REVIEW

# Improving management of patients with advanced cancer

#### Lawrence Drudge-Coates

Department of Urology, King's College Hospital, London, United Kingdom

Abstract: Development of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer is associated with skeletal-related events (SREs) such as pathologic fractures, spinal cord compression, the requirement for surgery or palliative radiotherapy to bone, and hypercalcemia of malignancy. Skeletal morbidity may reduce patient mobility, limit functional independence, and impair quality of life (QOL). Proactive management of new or worsening bone pain or motor impairment is crucial because of the potential for rapid progression of symptoms. Administration of bisphosphonate therapy as a monthly infusion to patients with bone metastases prevents or delays the onset and reduces the frequency of SREs and provides clinically meaningful improvements in bone pain and QOL. In addition to administration of therapy, the monthly infusion visit allows a dedicated team of healthcare professionals to regularly assess SREs, response to therapy, adverse events (AEs), QOL, and adherence to oral medications and supplements. The continuity of care that occurs during the monthly infusion visit provides oncology nurses with an opportunity to educate patients about effective strategies to manage SREs and AEs. In addition, regular interaction provides oncology nurses with an opportunity to recognize and proactively address subtle changes in the patients' medical condition. Using a multidisciplinary medical team also eliminates barriers between the various healthcare professionals involved in patient management. Consequently, the monthly infusion visit can result in effective patient management and improved clinical outcomes in patients with malignant bone disease.

Keywords: adverse events, bisphosphonates, bone metastases, quality of life, skeletal-related events, treatment adherence

#### Introduction

Bone metastases are common in patients with advanced solid tumors, with estimates ranging from 20% to 25% for renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and 65% to 75% for breast or prostate cancer.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, bone lesions are almost inevitable in patients with refractory multiple myeloma. Malignant bone disease is associated with considerable morbidity and can result in skeletal-related events (SREs) such as pathologic fractures, spinal cord compression, the requirement for surgery or palliative radiotherapy to bone, and hypercalcemia of malignancy.1 Approximately 50% of patients with bone metastases develop SREs, with patients experiencing an average of 1.5 to 4.0 events per year, depending on the primary cancer.<sup>2,3</sup> Each type of SRE is associated with reductions in patient quality of life (QOL), and fractures are also associated with decreased survival.4,5

Current options for preventing the development of SREs from bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer include chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery, and

Patient Preference and Adherence downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ For personal use only

> Correspondence: Lawrence Drudge-Coates Urological Oncology Clinical Nurse Specialist and Honorary Lecturer, Department of Urology, King's College Hospital, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS, United Kingdom Tel +44 020 3299 4352 Fax +44 020 3299 3993 Email Idrudge-coates@nhs.net

bisphosphonates. Although management of the primary malignancy is the cornerstone of therapy, bone metastases represent a long-term challenge and often result in symptoms despite effective anticancer therapies.<sup>1</sup> Bisphosphonates are potent inhibitors of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption that can lower SRE risks throughout the disease course. Among the bisphosphonate agents, pamidronate and zoledronic acid are approved for multiple myeloma; pamidronate, zoledronic acid, clodronate (outside the United States), and ibandronate are approved for breast cancer; and zoledronic acid is approved for managing bone lesions secondary to a broad range of solid tumors, including prostate and lung cancer.<sup>6–9</sup> Bisphosphonate therapy not only reduces the risk of SREs, but can also decrease bone pain and improve QOL in patients with malignant bone disease.<sup>1,10</sup>

Bisphosphonate therapy is generally administered as a monthly intravenous infusion in a hospital or infusion clinic, with infusion times ranging from 15 minutes for zoledronic acid to 120 minutes for pamidronate and up to 150 minutes for ibandronate.<sup>67,9</sup> In our facility, we take advantage of the monthly infusion visit to earmark time for interaction between patients and a dedicated team of healthcare professionals. This interaction provides the opportunity to regularly assess SREs, response to therapy, adverse events (AEs), QOL, and treatment adherence. Regular patient interaction is important because the effects of cancer and cancer treatments can vary greatly over the course of only a few weeks. A monthly infusion visit, such as that for bisphosphonates, provides a well-timed window of opportunity for proactive patient management.

Personalized treatment plans are becoming an increasingly common approach for managing bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer. At King's College Hospital, one of the largest teaching hospitals in London, the Urology Department is a major referral center that cares for many patients with genitourinary cancers (eg, prostate, kidney, bladder, testicular, and penile cancer). Personalized treatment plans are developed for each patient by members of a dedicated multidisciplinary team, and suggested treatment options are discussed with the patient before the treatment plan is finalized. Nurses play a key role in implementing treatment plans. Based on the experience at King's College Hospital, personalized treatment plans can improve patient care and clinical outcomes of malignant bone disease.

This review article discusses the opportunities afforded by the monthly infusion visit for managing bone metastases secondary to advanced cancer. Selected case studies demonstrating how the monthly infusion visit can be used to improve patient management are also presented.

