mn _{0.66} O ₂ /Sb-C	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	0.5-4.5	10	120	z	96
LP2-C	Na _x Ni _{0.2} Mn _{0.6} Co _{0.2} O ₂ /hard carbon	85:15:0/85:15:0	A: 55	0.5-4.0	C/50	150	z	97
LP2-C	Na _{0.67} [Fe _{0.5} Mn _{0.5}]O ₂ –NaN ₃ /hard carbon	55:20:15:10 ^d /95:0:5	C: 82.5/A: 80.9	- <u>+</u>	15	76	z	98
LP2-C	Na ₂₃ Fe ₁₃ Mn _{2/3} O ₂ /hard carbon	80:10:10/90:5:5	I	1.5-4.1	C/20	79	z	66
P-A	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ /FeSe@FeS	67.5:22.5:10/80:10:10	I	0.3–2.9	S	001	z	100
P-A	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ /SnSe ₂	67.5:22.5:10/75:15:10	A: 18	0.3–2.9	C/2	001	z	101
P-MOS	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ F ₃ /SnS/G+C	70:25:5/-	I	1.1–3.6	257	248	z	102
P-C	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄₎₃ F ₃ /hard carbon	I	I	1.5-4.2	C/5	75	≻	103
P-A	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄₎₃ -based nanocomposite/LS-Sb@G	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	2–3.5	C/5	105	≻	104
P-MOS	Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ -based nanocomposite@C-Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	1.5–3.0	C/5	001	z	105
PBA-PBA	FeFe(CN) ₆ –FeFe(CN) ₆ (symmetric)	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	0.2–1.5	2C	42	z	106
PBA-PBA	(Cu ^{II} —N_C—Fe ^{III/I})/(Mn ^{II} —N_C—Mn ^{III/I})	80:9:2:9º/80:9:2:9º	C: 100/A: 100	0.65–1.35	10C	26	z	107
PBA-C	Na _x FeFe(CN) ₆ /CNT-FeO _x	70:20:10/60:20:20	C: 20/A: 10	0.5–3.0	25	115	≻	108
PBA-C	Na ₂ MnFe(CN) ₆ .zH ₂ O/Kureha hard carbon	70:20:10/95:0:5	I	I.5–3.8	100	140	z	109
PBA-C	Fe ₄ [Fe(CN), العامين O/N-doped carbon	80:10:10/80:10:10	I	1.6–3.6	100	76	z	011
PBA-C	Fe ₄ [Fe(CN), ای.xH ₂ O-hard carbon	70:20:10/80:10:10	I	2-4.2	100	70	z	Ξ

Table 3 The composition. performance, and testing parameters of sodium-ion full-cell pairings as reported in the literature

30

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

Dovepress

be realized; however, more knowledge and know-how are required in the testing and characterization of these materials and the composite electrodes. Although NIB is labeled a "drop-in" technology, we have highlighted that often direct methodology transfer from a lithium ion test to a sodium ion test is not necessarily the best way. The manufacturability of NIB is also a key question, and the ability to utilize the existing LIB manufacturing lines for NIB production runs is still unproved.

