open access to scientific and medical research

REVIEW

Application Of Adoptive Immunotherapy In Ovarian Cancer

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: OncoTargets and Therapy

Siyu Yang¹ Xiaojiao Yin¹ Ying Yue¹ Siqing Wang²

¹Department of Gynecological Oncology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130061, China; ²Department of Cancer Immunology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130061, China

Correspondence: Ying Yue Department of Gynecological Oncology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130061, People's Republic of China Tel +8643113756661254 Email yying119@126.com

Siqing Wang

Department of Cancer Immunology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130061, People's Republic of China Tel +8643115943073518 Email siw1970@yahoo.com



Abstract: Ovarian cancer (OC) has been the most fatal gynecological disease that threatens women's health. Surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy are the basic ovarian cancer treatments that can improve survival, but the five-year survival rate has not improved because of delayed diagnosis, drug resistance, and recurrence. Novel treatments are needed to improve the prognosis and survival rate of ovarian cancer patients. In recent years, adoptive cell therapy (ACT) has received increasing attention as an emerging therapeutic strategy in the treatment of solid tumors including OC. ACT has shown promising results in many preclinical and clinical trials of OC. The application of ACT depends on different effector cells, such as lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), and genetically modified T cells. In this review, we focus on adoptive immunotherapies in ovarian cancer and summarize completed and ongoing preclinical/clinical trials. The future development directions and obstacles for ACT in OC treatment are discussed.

Keywords: ovarian cancer, adoptive cell therapy, cancer immunotherapy, immune cells

Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is the primary gynecological causes of death in women. Worldwide, there are about 230, 000 cases of OC each year, with more than 150, 000 deaths.¹ Surgery and chemotherapy are currently the main treatments for OC. Cytoreductive surgery is used to remove all visible tumor masses. However, most patients are diagnosed in the advanced stage of the tumor and need to receive postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. In addition, patients with extensive tumor metastasis will receive neoadjuvant chemotherapy and other treatments.^{2–4} Although radical surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy are performed to remove macroscopic tumors and improve outcomes, most patients with ovarian cancer will have recurrence and tumor resistance, which is usually fatal⁵ and widely studied anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy is also difficult to reverse this situation⁶ [Table 1]. Thus, there is a great need for more effective OC therapies to improve the long-term clinical prognosis.

With the improved understanding of the relationship between the immune system and tumor development, immunotherapy is becoming a promising treatment for lung cancer,¹⁵ melanoma,¹⁶ liver cancer,¹⁷ and breast cancer.¹⁸ In recent years, increasing evidence has shown that immunotherapy is also a promising treatment in ovarian cancer since ovarian cancer is an immunogenic tumor that can be recognized and attacked by immune system.^{19–21} Recent immune therapies mainly include immune checkpoint inhibitors, cancer vaccine, and adoptive cell therapy

OncoTargets and Therapy 2019:12 7975–7991

© 2019 Yang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-mc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission from more due of this work, please see paragraphs A2 and S of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Therapy	Clinical Efficacy Comparison	Reference
Surgery	 Surgical treatment and chemotherapy are usually used in combination in clinical practice, not alone. Primary surgery combined with postoperative platinum-taxane chemotherapy has been the standard therapy for advanced ovarian cancer. The progression-free and overall survival of complete resection (ideally with no macroscopic residual disease) are improved compared with so-called optimal and suboptimal debulking resection. 	7
Chemotherapy	 Chemotherapy is a milestone in the treatment of ovarian cancer because it improves the outcome in women with ovarian cancer. It can help to achieve no residual tumor (R0) after primary debulking surgery (PDS), or to treat patients by neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT). The clinical efficacy of chemotherapy depends on various factors such as dose, choice of platinum and/or taxane, schedule, mode of administration (intravenous [IV], intraperitoneal [IP]) and so on. However, some patients will have chemotherapy resistance, and many patients who are cured by chemotherapy will relapse. 	8
Anti-VEGF treatment	 Bevacizumab is the most widely studied anti-angiogenesis agent in ovarian cancer. Two large phase III trials shown that chemotherapy with the addition of bevacizumab significantly improved the progression free survival (PFS) of patients. However, there is also evidence that bevacizumab has toxicity and side effects such as gastrointestinal (GI) perforation, surgery and wound-healing complications, and hemorrhage. Only a subset of patients will benefit from anti-angiogenic agents 	9–11
Immunotherapy	Tumor immunotherapy, such as anti-PD-L1/PD-1 therapies and adoptive therapy, have subsequently demonstrated significant anti-tumor effects. Although immunotherapy is still in its infancy in the clinical treatment of ovarian cancer, many promising preclinical experiments indicate its potential.	12–14

Table I Comparison Of Clinical Effects Of Four Ovarian Cancer Treatment Methods

(ACT).^{22–24} Among them, ACT has attracted increasing attention because a large number of specific effector cells against tumor cells results in a quick therapeutic effect and minimizes impact on the internal environment than other therapies.

ACT relies on intravenous infusion of autologous immune cells after stimulation/modification and expansion in vitro to improve autologous antitumor response in tumor patients [Figure 1]. In 1965, Mathé et al confirmed that adoptive immunotherapy had an obvious effect on acute leukemia in a murine experiment and clinical trial.²⁵ Research on ACT for the treatment of hematological malignancies is constantly evolving and developing.^{26,27} In 2002, a clinical trial showed that adoptive cell immunotherapy was effective for solid tumors (metastatic melanoma)²⁸ and ongoing clinical trials have confirmed this.^{29,30} Since OC was not originally considered to be an immunogenic tumor, adoptive immunotherapy for OC did not initially receive much attention. However, in 2003, OC was shown to be an immunogenic tumor that may be treat by immunotherapy.^{19,31} Adoptive immunotherapy is based on different cell types [Figure 2]: MHC-independent cells (e.g., lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells, natural killer (NK) cells, and cytokine-induced killer (CIK) cells) or MHC-dependent cells (tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)). There are also two special and rapidly developing cell types: chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells and T cell receptor (TCR) T cells.³² In this review, we discuss the application of adoptive immunotherapy of LAK cells, NK cells, CIK cells, TILs, CAR-T cells, and TCR-T cells in OC and outline the disadvantages and future development directions of ACT in OC treatment.

Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC)-Independent Adoptive Immunotherapy LAK Cells

LAK cells are induced by NK cells or T cells through adding high-dose IL-2 and other cytokines when cultured in vitro, rather than an independent lymphoid or subgroup.³³ LAK cells can kill NK-instant tumor cells and have achieved certain therapeutic effects in cancer treatment. In 1985, Rosenberg et al suggested that LAK cells and IL-2 adoptive immunotherapy have therapeutic effects on metastatic tumors for which many traditional treatments are ineffective. Although the number of clinical patients in this study was limited, the same authors had



Figure I Adoptive cell immunotherapy (ACT) approaches: After obtaining immune cells from the patient, leukapheresis is performed. Immune cells are activated after stimulation or genetical modification. Effective immune cells are expanded and then refused to the patient.



Figure 2 Effector cells for adoptive cell immunotherapy.

Abbreviations: MHC, major histocompatibility complex; NK, natural killer; LAK, lymphokine-activated killer; CIK, cytokine-induced killer; CAR, chimeric-antigen receptors; TCR, T cell receptors; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.

also shown promising therapeutic effects in their previous in vivo experiments in mice;³⁴ however, they also mentioned that high-dose IL-2 promotes the secretion of toxic cytokines by helper T cells, which is harmful to patients. Grimm et al also described this problem.³⁵ Although LAK cell adoptive immunotherapy has a very broad-spectrum anti-tumor effect, the safe application of high-dose IL-2 is still problematic.

