Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Associations between the NUDT15 R139C polymorphism and susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia in Asians: a meta-analysis

Yulan Liu¹ Yang Meng² Lu Wang¹ Zhou Liu¹ Jiao Li³ Weiguo Dong³

¹Department of Critical Care Medicine, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China; ²Department of Gastroenterology Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China; ³Department of Gastroenterology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Correspondence: Weiguo Dong Department of Gastroenterology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Zhang Zhi Dong Road No 99, Wuhan 430060, Hubei Province, China Tel +86 27 8804 1911 Email dwg@whu.edu.cn



Background and aim: Despite several studies being conducted to examine the associations between the *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism and thiopurine-induced leukopenia in the Asian population, the results remain inconsistent. This meta-analysis determined the risk of thiopurine-induced leukopenia conferred by the *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism.

Materials and methods: All eligible studies published in English up to May 2018 were identified by searching PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and the Cochrane Library. Pooled OR and 95% CI were calculated using fixed- or random-effect model.

Results: In all, total of 14 studies containing 918 patients and 2,341 controls were included; of these, 8 studies concerned inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and 4 concerned acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Overall, the results indicated that the *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism was associated with leukopenia induced by thiopurines (OR =9.04, 95% CI 6.05–13.50, P<0.001 for the dominant model; OR =24.26, 95% CI 11.38–51.71, P<0.001 for the recessive model; OR =7.60, 95% CI 4.97–11.61, P<0.001 for the CT vs TT model; OR =38.47, 95% CI 17.78–83.24, P<0.001 for the CC vs TT model). In subgroup analyses, significant associations were found among patients with IBD (OR =7.57, 95% CI 5.16–11.12, P<0.001 for the dominant model), and other diseases (OR =31.22, 95% CI 1.20–814.07, P=0.04 for the dominant model). In addition, the R139C variant was strongly associated with early (<8 weeks) (OR =15.53, 95% CI 7.91–30.50, P<0.001 for the dominant model) and late leukopenia (≥8 weeks) (OR =2.92, 95% CI 2.01–4.24, P<0.001 for the dominant model). Moreover, these findings were sufficiently robust when studies without Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test were excluded.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis verified the strong association between the *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism and thiopurine-induced leukopenia (both early and late leukopenia) in an Asian population with IBD, ALL, and other diseases. *NUDT15* R139C genotyping should be prioritized to predict leukopenia among Asians.

Keywords: NUDT15 R139C, leukopenia, thiopurine, polymorphism, IBD, ALL, meta-analysis

Introduction

Thiopurines (mercaptopurine, thioguanine, and azathioprine) are commonly used immunosuppressive and anticancer agents. In inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease, thiopurines are the first-line regimens in patients with corticosteroid refractory or corticosteroid dependant.^{1–3} Thiopurines are also commonly prescribed for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), as prolonged daily exposure to mercaptopurine is an important component of contemporary treatment regimens.^{4,5} Unfortunately, up to 40% of patients discontinue

OncoTargets and Therapy 2018:11 8309-8317

© 0 0 2018 Liu et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nd/3.0). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). thiopurine therapy owing to intolerable adverse effects, especially leukopenia, which is the most common and lifethreatening toxicity in Asian patients.^{5,6} Therefore, predictors that can forecast the risk of leukopenia are strongly needed to accurately and efficiently use thiopurines.

The metabolism of thiopurines is complex and involves several primary active intermediates, such as nucleoside triphosphates, 6-thio-GTP, and 6-thio-dGTP.⁷ Nucleoside diphosphate-linked moiety X-type motif 15 (NUDT15) is a 164-amino-acid protein that belongs to the nudix hydrolase enzyme family, which is hypothesized to catalyze the hydrolysis of 6-thio-GTP and 6-thio-dGTP, thus preventing their incorporation into DNA and playing a negative role with respect to the adverse effects of thiopurines.8 Studies revealed that the novel gene NUDT15 R139C variant (rs116855232; C415T; encoding p.Arg139Cys) could decrease thermal stability of NUDT15.9 Moreover, in 2014, Yang et al¹⁰ first reported that the NUDT15 R139C variant confers susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia. Since then, there have been numerous publications, mostly in patients of Asian origin, that further supported Yang et al's findings.^{11–15} Furthermore, this variant is most common in East Asians, rare in Europeans, and not observed in Africans. Hence, we aimed to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to gather and analyze the data regarding the association between NUDT15 R139C variant and thiopurine-induced leukopenia in Asians.