Although NIB materials are similar, there are key differences in the stability of some of the materials in air, particularly the high-energy density O₃-type layered oxides. This may lead to differences in mixing and coating procedures to improve the shelf life of the components. In addition, little is yet known about the safety aspects of NIB compared with LIB, although fundamentally substitution of the copper current collector for aluminum will eliminate one of the failure mechanisms, and initial results look promising.¹⁷ Significantly more information is required upon the SEI stability and thermal stability to ascertain a more complete benefit. NIBs offer a low-cost solution to alternative battery technologies, and while they may never rival the energy densities observed for LIBs, they may offer alternative advantages in safety, which needs more investigation.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank K Smith, D Ledwoch, P Adamson, M Tillin, and J Treacher for their contribution to the work undertaken at Sharp Laboratories of Europe in sodium ion batteries research and development. EK and SR wish to acknowledge funding from the Engineering Physical Sciences Research Council as part of a doctoral training partnership studentship with the Energy Research Accelerator.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Ratnakumar BV, Distefano S, Halpert G. Electrochemistry of metal chloride cathodes in sodium ion batteries. *J Electrochem Soc.* 1990;137(10):2991–2997.
- Kemp JP, Beal DJ, Cox PA, Foord JS. Surface segregation and sodiumtransport in Na,CoO,. *Vacuum*. 1990;41(7–9):1739–1742.
- Distefano S, Ratnakumar BV, Bankston CP. Advanced rechargeable sodium batteries with novel cathodes. *J Power Sources*. 1990;29(3–4): 301–309.
- Abraham KM, Pasquariello DM. Rechargeable sodium batteries NaFeO₂ and FeOCl as positive electrodes in molten NaAlCl₄. *J Electrochem Soc.* 1990;137(4):1189–1190.
- 5. Kikkawa S, Miyazaki S, Koizumi M. De-intercalated NaCoO₂ and LiCoO₂. *J Solid State Chem.* 1986;62(1):35–39.

- Miyazaki S, Kikkawa S, Koizumi M. Chemical and electrochemical deintercalactions of layered compounds, LiCrO₂, LiCoO₂ and NaCrO₂, NaFeO₂, NaCoO₂ and NaNiO₂. *Synth Met.* 1983;6(2–3): 211–217.
- Thomas M, Bruce PG, Goodenough JB. AC impedance analysis of polycrystalline insertion electrodes – application to Li_{1-x}CoO₂. *J Electrochem Soc.* 1985;132(7):1521–1528.
- Mizushima K, Jones PC, Wiseman PJ, Goodenough JB. Li_xCoO₂ (O<x <=1): a new cathode material for batteries of high energy density. *Solid State Ionics*. 1981;3–4:171–174.
- 9. Blomgren GE. The development and future of lithium ion batteries. *J Electrochem Soc.* 2017;164(1):A5019–A5025.
- Tarascon JM. The Li-ion battery: 25 years of exciting and enriching experiences. *Electrochem Soc Interface*. 2016;25(3):78–82.
- Avicenne. Lithium ion battery raw material supply and demand 2016– 2025. AABC Europe Jan 2017. Available from: http://cii-resource. com/cet/AABE-03-17/Presentations/BRMT/Pillot_Christophe.pdf. Accessed April 23, 2018.