# Benefits of the monthly infusion visit

Regular interaction between patients and a dedicated healthcare professional team results in more effective patient management, and a monthly infusion visit can be used for patient follow-up assessments. In a managed-care setting, the allocation of nursing resources for infusional medicine provides an opportunity for structured follow-up. In particular, the continuity of care that occurs during the monthly infusion visit establishes a relationship of trust between the patient and the oncology nurse, fostering open and effective communication. Regularly scheduled visits are especially conducive to establishing this relationship because of allocated infusion chair occupancy time, in contrast with the typical time constraints during regular office visits with a physician. In the case of the patient with advanced cancer attending for a monthly bisphosphonate infusion visit, the oncology nurse can also evaluate the patient's condition and educate the patient regarding the importance of SRE management, potential AEs, and the importance of taking calcium and vitamin D supplements and remaining hydrated. As a result of this regular interaction, the oncology nurse becomes the "expert" on a specific patient and will recognize subtle changes in the patient that could easily be missed by other healthcare professionals. The multidisciplinary nature of the medical team also promotes a more effective working relationship between the various cancer specialists in the infusion clinic. For example, in our facility, the absence of formal barriers between team members allows the oncology nurse to speak directly to the radiation oncologist about a patient needing palliative radiotherapy instead of seeking a referral. This helps to reduce treatment delays and can have a positive effect on patient care and clinical outcomes. The monthly infusion visit can also reduce outpatient appointments and provide an opportunity for oncology nurses to interact with family members and caregivers.

#### Skeletal-related events

King's College Hospital has developed a patient-management process to leverage regular infusion visits as an opportunity to more effectively monitor patients. Early identification of new or worsening skeletal morbidity is crucial in patients with malignant bone disease, in whom symptoms may progress very rapidly. Effective treatment to prevent or delay onset and to minimize the severity of SREs plays an important role in the supportive care of patients with bone metastases.

In facilities that treat patients with advanced malignancies, infusion nurses become very familiar with treatment options that span a large range of cancer types. Bisphosphonates are an established component of therapy for patients with bone metastases, which are common in most advanced malignancies.<sup>11</sup> Among bisphosphonates approved in the UK for preventing SREs from bone metastases in patients with breast cancer (ie, clodronate, ibandronate, pamidronate, and zoledronic acid), zoledronic acid generated the largest reduction in SRE risk relative to placebo (Figure 1).<sup>10,12–19</sup> Furthermore, zoledronic acid significantly reduced the cumulative mean incidence of SREs in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer (17.8%; P = 0.05 vs pamidronate), prostate cancer (35.3%; P = 0.002 vs placebo), or lung cancer or other solid tumors (26.9%; P = 0.025 vs placebo).<sup>20</sup> These results illustrate the benefit of therapy with bisphosphonates in patients with malignant bone disease.

As part of each monthly bisphosphonate infusion visit, the patient is thoroughly evaluated for the development of new or worsening SREs, and effective management strategies should be discussed if any are detected. Bone pain is one of the most common complications of bone metastases and tends to occur throughout the course of the disease.<sup>21</sup> Managing bone pain generally involves concomitant administration of focal radiotherapy and analgesic medications, especially steroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, although effective pain management may require opioids in some cases.<sup>22</sup> Radiotherapy to bone is standard therapy for palliation of malignant bone pain refractory to standard pharmacologic interventions. Nonpharmacologic interventions may include heat and cold applications, massage, relaxation exercises, and therapeutic mattresses.<sup>23</sup> Spinal cord compression presents



**Figure I** Overall risk of skeletal-related events in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer treated with bisphosphonates.<sup>12-19</sup> Hypercalcemia was not included as a skeletal-related event (SRE) in this analysis.

Copyright © 2005. Reproduced with permission of Cochrane Collaboration. Pavlakis N, Schmidt R, Stockler M. Bisphosphonates for breast cancer. *Cochrane Database* Syst Rev. 2005(3):CD003474.<sup>10</sup>

Abbreviations: ZOL, zoledronic acid; PAM, pamidronate; IBN, ibandronate; CLO, clodronate; IV, intravenous; SREs, skeletal-related events.

initially as back pain and may cause irreversible paraparesis or paraplegia if left untreated. Early diagnosis, administration of high-dose corticosteroids, and urgent referral for radiotherapy or decompression and spinal stabilization are key for successful rehabilitation.<sup>21</sup> Pathologic fractures and hypercalcemia of malignancy are relatively late complications of bone metastases that may require urgent treatment.<sup>21,24</sup> Pathologic fractures occur because of loss of bone integrity, thereby resulting in increased bone pain and the need for surgical intervention.<sup>21</sup> Identifying weakened weight-bearing bones at high risk for fracture can allow proactive treatment and fixation, sparing patients from potentially life-threatening fractures. Hypercalcemia of malignancy frequently remains undiagnosed and, left untreated, may result in acute renal failure, cardiac arrest, or death.<sup>24</sup>

The beneficial effects of the monthly infusion visit on SRE management are illustrated by a recent patient case study from King's College Hospital. A 72-year-old man initially presented to his general practitioner with lower urinary tract symptoms including nocturia. The patient had an abnormal prostate on rectal examination and elevated prostate-specific antigen levels (207.3 ng/L). Biopsy results revealed prostate adenocarcinoma (Gleason score 5 + 5). Based on a multidisciplinary team review of the patient's histology and radiology results, hormone therapy was initiated, and intravenous bisphosphonate therapy was recommended. During a subsequent monthly visit to the nurse-led bone support clinic for consideration of bisphosphonate therapy, the patient complained to the clinical nurse specialist of experiencing pain in his left hip, which he described as a "constant ache" when walking that was relieved by sitting. The patient was diagnosed with an osteoblastic lesion in the peritrochanter-proximal femur that was associated with mechanical pain. Subsequent assessment by the clinical nurse specialist using the Mirels assessment tool for diagnosis of impending pathologic fracture risk resulted in a score of 9 out of a possible total of 12, and the patient was estimated to have a 33% risk of incurring a pathologic fracture. The clinical nurse specialist referred the patient directly to an orthopedic surgeon, who admitted the patient the same day under their care and performed prophylactic surgical fixation of the femoral neck. A radiation oncologist also recommended palliative radiotherapy to relieve bone pain.