Materials and methods Search strategy

A literature research was conducted using PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library up to May 2018 with English-language restriction. Relevant studies were searched using the terms [*NUDT15* or rs116855232 or *NUDT15* R139C or NUDT15 c.415C>T] AND [variant or genetic polymorphism or polymorphisms or mutation]. Additional studies were identified by screening references in the retrieved articles and preceding reviews on the topic.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: 1) they described the association between *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism and risks of thiopurine-induced leukopenia in Asians; and 2) they reported the genotype frequencies of cases and controls or the data could be calculated from the paper. Accordingly, the exclusion criteria were 1) reviews or letters, or case report; 2) duplicate data; 3) reports only concerned with leukopenia; and 4) studies with sample size <30.

Date extraction and quality assessment

Two of the authors independently selected the articles and extracted data with consensus regarding all search terms. If the data were not identical, both investigators would check the data again to arrive at an agreement. If they could not reach an agreement, an expert (W.D.) would intervene and help make a decision. The following items were collected from the eligible articles: first author's name, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, year of publication, type of disease, leukopenia-onset time, number of cases and controls, age of onset, thiopurine regimens, leukopenia criteria, number of early leukopenia cases induced by thiopurines, number of late leukopenia cases induced by thiopurines, and the method of single-nucleotide polymorphism detection.

The quality of the selected studies was independently evaluated on the basis of the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS).¹⁶ Studies with six or more stars were considered as high quality.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was performed using the Cochrane Collaboration Revman 5.3 (Copenhagen, 2014) and STATA package version 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). The pooled ORs ad 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the association between the NUDT15 R139C polymorphism and thiopurineinduced leukopenia risk. In addition, subgroup analyses were performed based on disease type and leukopenia-onset time when adequate data were available. We used the chi-squaredbased Q statistic to assess the heterogeneity between the studies.^{17,18} When $I^2 > 50\%$ and $P \le 0.05$, the heterogeneity was considered significant, and the random-effects model was used to analyze the data; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used.¹⁹ Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) among the control subjects was examined with the chi-squared test. We evaluated the association of NUDT15 R139C polymorphism with susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia in the dominant, recessive, codominant, and heterozygous models. Then, we calculated the sensitivity to evaluate the stability of the results after eliminating the studies without HWE. Publication bias was diagnosed using Begg's funnel plot²⁰ and Egger's linear regression.²¹ P < 0.05 was regarded as a state of disequilibrium.

Results Study characteristics

In total, 97 abstracts were identified and assessed from the initial literature search. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 14 studies^{10–14,22–30} with full text were eligible



Figure I Flow chart showing study selection procedure.

for this meta-analysis. Figure 1 shows the flow chart of included studies. All studies were conducted in Asia and all study participants were Asian. There were 14 eligible studies including 918 cases (leukopenia) and 2,341 controls (without leukopenia) regarding the NUDT15 R139C variant. Disease type included IBD (Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis), ALL, autoimmune hepatitis, and various neurological disease, including myasthenia gravis, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, neuromyelitis optica, vasculitis, and others. Leukopenia with onset within 8 weeks was defined as early leukopenia; otherwise, it was defined as late leukopenia.³¹ Blood samples were obtained from enrolled patients and used to determine genetic polymorphisms in all of the included studies. The distribution of genotypes in controls was consistent with HWE for all, except two studies.^{11,12} According to the NOS, the quality of all enrolled studies was high. Table 1 showed the characteristics of the included studies.

Quantitative data synthesis

In this meta-analysis, the allelic frequency of *NUDT15* R139C was 11.2% (732/6,518), and there were 28.2% (918/3,259) patients with thiopurine-induced leukopenia. Overall, *NUDT15* R139C polymorphism significantly increased susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia. This association was observed under all four models: dominant model

(OR =9.04, 95% CI 6.05–13.50, *P*<0.001); recessive model (OR =24.26, 95% CI 11.38–51.71, *P*<0.0010); CT vs TT model (OR =7.60, 95% CI 4.97–11.61, *P*<0.001); and CC vs TT model (OR =38.47, 95% CI 17.78–83.24, *P*<0.001) (Figure 2, Table 2).

In IBD patients, eight studies with 755 cases and 1,881 controls were identified. Overall, a significantly increased risk was found under all four models: dominant model (OR =7.57, 95% CI 5.16–11.12, P<0.001); recessive model (OR =32.06, 95% CI 11.10–92.56, P<0.001); CT vs TT model (OR =6.55, 95% CI 4.42–9.70, P<0.001); and CC vs TT model (OR =51.22, 95% CI 17.44–150.48, P<0.001). Similar results were observed in the subgroup of ALL and other diseases (Table 2).