- 12. Hwang J-Y, Myung S-T, Sun Y-K. Sodium-ion batteries: present and future. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2017;46(12):3529–3614.
- Yazami R, Ozawa Y, Gabrisch H, Fultz B. Mechanism of electrochemical performance decay in LiCoO₂ aged at high voltage. *Electrochim Acta*. 2004;50(2):385–390.
- Ye J, Chen H, Wang Q, Huang P, Sun J, Lo S. Thermal behavior and failure mechanism of lithium ion cells during overcharge under adiabatic conditions. *Appl Energy*. 2016;182:464–474.
- Kendrick E, Gruar R, Nishijima M, et al., inventors; Faradion Ltd, SHARP KK, assignee. Tin containing compounds. 2015;2015.
- Smith K, Treacher J, Ledwoch D, Adamson P, Kendrick E. Novel high energy density sodium layered oxide cathode materials: from material to cells. *ECS Transactions*. 2017;75(22):13–24.
- Robinson JB, Finegan DP, Heenan TMM, et al. Microstructural analysis of the effects of thermal runaway on Li-ion and Na-ion battery electrodes. J Electrochem En Conv Stor. 2017;15(1):011010-011019.
- You Y, Manthiram A. Progress in high-voltage cathode materials for rechargeable sodium-ion batteries. *Adv Energy Mater*. 2018;8(2).
- Nayak PK, Yang LT, Brehm W, Adelhelm P. From lithium-ion to sodium-ion batteries: advantages, challenges, and surprises. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2018;57(1):102–120.
- Lao MM, Zhang Y, Luo WB, Yan QY, Sun WP, Dou SX. Alloy-based anode materials toward advanced sodium-ion batteries. *Adv Mater*. 2017;29(48).
- Chen SQ, Wu C, Shen LF, et al. Challenges and perspectives for NASICON-type electrode materials for advanced sodium-ion batteries. *Adv Mater.* 2017;29(48):1700431.
- 22. Hou HS, Qiu XQ, Wei WF, Zhang Y, Ji XB. Carbon anode materials for advanced sodium-ion batteries. *Adv Energy Mater*. 2017;7(24).
- Hasa I, Hassoun J, Passerini S. Nanostructured Na-ion and Li-ion anodes for battery application: a comparative overview. *Nano Res.* 2017;10(12):3942–3969.
- Guo SP, Li JC, Xu QT, Ma Z, Xue HG. Recent achievements on polyanion-type compounds for sodium-ion batteries: syntheses, crystal chemistry and electrochemical performance. *J Power Sources*. 2017;361:285–299.
- Paolella A, Faure C, Timoshevskii V, et al. A review on hexacyanoferrate-based materials for energy storage and smart windows: challenges and perspectives. *J Mater Chem.* 2017;5(36):18919–18932.
- Munoz-Marquez MA, Saurel D, Gomez-Camer JL, Casas-Cabanas M, Castillo-Martinez E, Rojo T. Na-ion batteries for large acale applications: a review on anode materials and solid electrolyte interphase formation. *Adv Energy Mater*. 2017;7(20):1700463.
- Kundu D, Talaie E, Duffort V, Nazar LF. The emerging chemistry of sodium ion batteries for electrochemical energy storage. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2015;54(11):3431–3448.
- Clement RJ, Bruce PG, Grey CP. Review-manganese-based P2-type transition metal oxides as sodium-ion battery cathode materials. *J Electrochem Soc.* 2015;162(14):A2589–A2604.

