### REVIEW

## Mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of ischemic stroke: progress and possibilities

### Thorsten R Doeppner Dirk M Hermann

Department of Neurology, University of Duisburg-Essen Medical School, Essen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany Abstract: Stroke is a major cause of death and long-term disability in industrialized countries, and the only causal therapy for stroke comprises recombinant tissue plasminogen activator(rt-PA)-mediated recanalization of the occluded vessel. New experimental strategies focus on neuroregenerative approaches, among which the application of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has gained increasing attention. MSCs, like other stem cells, have the capacity of unlimited self-renewal giving rise to differentiated cells from various cell lineages. Bone marrow (BM)-derived MSCs are the most frequently used MSC type in experimental stroke studies. Application of BM-derived MSCs and, in some studies, transplantation of MSCs from other tissue sources resulted in an improved functional recovery in experimental animals, although stroke volumes were not always affected by MSC transplantation. The underlying precise mechanisms of this phenomenon remain elusive, although MSC transplantation is considered to affect many diverse events, eg, by modulating the inflammatory milieu, stimulating endogenous neurogenesis and angiogenesis, and reducing glial scar formation. On the contrary, neuronal differentiation and integration of transplanted MSCs do not seem to affect stroke outcome significantly. On the basis of these preclinical studies, first clinical trials confirmed improved functional recovery in patients who had received BM-derived MSCs systemically, although the number of patients enrolled in these studies was low and there were no adequate control groups. In this review, we describe some fundamental biological characteristics of MSCs and further review some preclinical experimental studies, with special emphasis on BM-derived MSCs. We also review clinical trials in which MSCs have been used and conclude with a short outlook on the application of MSCs in stroke research. Keywords: bone marrow, cerebral ischemia, mesenchymal stem cells, stroke

### Introduction

Ischemic stroke is a major cause of death and the leading cause of long-term disability in industrialized countries. The only causal therapy for this devastating disease comprises local or systemic thrombolysis of the occluded vessel by using recombinant tissue plasminogen activator.<sup>1–3</sup> Thrombolytic therapy, however, is limited by a narrow time window. Only a few stroke patients receive this therapy.<sup>4</sup> Despite the complex pathophysiological mechanisms underlying ischemic stroke,<sup>5,6</sup> many experimental studies focused on manipulating various cell injury-inducing cascades. Although these studies reported neuroprotective effects of many drugs in animal models of ischemic stroke,<sup>7–11</sup> a successful translation from bench to bedside is still lacking.<sup>12</sup> Hence, the recent experimental focus has shifted from studies on acute neuroprotection toward neuroregenerative approaches with special emphasis on cell-based thera-

Correspondence: Thorsten R Doeppner Department of Neurology, University of Duisburg-Essen Medical School, Hufelandstr. 55, 45122 Essen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany Tel +49-201-7231663 Fax +49-201-7231660 Email thorsten.doeppner@uk-essen.de

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress DOI: 10.2147/SCCAA.S7820 pies. Precursor cells and stem cells of different origins have been studied thoroughly in experimental stroke models.<sup>13–16</sup>

Stem cells have unique characteristics as they are capable of unlimited self-renewal giving rise to mature cells from various lineages.<sup>16</sup> Based on their origin, stem cells are further classified as embryonic, fetal, and adult stem cells. Although embryonic stem cells and fetal stem cells are considered an attractive source for tissue engineering processes yielding beneficial effects after experimental stroke, their application is limited due to restricted availability, formation of teratomas, and ethical concerns.<sup>13,17</sup> Hence, adult stem cells or precursor cells have been thoroughly studied, and their neuroprotective potential after cerebral ischemia has been repeatedly shown.<sup>15,16,18-21</sup> Application of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has become an interesting tool in stroke research as they offer broad therapeutic strategies, including clinically relevant autologous transplantation of bone marrow (BM)-derived MSCs.22 In this review, we first describe some fundamental characteristics of MSCs with special emphasis on BM-derived MSCs. Thereafter, studies on MSCs in animal models of experimental ischemia will be reviewed, which will be followed by a critical review of MSC-based stroke therapy in patients. We conclude this review with a short outlook on the application of MSCs in ischemic stroke therapy.