#### Response to therapy

The monthly infusion visit also provides healthcare professionals with an opportunity to regularly assess how the patient is responding to the current treatment regimen. Decreases in the patient's well-being can be rapidly reported to other members of the multidisciplinary team so that adjustments to dose and/or schedule as well as modification of the individual components in the treatment regimen can be rapidly implemented to optimize clinical outcomes.

#### Adverse events

Intravenous therapy is generally administered by the nursing staff in most hospitals and infusion centers. As a result, the nursing staff play a central role in the safety and comfort of patients receiving intravenous therapy. For example, in addition to ensuring that bisphosphonates are administered in a safe and consistent manner, the monthly infusion visit allows the oncology nurse to educate the patient about potential AEs associated with therapy. Improved communication stemming from the regular interaction between the patient and the oncology nurse also encourages early reporting of AEs and implementation of effective management strategies.

There are key monitoring steps for the administration of any intravenous medication that provide important insight into the patient's well-being. For example, before administering the intravenous infusion, the nursing staff must ensure that the patient is adequately hydrated (Table 1).<sup>2,25,26</sup> For agents cleared by renal filtration, such as bisphosphonates, serum creatinine levels should be within acceptable ranges to minimize the possibility of renal deterioration. Renal impairment is common among the elderly, and many cancer therapies can adversely affect renal function. Therefore, the monthly visit provides an excellent opportunity for ongoing monitoring of renal function. This is also a good time to remind patients to take recommended supplements (such as calcium or vitamin D for bisphosphonate-treated patients) or to follow dietary restrictions.<sup>25,26</sup>

During an infusion, patients can be counseled on proactive AE management. For example, bone pain, nausea, fatigue, and fever are the most common AEs reported in bisphosphonate-treated patients.<sup>27</sup> Acute flu-like symptoms, which commonly occur after initial exposure to bisphosphonate agents, are related to activation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and the subsequent release of pyrogenic cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6.<sup>28</sup> Because acute flu-like symptoms are associated with immune system activation, their occurrence may be predictive of response to therapy. These symptoms are generally mild, transient, and manageable with standard analgesic medications.<sup>27,29</sup> Because all intravenous bisphosphonates are associated with dose- and infusion-rate-dependent effects on renal function, serum creatinine should be assessed before each infusion. The baseline serum creatinine clearance rate should be measured before the first intravenous bisphosphonate infusion, and the dose adjusted if needed. Treatment should be administered in no less than the recommended infusion times. Shorter infusion times and higher doses of bisphosphonates may increase the risk of renal AEs.<sup>27,29</sup> Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ), characterized by exposed bone in the maxillofacial area that occurs spontaneously or after dental surgery in the absence of metastatic disease in the jaw or osteoradionecrosis, with no evidence of healing after 6 weeks of appropriate dental care, has been reported as an uncommon AE in patients receiving complex treatment regimens including bisphosphonates. Approximately 1% of patients in the advanced cancer setting and 0.2% of patients in the adjuvant cancer setting develop ONJ.<sup>30-34</sup> Implementing proactive dental surveillance and completing necessary dental work before administering bisphosphonate therapy reduces the incidence of ONJ, and early identification and management of this condition may improve healing.35,36 The monthly visit allows follow-ups on dental health issues. Recommended supportive care measures for managing AEs associated with bisphosphonate therapy are presented in Table 1.2,25,26

## Quality of life

Inadequate pain management may result in reductions in patient mobility, functional independence, and QOL. Interactions during the monthly infusion visit allow the oncology nurse to conduct regular QOL assessments that monitor the patient's well-being and ability to function during daily activities. Any QOL deterioration or performance status reduction should prompt evaluation of whether modification of palliative therapy is necessary.

Oncology nurses can use various instruments, including QOL questionnaires and patient diaries, to assess the QOL of patients with advanced cancer. The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QOL Questionnaire Group Bone Metastases Module (QLQ-BM22) is a newly developed QOL questionnaire that captures symptoms and morbidities specific to bone metastases that were not included in the previous QOL questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30).<sup>37,38</sup> The new questionnaire consists of 22 items, rated on a scale from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much), that evaluate a patient's experience during the previous week regarding painful sites and pain characteristics, as well as functional limitations and psychosocial concerns associated with pain (Table 2).<sup>38</sup> The EORTC QLQ-BM22, which is currently undergoing additional clinical validation, will enable