NK Cells

NK cells are part of the innate immune response and are key effectors in cancer immunosurveillance.³⁶ They can defend against cancer development and metastasis without restricting the expression of MHC molecules.^{37–39} NK cells represent about 5–15% of human circulating lymphocytes and

comprise CD56^{hi}CD16⁻ NK cells and CD56^{lo}CD16⁺ NK cells.⁴⁰ CD56^{hi}CD16⁻ NK cells with high cytotoxic potential can produce plenty of cytokines, while CD56^{lo}CD16⁺ NK cells are highly cytotoxic and mediate antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) responses.³⁶ NK cells can effectively treat tumors such as leukemia,⁴¹ but the therapeutic effect of NK cell adoptive immunotherapy in OC is still being explored. The promise of NK cell adoptive immunotherapy for OC was recognized in 2007, and it was shown that resting NK cells rely on DNAM-1 signaling with complementary contributions of NKG2D and NCR receptors to recognize and kill freshly isolated OC cells in vitro.⁴² In 2006, a new mouse model was established that will be helpful for further exploration of NK cell adoptive immunotherapy for OC.⁴³

CIK Cells

CIK cells were first discovered in 1991 by Schmidt-Wolf et al.⁴⁴ They were heterogeneous CD8⁺ T cells produced by human peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) and induced by addition anti-CD3 antibody, interferon- γ (IFN- γ), and interleukin-2 (IL-2) ex vivo.⁴⁴ CIK cells can be characterized by the presence of CD3⁺ CD56⁺ phenotype, which is mainly responsible for the antitumor activity of CIK cells and CD3⁺ CD56⁻ phenotype, which is more similar to conventional T lymphocytes.⁴⁵

Several studies have confirmed the feasibility, effectivity, and safety of CIKs for the treatment of malignant tumors.^{46,47} Leemhuis et al confirmed the effectiveness of CIK cells in the treatment of malignant tumors, and then conducted a clinical phase I trial of CIK cell therapy in patients with hematologic malignancies.⁴⁶ Clinical phase I trials of patients with hematologic malignancies by Introna et al also demonstrated that it was feasible and well tolerated to produce allogeneic CIK cells under clinical conditions.47 Another study analyzed the efficacy of CIK cells in the treatment of OC.⁴⁸ In a phase II study, Liu et al⁴⁹ tested the effectivity of CIK cell therapy following first-line treatment in advanced OC. Further experiments are required to determine whether CIK cell maintenance immunotherapy can help improve overall survival, but CIK cell therapy does improve progression-free survival in patients with advanced OC after first-line treatment with slight toxicity. A retrospective analysis by Zhou et al further validated the effectiveness of CIK cell therapy as a therapeutic approach to prolonging the survival of OC patients.⁴⁹ A clinical phase II trial to determine whether radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and cytokine-induced killer cell (CIK) infusion can prolong survival in patients with OC is also underway (NCT02487693).

MHC-Dependent Adoptive Immunotherapy TILs

TILs are endogenous autologous T cells isolated from tumor tissues with certain tumor specificity and MHC restriction. Adoptive immunotherapy with TILs has a response rate of about 50% in melanoma patients.^{30,50} In 1991, Aoki et al showed that TIL cell adoptive therapy had a promising future in OC, although the experiment lacks randomness.⁵¹ Subsequently, Fujita et al⁵² found that TILs adoptive immunotherapy after chemotherapy was helpful for the prognosis of patients with OC; patients who received TILs had a 3-year survival rate of 100%, compared with 67.5% for patients who did not receive TILs.⁵² In recent years, with a deep understanding of OC and cellular immunotherapy, the application of TILs in OC has been further developed. Westergaard et al⁵³ obtained 34 tumor specimens from 33 OC patients and analyzed the phenotype, antigen specificity, and function of TILs. It was found that TILs obtained from OC can be effectively expanded and exert anti-tumor effect in vitro, which supported the hypothesis that OC patients could benefit from ACT of TILs, and the relevant Phase I clinical trial ended in 2017.^{53,54} Owens et al⁵⁵ recently discovered an effective method for isolating and expanding TILs from OC. Surprisingly, the expanded TILs retain the ability to recognize autologous tumor cells in vitro.55 Although numerous studies have indicated the promise of TILs adoptive immunotherapy for OC, problems remain that limit its development. For example, the anti-tumor effect of TILs is limited by the fact that unselected TILs in OC usually contain only a small number of tumor-reactive T cells. In addition, the greater financial support required for isolation and expansion of tumor-specific TILs and reperfusion into patient limits their clinical application.

CAR-T Cells And TCR-T Cells

Although TIL cell adoptive immunotherapy is a promising treatment for OC, the method of isolating and manufacturing TILs is labor intensive and successful in only a subset of patients, which limits its therapeutic effect and clinical application.^{56,57} In order to improve the therapeutic potential, genetically modified peripheral blood lymphocytes that exhibit tumor antigen specificity have received more

and more attention. Genetically modified T cells can express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) or a tumorantigen specific T-cell receptor (TCR).

CAR-T Cell Therapy

CAR-T cells are T lymphocytes that have been genetically modified to express an engineered T cell receptor that is able to recognize tumor-specific antigens MHC unrestrictedly and activate the immune response.

The CAR comprises four main parts: the extracellular antigen-binding domain, the spacer domain (hinge domain), the transmembrane domain, and the intracellular T cell activation/signaling domain. The extracellular antigen-binding domain, also known as the ectodomain, is derived from the light and heavy chains of the antibody and is a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) that can recognize tumor-specific antigens on cell surface in an MHC-unrestricted manner.58-60 The CAR-T cell structure design has been constantly updated, through four generations, producing CAR-T cells that survive longer in vivo and have stronger killing ability [Figure 3]. The first generation of CAR-T cells only contained the extracellular scFv antigen recognition region and the intracellular CD3₄ chain signal region. To improve the proliferation and persistence of CAR-T cells, the costimulatory molecules such as CD28 and OX-40/4-1BB (CD134/CD137) were added in the second and third generation. The fourth generation is characterized by releasing cytokines like IL-12 and IL-15, which enhance immune response.^{61,62}

The most exciting results with CAR-T cell therapy have been achieved in hematological tumors. In a phase I clinical trial conducted by Park et al,63 53 patients with relapsed B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) received an infusion of autologous T cells expressing the 19-28z CAR. Long-term follow-up of outcomes and safety indicated that 19-28z CAR-T cells have potent anti-tumor capability with many patients achieving long-term relief and possibly cure.⁶³ Currently, anti-CD19 CAR-T cells are approved by the FDA for the treatment of diffuse large Bcell lymphoma. Brudno et al's study of CAR-BCMA (Bcell maturation antigen) T cells in the treatment of multiple myeloma also confirmed the enormous potential of CAR-T cells in hematological tumor treatment.⁶⁴ Research on CAR-T cell therapy continues to extend to solid tumors including OC.