- Farrington MD. Proposed amendments to UN ST/SG/AC.10/11: transport of dangerous goods—lithium batteries. *J Power Sources*. 1999;80(1):278–285.
- Farrington MD. Safety of lithium batteries in transportation. J Power Sources. 2001;96(1):260–265.
- Barker J, Wright CJ, inventors; Faradion Ltd., assignee. Storage and/or transport of sodium ion cells. WO/2016/027082. Filing Date 08/18/2015; 2016.
- Nelson PA, Ahmed S, Gallagher KG, Dees DW. Cost savings for manufacturing lithium batteries in a flexible plant. *J Power Sources*. 2015;283:506–516.
- Luo W, Shen F, Bommier C, Zhu H, Ji X, Hu L. Na-ion battery anodes: materials and electrochemistry. Acc Chem Res. 2016;49(2):231–240.
- Yang X, Takada K, Itose M, et al. Highly swollen layered nickel oxide with a trilayer hydrate structure. *Chem Mater.* 2008;20(2):479–485.
- Buchholz D, Chagas LG, Vaalma C, Wu LM, Passerini S. Water sensitivity of layered P2/P3-Na_xNi_{0.22}Co_{0.11}Mn_{0.66}O₂ cathode material. *J Mater Chem.* 2014;2(33):13415–13421.
- Kubota K, Komaba S. Review-practical issues and future perspective for Na-ion batteries. J Electron Mater. 2015;162(14):A2538–A2550.
- Biensan P, Godiveau O, Simon B, inventors; SAFT, assignee. US5932632 A, Composition for a positive electrode, a method of preparing said composition, and the use of an organic acid compound for neutralizing LioH. 1999.
- Mu L, Xu S, Li Y, et al. Prototype sodium-ion batteries using an airstable and Co/Ni-free O3-layered metal oxide cathode. *Adv Mater*. 2015;27(43):6928–6933.
- Jung R, Morasch R, Karayaylali P, et al. Effect of ambient storage on the degradation of Ni-rich positive electrode materials (NMC811) for Li-ion batteries. *J Electron Mater.* 2018;165(2):A132–A141.
- Leising RA, Palazzo MJ, Takeuchi ES, Takeuchi KJ. A study of the overcharge reaction of lithium-ion batteries. *J Power Sources*. 2001;97–98:681–683.
- Ohzuku T, Ueda A, Yamamoto N, Iwakoshi Y. Factor affecting the capacity retention of lithium-ion cells. *J Power Sources*. 1995;54(1):99–102.
- Treacher JC, Smith KL, Kendrick E. Method of passive voltage control in a sodium-ion battery. WO 2017073056 A1. Filed 26 October 2016. In: 2017.
- Kendrick E, Smith KL, Treacher JC. Formation method for sodium ion cell or battery. WO 2017073066 A1. In: Google Patents; 2017.
- Yabuuchi N, Kubota K, Dahbi M, Komaba S. Research development on sodium-ion batteries. *Chem Rev.* 2014;114(23):11636–11682.
- Han MH, Gonzalo E, Singh G, Rojo T. A comprehensive review of sodium layered oxides: powerful cathodes for Na-ion batteries. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2015;8(1):81–102.
- Sawicki M, Shaw LL. Advances and challenges of sodium ion batteries as post lithium ion batteries. *RSC Adv.* 2015;5(65):53129–53154.
- Han MH, Gonzalo E, Singh G, Rojo T. A comprehensive review of sodium layered oxides: powerful cathodes for Na-ion batteries. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2015;8:81–102.
- Liang Y, Lai W-H, Miao Z, Chou S-L. Nanocomposite materials for the sodium-ion battery: a review. *Small.* 2017;14(5):1702514.
- Wood SM, Eames C, Kendrick E, Islam MS. Sodium ion diffusion and voltage trends in phosphates Na₄M₃(PO₄)₂P₂O₇ (M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni) for possible high-rate cathodes. *J Phys Chem C* 2015;119(28):15935–15941.
- Driscoll L, Kendrick E, Wright A, Slater P. Investigation into the effect on structure of oxoanion doping in Na₂M(SO₄)₂ 2H₂O. *J Solid State Chem.* 2016;242:103–111.
- Treacher JC, Wood SM, Islam MS, Kendrick E. Na₂CoSiO₄ as a cathode material for sodium-ion batteries: structure, electrochemistry and diffusion pathways. *PCCP*. 2016;18(48):32744–32752.
- 52. Driscoll LL, Kendrick E, Knight KS, Wright AJ, Slater PR. Investigation into the dehydration of selenate doped $Na_2M(SO_4)_2$:2H₂O (M = Mn, Fe, Co and Ni): stabilisation of the high Na content alluaudite phases $Na_3M_{1.5}(SO_4)_{3-1.5x}(SeO_4)_{1.5x}$ (M = Mn, Co and Ni) through selenate incorporation. *J Solid State Chem.* 2018;258:64–71.