# Definition and biological characteristics of MSCs

Adult mammalian bone marrows contain a distinct but rare population of stem cells that are critically involved in hematopoiesis giving rise to differentiated cells from various cell lineages such as mesenchymal, neuronal, hepatic, or cardiac cells.<sup>22-27</sup> These cells are referred to as MSCs, marrow stromal cells, or mesenchymal stromal cells and have been studied intensively for more than 2 decades. However, a lack of common definition and an imprecise terminology have hampered the development of this field until recently.<sup>28</sup> For this review, we refer to these cells as mesenchymal stem cells or simply as MSCs. The defining characteristics of MSCs used by investigators are still inconsistent and occasionally induce confusion. Taking into account that isolation methods, expansion methods, and tissue sources differ between various studies, substantial differences between these cultivated MSCs cannot be excluded.<sup>29</sup> The Mesenchymal and Tissue Stem Cell Committee of the International Society for Cellular Therapy has suggested some fundamental standards to better define characteristics of MSCs.<sup>29</sup> This characterization of MSCs includes 1) cell adherence on plastic surfaces; 2) expression of CD105, CD73, and CD90 while lacking other surface markers such as CD45, CD34, or CD14; and 3) differentiation into osteoblasts, adipocytes, and chondroblasts in vitro.

Several studies suggest that MSCs have unique immunemodulating properties. MSCs have been recognized to evade immune recognition and decrease immune responses, properties that are essential in successful allogeneic transplantation medicine. It has been reported that MSCs do not induce a proliferative lymphocytic response,<sup>30-32</sup> a finding that might be related to the expression levels of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules.28 Because the postulated immunoprivileged status of MSCs is essentially derived from in vitro experiments, the exact in vivo situation remains unclear. The extent of MSC-mediated immunosuppression in vitro seems to depend on the concentration levels of MSCs in culture; ie, when applied at low concentrations, MSCs induce immune responses rather than depressing them.<sup>33</sup> However, there is also some evidence suggesting that MSCs might not be suitable as "universal donor cells" for allogeneic transplantation. Allogeneic MSCs injected in mice have been reported to significantly increase the invasion of various immune-competent cells.34

MSCs are not only harbored within the BM of adult organisms but also found in various other tissues and compartments of both fetal and adult organisms, including blood, placenta, adipose tissue, skin, liver, and lung.<sup>35–39</sup> Although these cells share many similarities, some differences with regard to their differentiation profile and gene expression patterns still exist.<sup>40</sup> BM-derived MSCs are regarded to have the highest multilineage potential, which is a reason for their preferred use in experimental or therapeutic applications. We focus on reviewing recent studies on BM-derived MSCs in experimental stroke research in the next section.

# MSCs in experimental stroke research

Neuroprotection after MSC treatment in experimental stroke research has been reported by many authors. However, questions as to the most appropriate application routes, transplantation timing, and mechanisms underlying MSCmediated neuroprotection remain. Because the majority of MSC experiments in stroke research have been performed on BM-derived MSCs, we first focus on some fundamental studies using this cell type. We first review reports on systemic application of BM-derived MSCs and then the studies on local application of MSCs and conclude this chapter with some examples of non-BM-derived MSCs.