For early leukopenia, 10 studies with 227 cases and 2,548 controls were identified. Overall, we found a significantly increased risk under the dominant model (OR =15.53, 95% CI 7.91–30.50, P<0.001). For late leukopenia, 10 studies with 603 cases and 2,172 controls were included. Similar results were obtained in the development of late leukopenia (OR =2.92, 95% CI 2.01–4.24, P<0.001) (Figure 2).

Test of heterogeneity

There was significant heterogeneity for overall comparisons in the dominant (CT + TT vs TT: P=0.0002) and heterozygote

Study	Year	Ethnicity	Diseases	Number	Leukopenia	Genotyp	e (case/c	ontrol)	P _{HWE}	NOS
				Case/Control	diagnostic criteria	WT Ho	Ht	VR Ho]	
Asada et al ²²	2016	Japanese	IBD	45/116	WBC <3,000/µL	25/102	18/14	2/0	0.489	9
Chao et al''	2017	Chinese	IBD	177/555	WBC <3,500/µL	90/467	76/88	11/0	0.043	9
Kakuta et al ¹³	2016	Japanese	IBD	34/101	WBC <3,000/µL	19/88	10/13	5/0	0.489	9
Sato et al ²³	2017	Japanese	IBD	59/90	WBC <3,000/µL	29/80	24/9	6/1	0.223	8
Sutiman et al ²⁵	2018	Asian	IBD	10/119	WBC <3,000/µL	3/108	5/11	2/0	0.597	8
Wang et al ²⁷	2018	Chinese	IBD	19/80	WBC <3,000/μL or ANC <1,500/μL	11/64	8/16	0/0	0.320	8
Yang et al ¹⁰	2014	Korean	IBD	346/632	WBC <3,000/µL	199/589	133/43	14/0	0.376	7
Zhu et al ²⁹	2016	Chinese	IBD	65/188	WBC <3,500/µL	25/171	36/17	4/0	0.516	6
Chiengthong et al ¹²	2016	Thai	ALL	28/54	ANC <500/μL	18/52	9/1	1/1	0.000	6
Tanaka et al ²⁶	2018	Japanese	ALL	38/57	WBC <2,000/μL or ANC <1,000/μL	19/51	13/5	6/1	0.071	9
Zhou et al ²⁸	2018	Chinese	ALL	23/82	WBC <2,000/µL	11/63	10/19	2/0	0.235	9
Zhu et al ³⁰	2018	Chinese	ALL	48/140	WBC <2,000/µL	15/136	27/4	6/0	0.864	6
Kim et al ¹⁴	2017	Korean	Neurological diseases	20/64	WBC <3,500/μL	12/59	3/5	5/0	0.745	7
Shah et al ²⁴	2017	Indian	IBD + AIH	6/63	WBC <3,000/µL	0/60	5/3	1/0	0.846	9

Table I Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

Notes: P_{HWE} was calculated by goodness-of fit chi-squared test, P_{HWE} <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Abbreviations: AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; Ht, heterozygote; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa Scale; VR Ho, variant homozygote; WBC, white blood cell; WT Ho, wild-type homozygote.

A Study or		Ikopenia	Without leu		Weight	Odds ratio M–H,	Odds ratio M–H,
subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	random, 95% Cl	random, 95% Cl
IBD							
Asada A 2016	20	45	14	116	8.4	5.83 (2.59–13.12)	
Chao K 2017	87	177	88	555	11.6	5.13 (3.53-7.14)	-
Kakuta Y 2016	15	34	13	101	7.9	5.34 (2.19–13.05)	
Sato T 2017	30	59	10	90	8.3	8.28 (3.60–19.02)	
Sutiman N 2017	7	10	11	119	4.6	22.91 (5.17-101.44)	
Wang HH 2018	8	19	16	80	6.8	2.91 (1.01-8.42)	
Yang SK 2014	147	346	43	632	11.6	10.12 (6.95–14.74)	-
Zhu X 2016	40	65	17	188	9.2	16.09 (7.95–32.60)	
Subtotal (95% CI)		755		1,881	68.4	7.57 (5.16–11.12)	•
Total events	354		212				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0$.	.16; χ²=17.′	13, df=7 (P=	=0.02); <i>1</i> ² =59%				
Test for overall effec	t: Z=10.34 (P<0.00001)				
ALL							
Chiegtong K 2015	10	28	2	54	4.2	14.44 (2.89–72.26)	
Tanaka Y 2018	19	38	6	57	6.8	8.50 (2.95–24.49)	
Zhou H 2018	12	23	19	82	7.4	3.62 (1.38-9.50)	
Zhu YP 2018	33	48	4	140	6.2	74.80 (23.29-240.20)	
Subtotal (95% CI)		137		333	24.5	13.13 (3.43–50.23)	-
Total events	74		31			, ,	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=1$.50: $\gamma^2 = 15.8$	34. df=3 (P=	=0.001): /2=810	%			
Test for overall effec			,,				
Others							
Kim SY 2017	8	20	5	64	5.6	7.87 (2.19–28.24)	
Shah SA 2016	6	6	3	63	1.5	224.71 (10.41-4,848.71)	
Subtotal (95% CI)		26		127	7.1	31.22 (1.20-814.07)	
Total events	14		8			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=4$.		7 df-1 (P-0					
			5.05), 7 -7578				
Test for overall effec	t: Z=2.07 (F	,					
Total (95% CI)		918		2,341	100	9.04 (6.05–13.50)	•
Total events	442		251			3	
Heterogeneity: r ² =0.	.32; χ ² =39.3	37, df=13 (F	P=0.0002); /2=6	67%			
Test for overall effec	t: Z=10.76 (P<0.00001)			0.001	0.1 1 10 1,00
Test for subgroup dif	fforonooo	2-1 07 df-	0 (D-0 E2) 12-	-00/		Favors	(experimental) Favors (control)