The main targets for CAR-T cells in OC include MUC16, mesothelin and folate receptor- α . Pre-clinical studies of CAR-T cell therapy targeting MUC16 in murine models have shown



Figure 3 Four generation of CARs: The first generation contains a single-chain fragment of variable region (scFv) and CD3ζ signaling domain. Costimulatory molecules such as CD28 are added in the second generation. Third-generation CARs include more signaling domains. The fourth generation are characterized by addition of cytokine transgenes like IL-12 and IL-15.

promising results suggesting that this approach may be effective for the treatment of OC, and a parallel clinical trial is ongoing.⁶⁵ MUC16 plays an important role in the progression and metastasis of OC and has become a key target for OC treatment.⁶⁶ Mesothelin is an antigen target that is overexpressed in OC.⁶⁷ The expression of mesothelin is associated with the prognosis of OC; hence, mesothelin is both a therapeutic target for OC and a prognostic marker.⁶⁸ The results of preliminary trials are promising, and more clinical trials on CAR-T cell therapy targeting mesothelin are still ongoing, such as NCT03692637 and NCT03814447. Folate receptor-α (FRa) is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein that expressed on the surface of normal ovarian cells. FRa is also overexpressed on the surface of malignant epithelial cancer cells, making it a potential target for the treatment of OC.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ The safety and efficacy of CAR-T cell therapy targeting FR α are supported by preclinical studies and phase I clinical trials.^{72,73} Another clinical trial (NCT03585764) is also underway. Other antigens such as HER2 and CD133 have also been tested in preclinical animal models for OC therapy (NCT01935843, NCT02541370).

Although CAR-T cell therapy has shown great potential in the treatment of OC, it still faces many problems that remain to be solved. The CAR target antigen is also expressed in some normal tissue, resulting in immunemediated rejection that is known as an "on-target, offtumor" response. This rejection can even cause damage to vital organs such as the liver and lungs.^{74,75} Also, the potentially immunosuppressive environment in OC, including the highly immunosuppressive ascites, will prevent T cells from effectively infiltrating into tumor cells and dysfunctional T cells. CAR-T cell adoptive immunotherapy also has some common problems of ACT treatment, such as cytokine release syndrome and a more effective T cell transport pathway. In conclusion, there are both hopes and challenges in the treatment of OC by CAR. Further research is necessary to improve the safety and efficacy of CAR-T cell therapy in OC.

TCR-T Cell Therapy

TCRs are characteristic markers on the surface of T cells that recognize specific antigens.^{76,77} TCR-T cells are T cells that express a genetically engineered TCR alpha and beta chain pair that can recognize tumor-specific antigens. TCR-T cell treatment has been successful in patients with malignant cancer such as colorectal carcinoma,⁷⁸ metastatic melanoma,⁷⁹ and multiple myeloma.^{80,81} Genetically modified TCR-T cells are also considered as a potentially promising treatment for OC patients. In OC, the TCR target antigens include MAGE-A4, WT1, and NY-ESO-1, with NY-ESO-1 being widely studied.

New York esophageal squamous cell carcinoma-1 (NY-ESO-1) is an 18 kDa protein that can be detected in normal testis, fetal ovary, and placenta.^{82,83} However, NY-ESO-1

antibodies can also be detected in the serum of ovarian cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer, bladder cancer, esophageal cancer, as well as melanoma patients.^{82,83} Odunsi et al⁸⁴ detected the expression of NY-ESO-1 by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) in OC tissues and cell lines, confirming its expression and persistence in OC. Thus, NY-ESO-1 is an attractive target for antigen-specific adoptive immunotherapy in OC.⁸⁴ Several phase I/II clinical trials with TCR-T cells are currently ongoing in OC patients (NCT01567891, NCT03691376, NCT02457650, NCT02869217, NCT03159585). Like other types of ACT, there are barriers to the application of TCR-T cells to ACT. Tumor antigen-specific T cells of autoantigens isolated from cancer patients usually have low affinity due to central tolerance.⁸⁵ As observed with CAR-T cells, the "ontarget, off-tumor" response occurs when TCR-T cells are infused, due to the expression of the same antigen on normal tissue, and the infused TCR-T cells also induce the occurrence of cytokine release syndrome (CRS).

Although both TCR-T cells and CAR-T cells are genetically modified, they have several differences. Since TCRs can recognize epitopes from both intracellular and cell surface antigens of tumor cells via TAA/MHC complex, tumor-specific TCRs can only be used in patients with the specific MHC or HLA allele. In contrast, CAR recognition does not rely on peptide processing or MHC molecules; hence, CARs can only recognize tumor surface antigens; however, all surface target molecules may become potential CAR trigger epitopes.⁶⁰

Obstacles For ACT In OC

Immunosuppressive Tumor Microenvironment

Immune suppression in tumor microenvironment (TME) is mediated by three main factors:⁸⁶ 1) immunosuppressive cells in the TME; 2) cytokines and enzymes released from the tumor or myeloid cells; and 3) barriers. An immuno-suppressive TME seriously affects the therapeutic effect of ACT on tumors, especially in OC,⁸⁷ which can build a highly suppressive environment in the peritoneal cavity.

T-regulatory cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are both important immunosuppressive cells in the OC TME.^{88,89} Tregs can induce immunosuppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF- β to suppress the function of tumor-infiltrating cytotoxic T cells in the OC environment.^{90,91} MDSCs express high levels of substances such as arginase, inducible nitric

7980

oxide synthase (iNOS), and reactive oxygen species (ROS) to inhibit T-cell function.^{92,93} In order to reduce immunosuppression in TME, non-myeloablative chemotherapy can be used to decrease the number of Tregs and suppressive cellular cytokines.⁹⁴ This can also be achieved by combinations of ACT with immune checkpoint inhibitors and targeted therapies.⁹⁵

Some cytokines and enzymes released from the tumor or myeloid cells also can suppress the immune response. High expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is expressed in most OC,^{96,97} can recruit MDSCs to the tumor site and inhibited tumor immunity in ovarian carcinoma.⁹⁸ In tumor cells, the high expression of TGF- β will inhibit the function of human memory CD8⁺ T cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.⁹⁹ Indoleamine 2,3-diox-ygenase (IDO) is an enzyme that catalyzes the degradation of tryptophan, which in turn inhibits T cell proliferation. In addition, IDO can directly inhibit T cells and enhance local Treg-mediated immunosuppression.¹⁰⁰ To solve the immunosuppression caused by these cytokines and enzymes, we can combine antagonists and blocking antibodies for different targets with ACT treatment.

Tumor vasculature and tumor stroma are both common barriers that prevent homing of effector T cells.^{101,102} Leung et al suggested that cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) can upregulate the lipoma-preferred partner (LPP) and this was correlated with survival and chemoresistance in patients with OC.¹⁰² They demonstrate the importance of CAF-endothelial cell crosstalk signaling in cancer treatment and the strategy of using LPP targeting siRNA in combination with cytotoxic drugs to improve treatment efficacy. Antiangiogenic agents are also being used to normalize the tumor vasculature and reduce the tumor metastasis in OC.⁶ A recent study showed that losartan has the effect of reducing collagen content as well as improving perfusion and relieving tumor hypoxia, and this is helpful to enhance chemotherapeutic efficacy in OC models.¹⁰³

Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS)

CRS is a potentially life-threatening acute inflammation that occurs after ACT infusion in hematologic and solid tumor patients.^{104–106} The typical clinical manifestations of CRS include constitutional symptoms like fever, malaise, anorexia, and myalgias,^{107,108} and organic damage like cardiac dysfunction, respiratory distress syndrome, and renal/hepatic/neurologic toxicity.¹⁰⁷ CRS is caused by a massive cytokine release by the infused T