# Intravenous application of BM-derived MSCs

Although many studies have shown neuroprotection after the intravenous injection of BM-derived MSCs,<sup>41-48</sup> the underlying mechanisms are still elusive. This is in part due to differences in study designs. MSCs have the capacity to migrate into the ischemic lesion zone,<sup>41</sup> possibly via interaction between stromal cell-derived factor (SDF)-1 and its chemokine receptor-4,46 where they act by two distinct mechanisms: 1) secretion of growth factors and 2) stimulation of angiogenesis within the peri-infarct zone. A study by Song et al<sup>49</sup> has suggested that MSCs also express and secrete brain natriuretic peptides (BNPs) among other growth factors. BNP, as a close homolog of the atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), might therefore significantly help reduce the formation of postischemic edema as has been described for ANP before.50 The biological relevance of BNP in cell-based stroke therapy, however, remains elusive because the study by Song et al was performed in vitro only. Growth factors that are secreted by the ischemic host tissue itself, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) or epidermal growth factor (EGF), are also thought to be critically involved in MSC-mediated neuroprotection. For instance, Wakabayashi et al47 observed that MSCs secrete insulin-like growth factor-1 followed by an enhanced expression of VEGF, EGF, and basic fibroblast growth factor within endogenous neural cells, which results in reduced infarct injury.47 The systemic injection of MSCs was associated with direct antiapoptotic effects and modulation of inflammatory responses within the ischemic tissue resulting in reduced neural damage in the peri-infarct zone, where glial scar formation has been described to be reduced after MSC transplantation.<sup>48,51</sup> As MSC therapy results in enhanced levels of endogenous growth factors such as VEGF, MSCs have been reported to stimulate angiogenesis along the ischemic boundary zone via mechanisms involving enhanced expression of both endogenous VEGF and VEGF receptors.52 Some studies have reported other mechanisms, such as the differentiation of transplanted cells into mature neural cells or the induction of endogenous neurogenesis by enhanced proliferation and differentiation rates of subventricular zone (SVZ)-derived neural precursor cells (NPCs).43,51,53,54 Neuronal differentiation rates observed in these studies were, however, low and are therefore unlikely to substantially improve postischemic brain injury.<sup>43,55</sup>

Although there is no debate on the beneficial effect of an intravenous BM-derived MSC therapy in experimental stroke research, the most appropriate transplantation timing and the number of cells required for successful transplantation rates are still elusive. Hence, Omori et al<sup>56</sup> analyzed MSCmediated effects on infarct injury and its dependence on both transplantation time and cell dosage. Although postischemic amelioration of brain injury was also observed in animals that received late transplantation of fewer MSCs, ie, a single dose of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells, MSC therapy was most effective when given within 6 hours after stroke in dosages of  $3 \times 10^6$  cells. Because no difference with regard to vessel density was observed between the treatment groups, the authors inferred that the early transplantation of more MSCs was beneficial due to immediate neuroprotection rather than the stimulation of postischemic angiogenesis. On the contrary, other studies described significantly improved poststroke recovery in animals that received MSC therapy 4 weeks after stroke,46,57 albeit reduced infarct volumes were only observed in animals that had received MSC treatment within 7 days after stroke.57 Komatsu et al<sup>57</sup> observed increased angiogenesis within the peri-infarct area of virtually all animals treated with MSCs, which – in their opinion – might explain the improved functional outcome of MSC-treated experimental groups. Different interpretations made on appropriate systemic MSC transplantation time points depend on the selection of the outcome. Although functional recovery is observed after late and early transplantation, reduction of infarct volume requires early transplantation. However, the assessment of functional recovery in the aforementioned studies was frequently restricted to simple neurological scoring missing a bunch of subtle and reliable motor coordination tests such as the cylinder test, rotarod test, or corner turn test.58

Among the aforementioned studies, a recent work by Zacharek et al<sup>59</sup> on the intravenous administration of BM-derived MSCs after transient focal cerebral ischemia showed that BM-derived MSCs proved to ameliorate poststroke functional outcome. The authors observed that MSCs derived from donor animals that had undergone stroke before MSC preparation were superior to MSCs derived from nonischemic animals. In other words, MSCs derived from ischemic rats enhanced angiogenesis, arterial density, and axonal regeneration and modulated growth factor expression patterns within the ischemic tissue of the recipient much more effectively than those derived from nonischemic animals. The mechanism by which ischemic lesions actually influence stem cell properties within the BM compartment was, unfortunately, not analyzed in this study. Hence, further studies on stroke-mediated modulation of BM-derived MSCs and MSCs from other compartments such as the blood are urgently needed.