- Iermakova DI, Dugas R, Palacín MR, Ponrouch A. On the comparative stability of Li and Na metal anode interfaces in conventional Alkyl carbonate electrolytes. *J Electron Mater*. 2015;162(13):A7060–A7066.
- 54. Ledwoch D, Brett DJ, Kendrick E. The performance of hard carbon in a sodium ion battery and influence of the sodium metal in observed properties. *ECS Trans.* 2016;72(33):17–22.
- Ponrouch A, Monti D, Boschin A, Steen B, Johansson P, Palacin MR. Non-aqueous electrolytes for sodium-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem.* 2015;3(1):22–42.
- Che HY, Chen SL, Xie YY, et al. Electrolyte design strategies and research progress for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2017;10(5):1075–1101.
- Rodriguez R, Loeffler KE, Nathan SS, et al. In situ optical imaging of sodium electrodeposition: effects of fluoroethylene carbonate. ACS Energy Lett. 2017;2(9):2051–2057.
- Chen X, Shen X, Li B, et al. Ion–solvent complexes promote gas evolution from electrolytes on a sodium metal anode. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2018;57(3):734–737.
- Seh ZW, Sun J, Sun Y, Cui Y. A highly reversible room-temperature sodium metal anode. ACS Cent Sci. 2015;1(8):449–455.
- Dahbi M, Nakano T, Yabuuchi N, et al. Effect of hexafluorophosphate and fluoroethylene carbonate on electrochemical performance and the surface layer of hard carbon for sodium-ion batteries. *ChemElectro-Chem.* 2016;3(11):1856–1867.
- Choudhury S, Wei S, Ozhabes Y, et al. Designing solid-liquid interphases for sodium batteries. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):898.
- Che H, Liu J, Wang H, et al. Rubidium and cesium ions as electrolyte additive for improving performance of hard carbon anode in sodiumion battery. *Electrochem Commun.* 2017;83:20–23.
- Slater MD, Kim D, Lee E, Johnson CS. Sodium-ion batteries. Adv Funct Mater. 2013;23(8):947–958.
- Komaba S, Ishikawa T, Yabuuchi N, Murata W, Ito A, Ohsawa Y. Fluorinated ethylene carbonate as electrolyte additive for rechargeable Na batteries. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2011;3(11):4165–4168.
- Keller M, Vaalma C, Buchholz D, Passerini S. Development and characterization of high-performance sodium-ion cells based on layered oxide and hard carbon. *ChemElectroChem.* 2016;3(7):1124–1132.
- Wang H, Gu M, Jiang J, Lai C, Ai X. An O3-type NaNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.3}Ti_{0.2}O₂ compound as new cathode material for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries. *J Power Sources*. 2016;327:653–657.
- Zhang X, Fan C, Han S. Improving the initial Coulombic efficiency of hard carbon-based anode for rechargeable batteries with high energy density. *J Mater Sci.* 2017;52(17):10418–10430.
- Shishikura T, Takeuchi M, Murakosi Y, Konuma, H, Kameyama M, inventor; Showa Denko K.K. (Tokyo, JP), Hitachi Ltd. (Tokyo, JP), assignee. Secondary battery US 5051325. 1991.
- Huang B, Yang JW, Li YW, Xiao SH, Chen QQ. Carbon encapsulated Sn-Co alloy: a stabilized tin-based material for sodium storage. *Mater Lett.* 2018;210:321–324.
- Dong W, Yang SB, Liang B, et al. C/Sn/RGO nanocomposites as higher initial coulombic efficiency anode for sodium-ion batteries. *ChemistrySelect.* 2017;2(35):11739–11746.
- Adamson P, Kendrick E, inventor; Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha (Osaka, JP), assignee. Carbon-metal alloy / alloy composite material, synthesis method and electrode including the same 2017.
- Adamson P, Kendrick E, inventor; Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha (Osaka, JP), assignee. Porous carbon-metal/alloy composite material, synthesis method and electrode including the same 2017.
- Ponrouch A, Dedryvere R, Monti D, et al. Towards high energy density sodium ion batteries through electrolyte optimization. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2013;6(8):2361–2369.
- Pham TA, Kweon KE, Samanta A, Lordi V, Pask JE. Solvation and dynamics of sodium and potassium in ethylene carbonate from ab initio molecular dynamics simulations. *J Phys Chem C*. 2017;121(40):21913–21920.
- Colò F, Bella F, Nair JR, Gerbaldi C. Light-cured polymer electrolytes for safe, low-cost and sustainable sodium-ion batteries. *J Power Sources*. 2017;365:293–302.