#### Table I Guidelines for administering bisphosphonate therapy

Assessment information checklist <sup>25</sup>	• What to do when side effects occur	
<ul> <li>Height and posture</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure availability of therapy for common adverse events</li> </ul>	
• Weight	- Advise patients of adverse events for which they should contact the	
<ul> <li>Serum creatinine and corrected serum creatinine<sup>a</sup></li> </ul>	healthcare team	
(0.6–1.3 mg/dL or 70–120 μmol/L)	– Ensure patient's accessibility to the hospital	
Hydration status	- Reassure patients who experience flu-like symptoms that the	
<ul> <li>Signs and symptoms of dehydration</li> </ul>	symptoms will be less severe after each subsequent infusion and will	
– Adequate fluid intake (2–3 L/day) <sup>b</sup>	not likely occur after the second or third infusion	
– Diarrhea or vomiting in previous 24 hr	Supportive care measures <sup>26</sup>	
<ul> <li>Pain and analgesic assessment</li> </ul>	Acute-phase reaction: Assess dehydration and electrolyte levels	
– Severity	– Nausea/vomiting: Administer antiemetics (eg, thiethylperazine or	
– Site	prochlorperazine) and/or mild analgesics (eg, acetaminophen) as	
– Type of pain	necessary	
Concomitant medications	– Fever: Monitor vital signs and nutritional status; administer	
- Dose and schedule	antipyretics (eg, acetaminophen) prophylactically for the first	
<ul> <li>Calcium and vitamin D supplementation</li> </ul>	24 hours after the first and second infusions and then as needed;	
<ul> <li>Concomitant treatments (eg, radiotherapy, chemotherapy)</li> </ul>	admit patient for persistent fever	
<ul> <li>Mobility and activity</li> </ul>	– Diarrhea: Monitor vital signs and nutritional status; administer	
Oral condition	antidiarrheals (eg, loperamide)	
<ul> <li>Discussion of any adverse events since last visit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acute-phase reaction tends to occur after the first bisphosphonate infusion and typically does not occur or is less severe with subseque</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Determination of whether the patient has</li> </ul>		
experienced any new skeletal-related events	doses	
- Effectiveness of treatment from patient's viewpoint	<ul> <li>Anemia: Red blood cell transfusion and/or erythropoietin</li> </ul>	
Observations for a patient diary <sup>25</sup>	<ul> <li>Myalgia/arthralgia: Administer analgesics (eg, acetaminophen)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>During the first 5 days after each infusion</li> </ul>	or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (eg, ibuprofen), unless	
– Urinary frequency	contraindicated	
– Fever/malaise	• Constipation: Administer stool softeners; maintain adequate fluid intake	
– Other symptoms	modify diet; increase fiber intake	
Ongoing symptoms	Headache: Administer analgesics (eg, acetaminophen)	
– Pain level (VAS or BPI)	• Anorexia: Nutritional supplements, appetite stimulants	
<ul> <li>Locations of any bone pain</li> </ul>	• Edema lower limb: Maintain elevation of extremities; use compression	
<ul> <li>Analgesic use or other medications</li> </ul>	stockings, if needed	
<ul> <li>Physical activity or ability to move</li> </ul>	Practical assistance: Patients may benefit from arrangements for	
Patient education – side effects <sup>25</sup>	assistance with their mobility at home, housekeeping, transportation, etc	
Possible adverse events	<ul> <li>Psychosocial distress: Patients may experience emotional distress</li> </ul>	
– Flu-like symptoms (after initial infusion) and skeletal pain	related to their situation and should be referred for professional	
(acetaminophen [paracetamol] can be administered	evaluation if distress levels are found to be too high	
prophylactically)		
- Renal function deterioration (serum creatinine		
and creatinine clearance)		
- Osteonecrosis of the jaw (spontaneously or after		
dental procedure) – uncommon		

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Corrected for age, weight, and sex; <sup>b</sup>Unless patient is on restricted fluid intake.

Copyright © 2009. Reproduced with permission from Oncology Nursing Society. Fitch M, Maxwell C, Ryan C, Lothman H, Drudge-Coates L, Costa L. Bone metastases from advanced cancers: clinical implications and treatment options. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2009;13(6):701–710.<sup>2</sup>

bone-metastasis-related QOL outcomes to be compared across treatment regimens and will assist in selecting appropriate treatment interventions.

Use of a patient diary for recording pain scores, changes in mobility, and AEs may also facilitate interaction between the patients and the oncology nurse during the monthly infusion visit.<sup>25</sup> As part of an ongoing record of patient progress, information should be recorded in the patient diary before or during each infusion visit and should be compared with baseline information obtained before treatment was initiated. Research-based evidence highlights the importance of using a patient diary for assessing and managing pain. Regular use of a patient diary heightens a patient's awareness of pain patterns, guides pain management behavior, confers a sense of control over pain, and facilitates communication with healthcare professionals.<sup>23,39</sup> Use of a patient diary may also assist patients in recognizing early, more subtle benefits of therapy, resulting in improved treatment adherence. Patient diaries may also help oncology nurses to identify when appropriate interventions are necessary to enhance QOL.

Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-BM22)		
Symptom scales		
Painful sites		
I. Back		
2. Leg(s) or hip(s)		
3. Arm(s) or shoulder(s)		
4. Chest or ribs		
5. Buttocks		
Pain characteristics		
6. Constant pain		
7. Intermittent pain		
8. Pain not relieved by medications		
Functional scales		
Functional interference		
9. Pain while lying down		
10. Pain while sitting		
II. Pain when trying to stand up		
12. Pain while walking		
I3. Pain with activities such as bending or climbing stairs		
14. Pain with strenuous activity		
15. Pain interfered with your sleeping		
I6. Modify your daily activities		
Psychosocial aspects		
I7. Felt isolated from those close to you		
<ol><li>Worried about loss of mobility</li></ol>		
<ol><li>Worried about becoming dependent on others</li></ol>		
20. Worried about your health in the future		
21. Felt hopeful your pain will get better		
22. Felt positive about your health		

Copyright © 2009. Reproduced with permission from Elsevier. Chow E, Hird A, Velikova G, et al. The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire for patients with bone metastases: the EORTC QLQ-BM22. Eur J Cancer. 2009;45(7):1146-1152.38

Abbreviation: EORTC, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer.