# Other application routes of BM-derived MSCs

Among the application routes, intravenous injection of MSCs is the most studied application protocol in experimental stroke research. However, systemic application of MSCs results in poor neuronal differentiation rates of relatively low intracerebral cell numbers (see above), and studies focusing on neuroregeneration, ie, cell differentiation and integration of transplanted cells, might require higher local cell amounts. Alternatively, some studies analyzed effects of an intra-arterial injection of MSCs after stroke.60-62 In line with intravenous transplantation studies, intra-arterial injection of BM-derived MSCs resulted in sustained and improved functional recovery of rodents. MSC-induced enhanced poststroke recovery was associated with increased axonal sprouting, remyelination, and synaptophysin expression, whereas glial scar formation and Nogo-A expression were reduced. Longterm beneficial effects were observed for as long as 1 year, and the MSC-mediated beneficial outcomes were found to be - at least in part - due to the abovementioned structural and molecular changes within the ischemic milieu.60 MSCmediated beneficial effects on stroke outcome in the latter study were already observed at 2 weeks after transplantation, when MSC-mediated neuroprotection might rather be a consequence of changes within the inflammatory milieu including paracrine secretion of local factors as has been described earlier for intravenous application routes. As such, the structural changes after intra-arterial MSC transplantation as observed at later time points might therefore only be one factor contributing to sustained neuroprotection, or this might be an epiphenomenon only.

Although intracerebral transplantation of BM-derived MSCs is of minor clinical relevance as compared with the intravenous application, local injections of MSCs might provide a higher number of cells, which is a prerequisite for further analysis of how MSCs induce postischemic neuroprotection. As has been described for other application routes, intracerebral transplantation of MSCs also resulted in improved functional recovery of recipient animals, with controversial results of MSC-mediated effects on infarct sizes.<sup>63–65</sup> Although neuronal differentiation of transplanted cells and stimulation of both proliferation and differentiation

of SVZ-derived NPCs were observed, neuronal differentiation rates were low. Consequently, MSC-induced enhancement of endogenous neurogenesis is unlikely to significantly contribute to an enhanced poststroke recovery of recipient animals. On the other hand, MSC-mediated beneficial effects after intracerebral transplantation might rather be due to changes within the inflammatory ischemic tissue such as modulation of IL-10 expression.<sup>64</sup>

## Transplantation of non-BM-derived MSCs in experimental stroke models

Although BM-derived MSCs have been thoroughly characterized in vitro and used for experimental stroke research, data on the application of MSCs derived from sources other than BM are still scarce. Nevertheless, a significant number of studies analyzed the therapeutic potential of non-BM-derived MSCs originating from different sources, such as embryonic stem cells, blood, placenta, or adipose tissue.66-69 As has been described for BM-derived cells, systemic application of these cells yielded significantly improved functional outcome after stroke in each experimental condition. The majority of studies, however, lack a systematic in vivo comparison between the cell type analyzed and BM-derived MSCs that is a "therapeutic gold standard". Furthermore, the quality of the study is often limited to descriptive data implying two parameters: the extent of tissue injury and the extent of functional impairment. Therefore, the therapeutic potential of these MSCs as compared with BM-derived MSCs in experimental stroke research cannot be sufficiently assessed. As a consequence, further studies with emphasis on systematic comparison between different cell types in vivo and their modulating characteristics within the ischemic milieu are required.

# Transplantation of MSCs in stroke patients

While considering the abovementioned beneficial effects of BM-derived MSCs on postischemic recovery in rodent models, only limited data are available on translational approaches into the clinic.<sup>70,71</sup> In 2005, Bang et al<sup>70</sup> described a successful autologous transplantation of BM-derived MSCs in patients suffering from severe stroke. In that study, eligibility for enrolment in the study was defined by the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale as at least 7.<sup>70</sup> The authors observed improved modified Rankin scores and higher Barthel indexes in patients who had systemically received MSCs during an observation period