Figure 2 (Continued)

Study or subgroup	With leul Events	kopenia Total	Without Events	leukopenia Total	Weight (%)	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl
IBD							
Asada A 2016	4	6	30	155	8.2	8.33 (1.46–47.65)	
Chao K 2017	43	70	132	662	16.5	6.39 (3.81–10.73)	
Chiegtong K 2015	3	6	21	103	8.6	3.90 (0.73-20.75)	
Kakuta Y 2016	9	10	19	125	6.5	50.21 (6.01–419.53)	
Kim SY 2017	6	7	7	77	6.0	60.00 (6.29-572.16)	
Sato T 2017	13	16	27	133	10.7	17.01 (4.52–63.98)	
Shah SA 2016	4	4	5	65	3.9	99.00 (4.69–2,089.05)	
Yang SK 2014	59	66	131	912	14.5	50.25 (22.46-112.40)	
Zhou H 2018	11	15	20	90	11.2	9.63 (2.76-33.51)	
Zhu X 2016	19	27	38	226	13.8	11.75 (4.79–28.80)	
Subtotal (95% CI)		227		2,548	100	15.53 (7.91–30.50)	▲
Total events	171		430				
Heterogeneity: r ² =0.0	65; χ²=26.02	2, df=9 (P=	=0.002); <i>I</i> ² =	65%			
Test for overall effect	: Z=7.97 (P	< 0.00001)					
Total (95% CI)		227		2,548	100	15.53 (7.91–30.50)	•
Total events	171		430	_,			
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.0$	$65^{\circ} \gamma^2 = 26.03^{\circ}$	2 df=9 (P=	=0 002). 12=	65%		H-	
Test for overall effect			-0.002), 7 -	0070		0.00	1 0.1 1 10 1,00
Test for subgroup diff			0			F	avors (experimental) Favors (control)
lest for subgroup un	erences. nc		C				
^							
C	\A/:6h			loukononio	Waisht	Odda ratia M. U	Odda ratio M H
Study or	With leuk	kopenia	Without	leukopenia Total	Weight	Odds ratio M–H,	Odds ratio M–H,
Study or subgroup	With leul Events			leukopenia Total	Weight (%)	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl
Study or subgroup IBD	Events	kopenia Total	Without Events	Total	(%)	random, 95% Cl	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016	Events	Kopenia Total	Without Events	Total	(%) 11.4	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017	Events 16 44	Total 39 107	Without Events 18 131	Total 122 625	(%) 11.4 18.5	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015	Events 16 44 19	Kopenia Total 39 107 49	Without Events 18 131 5	Total 122 625 60	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Chao K 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016	Events 16 44 19 6	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24	Without Events	Total 122 625 60 111	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017	Events 16 44 19 6 2	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11	Total 122 625 60 111 71	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43	Without Events	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2	kopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% Cl)	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36 385	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: τ²=0.	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216 15; χ^2 =18.43	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, <i>df</i> =9 (<i>P</i> =	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36 385	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216 15; χ^2 =18.43	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, <i>df</i> =9 (<i>P</i> =	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36 385	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0$.	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216 15; χ^2 =18.43	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, <i>df</i> =9 (<i>P</i> =	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36 385	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78)	,
Study orsubgroupIBDAsada A 2016Chao K 2017Chiegtong K 2015Kakuta Y 2016Kim SY 2017Sato T 2017Shah SA 2016Yang SK 2014Zhou H 2018Zhu X 2016Subtotal (95% CI)Total eventsHeterogeneity: r^2 =0.Test for overall effect	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216 15; χ^2 =18.43	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, df=9 (P= <0.00001)	Without Events 18 131 5 22 11 23 7 102 30 36 385	Total 122 625 60 111 71 106 67 698 97 215 2,172 1%	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6 100	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78) 2.92 (2.01–4.24)	,
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: $r^2=0$. Test for overall effect Total (95% CI) Total events	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 21 216 15; χ^2 =18.4; : Z=5.63 (P-2) 216	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, df=9 (P= <0.00001) 603	Without Events	Total 122 625 60 111 106 67 698 97 215 2,172 1% 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6 100	random, 95% Cí 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78) 2.92 (2.01–4.24) 2.92 (2.01–4.24)	random, 95% CÍ
Study or subgroup IBD Asada A 2016 Chao K 2017 Chiegtong K 2015 Kakuta Y 2016 Kim SY 2017 Sato T 2017 Shah SA 2016 Yang SK 2014 Zhou H 2018 Zhu X 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: r^2 =0. Test for overall effect Total (95% CI)	Events 16 44 19 6 2 17 2 88 1 216 15; χ^2 =18.4; : Z=5.63 (P- 216 15; χ^2 =18.4;	xopenia Total 39 107 49 24 13 43 2 280 8 38 603 3, <i>df</i> =9 (<i>P</i> = <0.00001) 603 3, <i>df</i> =9 (<i>P</i> =	Without Events	Total 122 625 60 111 106 67 698 97 215 2,172 1% 2,172	(%) 11.4 18.5 8.0 8.5 4.3 12.1 1.3 20.6 2.7 12.6 100	random, 95% Cl 4.02 (1.79–9.04) 2.63 (1.71–4.05) 6.97 (2.36–20.53) 1.35 (0.48–3.80) 0.99 (0.19–5.10) 2.36 (1.10–5.08) 40.33 (1.76–922.33) 2.68 (1.93–3.72) 0.32 (0.04–2.71) 6.14 (2.95–12.78) 2.92 (2.01–4.24)	random, 95% CÍ

