### LETTER

877

# Important Points to Consider During Practical Application and in the Validation Studies of SARC-F Tool to Screen Sarcopenia [Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: *Clinical Interventions in Aging* 

Ozlem Yilmaz D Gulistan Bahat D

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Istanbul University School of Medicine, Istanbul 34093, Turkey

#### Correspondence: Ozlem Yilmaz Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Istanbul University School of Medicine, Topkapı Mahallesi, Turgut Özal Caddesi No: 118, Istanbul 34093, Turkey Tel +90 212 414 20 00- 31478, 33090 Fax +90 212 414 22 48 Email dr.ozlemyilmaz@hotmail.com



## **Dear editor**

We have read the study of Zasadzka et al entitled "Polish Translation and Validation of the SARC-F Tool for the Assessment of Sarcopenia" with great interest.<sup>1</sup> In this paper, the authors reported their study on Polish validation of the SARC-F questionnaire and reported it as a reliable tool for the assessment of sarcopenia in older adults.

As authors noted, SARC-F has originally been developed in English. As it stands as one of the best tools to evaluate sarcopenia in every day practice, considering the variety of languages across Europe, the European Union Geriatric Medicine Society (EuGMS) special interest group on sarcopenia coordinated a study to promote the cross-cultural adaptation, validation and consequent use of SARC-F. We published a methodological report paper to explain the details of the final consensus methodology.<sup>2</sup> In accordance with this aim, we are glad to see that Polish validation of SARC-F tool has been reported by the authors. However, we see that there are some problematic points in the article which should be clarified to help readers.

First, the authors noted that completion of the SARC-F questionnaire constitutes the obligatory first step in the diagnostic process of sarcopenia according to the revised European consensus on the definition and diagnosis of sarcopenia published by the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People2 (EWGSOP2).<sup>3</sup> However, it is important to note that clinicians should not consider SARC-F as an "obligatory" step to diagnose sarcopenia. EWGSOP2 recommends use of the SARC-F questionnaire "as a way to elicit self-reports" from patients on signs that are characteristic of sarcopenia. In clinical practice, case finding should start when a patient reports symptoms or signs of sarcopenia. In such cases, further testing for sarcopenia is recommended and there is no need to use any screening questionnaire.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, in clinical practice one should not feel be obliged to use SARC-F, except with screening purposes in high-risk populations.

Another point is about the cross-cultural adaptation of the first item of the SARC-F questionnaire. The first question aims to evaluate the strength of the responder and has been developed as "How much difficulty do you have in lifting and carrying 10 lb?" originally.<sup>5</sup> In the methodological report paper, we suggested that in countries/languages which use SI unit (kilogram) for weight instead of the

Clinical Interventions in Aging 2020:15 877-878

© 2020 Yilmaz and Bahat. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for Commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). imperial unit (pound), 10 lb would be translated/adapted as 5 kg<sup>2</sup>. From our understanding, it seems that the authors applied the weight as 4.5 kg in their language validation as it is written as 4.5 kg at Table 1. Use of weight as 5 kg would be much better in practice for comprehension by the responder as 5 kg size is widely used in everyday life. On the other hand, assessing the same question for the responder for 4.5 kg would be somewhat confusing and challenging. The validation of questionnaires across countries/ languages should not be a word-to-word translation but rather should include cross-cultural adaptation issues.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

## References

- Zasadzka E, Pieczyńska A, Trzmiel T, et al. Polish translation and validation of the SARC-F tool for the assessment of sarcopenia. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2020;15:567–574. doi:10.2147/CIA.S245074.
- Bahat G, Yilmaz O, Oren MM, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the SARC-F to assess sarcopenia: methodological report from European Union Geriatric Medicine Society Sarcopenia Special Interest Group. *Eur Geriatr Med.* 2018;9:23–28. doi:10.1007/s41999-017-0003-5.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing*. 2019;48(1):16–31. doi:10.1093/ageing/afy169
- Bahat G, Cruz-Jentoft AJ. Putting sarcopenia at the forefront of clinical practice. *Eur J Geriatr Gerontol*. 2019;1(2):43. doi:10.4274/ejgg. galenos.2019.82
- Malmstrom TK, Morley JE. SARC-F: a simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2013;14(8):531– 532. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2013.05.018

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Interventions in Aging 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Interventions in Aging editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### **Clinical Interventions in Aging**

## **Dove**press

#### Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal

Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.