of 1 year. Although this is an interesting observation, some critical aspects have to be addressed. Out of 30 patients, only five patients received MSC therapy, leaving the treatment group small in comparison with the control group. Furthermore, study blindness was restricted to an initial observation period, ie, during the first week after stroke, which was followed by an allocation of patients to the experimental groups on day 7 after stroke. Treatment procedures themselves were, however, not blinded. MSC transplantation was late with a first injection between weeks 4 and 5 and an additional injection between weeks 7 and 9 after the onset of symptoms. Although autologous MSC transplantation requires time-consuming ex vivo cell culture expansion before the injection of cells, transplantation timing seems to be late, taking into account the reduced infarct sizes after early transplantation time points in rodent stroke models as described earlier. MSC-induced beneficial modulation in stroke treatment seems to decline with increasing cell passage numbers in rodents.<sup>72</sup> The aforementioned clinical trial was succeeded by a 5-year follow-up study<sup>71</sup> due to several reasons, including safety concerns as to the use of fetal calf serum and fetal bovine serum in cell culture.73 The authors observed sustained improved functional outcome in patients treated with MSCs for as long as 5 years with no significant side effects and no changes in mortality rates as compared with control patients. Beneficial outcome in patients treated with MSCs was associated with enhanced serum levels of SDF-1, which might be regarded as an epiphenomenon. Because MSCs induce endogenous neurogenesis in animal stroke models, the authors further studied an ischemic involvement of the lateral border of the SVZ and correlated the extent of injury of this region with the functional outcome of patients. Thus, the authors described a correlation between reduced ischemic injury of the lateral border of the SVZ and improved functional outcome of patients treated with MSCs. Whether the reduced involvement of the SVZ within the treatment group was due to genuine stimulation of endogenous neurogenesis by transplanted cells or it is due to MSC-mediated secretion of trophic factors followed by subsequent neuroprotection of residing cells remained unclear. Nevertheless, the promising results of systemic MSC transplantation in stroke patients warrants further preclinical studies aiming at increasing our understanding of how MSCs induce poststroke protection so that further clinical trials might benefit from these findings, resulting in improved study designs in the future.

## Conclusion

There is no doubt that MSCs from different tissue sources enhance functional recovery in experimental stroke research models, even when applied at later time points regardless of application routes. There is also some evidence that early transplantation is required for the reduction of infarct size. The mechanisms underlying these observations are, however, not yet fully understood. Therefore, more sophisticated preclinical studies involving systematic analysis of the properties of MSCs from different tissues are needed. Special emphasis should also be put on ex vivo cell culture expansion and on how these procedures alter the biological properties of the cells to be transplanted. Depending on the outcome of these studies, clinical trials should not only be restricted to BM-derived MSCs but also be performed using MSCs from other sources such as blood, skin, or adipose tissue.

### Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### References

- Hacke W, Donnan G, Fieschi C, et al. Association of outcome with early stroke treatment: pooled analysis of ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials. *Lancet*. 2004;363:768–774.
- 2. Broderick JP, Hacke W. Treatment of acute ischemic stroke: Part I: recanalization strategies. *Circulation*. 2002;106:1563–1569.
- Broderick JP, Hacke W. Treatment of acute ischemic stroke. Part II: neuroprotection and medical management. *Circulation*. 2002;106: 1736–1740.
- Evenson KR, Foraker RE, Morris DL, Rosamond WD. A comprehensive review of prehospital and in-hospital delay times in acute stroke care. *Int J Stroke*. 2009;4:187–199.
- Dirnagl U, Iadecola C, Moskowitz MA. Pathobiology of ischaemic stroke: an integrated view. *Trends Neurosci.* 1999;22:391–397.
- Broughton BR, Reutens DC, Sobey CG. Apoptotic mechanisms after cerebral ischemia. *Stroke*. 2009;40:e331–e339.
- Alonso de Lecinana M, Diez-Tejedor E, Carceller F, Roda JM. Cerebral ischemia: from animal studies to clinical practice. Should the methods be reviewed? *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2001;11 Suppl 1:S20–S30.
- Alonso de Lecinana M, Diez-Tejedor E, Gutierrez M, Guerrero S, Carceller F, Roda JM. New goals in ischemic stroke therapy: the experimental approach – harmonizing science with practice. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2005;20 Suppl 2:S159–S168.
- Gladstone DJ, Black SE, Hakim AM; for Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario Centre of Excellence in Stroke Recovery. Toward wisdom from failure: lessons from neuroprotective stroke trials and new therapeutic directions. *Stroke*. 2002;33:2123–2136.
- Saeed SA, Shad KF, Saleem T, Javed F, Khan MU. Some new prospects in the understanding of the molecular basis of the pathogenesis of stroke. *Exp Brain Res.* 2007;182:1–10.
- Mehta SL, Manhas N, Raghubir R. Molecular targets in cerebral ischemia for developing novel therapeutics. *Brain Res Rev.* 2007;54:34–66.
- 12. Dirnagl U. Bench to bedside: the quest for quality in experimental stroke research. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2006;26:1465–1478.
- Delcroix GJ, Schiller PC, Benoit JP, Montero-Menei CN. Adult cell therapy for brain neuronal damages and the role of tissue engineering. *Biomaterials*. 2010;31:2105–2120.

