Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

895

A University Students' Response to an Article on the Psychological Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic Among University Students in Bench-Sheko Zone [Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Psychology Research and Behavior Management

Saba-Ikhlas Malik Muna Ahmed Nour Khattab

Faculty of Medicine, St George's University of London, London, UK

Dear editor

As university students, we read with great interest the article by Aylie et al about the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on university student mental health. The article highlighted that there has been an increase in depression, anxiety and stress levels and the need for governmental organisations to integrate psychological support into upcoming initiatives.¹

The study is very useful for understanding the impact of the pandemic. We would like to comment on areas of further consideration for this research. Stress was defined by the researchers as per the DASS-S criteria. Compared to the results from the DASS-D and DASS-A, this criterion has been found to be ineffective in other studies including amongst Vietnamese adolescents.² Furthermore, stress is a highly subjective experience that can be defined according to multiple parameters which the DASS-S alone may not account for. A study looking at the wellbeing of university students in the UK assessed similar parameters using five questionnaires which allowed for greater validity to their analysis.³

Additionally, predominant variation in the outcome at different timepoints could have occurred because of the cross-sectional data used. Therefore, a longitudinal study would be a more effective measure. This is highlighted by research from the Mental Health foundation in the UK which demonstrated that the level of poor mental wellbeing was greater during the height of the pandemic (62% of the population had felt anxious or worried in the past two weeks), compared to a few months later at 49%.⁴

Finally, although the author indicates that individuals with 'severe mental illness' were excluded, it would be useful to know the criteria for placing a person in to this category. Overall, providing greater insight into the mental health background of the participants would have been valuable to gain an accurate picture of the mental health effect of Covid-19.

Correspondence: Saba-Ikhlas Malik Faculty of Medicine, St George's University of London, London, UK Email m1400090@sgul.ac.uk



Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest for this communication.

Psychology Research and Behavior Management 2020:13 895-896

© 2020 Malik et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission for commercial (and Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial uses of this work, laese see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

References

- Aylie NS, Mekonen MA, Mekuria RM. The psychological impacts of COVID-19 pandemic among university students in Bench-Sheko Zone, South-west Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* 2020;13:813–821. doi:10.2147/PRBM. S275593
- 2. Le MTH, Tran TD, Holton S, Nguyen HT, Wolfe R, Fisher J. Reliability, convergent validity and factor structure of the DASS-21 in a sample of Vietnamese adolescents. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:7.
- Denovan A, Macaskill A. Stress and subjective well-being among first year UK undergraduate students. J Happiness Stud. 2016;18 (2):505–525.
- Mental Health Foundation. 2020. Coronavirus: the divergence of mental health experiences during the pandemic. Available from: https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/coronavirus/divergence-mentalhealth-experiences-during-pandemic. Accessed October 21, 2020.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Psychology Research and Behavior Management 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Psychology Research and Behavior Management editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Psychology Research and Behavior Management

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Psychology Research and Behavior Management is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the science of psychology and its application in behavior management to develop improved outcomes in the clinical, educational, sports and business arenas. Specific topics covered in the journal include: Neuroscience, memory and decision making; Behavior modification and management; Clinical applications; Business and sports performance management; Social and developmental studies; Animal studies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/psychology-research-and-behavior-management-journal