	11 (11-00-11-2	TADE & CULEUR OF-DOING AND COMPLETED CHILLER I HANS OF ACT III OVALIAI CARLER						
NCT Number	Status	Conditions	Type of Adoptive Therany	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcome	Adverse Events	Results	Reference
NCT03692637	Not yet recruiting	Epithelial Ovarian Cancer	Anti- Mesothelin CAR NK Cells	Occurrence of treatment-related adverse events		_	~	_
NCT03539406	Not yet recruiting	Recurrent Ovarian Carcinoma, Recurrent Fallopian Tube Carcinoma, Recurrent Primary Peritoneal Carcinoma	UCB-NK cells	Incidence of treatment emergent adverse events	 In vivo lifespan and expansion of the infused UCB-NK cells Measurement of in vitro cytolytic activity of infused NK cells The effect of NK cell infusion on measurable disease 	~		~
NCT00652899	Terminated	Fallopian Tube Cancer, Ovarian Cancer, Peritoneal Cavity Cancer	Allogeneic natural killer cells	Number of patients with in vivo expansion of infused allogeneic natural killer (NK) cell product	 Number of patients per disease response Median number of days to progression Median overall survival number of days patients alive after treatment 	Hemolysis, Edema, Death - disease progression NOS, Fatigue, Fever, Dyspnea and so on	 None of the patients met the counting criteria in primary outcome. None of the patients had complete response, but most of patients had partial response or stable disease. Median number of days to progression was around 100 days. The median number of days survived after treatment was 291 for patients who did not receive total body irradiation per protocol, whereas it was 171.5 for patients who 	~
								(Continued)

NCT Number	Status	Conditions	Type of Adoptive Therapy	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcome	Adverse Events	Results	Reference
NCT02487693	Active, not recruiting	Ovarian Carcinoma	Autologous cytokine- induced killer cells	Recurrence-free survival	Adverse events related to CIK treatments.	~	,	_
NCT02482090	Completed	Metastatic Ovarian Cancer	TIL infusion	Number and type of reported adverse events	 Treatment-related immune responses Objective response rate Overall survival (OS) Progression-free survival (PFS) 	1	Young TILs could be expanded to clinical numbers. Autologous tumor cells are found in TILs in more than 50% of patients. Antigen- specific TILs could be isolated and further expanded in vitro. These findings suggested that ACT with TILs could be beneficial to patients with OC.	ß
NCT03287674	Active, not recruiting	Metastatic Ovarian Cancer	TIL infusion	Determine the safety of TIL therapy in combination with checkpoint inhibitors	 Treatment-related immune responses Objective response rate (ORR) OS and PFS 	1	,	~
NCT03412526	Recruiting	Metastatic Ovarian Cancer	TIL infusion	 Abjective tumor responses Assess adverse events 	 OS and PFS Response Rate (RR) Quality of Life (QOL) 	,		-
NCT03610490	Recruiting	Ovarian Carcinosarcoma, Recurrent Osteosarcoma, Recurrent Ovarian Carcinoma, Refractory Ovarian Carcinoma, etc	Autologous Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes MDA-TIL	ORR	 Complete response rate (CRR) Disease control rate (DCR) Duration of response (DOR) OS and PFS Incidence of adverse events 	1	,	`
								(Continued)

NCT Number	Status	Conditions	Type of Adoptive Therapy	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcome	Adverse Events	Results	Reference
NCT01883297	Recruiting	Recurrent, Platinum Resistant High Grade Serous Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, etc	Re-stimulated tumor- infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)	Number occurrences and severity of side effects	 Clinical response to treatment Number of patients with an immunity and no immunity to the study treatment 	-		-
NCT04025216	Not yet recruiting	Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, Ovarian Cancer, Fallopian Tube Cancer, Triple Negative Breast Cancer, Multiple Myeloma, Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma	TnMUCI- Targeted Genetically Modified Chimeric Antigen (CAR)	 Phase I: Dose Identification of CART- TnMUCI Phase Ia Expansion: Dbjective Response in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer 	 Safety, tolerability and feasibility of CART-TnMUCI Preliminary anti-tumor efficacy of CART as assessed by OS, PFS, ORR, Clinical Benefit Rate, DOR and Time to Response Expression of TnMUCI Persistence of CART- persistence of CART- TnMUCI cells 	,		~
NCT01583686	Terminated	Cervical Cancer, Pancreatic Cancer, Ovarian Cancer, Mesothelioma, Lung Cancer	Anti- mesothelin CAR transduced PBL	 Frequency and severity of treatment- related adverse events Percentage of patients who have a clinical response to treatment 	In vivo survival of CAR gene- engineered cells	1	Currently, the study only obtained experimental results related to monoclonal antibodies and published articles.	113,114
NCT03916679	Recruiting	Ovarian Cancer	Anti-MESO CAR-T cells	Safety measured by occurrence of study- related adverse effects	 Overall complete remission rate PFS after administration Duration of CAR-positive T cells in circulation Detection of PD-1 antibody in serum 	1	7	-
								(Continued)

Reference	-	~		II5 sults noma
Results				Currently, the study only obtained experimental results related to cholangiocarcinoma with published articles.
Adverse Events	-	~		Mild chills, Fever, Fatigue, Vomiting and Muscle soreness, etc
Secondary Outcome	 Lumor response rates OS, PFS and ORR 	 In vivo survival of anti- hCD70 CAR transduced cells Frequency and severity of treatment-related adverse events 	 The highest concentration (Cmax), the time to reach the highest concentration (Tmax) and the area under the curve of 30 days of anti-human MESO T cells in the peripheral blood after administration ORR and PFS after administration 	Anti-tumor responses to CART-133 cell infusions
Primary Outcome	Number of study subjects with treatment-related adverse events	 Maximum tolerated cell dose (MTD) Percentage of patients who have a clinical response to treatment (objective tumor regression) 	Adverse events (AEs) and Serious adverse event (SAEs)	Occurrence of study- related adverse events
Type of Adoptive Therapy	MOvI 9-BBz CAR T cells	Anti-hCD70 CAR transduced PBL	Anti- MESO CAR-T cells	Anti-CD133 CAR T cells
Conditions	Ovarian Cancer, Fallopian Tube Cancer, Primary Peritoneal Carcinoma	Pancreatic Cancer, Renal Cell Cancer, Breast Cancer, Melanoma, Ovarian Cancer	Ovarian Cancer	Ovarian Tumor, Colorectal Cancer, Acute Myeloid and Lymphoid Leukemias, etc
Status	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Unknown
NCT Number	NCT03585764	NCT02830724	NCT03814447	NCT02541370

Yang et al

Table 2 (Continued).