- Burns TC, Verfaillie CM, Low WC. Stem cells for ischemic brain injury: a critical review. *J Comp Neurol.* 2009;515:125–144.
- Haas S, Weidner N, Winkler J. Adult stem cell therapy in stroke. *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2005;18:59–64.
- Hess DC, Borlongan CV. Stem cells and neurological diseases. *Cell* Prolif. 2008;41 Suppl 1:S94–S114.
- 17. Blum B, Benvenisty N. The tumorigenicity of human embryonic stem cells. *Adv Cancer Res.* 2008;100:133–158.
- Fan Y, Shen F, Frenzel T, et al. Endothelial progenitor cell transplantation improves long-term stroke outcome in mice. *Ann Neurol.* 2010;67:488–497.
- Schwarting S, Litwak S, Hao W, Bahr M, Weise J, Neumann H. Hematopoietic stem cells reduce postischemic inflammation and ameliorate ischemic brain injury. *Stroke*. 2008;39:2867–2875.
- Bacigaluppi M, Pluchino S, Martino G, Kilic E, Hermann DM. Neural stem/precursor cells for the treatment of ischemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci.* 2008;265:73–77.
- Bacigaluppi M, Pluchino S, Peruzzotti Jametti L, et al. Delayed post-ischaemic neuroprotection following systemic neural stem cell transplantation involves multiple mechanisms. *Brain*. 2009;132: 2239–2251.
- 22. Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. *J Cell Physiol*. 2007;213:341–347.
- Dexter TM, Spooncer E, Schofield R, Lord BI, Simmons P. Haemopoietic stem cells and the problem of self-renewal. *Blood Cells*. 1984;10:315–339.
- Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science*. 1999;284:143–147.
- Sanchez-Ramos J, Song S, Cardozo-Pelaez F, et al. Adult bone marrow stromal cells differentiate into neural cells in vitro. *Exp Neurol.* 2000; 164:247–256.
- Toma C, Pittenger MF, Cahill KS, Byrne BJ, Kessler PD. Human mesenchymal stem cells differentiate to a cardiomyocyte phenotype in the adult murine heart. *Circulation*. 2002;105:93–98.
- Weng YS, Lin HY, Hsiang YJ, Hsieh CT, Li WT. The effects of different growth factors on human bone marrow stromal cells differentiating into hepatocyte-like cells. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2003;534:119–128.
- Keating A. Mesenchymal stromal cells. Curr Opin Hematol. 2006;13: 419–425.
- Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The international society for cellular therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy*. 2006;8:315–317.
- Bartholomew A, Sturgeon C, Siatskas M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells suppress lymphocyte proliferation in vitro and prolong skin graft survival in vivo. *Exp Hematol.* 2002;30:42–48.
- Le Blanc K, Tammik C, Rosendahl K, Zetterberg E, Ringden O. HLA expression and immunologic properties of differentiated and undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells. *Exp Hematol.* 2003;31: 890–896.
- Maitra B, Szekely E, Gjini K, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells support unrelated donor hematopoietic stem cells and suppress t-cell activation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2004;33:597–604.
- Le Blanc K, Tammik L, Sundberg B, Haynesworth SE, Ringdén O. Mesenchymal stem cells inhibit and stimulate mixed lymphocyte cultures and mitogenic responses independently of the major histocompatibility complex. *Scand J Immunol.* 2003;57:11–20.
- Eliopoulos N, Stagg J, Lejeune L, Pommey S, Galipeau J. Allogeneic marrow stromal cells are immune rejected by MHC class I- and class II-mismatched recipient mice. *Blood.* 2005;106:4057–4065.
- Chunmeng S, Tianmin C. Effects of plastic-adherent dermal multipotent cells on peripheral blood leukocytes and CFU-GM in rats. *Transplant Proc.* 2004;36:1578–1581.
- 36. in 't Anker PS, Noort WA, Scherjon SA, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells in human second-trimester bone marrow, liver, lung, and spleen exhibit a similar immunophenotype but a heterogeneous multilineage differentiation potential. *Haematologica*. 2003;88:845–852.