- Koduru HK, Iliev MT, Kondamareddy KK, et al. Investigations on Poly (ethylene oxide) (PEO) - blend based solid polymer electrolytes for sodium ion batteries. *J Phys Conf Ser* 2016;764(1):012006.
- Yang YQ, Chang Z, Li MX, Wang XW, Wu YP. A sodium ion conducting gel polymer electrolyte. *Solid State Ion*. 2015;269:1–7.
- 78. Ren W, Zhu Z, An Q, Mai L. Emerging prototype sodium-ion full cells with nanostructured electrode materials. *Small*. 2017;13(23).
- Sun Y, Lee H-W, Seh ZW, et al. High-capacity battery cathode prelithiation to offset initial lithium loss. *Nat Energy*. 2016;1:15008.
- Kim HJ, Choi S, Lee SJ, et al. Controlled prelithiation of silicon monoxide for high performance lithium-ion rechargeable full cells. *Nano Lett.* 2016;16(1):282–288.
- Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. Development of a sodium ion secondary battery. 2013. Available from: https://www.sumitomo-chem.co.jp/ english/rd/report/theses/docs/2013E_3.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2018.
- Wang L, Song J, Qiao R, et al. Rhombohedral Prussian white as cathode for rechargeable sodium-ion batteries. JACS. 2015;137(7):2548–2554.
- News C [webpage on the Internet]. A battery Revolution in Motion. 2015. Available from: https://news.cnrs.fr/articles/a-battery-revolutionin-motion. Accessed February 12, 2018.
- Abouimrane A, Weng W, Eltayeb H, et al. Sodium insertion in carboxylate based materials and their application in 3.6 V full sodium cells. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2012;5:9632.
- Oh S-M, Oh P, Kim S-O, Manthiram A. A high-performance sodiumion full cell with a layered oxide cathode and a phosphorous-based composite anode. *J Electron Mater*. 2017;164:A321–A326.
- Jo CH, Jo JH, Myung ST. Confinement of nanosized tin(IV) oxide particles on rGO sheets and its application to sodium-ion full cells as a high capacity anode material. *J Alloys Compd.* 2018;731:339–346.
- Ding C, Nohira T, Hagiwara R, Fukunaga A, Sakai S, Nitta K. Electrochemical performance of hard carbon negative electrodes for ionic liquid-based sodium ion batteries over a wide temperature range. *Electrochim Acta*. 2015;176:344–349.
- Sarkar A, Sarkar S, Mitra S. Exceptionally high sodium-ion battery cathode capacity-based on doped ammonium vanadium oxide and full cell SIB prototype study. *J Mater Chem.* 2017;5:24929–24941.
- Oh SM, Myung ST, Hwang JY, Scrosati B, Amine K, Sun YK. High capacity O3-type Na[Li_{0.05}(Ni_{0.25}Fe_{0.25}Mn_{0.5})_{0.95}]O₂ cathode for sodium ion batteries. *Chem Mater.* 2014;26:6165–6171.
- Edison E, Sreejith S, Madhavi S. Melt-spun Fe-Sb intermetallic alloy anode for performance enhanced sodium-ion batteries. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2017;9:39399–39406.
- Yu C-Y, Park J-S, Jung H-G, et al. NaCrO₂ cathode for high-rate sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2015;8:2019–2026.
- Hwang J-Y, Myung S-T, Choi JU, Yoon CS, Yashiro H, Sun Y-K. Resolving the degradation pathways on O3-type layered oxides cathode surface through the nano-scale aluminum oxide coating for high-energy density sodium-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A*. 2017;5:23671–23680.
- Kim D, Lee E, Slater M, Lu W, Rood S, Johnson CS. Layered Na[Ni_{1/3}Fe_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}]O₂ cathodes for Na-ion battery application. *Electrochem Commun.* 2012;18:66–69.