Table 2 (Continued).	tinued).							
NCT Number	Status	Conditions	Type of Adoptive Therapy	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcome	Adverse Events	Results	Reference
NCT01567891	Completed	Ovarian Cancer	NY ESO-1 ^{,259} T cells	Number of participants with adverse events related to study treatment	 Tumor response Peak persistence of modified T-cells in the peripheral blood Determine functional properties and phenotype of modified T-cells from peripheral blood Correlate NY-ESO-I expression in tumor tissue before treatment with archival tumor tissue to assess impact of therapy on expression of NY-ESO-I protein 	Febrile neutropenia, Cytokine Release Syndrome, Anemia, Sinus Tachycardia, Nausea, White blood cell and neutrophil count decreased, etc	 5 out of 6 patients developed adverse events related to study treatment 2. No patient has a tumor response within the specified time range 3. Peak persistence of NY- ESO-I^{e,259} T cells in the peripheral blood is 85,862.6 copies of WPRE/mcg of genomic PBMC DNA 4. In the manufactured product and post-treatment blood, the percentage of CD4⁺pentamer⁺ or CD8⁺pentamer⁺ cells S. Data was only collected from 2 subjects. The Archival/ Baseline H scores of 2 subjects were 50/15 and 100/ 0 respectively. 	1
NCT03159585	Recruiting	Stage IV of Ovarian Cancer, Esophageal Cancer, Bladder Carcinoma, etc	NY-ESO-I- specific TCR Affinity Enhancing Specific T Cell Therapy (TAEST16001)	The treatment-related adverse events of the patients received TAEST16001 treatment	Assess ORR, DOR, time to progress, PFS, OS and the expression of tumor markers	~		~
								(Continued)

NCT Number	Status	Conditions	Type of Adoptive Therapy	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcome	Adverse Events	Results	Reference
NCT03691376	Recruiting	Platinum-Resistant/ Recurrent/Refractory Ovarian Carcinoma, Recurrent/Refractory Fallopian Tube Carcinoma, Recurrent/ Refractory Primary Peritoneal Carcinoma	Autologous NY-ESO-1- specific CD8- positive T Lymphocytes	I. Incidence of adverse events 2. Maximum tolerated dose (MTD)	 Immunological parameters associated with T cell persistence, bioactivity and functionality Tumor response rates to treatment PFS, OS and DOR Appearance of target antigen/major histocompatibility complex (MHC) loss variants upon disease recurrence 	1		~
NCT02457650	Recruiting	Ovarian Cancer, Bladder Carcinoma, Breast Cancer, Esophagus Carcinoma, Lung Cancer, etc	Anti-NY ESO- I TCR- transduced T cells	Evaluate the safety and feasibility of the administration of anti- NYESO-1 TCR transduced T cells in patients with HLA-A2+ NYESO-1-expressing malignancies.	Determine if the treatment can result in clinical regression of malignant tumors in the patients.	1	1	,
NCT02869217	Recruiting	Ovarian Cancer, Lung Cancer, Bladder Cancer, Liver Cancer	NY-ESO-1 Specific TCR Gene Transduced Autologous T Lymphocytes (TBI-1301)	 Safety profile Recommended Recommended Phase 2 (RP2D) dose TBI-1301 when administered following cyclophosphamide pre- treatment 	Evidence of efficacy (i.e. anti- tumor effect) of TBI-1301	,	~	1

cells or other immune cells that are activated as they recognize tumor antigens. IL-6 is a crucial mediator in CRS and is therefore an important target for the treatment of CRS.¹⁰⁹ An antagonist of IL-6 receptor, tocilizumab, can alleviate the toxicity of CRS without affecting the therapeutic effect of ACT.^{109,110}

On-Target, Off-Tumor Toxicity

"Tumor-specific" antigens are also commonly expressed in normal tissues, which results in an on-target, off-tumor immune response after stimulation of T cells in ACT. If these antigens are expressed in vital organs, such as heart, liver, and kidney, they will cause fatal damage.^{74,75} The fundamental solution to this problem is to select tumorspecific antigens that are not expressed in normal tissues, but this is difficult. To overcome this, Kloss et al proposed a method where T cells are transduced with both a CAR, offering suboptimal activation upon binding of one antigen, and a chimeric costimulatory receptor (CCR) that recognizes a second antigen.¹¹¹ This technology has made progress in OC. Lanitis et al generated trans-signaling T cells with two distinct CARs: anti-Meso scFv-CD3ζ and anti-FRa scFv-CD28.112 Both FRa and mesothelin show high expression in OC tissue, compared to much lower expression in normal tissues. The trans-signaling CAR strategy can more accurately identify tumor cells and diminish damage to normal tissues.

Future Perspective

Many clinical trials of ACT for ovarian cancer are ongoing [Table 2]. However, owing to the complexity of the OC tumor microenvironment and the human immune system, there are still many problems to be solved in the ACT treatment of OC. For example, how to reduce costs while ensuring efficient production of effector cells; and how infused T cells could home in on the tumor site and infiltrate it more accurately. Furthermore, many of the current clinical and preclinical experiments lack randomness, and more randomized trials are needed to confirm that ACT can improve overall survival (OS) or progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with ovarian cancer.

Nonetheless, in preclinical and clinical trials, the efficacy of ACT in OC is promising. Therefore, ACT still shows potential to become the new effective therapy for OC if we can address the abovementioned obstacles to reduce toxicity and improve the efficiency of ACT. The immune response inhibition caused by the tumor microenvironment can be reduced by combining the application of immunological checkpoint inhibitors or anti-angiogenesis agents, enabling improved effector cell function. In addition, new technology is evolving that utilizes two different CARs on T cells, enabling effector cells to more accurately identify tumor cells and improving their antitumor efficacy. Cell metabolism plays an important role in the anti-tumor effect of immune cells. Increasingly, studies have shown that the regulation of immune cell metabolism can affect the immune response;^{116–118} therefore, regulation of the effector cell metabolism process may be another approach to improve the anti-tumor effect and efficacy of ACT. Further refinement of technologies will hopefully generate more successful treatment methods for ovarian cancer.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(5):E359–E386. doi:10.1002/ijc.29210
- 2. Elies A, Riviere S, Pouget N, et al. The role of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* 2018;18(6):555–566. doi:10.1080/14737140.2018.1458614
- Gorodnova TV, Sokolenko AP, Kuligina E, Berlev IV, Imyanitov EN. Principles of clinical management of ovarian cancer. *Chin Clin Oncol.* 2018;7(6):56. doi:10.21037/cco
- Cho JH, Kim S, Song YS. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced ovarian cancer: optimal patient selection and response evaluation. *Chin Clin Oncol.* 2018;7(6):58. doi:10.21037/cco
- Vargas-Hernandez VM, Moreno-Eutimio MA, Acosta-Altamirano G, Vargas-Aguilar VM. Management of recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gland Surg.* 2014;3(3):198–202. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2227-684X.2013.10.01
- Monk BJ, Minion LE, Coleman RL. Anti-angiogenic agents in ovarian cancer: past, present, and future. *Ann Oncol.* 2016;27 (Suppl 1):i33–i39. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdw093
- 7. du Bois A, Reuss A, Pujade-Lauraine E, Harter P, Ray-Coquard I, Pfisterer J. Role of surgical outcome as prognostic factor in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: a combined exploratory analysis of 3 prospectively randomized phase 3 multicenter trials: by the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynaekologische Onkologie Studiengruppe Ovarialkarzinom (AGO-OVAR) and the Groupe d'Investigateurs Nationaux Pour les Etudes des Cancers de l'Ovaire (GINECO). *Cancer.* 2009;115(6):1234–1244. doi:10.1002/cncr.24149
- Lheureux S, Braunstein M, Oza AM. Epithelial ovarian cancer: evolution of management in the era of precision medicine. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2019;69(4):280–304. doi:10.3322/caac.21559
- Burger RA, Brady MF, Bookman MA, et al. Incorporation of bevacizumab in the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(26):2473–2483. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1104390
- Perren TJ, Swart AM, Pfisterer J, et al. A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(26):2484–2496. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1103799