- Jackson L, Jones DR, Scotting P, Sottile V. Adult mesenchymal stem cells: differentiation potential and therapeutic applications. *J Postgrad Med.* 2007;53:121–127.
- Zuk PA, Zhu M, Mizuno H, et al. Multilineage cells from human adipose tissue: implications for cell-based therapies. *Tissue Eng.* 2001; 7:211–228.
- Igura K, Zhang X, Takahashi K, Mitsuru A, Yamaguchi S, Takashi TA. Isolation and characterization of mesenchymal progenitor cells from chorionic villi of human placenta. *Cytotherapy*. 2004;6:543–553.
- 40. Wagner W, Wein F, Seckinger A, et al. Comparative characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells from human bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord blood. *Exp Hematol.* 2005;33:1402–1416.
- 41. Chen J, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Therapeutic benefit of intravenous administration of bone marrow stromal cells after cerebral ischemia in rats. *Stroke*. 2001;32:1005–1011.
- Honma T, Honmou O, Iihoshi S, et al. Intravenous infusion of immortalized human mesenchymal stem cells protects against injury in a cerebral ischemia model in adult rat. *Exp Neurol*. 2006;199:56–66.
- Li Y, Chen J, Chen XG, et al. Human marrow stromal cell therapy for stroke in rat: neurotrophins and functional recovery. *Neurology*. 2002; 59:514–523.
- 44. Onda T, Honmou O, Harada K, Houkin K, Hamada H, Kocsis JD. Therapeutic benefits by human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and Ang-1 gene-modified hMSCs after cerebral ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2008;28:329–340.
- 45. Pavlichenko N, Sokolova I, Vijde S, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells transplantation could be beneficial for treatment of experimental ischemic stroke in rats. *Brain Res.* 2008;1233:203–213.
- Shen LH, Li Y, Chen J, et al. Therapeutic benefit of bone marrow stromal cells administered 1 month after stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2007;27:6–13.
- 47. Wakabayashi K, Nagai A, Sheikh AM, et al. Transplantation of human mesenchymal stem cells promotes functional improvement and increased expression of neurotrophic factors in a rat focal cerebral ischemia model. *J Neurosci Res.* 2010;88:1017–1025.
- Zheng W, Honmou O, Miyata K, et al. Therapeutic benefits of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow after global cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res.* 2010;1310:8–16.
- Song S, Kamath S, Mosquera D, et al. Expression of brain natriuretic peptide by human bone marrow stromal cells. *Exp Neurol*. 2004; 185:191–197.
- Nakao N, Itakura T, Yokote H, Nakai K, Komai N. Effect of atrial natriuretic peptide on ischemic brain edema: changes in brain water and electrolytes. *Neurosurgery*. 1990;27:39–43.
- Li Y, Chen J, Zhang CL, et al. Gliosis and brain remodeling after treatment of stroke in rats with marrow stromal cells. *Glia*. 2005;49: 407–417.
- Chen J, Zhang ZG, Li Y, et al. Intravenous administration of human bone marrow stromal cells induces angiogenesis in the ischemic boundary zone after stroke in rats. *Circ Res.* 2003;92:692–699.
- Chen J, Li Y, Katakowski M, et al. Intravenous bone marrow stromal cell therapy reduces apoptosis and promotes endogenous cell proliferation after stroke in female rat. *J Neurosci Res.* 2003;73:778–786.
- 54. Esneault E, Pacary E, Eddi D, et al. Combined therapeutic strategy using erythropoietin and mesenchymal stem cells potentiates neurogenesis after transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2008;28:1552–1563.
- England T, Martin P, Bath PM. Stem cells for enhancing recovery after stroke: a review. *Int J Stroke*. 2009;4:101–110.
- Omori Y, Honmou O, Harada K, Suzuki J, Houkin K, Kocsis JD. Optimization of a therapeutic protocol for intravenous injection of human mesenchymal stem cells after cerebral ischemia in adult rats. *Brain Res.* 2008;1236:30–38.
- Komatsu K, Honmou O, Suzuki J, Houkin K, Hamada H, Kocsis JD. Therapeutic time window of mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow after cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res.* 2010;1334:84–92.