During the monthly infusion visit, the oncology nurse can recommend several interventions to reduce pain and maintain QOL. As previously described, managing bone pain generally involves administering analgesic medications or palliative radiotherapy to patients with refractory bone pain. Bisphosphonate therapy is also associated with clinically meaningful palliation of bone pain. Administering zoledronic acid as a monthly infusion to patients with bone metastases from breast cancer is associated with reduced pain and improved QOL.<sup>40,41</sup> In a cross-over study wherein zoledronic acid was administered to patients with cancer, either in a hospital or community setting, pain and QOL were prospectively evaluated by trained nurses. Analysis of Brief Pain Inventory scores revealed that zoledronic acid improved scores from baseline for composite pain, worst pain, and average pain; zoledronic acid also reduced the overall extent to which pain interfered with general activities and walking ability (N = 101;  $P \le 0.05$ ; Figure 2A).<sup>40</sup> Significant improvements from baseline in global health and in physical, social, and emotional functioning, as assessed using EORTC QLQ-C30, were also observed in patients receiving zoledronic acid therapy (N = 101; P < 0.05 vs baseline; Figure 2B).40 The observed QOL improvements suggest that administration of zoledronic acid as a monthly intravenous infusion has the ability to maintain patient mobility and functional independence. A Cochrane meta-analysis of 30 randomized clinical studies of bisphosphonates for relief of pain secondary to bone metastases recommended their use for diffuse, painful metastases, especially when analgesics with or without radiotherapy failed to provide adequate pain relief or produced unacceptable adverse drug reactions (N = 3682).<sup>42</sup> Indeed, bisphosphonate therapy should be considered for pain management in all patients with bone metastases because they are the only approved class of supportive pharmacotherapy capable of relieving pain and affecting the underlying pathophysiology of bone metastases-malignant osteolysis.2 Oncology nurses can also educate patients about how to modify their lifestyle to reduce



Figure 2 A) Effect of monthly infusion of zoledronic acid on pain. \*P < 0.05;  $^{\dagger}P < 0.005$  versus baseline. **B**) Effect of monthly infusion of zoledronic acid on quality of life. \*P < 0.05 versus baseline.

Abbreviations: BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; EORTC, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Copyright © 2005. Reproduced with permission from Nature Publishing Group. Wardley A, Davidson N, Barrett-Lee P, et al. Zoledronic acid significantly improves pain scores and quality of life in breast cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomised, crossover study of community vs hospital bisphosphonate administration. Br / Cancer. 2005;92(10): 1869-1876.40

the risk of a fracture (eg, incorporating modifications in their environment to prevent falls).<sup>25,26</sup>

A recent case study illustrates how incorporating individual patient preferences into management plans for malignant bone disease may preserve QOL. Two patients with RCC presented with bone metastases on the lower leg; surgical amputation was recommended based on an orthopedic evaluation. The first patient underwent leg amputation and subsequently required ambulatory support for the rest of his life. The second patient, a 68-year-old woman with bone metastases on the right tibia, refused amputation and instead elected to receive radiotherapy, zoledronic acid, dexamethasone, and opioid analgesics. After 4 weeks, she reported reduced pain and was able to walk with the assistance of crutches instead of a walking frame. After 12 weeks, the patient's N-telopeptide of type I collagen levels normalized, indicating a reduction in malignant osteolysis. Notably, the patient sustained no fractures and did not require increased analgesic use before succumbing to her disease approximately 7 months after initiation of radiotherapy and zoledronic acid.43 The potential of radiotherapy plus zoledronic acid to restore function in bone affected by aggressive tumors is an important consideration for preserving mobility and QOL in patients with limited therapeutic options.

### Treatment adherence

One concern with long-term therapies is patients' ongoing adherence to dosing protocols. Indeed, this is especially challenging when there is limited tracking of treatment effects. For example, because bisphosphonate therapy is a preventive intervention in patients with bone metastases, patients may lose interest in taking their medication because of the lack of any outward sign of benefit. Administering bisphosphonate therapy as an intravenous infusion improves treatment adherence versus oral therapy and provides an assurance that the patient is receiving the prescribed agent.<sup>44</sup> The oncology nurse can also educate the patient about the relationship between treatment adherence, improved clinical outcomes, and prevention of SREs.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that both overall compliance and persistence with oral bisphosphonate therapy are poor among women with osteoporosis. Extending the dosing interval increased adherence; however, gains remained suboptimal. Notably, low compliance and persistence rates consistently resulted in increased fracture rates.<sup>45</sup> Intravenous bisphosphonate therapy is generally associated with improved adherence compared with oral bisphosphonate therapy in patients with advanced cancer. A retrospective analysis of

a German health-claims database reported that persistency rates (defined as continuous prescription refill without an interruption lasting longer than 30 days) were significantly higher with intravenous bisphosphonates (92%) compared with oral bisphosphonates (36.4%; P = 0.0012).<sup>44</sup> A prospective study of 79 women with metastatic breast cancer conducted in the United Kingdom reported that although selfreported adherence to oral bisphosphonate therapy was high, 21% of patients had chosen not to take their medications at some time. Twenty-four percent of patients expressed dissatisfaction with constraints associated with oral bisphosphonate administration, especially the time required to remain upright after taking their medication. In contrast, an overwhelming majority of patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonate therapy were generally satisfied with the frequency (91%) and the convenience (88%) of their medications, especially when administered concurrently with chemotherapy.<sup>46</sup>

Adherence to intravenous bisphosphonate therapy is associated with improved clinical outcomes among patients with advanced cancer. Analysis of a national medical claims database of patients with solid tumors and bone metastases revealed that administering zoledronic acid according to the recommended continuous dosing regimen (4 mg every 3 to 4 weeks) provided the greatest protection against SREs (0.16 SREs/month vs 0.43 SREs/month with no bisphosphonate therapy). Patients who received zoledronic acid according to less frequent dosing schedules were only partially protected against SREs (0.31 SREs/month; Table 3).47 Administration of zoledronic acid according to the recommended dosing schedule was also associated with a significantly longer duration of follow-up (17.11 months) compared with administration according to nonrecommended dosing schedules (9.93 months) or no treatment (8.68 months; P < 0.001).<sup>47</sup> Therefore, administering zoledronic acid based on the recommended continuous dosing schedule reduced the skeletal

 Table 3 Effect of zoledronic acid on skeletal morbidity rate in patients with solid tumors and bone metastases