- Han ES, Monk BJ. What is the risk of bowel perforation associated with bevacizumab therapy in ovarian cancer? *Gynecol Oncol.* 2007;105(1):3–6. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2007.01.038
- Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQ, et al. Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(26):2455–2465. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1200694
- Hamid O, Robert C, Daud A, et al. Safety and tumor responses with lambrolizumab (anti-PD-1) in melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2013;369 (2):134–144. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1305133
- Hamanishi J, Mandai M, Ikeda T, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of Anti-PD-1 antibody, nivolumab, in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(34):4015– 4022. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.62.3397
- Proto C, Ferrara R, Signorelli D, et al. Choosing wisely first line immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): what to add and what to leave out. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2019;75:39–51. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2019.03.004
- Rodriguez-Cerdeira C, Carnero Gregorio M, Lopez-Barcenas A, et al. Advances in immunotherapy for melanoma: a comprehensive review. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2017;2017:3264217. doi:10.1155/2017/3264217
- Floudas CS, Brar G, Greten TF. Immunotherapy: current status and future perspectives. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2019;64(4):1030–1040. doi:10.1007/s10620-019-05516-7
- Adams S, Gatti-Mays ME, Kalinsky K, et al. Current landscape of immunotherapy in breast cancer: a review. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.7147
- Zhang L, Conejo-Garcia JR, Katsaros D, et al. Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(3):203–213. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa020177
- Coukos G, Tanyi J, Kandalaft LE. Opportunities in immunotherapy of ovarian cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2016;27(Suppl 1):i11–i15. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdw084
- Wefers C, Lambert LJ, Torensma R, Hato SV. Cellular immunotherapy in ovarian cancer: targeting the stem of recurrence. *Gynecol* Oncol. 2015;137(2):335–342. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.02.019
- Odunsi K. Immunotherapy in ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol. 2017;28 (suppl_8):viii1–viii7. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx444
- Fan CA, Reader J, Roque DM. Review of immune therapies targeting ovarian cancer. *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* 2018;19(12):74. doi:10.1007/s11864-018-0584-3
- Pakish JB, Jazaeri AA. Immunotherapy in gynecologic cancers: are we there yet? *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* 2017;18(10):59. doi:10.1007/s11864-017-0504-y
- Zhang M, Zhang DB, Shi H. Application of chimeric antigen receptor-engineered T cells in ovarian cancer therapy. *Immunotherapy*. 2017;9(10):851–861. doi:10.2217/imt-2017-0039
- Bortin MM, Rimm AA, Saltzstein EC. Graft versus leukemia: quantification of adoptive immunotherapy in murine leukemia. *Science*. 1973;179(4075):811–813. doi:10.1126/science.179.4075.811
- Fraietta JA, Lacey SF, Orlando EJ, et al. Determinants of response and resistance to CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Nat Med.* 2018;24 (5):563–571. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0010-1
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Robbins PF, et al. Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. *Science*. 2002;298(5594):850–854. doi:10.1126/ science.1076514
- Dudley ME, Yang JC, Sherry R, et al. Adoptive cell therapy for patients with metastatic melanoma: evaluation of intensive myeloablative chemoradiation preparative regimens. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(32):5233–5239. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.16.5449
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Yang JC, et al. Adoptive cell transfer therapy following non-myeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(10):2346–2357. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2005.00.240

- Liu YL, Zamarin D. Combination immune checkpoint blockade strategies to maximize immune response in gynecological cancers. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2018;20(12):94. doi:10.1007/s11912-018-0740-8
- Perica K, Varela JC, Oelke M, Schneck J. Adoptive T cell immunotherapy for cancer. *Rambam Maimonides Med J.* 2015;6(1): e0004. doi:10.5041/RMMJ.20769172
- Phillips JH, Lanier LL. Dissection of the lymphokine-activated killer phenomenon. Relative contribution of peripheral blood natural killer cells and T lymphocytes to cytolysis. J Exp Med. 1986;164(3):814–825. doi:10.1084/jem.164.3.814
- 34. Rosenberg SA, Lotze MT, Muul LM, et al. Observations on the systemic administration of autologous lymphokine-activated killer cells and recombinant interleukin-2 to patients with metastatic cancer. N Engl J Med. 1985;313(23):1485–1492. doi:10.1056/NEJM198512053132327
- Grimm EA. Human lymphokine-activated killer cells (LAK cells) as a potential immunotherapeutic modality. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1986;865(3):267–279. doi:10.1016/0304-419x(86)90017-x
- Vivier E, Tomasello E, Baratin M, Walzer T, Ugolini S. Functions of natural killer cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2008;9(5):503–510. doi:10.1038/ni1582
- Lopez-Soto A, Gonzalez S, Smyth MJ, Galluzzi L. Control of metastasis by NK cells. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;32(2):135–154. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2017.06.009
- Herberman RB, Nunn ME, Holden HT, Lavrin DH. Natural cytotoxic reactivity of mouse lymphoid cells against syngeneic and allogeneic tumors. II. Characterization of effector cells. *Int J Cancer*. 1975;16(2):230–239. doi:10.1002/ijc.2910160205
- Kiessling R, Klein E, Pross H, Wigzell H. "Natural" killer cells in the mouse. II. Cytotoxic cells with specificity for mouse moloney leukemia cells. Characteristics of the killer cell. *Eur J Immunol*. 1975;5(2):117–121. doi:10.1002/eji.1830050209
- Guillerey C, Huntington ND, Smyth MJ. Targeting natural killer cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Immunol.* 2016;17(9):1025– 1036. doi:10.1038/ni.3518
- Baragano Raneros A, Lopez-Larrea C, Suarez-Alvarez B. Acute myeloid leukemia and NK cells: two warriors confront each other. *Oncoimmunology*. 2019;8(2):e1539617. doi:10.1080/ 2162402X.2018.1539617
- Carlsten M, Bjorkstrom NK, Norell H, et al. DNAX accessory molecule-1 mediated recognition of freshly isolated ovarian carcinoma by resting natural killer cells. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(3):1317– 1325. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2264
- Hermanson DL, Bendzick L, Kaufman DS. Mouse xenograft model for intraperitoneal administration of NK cell immunotherapy for ovarian cancer. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2016;1441:277–284. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3684-7 23
- 44. Schmidt-Wolf IG, Negrin RS, Kiem HP, Blume KG, Weissman IL. Use of a SCID mouse/human lymphoma model to evaluate cytokine-induced killer cells with potent antitumor cell activity. *J Exp Med.* 1991;174(1):139–149. doi:10.1084/jem.174.1.139
- Linn YC, Lau LC, Hui KM. Generation of cytokine-induced killer cells from leukaemic samples with in vitro cytotoxicity against autologous and allogeneic leukaemic blasts. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;116(1):78–86. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2141.2002.03247.x
- Leemhuis T, Wells S, Scheffold C, Edinger M, Negrin RS. A phase I trial of autologous cytokine-induced killer cells for the treatment of relapsed hodgkin disease and non-hodgkin lymphoma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2005;11(3):181–187. doi:10.1016/j.bbmt.2004.11.019
- Introna M, Borleri G, Conti E, et al. Repeated infusions of donorderived cytokine-induced killer cells in patients relapsing after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a phase I study. *Haematologica*. 2007;92(7):952–959. doi:10.3324/haematol.11132
- Liu J, Li H, Cao S, et al. Maintenance therapy with autologous cytokine-induced killer cells in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer after first-line treatment. *J Immunother*. 2014;37 (2):115–122. doi:10.1097/CJI.00000000000021