- Schaar KL, Brenneman MM, Savitz SI. Functional assessments in the rodent stroke model. *Exp Transl Stroke Med.* 2010;2:13.
- Zacharek A, Shehadah A, Chen J, et al. Comparison of bone marrow stromal cells derived from stroke and normal rats for stroke treatment. *Stroke*. 2010;41:524–530.
- Shen LH, Li Y, Chen J, et al. One-year follow-up after bone marrow stromal cell treatment in middle-aged female rats with stroke. *Stroke*. 2007;38:2150–2156.
- Shen LH, Li Y, Chen J, et al. Intracarotid transplantation of bone marrow stromal cells increases axon-myelin remodeling after stroke. *Neuroscience*. 2006;137:393–399.
- Li Y, Chen J, Wang L, Lu M, Chopp M. Treatment of stroke in rat with intracarotid administration of marrow stromal cells. *Neurology*. 2001; 56:1666–1672.
- 63. Li Y, Chopp M, Chen J, et al. Intrastriatal transplantation of bone marrow nonhematopoietic cells improves functional recovery after stroke in adult mice. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2000;20:1311–1319.
- 64. Li J, Zhu H, Liu Y, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cell transplantation protects against cerebral ischemic injury and upregulates interleukin-10 expression in Macacafascicularis. *Brain Res.* 2010;1334:65–72.
- Yoo SW, Kim SS, Lee SY, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells promote proliferation of endogenous neural stem cells and survival of newborn cells in a rat stroke model. *Exp Mol Med*. 2008;40:387–397.
- Leu S, Lin YC, Yuen CM, et al. Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells markedly attenuate brain infarct size and improve neurological function in rats. *J Transl Med.* 2010;8:63.

- Ukai R, Honmou O, Harada K, Houkin K, Hamada H, Kocsis JD. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from peripheral blood protects against ischemia. *J Neurotrauma*. 2007;24:508–520.
- Liu YP, Seckin H, Izci Y, Du ZW, Yan YP, Baskaya MK. Neuroprotective effects of mesenchymal stem cells derived from human embryonic stem cells in transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2009;29:780–791.
- Kranz A, Wagner DC, Kamprad M, et al. Transplantation of placentaderived mesenchymal stromal cells upon experimental stroke in rats. *Brain Res.* 2010;1315:128–136.
- Bang OY, Lee JS, Lee PH, Lee G. Autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in stroke patients. *Ann Neurol.* 2005;57:874–882.
- Lee JS, Hong JM, Moon GJ, Lee PH, Ahn YH, Bang OY. A longterm follow-up study of intravenous autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with ischemic stroke. *Stem Cells*. 2010;28:1099–1106.
- Li WY, Choi YJ, Lee PH, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells for ischemic stroke: changes in effects after ex vivo culturing. *Cell Transplant*. 2008; 17:1045–1059.
- Spees JL, Gregory CA, Singh H, et al. Internalized antigens must be removed to prepare hypoimmunogenic mesenchymal stem cells for cell and gene therapy. *Mol Ther*. 2004;9:747–756.

#### Stem Cells and Cloning: Advances and Applications

### Publish your work in this journal

Stem Cells and Cloning: Advances and Applications is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal. Areas of interest in stem cell research include: Embryonic cell stems; Adult stem cells; Blastocysts; Cordblood stem cells; Stem cell transformation and culture; Therapeutic cloning; Umbilical cord blood and bone marrow cells; Laboratory, animal and human therapeutic studies; Philosophical and ethical issues related to stem cell research. This journal is indexed on CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/stem-cells-and-cloning-advances-and-applications-journal

**Dove**press