Figure 2 Meta-analysis of the association between NUDT15 c.415C>T polymorphism and susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia under dominant model. Notes: (A) All leukopenia. (B) Early leukopenia. (C) Late leukopenia.

Abbreviations: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

model (CT vs CC: P=0.0001), but not in the recessive (TT vs CC + CT: P=0.90) and homozygote comparisons (TT vs CC: P=0.78). In the subgroup analysis by disease type, results were similar in IBD, ALL, and other diseases subgroup. For early and late leukopenia, there was significant heterogeneity $(P_{\text{early}} = 0.002, P_{\text{late}} = 0.03)$ (Table 2).

Publication bias

Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to address potential publication bias in the available literature. The shape of funnel plots did not indicate any evidence of funnel plot asymmetry (Figure 3). Egger's test also revealed that there was no statistical significance of evaluation of publication bias under the dominant model (415C > T: P=0.497, early leukopenia: P=0.245, late leukopenia: P=0.952).

Discussion

As prodrugs, thiopurines undergo a series of enzymatic reactions that results in several active species, consisting of 6-thioguanine-monophosphate (6-TGMP), 6-thioguaninediphosphate (6-TGDP), and 6-thioguanine-triphosphate (6-TGTP).³² 6-TGTP is further reduced to 6-deoxythioguanosine-triphosphate (6-TdGTP). Then, 6-TGTP and 6-TdGTP are incorporated into the RNA or DNA to trigger a futile mismatch repair and, eventually, apoptosis.33,34 Studies from comprehensive in vitro and vivo studies strongly indicated that NUDT15 could hydrolyze 6-TdGTP and 6-TGTP and then prevent the incorporation of these thiopurine metabolites into DNA (DNA-TG), thereby acting as a barrier to the efficacy of thiopurines in cells, and consequently, cytotoxicity.8,9,35,36 Moreover, the R139C mutation of NUDT15 can decrease the