ZOL schedule (patients, n)	Skeletal morbidityª, SREs/mo	Follow-up duration <sup>b</sup> , mo
None (3038)	0.43	8.68
Any (1508)	0.29	12.2
On-label	0.16	17.11
Off-label	0.31	9.93

**Notes:**  ${}^{*P} \leq 0.05$  for each comparison;  ${}^{b}P < 0.001$  for each comparison. Copyright© 2008. Adapted with permission from John Wiley and Sons. Hatoum HT, Lin SJ, Smith MR, Barghout V, Lipton A. Zoledronic acid and skeletal complications in patients with solid tumors and bone metastases: analysis of a national medical claims database. *Cancer.* 2008;113(6):1438–1445.<sup>47</sup>

Abbreviations: SRE, skeletal-related event; ZOL, zoledronic acid.

morbidity rate and increased follow-up duration, suggesting increased survival among patients with malignant bone disease.

Regular interaction between the patient and the oncology nurse in the infusion center fosters adherence to therapy protocols. The oncology nurse is uniquely positioned to educate the patient and his/her caregivers and to reinforce the importance of continuous therapy for managing metastatic bone disease and associated SREs. Patient education is especially important because poor adherence to bisphosphonate therapy is frequently caused by the patient not understanding how the medication works or why it needs to be taken on a continuous basis. The oncology nurse should explain that prematurely discontinuing bisphosphonate therapy leaves the patient at risk for painful and debilitating SREs, which reduce functional independence and impair activities of daily living.<sup>48</sup> By highlighting the benefits of administering bisphosphonates according to recommended dosing schedules and enacting established supportive care protocols to ensure patient safety and comfort, oncology nurses may increase patients' persistence with and adherence to bisphosphonate therapy.

### Clinical outcomes

An infusion visit also allows for discussion of the latest clinical news about the patient's regimen, such as identification of new potential risks or benefits. Patients often enquire about new data from the common media or information on their current medications that their family members access on the Internet. For example, bisphosphonates have been in the news recently because of an association with improved clinical outcomes among patients with early or advanced cancer. In the AZURE trial, the effects of adding zoledronic acid to standard neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer are being evaluated. In the subgroup of patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, adding monthly zoledronic acid reduced residual invasive tumor size compared with chemotherapy alone (P = 0.006; n = 205).<sup>49</sup> The pathologic complete response rate (breast and axilla) was also higher among patients treated with zoledronic acid plus chemotherapy (11.7%) compared with chemotherapy alone (6.9%; P = 0.146). Several studies reported that zoledronic acid improved overall survival among patients with various types of advanced cancer including multiple myeloma, bladder cancer, and lung cancer.<sup>50-52</sup> In the largest of these studies, the Medical Research Council Myeloma IX study, zoledronic acid significantly improved overall survival (P = 0.0118) and progression-free survival (P = 0.0179) versus clodronate in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (N = 1960).<sup>50</sup> Furthermore, subset analyses of 2 phase III clinical studies revealed that zoledronic acid prolonged survival compared with placebo among patients with solid tumors who had high baseline N-telopeptide of type I collagen levels.<sup>53,54</sup> Survival benefits appeared to be independent of SRE prevention, suggesting that zoledronic acid may improve survival via anticancer activity.<sup>50,53,54</sup>

Recently, administering zoledronic acid with endocrine therapy for early breast cancer also resulted in apparent anticancer benefits. In this low-disease-burden setting, zoledronic acid was administered every 6 months. In the ABCSG-12 study, adding zoledronic acid to adjuvant endocrine therapy resulted in a relative reduction of 36% in the risk of disease progression compared with endocrine therapy alone in premenopausal women with early breast cancer (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.64; P = 0.01; N = 1803).<sup>55</sup> Patients treated with zoledronic acid experienced fewer events of locoregional and distant recurrence, bone metastases, and disease in the contralateral breast. In the ZO-FAST (N = 1065) and Z-FAST (N = 602) studies, immediate addition of zoledronic acid to adjuvant letrozole reduced disease recurrence in postmenopausal women with early breast cancer (HR = 0.59 [P = 0.0176] and HR = 0.8[P = not significant] for immediate vs delayed zoledronic acid, respectively).<sup>34</sup>

Moreover, recent retrospective database analyses revealed that administration of bisphosphonates for postmenopausal osteoporosis is associated with lower rates of invasive breast cancer.<sup>56–58</sup> Therefore, discussion of these new results of bisphosphonate therapy may also provide a positive outlook for patients who may be experiencing challenges with their concomitant anticancer therapies.

### Conclusion

Administering bisphosphonate therapy as a monthly intravenous infusion provides healthcare professionals with an opportunity to adopt a holistic approach toward managing malignant bone disease in patients with advanced cancer. During each infusion visit, healthcare professionals can assess SREs, response to therapy, AEs, QOL, and treatment adherence. Proactive management of new or worsening SREs is extremely important in patients with malignant bone disease because symptoms may progress very rapidly. Skeletal morbidity may limit patient mobility, reduce functional independence, and impair QOL. Effective management of SREs plays an important role in the supportive care of patients with bone metastases. Bisphosphonates prevent or delay the onset and decrease the frequency of SREs, thereby reducing bone pain and improving QOL. Administering bisphosphonate therapy as an intravenous infusion is also associated with improved treatment adherence and clinical outcomes compared with oral bisphosphonate therapy in patients with malignant bone disease.<sup>50</sup>

Regular interaction between patients and a dedicated team of healthcare professionals results in effective management of bone metastases. The continuity of care that occurs during the monthly infusion visit establishes trust between the patient and the oncology nurse, leading to more open and effective communication. As a result of regular interaction, the oncology nurse is uniquely positioned to recognize and respond to subtle changes in the patient's condition. The monthly infusion visit also provides the oncology nurse with an opportunity to educate the patient about effective strategies to manage SREs and AEs and to maintain QOL. Incorporation of patient preferences into personalized treatment plans is likely to improve patient care and clinical outcomes of malignant bone disease.