- Zhou Y, Chen CL, Jiang SW, et al. Retrospective analysis of the efficacy of adjuvant CIK cell therapy in epithelial ovarian cancer patients who received postoperative chemotherapy. *Oncoimmunology*. 2019;8(2): e1528411. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2018.1528411
- Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, et al. Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17 (13):4550–4557. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0116
- Aoki Y, Takakuwa K, Kodama S, et al. Use of adoptive transfer of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes alone or in combination with cisplatin-containing chemotherapy in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1991;51(7):1934–1939.
- Fujita K, Ikarashi H, Takakuwa K, et al. Prolonged disease-free period in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer after adoptive transfer of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1995;1(5):501–507.
- Westergaard MCW, Andersen R, Chong C, et al. Tumour-reactive T cell subsets in the microenvironment of ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2019;120(4):424–434. doi:10.1038/s41416-019-0384-y
- Radestad E, Klynning C, Stikvoort A, et al. Immune profiling and identification of prognostic immune-related risk factors in human ovarian cancer. *Oncoimmunology*. 2019;8(2):e1535730. doi:10.1080/ 2162402X.2018.1535730
- 55. Owens GL, Price MJ, Cheadle EJ, Hawkins RE, Gilham DE, Edmondson RJ. Ex vivo expanded tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes from ovarian cancer patients release anti-tumour cytokines in response to autologous primary ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2018;67(10):1519–1531. doi:10.1007/s00262-018-2211-3
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Shelton TE, Even J, Rosenberg SA. Generation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte cultures for use in adoptive transfer therapy for melanoma patients. *J Immunother*. 2003;26(4):332–342.
- Junker N, Andersen MH, Wenandy L, et al. Bimodal ex vivo expansion of T cells from patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a prerequisite for adoptive cell transfer. *Cytotherapy*. 2011;13(7):822– 834. doi:10.3109/14653249.2011.563291
- Priceman SJ, Forman SJ, Brown CE. Smart CARs engineered for cancer immunotherapy. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2015;27(6):466–474. doi:10.1097/CCO.00000000000232
- Lim M, Xia Y, Bettegowda C, Weller M. Current state of immunotherapy for glioblastoma. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2018;15(7):422– 442. doi:10.1038/s41571-018-0003-5
- Met O, Jensen KM, Chamberlain CA, Donia M, Svane IM. Principles of adoptive T cell therapy in cancer. Semin Immunopathol. 2019;41(1):49–58. doi:10.1007/s00281-018-0703-z
- Wang L, Xu T, Cui M. Are ovarian cancer stem cells the target for innovative immunotherapy? *Onco Targets Ther.* 2018;11:2615– 2626. doi:10.2147/OTT.S155458
- Jindal V, Arora E, Gupta S, Lal A, Masab M, Potdar R. Prospects of chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in ovarian cancer. *Med Oncol.* 2018;35(5):70. doi:10.1007/s12032-018-1131-6
- Park JH, Riviere I, Gonen M, et al. Long-term follow-up of CD19 CAR therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(5):449–459. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1709919
- Brudno JN, Maric I, Hartman SD, et al. T cells genetically modified to express an Anti-B-cell maturation antigen chimeric antigen receptor cause remissions of poor-prognosis relapsed multiple myeloma. *J Clin* Oncol. 2018;36(22):2267–2280. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.77.8084
- 65. Chekmasova AA, Rao TD, Nikhamin Y, et al. Successful eradication of established peritoneal ovarian tumors in SCID-Beige mice following adoptive transfer of T cells genetically targeted to the MUC16 antigen. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(14):3594–3606. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0192
- Felder M, Kapur A, Gonzalez-Bosquet J, et al. MUC16 (CA125): tumor biomarker to cancer therapy, a work in progress. *Mol Cancer*. 2014;13:129. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-129

- Hassan R, Kreitman RJ, Pastan I, Willingham MC. Localization of mesothelin in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol.* 2005;13(3):243–247.
- Yen MJ, Hsu CY, Mao TL, et al. Diffuse mesothelin expression correlates with prolonged patient survival in ovarian serous carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(3 Pt 1):827–831. doi:10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-05-1397
- Kalli KR, Oberg AL, Keeney GL, et al. Folate receptor alpha as a tumor target in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2008;108 (3):619–626. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2007.11.020
- Toffoli G, Cernigoi C, Russo A, Gallo A, Bagnoli M, Boiocchi M. Overexpression of folate binding protein in ovarian cancers. *Int J Cancer*. 1997;74(2):193–198. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0215 (19970422)74:2<193::aid-ijc10>3.0.co;2-f
- Kelemen LE. The role of folate receptor alpha in cancer development, progression and treatment: cause, consequence or innocent bystander? *Int J Cancer*. 2006;119(2):243–250. doi:10.1002/ijc.21712
- Song DG, Ye Q, Carpenito C, et al. In vivo persistence, tumor localization, and antitumor activity of CAR-engineered T cells is enhanced by costimulatory signaling through CD137 (4-1BB). *Cancer Res.* 2011;71 (13):4617–4627. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-0422
- Kandalaft LE, Powell DJ Jr., Coukos G. A phase I clinical trial of adoptive transfer of folate receptor-alpha redirected autologous T cells for recurrent ovarian cancer. *J Transl Med.* 2012;10:157. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-10-233
- Morgan RA, Yang JC, Kitano M, Dudley ME, Laurencot CM, Rosenberg SA. Case report of a serious adverse event following the administration of T cells transduced with a chimeric antigen receptor recognizing ERBB2. *Mol Ther.* 2010;18(4):843–851. doi:10.1038/mt.2010.24
- 75. Cai B, Guo M, Wang Y, et al. Co-infusion of haplo-identical CD19chimeric antigen receptor T cells and stem cells achieved full donor engraftment in refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2016;9(1):131. doi:10.1186/s13045-016-0357-z
- Germain RN, Stefanova I. The dynamics of T cell receptor signaling: complex orchestration and the key roles of tempo and cooperation. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 1999;17:467–522. doi:10.1146/annurev. immunol.17.1.467
- 77. van der Merwe PA, Davis SJ. Molecular interactions mediating T cell antigen recognition. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2003;21:659–684. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.21.120601.141036
- Parkhurst MR, Yang JC, Langan RC, et al. T cells targeting carcinoembryonic antigen can mediate regression of metastatic colorectal cancer but induce severe transient colitis. *Mol Ther.* 2011;19 (3):620–626. doi:10.1038/mt.2010.272
- Morgan RA, Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, et al. Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. *Science*. 2006;314(5796):126–129. doi:10.1126/science.1129003
- Robbins PF, Kassim SH, Tran TL, et al. A pilot trial using lymphocytes genetically engineered with an NY-ESO-1-reactive T-cell receptor: long-term follow-up and correlates with response. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(5):1019–1027. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2708
- San Miguel JF, Paiva B, Lasarte JJ. Engineering Anti-myeloma responses using affinity-enhanced TCR-engineered T cells. *Cancer Cell*. 2015;28(3):281–283. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2015.08.009
- Matsuda T, Leisegang M, Park JH, et al. Induction of neoantigenspecific cytotoxic T cells and construction of T-cell receptor-engineered T cells for ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24 (21):5357–5367. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0142
- Jungbluth AA, Chen YT, Stockert E, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of NY-ESO-1 antigen expression in normal and malignant human tissues. *Int J Cancer.* 2001;92(6):856–860. doi:10.1002/ ijc.1282
- Odunsi K, Jungbluth AA, Stockert E, et al. NY-ESO-1 and LAGE-1 cancer-testis antigens are potential targets for immunotherapy in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2003;63(18):6076–6083.