Table 2 Summ	ary of O	IRs of the NUDT15 R139(C polymorphis	Table 2 Summary of ORs of the NUDT15 R139C polymorphism and thiopurine-induced leukopenia	i leukopenia				
Disease	_	Dominant model		Recessive model		Ht vs WT Ho		VR Ho vs WT Ho	
		OR (95% CI)	P-value ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value ^a
Total									
All studies	4	9.04 (6.05–13.50)	0.0002	24.26 (11.38–51.71) ^b	0.90	7.60 (4.97–11.61)	0.0001	38.47 (17.78–83.24) ^b	0.78
Studies with HWE	12	9.60 (6.09–15.12)	0.0009	25.13 (10.71–58.99) ^b	0.99	7.85 (4.87–12.65)	0.0008	40.79 (17.00–97.86) ^b	0.94
IBD	œ	7.57 (5.16–11.12)	0.02	32.06 (11.10–92.56) ^b	0.90	6.55 (4.42–9.70)	0.02	51.22 (17.44–150.48) ^b	0.89
ALL	4	13.13 (3.43–50.23)	0.001	12.43 (3.62–42.69) ^b	0.48	12.62 (2.91–54.78)	0.001	19.88 (5.82–67.94) ^b	0.35
Others	2	31.22 (1.20–814.07) ^b	0.05	42.43 (4.23–425.17) ^b	0.89	19.07 (0.31–1160.45)	0.007	67.02 (4.62–972.29) ^b	0.43
Notes: ^a Test for he	terogeneit	y. ^b Fixed-effect model was used v	when the P for het	Notes: ^a Test for heterogeneity. ^b Fixed-effect model was used when the <i>P</i> for heterogeneity test was ≥0.05, otherwise the random-effect model was used.	rwise the random-	-effect model was used.			

homozygote; SNP, singlelymphoblastic leukemia; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; n, number of studies; Ht + VR Ho vs WT Ho, dominant model; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; VR Ho, variant nucleotide polymorphism; vs Ht + WT Ho, recessive model acute Abbreviations: ALL,

Dovepress

thermal stability of NUDT15 that results in NUDT15 degradation⁹ and on average, only about 10% of thiopurine dose routinely tolerated is by patients with wild-type alleles.⁸

Routine examination of (thiopurine methyltransferase) TPMT genotype status prior to initiation of thiopurine therapy has been recommended in several clinical practice guidelines to reduce the risks of myelosuppression.^{37,38} However, series studies have confirmed that in Asian patients the variations in TPMT gene were rare and might not be clinically relevant in predicting toxicity.^{15,39,40} NUDT15 c.415C>T is a missense variant in the NUDT15 gene (rs116855232, encoding p.Arg139Cys) and the allele frequency of the mutation is ~10%-20% in the Asian population.^{10,22,41} By contrast, it is much lower in Caucasians with an occurrence of only 0.4%. Recently, researchers have reported that the NUDT15 R139C variant is strongly associated with thiopurine-related myelosuppression in patients with IBD^{10,25} and in children with ALL.^{29,42} Studies reported that NUDT15 c.415C>T may be a better predictor for thiopurine-reduced leukopenia than TPMT polymorphism in the Asian population.

The current meta-analysis found that the allele frequency of NUDT15 c.415C>T was 11.2% (732/6518) and the risk of developing leukopenia was significantly increased by 3.02-fold compared with patients without the T-allele mutation, which was similar to the range observed on other studies in East Asians.^{27,29} We calculated that the *NUDT15* c.415C>T allele was strongly associated with an increased risk of developing thiopurine-related leukopenia and this result was confirmed among studies with HWE. In the subgroup of IBD, ALL, and other diseases, similar results were observed. Among these, thiopurine-induced leukopenia among Asian populations have been robustly linked to the *NUDT15* R139C genetic variant. Therefore, the utility of routine genotyping for the *NUDT15* R139C variant before initiating thiopurine-related leukopenia.

Meanwhile, there have been different observations in recent studies of the *NUDT15* R139C variant in East Asians. Yang et al showed that *NUDT15* R139C was associated with both early and late leukopenia in the Korean population.¹⁰ In addition, Asada et al found that a decrease in the white blood cell count was rapidly induced (within 2 weeks) after thiopurine initiation in patients carrying *NUDT15* R139C. However, Kakuta et al¹³ reported that the presence of *NUDT15* R139C was associated with only early leukopenia, but not late leukopenia, in the Japanese population. This meta-analysis showed that the Asian population *NUDT15* R139C was significantly associated with the development of late leukopenia (OR =2.92, 95% CI 2.01–4.24) and a much



Figure 3 Begg's funnel plot for publication bias under dominant model. Notes: (A) All leukopenia. (B) Early leukopenia. (C) Late leukopenia.

stronger association with early leukopenia (OR =15.53, 95% CI 7.91–30.50). We also found that more patients develop late leukopenia (21.7%, 603/2,775) than early leukopenia (8.2%, 227/2,775). Several studies^{22,41} also found that patients with T mutation were more likely to develop severe leukopenia. The reason for the discrepancy might be owing to different azathiopurine dosage and different diagnostic criteria of leukopenia. Further studies are necessary to clarify this association.