# Acknowledgments

Financial support for medical editorial assistance was provided by Novartis Pharmaceuticals. I thank Ann Marie Fitzmaurice, PhD, ProEd Communications, Inc., for her medical editorial assistance with this manuscript.

# Disclosure

Advisor to Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Amgen Inc., Ferring, sanofi-aventis, and AstraZeneca.

# References

- Coleman RE. Bisphosphonates: clinical experience. *Oncologist*. 2004; 9 Suppl 4:14–27.
- Fitch M, Maxwell C, Ryan C, Lothman H, Drudge-Coates L, Costa L. Bone metastases from advanced cancers: clinical implications and treatment options. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2009;13(6):701–710.
- Lipton A. Bisphosphonate therapy in the oncology setting. *Expert Opin* Emerg Drugs. 2003;8(2):469–488.
- Coleman RE. Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2001;27(3):165–176.
- Lipton A. Treatment of bone metastases and bone pain with bisphosphonates. Support Cancer Ther. 2007;4(2):92–100.
- Aredia [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2008.
- Zometa [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2009.
- 8. Ostac [package insert]. Nutley, NJ: Hoffmann-La Roche; 2004.
- 9. Bondronat [summary of product characteristics]. Welwyn Garden City, UK: Roche Products Ltd.; 2007.
- Pavlakis N, Schmidt R, Stockler M. Bisphosphonates for breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005(3):CD003474.
- Aapro M, Abrahamsson PA, Body JJ, et al. Guidance on the use of bisphosphonates in solid tumours: recommendations of an international expert panel. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19(3):420–432.

- Kohno N, Aogi K, Minami H, et al. Zoledronic acid significantly reduces skeletal complications compared with placebo in Japanese women with bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(15):3314–3321.
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Porter L, et al. Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal complications in patients with breast cancer and lytic bone metastases. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335(24):1785–1791.
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Lipton A, et al. Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998; 16(6):2038–2044.
- Body JJ, Diel IJ, Lichinitser MR, et al. Intravenous ibandronate reduces the incidence of skeletal complications in patients with breast cancer and bone metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 2003;14(9):1399–1405.
- Body JJ, Diel IJ, Bell R, et al. Oral ibandronate improves bone pain and preserves quality of life in patients with skeletal metastases due to breast cancer. *Pain*. 2004;111(3):306–312.
- Kristensen B, Ejlertsen B, Groenvold M, Hein S, Loft H, Mouridsen HT. Oral clodronate in breast cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomized study. *J Intern Med.* 1999;246(1):67–74.
- Paterson AH, Powles TJ, Kanis JA, McCloskey E, Hanson J, Ashley S. Double-blind controlled trial of oral clodronate in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1993;11(1):59–65.
- Tubiana-Hulin M, Beuzeboc P, Mauriac L, et al. [Double-blinded controlled study comparing clodronate versus placebo in patients with breast cancer bone metastases]. *Bull Cancer*. 2001;88(7):701–707.
- Major PP, Cook RJ, Chen BL, Zheng M. Survival-adjusted multipleevent analysis for the evaluation of treatment effects of zoledronic acid in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors. *Support Cancer*. Ther. 2005;2(4):234–240.
- Coleman RE. Skeletal complications of malignancy. *Cancer*. 1997;80(8 Suppl):1588–1594.
- 22. Payne R. Pharmacologic management of bone pain in the cancer patient. *Clin J Pain*. 1989;5 Suppl 2:S43–S49; discussion follows.
- Reich CD. Advances in the treatment of bone metastases. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2003;7(6):641–646.
- Barnett ML. Hypercalcemia. Semin Oncol Nurs. 1999;15(3): 190-201.
- 25. Maxwell C. Role of the nurse in preserving patients' independence. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. 2007;11 Suppl 2:S38–S41.
- Maxwell C, Swift R, Goode M, Doane L, Rogers M. Advances in supportive care of patients with cancer and bone metastases: nursing implications of zoledronic acid. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2003;7(4): 403–408.
- Rosen LS, Gordon D, Kaminski M, et al. Zoledronic acid versus pamidronate in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with breast cancer or osteolytic lesions of multiple myeloma: a phase III, double-blind, comparative trial. *Cancer J.* 2001;7(5):377–387.
- Diel IJ, Bergner R, Grotz KA. Adverse effects of bisphosphonates: current issues. J Support Oncol. 2007;5(10):475–482.
- Berenson JR, Rosen LS, Howell A, et al. Zoledronic acid reduces skeletal-related events in patients with osteolytic metastases. *Cancer*. 2001;91(7):1191–1200.
- Hoff AO, Toth BB, Altundag K, et al. Frequency and risk factors associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer patients treated with intravenous bisphosphonates. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2008;23(6):826–836.
- McArthur HL, Estilo C, Huryn J, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) among intravenous (IV) bisphosphonate- and/or bevacizumab-treated patients (pts) at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC). [abstract] *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26 (Suppl 15): 523s. Abstract 9588.
- 32. Henry D, von Moos R, Vadhan-Raj S, et al. A double-blind, randomized study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. [abstract] *Eur J Cancer Suppl.* 2009;7(3):11. Abstract 20LBA.