- Schmitt TM, Aggen DH, Ishida-Tsubota K, Ochsenreither S, Kranz DM, Greenberg PD. Generation of higher affinity T cell receptors by antigen-driven differentiation of progenitor T cells in vitro. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2017;35(12):1188–1195. doi:10.1038/nbt.4004
- Joyce JA, Fearon DT. T cell exclusion, immune privilege, and the tumor microenvironment. *Science*. 2015;348(6230):74–80. doi:10.1126/science.aaa6204
- Stone ML, Chiappinelli KB, Li H, et al. Epigenetic therapy activates type I interferon signaling in murine ovarian cancer to reduce immunosuppression and tumor burden. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017;114(51):E10981–E10990. doi:10.1073/pnas.1712514114
- Li L, Wang L, Li J, et al. Metformin-induced reduction of CD39 and CD73 blocks myeloid-derived suppressor cell activity in patients with ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2018;78(7):1779–1791. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-2460
- Curiel TJ, Coukos G, Zou L, et al. Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. *Nat Med.* 2004;10(9):942–949. doi:10.1038/nm1093
- Woo EY, Chu CS, Goletz TJ, et al. Regulatory CD4(+) CD25(+) T cells in tumors from patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer and late-stage ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61 (12):4766–4772.
- Chen ML, Pittet MJ, Gorelik L, et al. Regulatory T cells suppress tumorspecific CD8 T cell cytotoxicity through TGF-beta signals in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(2):419–424. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0408197102
- Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2009;9 (3):162–174. doi:10.1038/nri2506
- Marvel D, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment: expect the unexpected. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125(9):3356–3364. doi:10.1172/JCI80005
- 94. Gattinoni L, Finkelstein SE, Klebanoff CA, et al. Removal of homeostatic cytokine sinks by lymphodepletion enhances the efficacy of adoptively transferred tumor-specific CD8+ T cells. J Exp Med. 2005;202(7):907–912. doi:10.1084/jem.20050732
- Mitsui J, Nishikawa H, Muraoka D, et al. Two distinct mechanisms of augmented antitumor activity by modulation of immunostimulatory/inhibitory signals. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(10):2781–2791. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-3243
- Cascinu S, Staccioli MP, Gasparini G, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor can predict event-free survival in stage II colon cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000;6(7):2803–2807.
- Brustmann H, Naude S. Vascular endothelial growth factor expression in serous ovarian carcinoma: relationship with high mitotic activity and high FIGO stage. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2002;84(1):47–52. doi:10.1006/gyno.2001.6467
- Horikawa N, Abiko K, Matsumura N, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in ovarian cancer inhibits tumor immunity through the accumulation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(2):587–599. doi:10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-16-0387
- Ahmadzadeh M, Rosenberg SA. TGF-beta 1 attenuates the acquisition and expression of effector function by tumor antigen-specific human memory CD8 T cells. *J Immunol.* 2005;174(9):5215–5223. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.9.5215
- Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and tumorinduced tolerance. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(5):1147–1154. doi:10.1172/JCI31178
- 101. Natarajan S, Foreman KM, Soriano MI, et al. Collagen remodeling in the hypoxic tumor-mesothelial niche promotes ovarian cancer metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 2019;79(9):2271–2284. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-2616

- 102. Leung CS, Yeung TL, Yip KP, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts regulate endothelial adhesion protein LPP to promote ovarian cancer chemoresistance. *J Clin Invest.* 2018;128(2):589–606. doi:10.1172/JCI95200
- 103. Zhao Y, Cao J, Melamed A, et al. Losartan treatment enhances chemotherapy efficacy and reduces ascites in ovarian cancer models by normalizing the tumor stroma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2019;116(6):2210–2219. doi:10.1073/pnas.1818357116
- 104. Godel P, Shimabukuro-Vornhagen A, von Bergwelt-Baildon M. Understanding cytokine release syndrome. *Intensive Care Med.* 2018;44(3):371–373. doi:10.1007/s00134-017-4943-5
- 105. Park JH, Geyer MB, Brentjens RJ. CD19-targeted CAR T-cell therapeutics for hematologic malignancies: interpreting clinical outcomes to date. *Blood.* 2016;127(26):3312–3320. doi:10.1182/ blood-2016-02-629063
- 106. Tanyi JL, Stashwick C, Plesa G, et al. Possible compartmental cytokine release syndrome in a patient with recurrent ovarian cancer after treatment with mesothelin-targeted CAR-T cells. *J Immunother*. 2017;40 (3):104–107. doi:10.1097/CJI.000000000000160
- 107. Neelapu SS, Tummala S, Kebriaei P, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor Tcell therapy - assessment and management of toxicities. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2018;15(1):47–62. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.148
- Lee DW, Gardner R, Porter DL, et al. Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of cytokine release syndrome. *Blood*. 2014;124(2):188–195. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-05-552729
- 109. Norelli M, Camisa B, Barbiera G, et al. Monocyte-derived IL-1 and IL-6 are differentially required for cytokine-release syndrome and neurotoxicity due to CAR T cells. *Nat Med.* 2018;24(6):739–748. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0036-4
- 110. Lee DW, Kochenderfer JN, Stetler-Stevenson M, et al. Tcells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9967):517–528. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61403-3
- 111. Kloss CC, Condomines M, Cartellieri M, Bachmann M, Sadelain M. Combinatorial antigen recognition with balanced signaling promotes selective tumor eradication by engineered T cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2013;31(1):71–75. doi:10.1038/nbt.2459
- 112. Lanitis E, Poussin M, Klattenhoff AW, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells with dissociated signaling domains exhibit focused antitumor activity with reduced potential for toxicity in vivo. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2013;1(1):43–53. doi:10.1158/2326-6066. CIR-13-0008
- 113. Hassan R, Bullock S, Premkumar A, et al. Phase I study of SS1P, a recombinant anti-mesothelin immunotoxin given as a bolus I.V. infusion to patients with mesothelin-expressing mesothelioma, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13 (17):5144–5149. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0869
- 114. Hassan R, Cohen SJ, Phillips M, et al. Phase I clinical trial of the chimeric anti-mesothelin monoclonal antibody MORAb-009 in patients with mesothelin-expressing cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(24):6132–6138. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2275
- 115. Feng KC, Guo YL, Liu Y, et al. Cocktail treatment with EGFRspecific and CD133-specific chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in a patient with advanced cholangiocarcinoma. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2017;10(1):4. doi:10.1186/s13045-016-0378-7
- 116. Hu ZL, Qu GJ, Yu XY, et al. Acylglycerol kinase maintains metabolic state and immune responses of CD8(+) T cells. *Cell Metab.* 2019;30(2):290-+. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019.05.016
- 117. Gubser PM, Bantug GR, Razik L, et al. Rapid effector function of memory CD8(+) T cells requires an immediate-early glycolytic switch. *Nat Immunol.* 2013;14(10):1064-+. doi:10.1038/ni.2687
- 118. Zhou PP, Chi HB. AGK unleashes CD8(+) T cell glycolysis to combat tumor growth. *Cell Metab.* 2019;30(2):233–234. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019.07.008

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal}$

Dovepress