Some of the highlights of our meta-analysis should be noted. First, this research sheds light on the relationship between the *NUDT15* R139C genetic polymorphism and the increased susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia in the Asian population. Second, the exhaustive inclusion criteria and articles on different types of disease enhanced the power and validity of our conclusion. Furthermore, all literature included had acceptable quality scores (scored at least 6). We also acknowledge that our study has some limitations. First, we only investigated the *NUDT15* p.Arg139Cys mutation, but not other coding variants of *NUDT15*, such as *NUDT15* p.Val18_Val19insGlyVal and p.Val18Ile. Second, the search terms were chosen only from the genetic aspect, and did not include leukopenia and toxicity to thiopurines. Third, the number of the studies, especially for ALL patients, was not sufficiently large.

Conclusion

In summary, we verified the strong association between *NUDT15* c.415C>T polymorphism and thiopurine-induced leukopenia (both early and late leukopenia) in an Asian population with IBD, ALL, and other diseases. Thus, NUDT15 c.415C>T genotyping should currently be prioritized for the prediction of leukopenia among the Asian population, and its application in precision medicine should be considered in the future. Further research is necessary to verify this relationship and determine the precise mechanism.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Lichtenstein GR, Abreu MT, Cohen R, Tremaine W; American Gastroenterological Association. American Gastroenterological Association Institute technical review on corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and infliximab in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2006; 130(3):940–987.
- Timmer A, McDonald JW, Tsoulis DJ, Macdonald JK. Azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine for maintenance of remission in ulcerative colitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;(9):CD000478.
- Timmer A, Patton PH, Chande N, McDonald JW, MacDonald JK. Azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine for maintenance of remission in ulcerative colitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;(5):CD000478.
- Childhood ALL Collaborative Group. Duration and intensity of maintenance chemotherapy in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: overview of 42 trials involving 12000 randomised children. *Lancet*. 1996;347(9018):1783–1788.
- Schmiegelow K, Nielsen SN, Frandsen TL, Nersting J. Mercaptopurine/ methotrexate maintenance therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: clinical facts and fiction. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2014; 36(7):503–517.
- Fraser AG, Orchard TR, Jewell DP. The efficacy of azathioprine for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: a 30 year review. *Gut.* 2002; 50(4):485–489.
- Karran P. Thiopurines, DNA damage, DNA repair and therapy-related cancer. Br Med Bull. 2006;79–80:153–170.
- Moriyama T, Nishii R, Perez-Andreu V, et al. NUDT15 polymorphisms alter thiopurine metabolism and hematopoietic toxicity. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(4):367–373.
- 9. Valerie NC, Hagenkort A, Page BD, et al. NUDT15 Hydrolyzes 6-Thio-DeoxyGTP to mediate the anticancer efficacy of 6-thioguanine. *Cancer Res.* 2016;76(18):5501–5511.
- Yang SK, Hong M, Baek J, et al. A common missense variant in NUDT15 confers susceptibility to thiopurine-induced leukopenia. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(9):1017–1020.
- Chao K, Wang X, Cao Q, et al. Combined detection of NUDT15 variants could highly predict thiopurine-induced leukopenia in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a multicenter analysis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2017;23(9):1592–1599.
- Chiengthong K, Ittiwut C, Muensri S, et al. NUDT15 c.415C>T increases risk of 6-mercaptopurine induced myelosuppression during maintenance therapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(1):e24–e26.
- Kakuta Y, Naito T, Onodera M, et al. NUDT15 R139C causes thiopurineinduced early severe hair loss and leukopenia in Japanese patients with IBD. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2016;16(3):280–285.
- Kim SY, Shin JH, Park JS, et al. NUDT15 p.R139C variant is common and strongly associated with azathioprine-induced early leukopenia and severe alopecia in Korean patients with various neurological diseases. *J Neurol Sci.* 2017;378:64–68.
- Liang DC, Yang CP, Liu HC, et al. NUDT15 gene polymorphism related to mercaptopurine intolerance in Taiwan Chinese children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2016;16(6):536–539.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2010;25(9):603–605.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med. 2002;21(11):1539–1558.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1959;22(4):719–748.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50(4):1088–1101.

- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315(7109):629–634.
- 22. Asada A, Nishida A, Shioya M, et al. NUDT15 R139C-related thiopurine leukocytopenia is mediated by 6-thioguanine nucleotide-independent mechanism in Japanese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Gastroenterol*. 2016;51(1):22–29.
- 23. Sato T, Takagawa T, Kakuta Y, et al. *NUDT15*, *FTO*, and *RUNX1* genetic variants and thiopurine intolerance among Japanese patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. *Intest Res.* 2017;15(3):328–337.
- Shah SA, Paradkar M, Desai D, Ashavaid TF. Nucleoside diphosphatelinked moiety X-type motif 15 C415T variant as a predictor for thiopurine-induced toxicity in Indian patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;32(3):620–624.
- Sutiman N, Chen S, Ling KL, et al. Predictive role of NUDT15 variants on thiopurine-induced myelotoxicity in Asian inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2018;19(1):31–43.
- Tanaka Y, Nakadate H, Kondoh K, Nakamura K, Koh K, Manabe A. Interaction between NUDT15 and ABCC4 variants enhances intolerability of 6-mercaptopurine in Japanese patients with childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2018;18(2):275–280.
- Wang HH, He Y, Wang HX, et al. Comparison of *TPMT* and *NUDT15* polymorphisms in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2018;24(8):941–948.
- Zhou H, Li L, Yang P, et al. Optimal predictor for 6-mercaptopurine intolerance in Chinese children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: NUDT15, TPMT, or ITPA genetic variants? *BMC Cancer*. 2018;18(1):516.
- 29. Zhu X, Wang XD, Chao K, et al. NUDT15 polymorphisms are better than thiopurine S-methyltransferase as predictor of risk for thiopurineinduced leukopenia in Chinese patients with Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2016;44(9):967–975.
- Zhu Y, Yin D, Su Y, et al. Combination of common and novel rare NUDT15 variants improves predictive sensitivity of thiopurine-induced leukopenia in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica. 2018;103(7):e293–e295.
- Lewis JD, Abramson O, Pascua M, et al. Timing of myelosuppression during thiopurine therapy for inflammatory bowel disease: implications for monitoring recommendations. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2009; 7(11):1195–1201; quiz 1141–1142.
- 32. Meijer B, Mulder CJ, de Boer NK. NUDT15: a novel player in thiopurine metabolism. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis.* 2016;25(2):261–262.
- Ebbesen MS, Nersting J, Jacobsen JH, et al. Incorporation of 6-thioguanine nucleotides into DNA during maintenance therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia-the influence of thiopurine methyltransferase genotypes. J Clin Pharmacol. 2013;53(6):670–674.
- 34. Hedeland RL, Hvidt K, Nersting J, et al. DNA incorporation of 6-thioguanine nucleotides during maintenance therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2010;66(3):485–491.
- 35. Takagi Y, Setoyama D, Ito R, Kamiya H, Yamagata Y, Sekiguchi M. Human MTH3 (NUDT18) protein hydrolyzes oxidized forms of guanosine and deoxyguanosine diphosphates: comparison with MTH1 and MTH2. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287(25):21541–21549.
- Yang JJ, Landier W, Yang W, et al. Inherited NUDT15 variant is a genetic determinant of mercaptopurine intolerance in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(11):1235–1242.
- 37. Burnett HF, Tanoshima R, Chandranipapongse W, Madadi P, Ito S, Ungar WJ. Testing for thiopurine methyltransferase status for safe and effective thiopurine administration: a systematic review of clinical guidance documents. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2014;14(6):493–502.
- Relling MV, Gardner EE, Sandborn WJ, et al; Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guidelines for thiopurine methyltransferase genotype and thiopurine dosing: 2013 update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;93(4):324–325.
- Gazouli M, Pachoula I, Panayotou I, et al. Thiopurine S-methyltransferase genotype and the use of thiopurines in paediatric inflammatory bowel disease Greek patients. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2010;35(1):93–97.

- 40. Takatsu N, Matsui T, Murakami Y, et al. Adverse reactions to azathioprine cannot be predicted by thiopurine S-methyltransferase genotype in Japanese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2009;24(7):1258–1264.
- 41. Lee JH, Kim TJ, Kim ER, et al. Measurements of 6-thioguanine nucleotide levels with TPMT and NUDT15 genotyping in patients with Crohn's disease. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0188925.
- 42. Tanaka Y, Kato M, Hasegawa D, et al. Susceptibility to 6-MP toxicity conferred by a NUDT15 variant in Japanese children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol.* 2015;171(1):109–115.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from

published authors.

Dovepress