- 33. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, et al. Denosumab Compared With Zoledronic Acid for the Treatment of Bone Metastases in Patients With Advanced Breast Cancer: A Randomized, Double-Blind Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010 Nov 8. [Epub ahead of print].
- 34. Coleman R, Bundred N, de Boer R, et al. Impact of zoledronic acid in postmenopausal women with early breast cancer receiving adjuvant letrozole: Z-FAST, ZO-FAST, and E-ZO-FAST. [abstract] *Cancer Res.* 2009;69 (Suppl 24):733s. Abstract 4082.
- 35. Dimopoulos MA, Kastritis E, Bamia C, et al. Reduction of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) after implementation of preventive measures in patients with multiple myeloma treated with zoledronic acid. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(1):117–120.
- 36. Ripamonti CI, Maniezzo M, Campa T, et al. Decreased occurrence of osteonecrosis of the jaw after implementation of dental preventive measures in solid tumour patients with bone metastases treated with bisphosphonates. The experience of the National Cancer Institute of Milan. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(1):137–145.
- Chow E, Bottomley A. Understanding the EORTC QLQ-BM22, the module for patients with bone metastases. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* 2009;9(5):461–465.
- Chow E, Hird A, Velikova G, et al. The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire for patients with bone metastases: the EORTC QLQ-BM22. *Eur J Cancer*. 2009;45(7):1146–1152.
- Schumacher KL, Koresawa S, West C, et al. The usefulness of a daily pain management diary for outpatients with cancer-related pain. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2002;29(9):1304–1313.
- 40. Wardley A, Davidson N, Barrett-Lee P, et al. Zoledronic acid significantly improves pain scores and quality of life in breast cancer patients with bone metastases: a randomised, crossover study of community vs hospital bisphosphonate administration. *Br J Cancer*. 2005;92(10): 1869–1876.
- Costa L, Badia X, Chow E, Lipton A, Wardley A. Impact of skeletal complications on patients' quality of life, mobility, and functional independence. *Support Care Cancer*. 2008;16(8):879–889.
- Wong R, Wiffen PJ. Bisphosphonates for the relief of pain secondary to bone metastases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002(2):CD002068.
- 43. Drudge-Coates L, Thompson PM, Muir GH. Burden of bone metastases from renal cell carcinoma: zoledronic acid and functional independence[poster]. Societe Internationale d'Urologie (SIU) World Uro-Oncology Update; 2008 Nov 19–22; Santiago, Chile. Abstract UP-18.
- Mangiapane S, Hoer A, Gothe H, Barghout V, Haeussler B. Higher persistency with i.v. bisphosphonates in patients with bone metastasis. [abstract] J Clin Oncol. 2006;24 (Suppl 18):698s. Abstract 18623.
- Rabenda V, Hiligsmann M, Reginster JY. Poor adherence to oral bisphosphonate treatment and its consequences: a review of the evidence. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2009;10(14):2303–2315.

- 46. Fallowfield L, Stebbing J, Braybrooke J, Langridge C, Jenkins V. The preferences and experiences of different bisphosphonate treatments in women with breast cancer. *Psycho-oncology*. 2010 May 24. [Epub ahead of print].
- Hatoum HT, Lin SJ, Smith MR, Barghout V, Lipton A. Zoledronic acid and skeletal complications in patients with solid tumors and bone metastases: analysis of a national medical claims database. *Cancer*. 2008;113(6):1438–1445.
- Fitch MI, Maxwell C. Bisphosphonate therapy for metastatic bone disease: the pivotal role of nurses in patient education. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2008;35(4):709–713.
- Coleman RE, Winter MC, Cameron D, et al. The effects of adding zoledronic acid to neoadjuvant chemotherapy on tumour response: exploratory evidence for direct anti-tumour activity in breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2010;102(7):1099–1105.
- Morgan G, Davies F, Gregory W, et al. Evaluating the effects of zoledronic acid (ZOL) on overall survival (OS) in patients (Pts) with multiple myeloma (MM): Results of the Medical Research Council (MRC) Myeloma IX study. [abstract] *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;29 (Suppl 15): 578s. Abstract 8021.
- Zaghloul MS, Boutrus R, El-Hossieny H, Kader YA, El-Attar I, Nazmy M. A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of zoledronic acid in bony metastatic bladder cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2010;15(4):382–389.
- Zarogoulidis K, Boutsikou E, Zarogoulidis P, et al. The impact of zoledronic acid therapy in survival of lung cancer patients with bone metastasis. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;125(7):1705–1709.
- 53. Body J-J, Cook R, Costa L, et al. Possible survival benefits from zoledronic acid treatment in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors and poor prognostic features. [poster] The IX International Meeting on Cancer Induced Bone Disease; 2009 Oct 28–31; Arlington, VA. Poster 71.
- 54. Costa L, Cook R, Body J-J, et al. Zoledronic acid treatment delays disease progression and improves survival in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors and elevated levels of bone resorption. [poster] The IX International Meeting on Cancer Induced Bone Disease; 2009 Oct 28–31; Arlington, VA. Poster 50.
- Gnant M, Mlineritsch B, Schippinger W, et al. Endocrine therapy plus zoledronic acid in premenopausal breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 360(7):679–691.
- Rennert G, Pinchev M, Rennert HS. Use of bisphosphonates and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(22): 3577–3581.
- Newcomb PA, Trentham-Dietz A, Hampton JM. Bisphosphonates for osteoporosis treatment are associated with reduced breast cancer risk. *Br J Cancer*. 2010;102(5):799–802.
- Chlebowski RT, Chen Z, Cauley JA, et al. Oral bisphosphonate use and breast cancer incidence in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(22):3582–3590.

#### Patient Preference and Adherence

#### Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal

#### **Dove**press

optimize clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.