- Hasa I, Passerini S, Hassoun J. A rechargeable sodium-ion battery using a nanostructured Sb–C anode and P2-type layered Na_{0.6}Ni_{0.22}Fe_{0.11}Mn_{0.66}O₂ cathode. *RSC Adv.* 2015;5:48928–48934.
- Wu L, Buchholz D, Vaalma C, Giffin GA, Passerini S. Apple-biowastederived hard carbon as a powerful anode material for Na-ion batteries. *ChemElectroChem.* 2016;3:292–298.
- Hasa I, Passerini S, Hassoun J. Characteristics of an ionic liquid electrolyte for sodium-ion batteries. J Power Sources. 2016;303:203–207.
- 97. Sathiya M, Thomas J, Batuk D, Pimenta V, Gopalan R, Tarascon JM. Dual stabilization and sacrificial effect of Na₂CO₃ for increasing capacities of Na-ion cells based on P2-Na_xMO₂ electrodes. *Chem Mater.* 2017;29:5948–5956.
- Martinez De Ilarduya J, Otaegui L, López del Amo JM, Armand M, Singh G. NaN₃ addition, a strategy to overcome the problem of sodium deficiency in P2-Na_{0.67}[Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O₂ cathode for sodium-ion battery. *J Power Sources*. 2017;337:197–203.
- Aragón MJ, Lavela P, Ortiz G, Alcántara R, Tirado JL. Nanometric P2-Na_{2/3}Fe_{1/3}Mn_{2/3}O₂ with controlled morphology as cathode for sodium-ion batteries. *J Alloys Compd.* 2017;724:465–473.
- Wang X, Yang Z, Wang C, et al. Auto-generated iron chalcogenide microcapsules ensure high-rate and high-capacity sodium-ion storage. *Nanoscale*. 2018;10:800–806.
- Wang X, Yang Z, Wang C, et al. Buffer layer enhanced stability of sodium-ion storage. *J Power Sources*. 2017;369:138–145.
- 102. Lu YC, Ma C, Alvarado J, Dimov N, Meng YS, Okada S. Improved electrochemical performance of tin-sulfide anodes for sodium-ion batteries. J Mater Chem A. 2015;3:16971–16977.
- 103. Zhang B, Dugas R, Rousse G, Rozier P, Abakumov AM, Tarascon JM. Insertion compounds and composites made by ball milling for advanced sodium-ion batteries. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:1–9.
- 104. Huang K-C, Guo J-Z, Li H-H, et al. Layer-stacked Sb@graphene micro/nanocomposite with decent Na-storage, full-cell and lowtemperature performances. *J Alloys Compd.* 2018;731:881–888.
- 105. Liu Y, Liu J, Hou M, Fan L, Wang Y, Xia Y. Carbon-coated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ nanoparticles with high electrochemical performance as anode material in sodium-ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A*. 2017;5:10902–10908.
- 106. Zhang J, Zhang D, Niu F, Li X, Wang C, Yang J. FeFe(CN)₆ nanocubes as a bipolar electrode material in aqueous symmetric sodium-ion batteries. *ChemPlusChem.* 2017;82:1170–1173.
- Pasta M, Wessells CD, Liu N, et al. Full open-framework batteries for stationary energy storage. *Nat Commun.* 2014;5:1–9.
- 108. Ye H, Wang Y, Zhao F, et al. Iron-based sodium-ion full batteries. J Mater Chem A. 2016;4:1754–1761.
- Song J, Wang L, Lu Y, et al. Removal of interstitial H₂O in hexacyanometallates for a superior cathode of a sodium-ion battery. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015;137:2658–2664.
- Liu Y, Wei G, Pan L, et al. Rhombic dodecahedron ZIF-8 precursor: designing porous N-Doped carbon for sodium-ion batteries. *ChemElectroChem.* 2017;4(12):3244–3249.
- 111. Liu Y, Wei G, Ma M, Qiao Y. Role of acid in tailoring Prussian blue as cathode for high-performance sodium-ion battery. *Chem Eur J.* 2017;23:15991–15996.

Nanotechnology, Science and Applications

Publish your work in this journal

Nanotechnology, Science and Applications is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focuses on the science of nanotechnology in a wide range of industrial and academic applications. It is characterized by the rapid reporting across all sectors, including engineering, optics, bio-medicine, cosmetics, tex-tiles, resource sustainability and science. Applied research into nano-materials,

particles, nano-structures and fabrication, diagnostics and analytics, drug delivery and toxicology constitute the primary direction of the journal. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/nanotechnology-science-and-applications-journal

Dovepress