ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Regulatory Mechanism and Biological Significance of Mitochondrial Calcium Uniporter in the Migration, Invasion, Angiogenesis and Growth of Gastric Cancer

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: OncoTargets and Therapy

Objective: Increasing evidences suggest that mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU), a selective channel responsible for mitochondrial Ca^{2+} uptake, is involved in the progression of several cancers. In this study, we aimed to observe the clinical implications and biological functions of MCU in gastric cancer.

Methods: The expression of MCU in 90 pairs of gastric cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues was examined using immunohistochemistry and correlation between MCU expression and clinical features was analyzed. After construction of stable MCU knockdown or over-expression gastric cancer cells, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), wound healing and transwell assays were performed to examine MMP levels, migration and invasion. Subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or controls were constructed. Immunofluorescence was used to detect CD34 expression. Western blot was used to detect the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (HIF-1 α), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-related proteins.

Results: MCU had a higher expression in gastric cancer tissues than normal tissues. Compared to gastric cancer tissues, its expression was significantly higher after omental metastasis. MCU expression was significantly correlated with depth of invasion (p=0.048), lymph metastasis (p=0.027), TNM stage (p=0.036) and distant metastasis (p=0.029). Patients with high MCU expression indicated a worse prognosis than those with its low expression (p=0.0098). MCU significantly increased the MMP levels of gastric cancer cells. Wound healing and transwell assay results showed that MCU promoted migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells. In vivo, MCU knockdown significantly inhibited tumor growth and angiogenesis. Both in vitro and in vivo, silencing MCU suppressed the expression of HIF-1 α and VEGF as well as activity of EMT processes.

Conclusion: Our findings suggested that highly expressed MCU could promote migration, invasion, angiogenesis and growth of gastric cancer, which could become a potential therapeutic marker for gastric cancer.

Keywords: gastric cancer, mitochondrial calcium uniporter, invasion, angiogenesis, epithelial-mesenchymal transition

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.¹ Despite much progress in surgery,

OncoTargets and Therapy 2020:13 11781-11794

||78|

Guang Cheng^{2,*} Jingwen Zhang³ Liru Dong¹ Jie Bai¹ Dan Luo¹ Yanjie Xiong¹ Shuang Li¹ Fang Liu¹ Yuanyuan Sun¹ Xin Wang¹ Yuyang Li¹ Yunning Huang ⁶ ⁴ ¹Department of Pathology, North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital, Tangshan 063000, He

Xiaofei Wang^{1,*}

Xudong Song^{1,*}

University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital, Tangshan 063000, Hebei, People's Republic of China; ²Central Laboratory of Clinical Medical College, North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital, Tangshan 063000, Hebei, People's Republic of China; ³School of Basic Medical Science, NHC Key Laboratory of Metabolic Cardiovascular Diseases Research, NingXia Medical University, Yinchuan 750004, NingXia, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, Yinchuan 750001, Ningxia, People's Republic of China;

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Yunning Huang Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, Yinchuan 750001, Ningxia, People's Republic of China Email nxhyncc@126.com



© 2020 Wang et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. by and incorporate the Greative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission for Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). immunotherapy, targeted therapy and adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of gastric cancer, the 5-year overall survival rate of gastric cancer is still unsatisfactory.² More than 80% of patients are diagnosed as advanced and the main cause of deaths of gastric cancer patients is distant metastasis that relies on tumor angiogenesis.² Dissection of the molecular mechanism regulating gastric cancer metastasis can promote the development of clinical treatment. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a key cellular process involved in cancer metastasis and progression.³ During EMT, tumor cells lose epithelial (E)cadherin expression and cell adhesion, and can invade and metastasize.⁴ Therefore, it is of importance to further understand the molecular mechanism of gastric cancer.

MCU is a selective channel responsible for mitochondrial Ca²⁺ uptake.⁵⁻⁷ It has been reported that MCU is involved in the development of several cancers. For example, MCU expression is associated with tumor size and lymph node infiltration of triple negative breast cancer (TNBC).⁸ In addition, MCU significantly promotes the proliferation and invasiveness of TNBC cells and lung metastasis. MCU silencing reduces the proliferation of glioma cells.9 Abnormally expressed MCU is associated with poor prognosis of colon cancer patients.¹⁰ MCU knockdown can promote the migration and metastasis of colon cancer cells and reduce the mitochondrial membrane potential. MCU is dysregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma cells and is closely related to the metastasis and poor prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.¹¹ Up-regulation of MCU obviously enhances the invasion and migration ability of hepatocellular carcinoma cells and distant metastasis. MCU has been identified as a prognostic biomarker for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.¹² The mechanisms by which MCU plays a role in these tumors have been widely studied. For instance, knockdown of MCU down-regulates the activity of mROS and HIF-1a signaling pathways in TNBC cells.⁸ Furthermore, MCU suppresses the NAD +/SIRT3/SOD2 pathway, thereby inducing ROS production as well as hepatocellular carcinoma metastases.¹¹ MCU regulated by HINT2 promotes the effect of gemcitabine on apoptosis of pancreatic cancer cells.¹³ Thus, MCU participates in tumor progression through multiple channels. However, the expression, prognostic value and potential function of MCU in gastric cancer progression remain to be elaborated.

In this study, we identified a novel prognostic biomarker MCU for gastric cancer. MCU was highly expressed in gastric cancer and could promote migration, invasion, angiogenesis and growth of gastric cancer. Our findings suggested that MCU could become a potential therapeutic target for gastric cancer.

Materials and Methods Human Tissue Specimens

A total of 90 gastric cancer patients were enrolled between 2014 and 2016. All patients did not receive any systemic or local chemotherapy before surgery. During surgery, 90 pairs of gastric cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected and immediately stored at -80 °C. At least two pathologists independently confirmed the diagnosis of gastric cancer. Our study was in strictly line with the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital (2,014,032).

Immunohistochemistry

Fresh tissue samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, and then dehydrated with different concentrations of alcohol. The tissue samples were transparent in a 1:1 mixture of 100% alcohol and xylene for 30 min, and xylene for 30 min. The samples were then placed in paraffin wax for embedding and cut to a thickness of 4 µm. The sections were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 20 min to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity. Then, the sections were blocked with 2% BSA at 37 °C for 2 h. The sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies (including anti-MCU (1:100; sc-515,930; Santa Cruz, USA), anti-HIF-1a (1:100; 20,960-1-AP; Proteintech, China), anti-VEGF (1:100; ab52917; Abcam, USA), MMP-2 (1:100; 10,373-2-AP; Proteintech, China), anti-Vimentin (1:150; ab92547; Abcam, USA)), followed by secondary antibody for 1 h at 37 °C. The sections were stained with DAB for 5 min and hematoxylin for 2 min. After dehydration and transparency, neutral gum was added dropwise to the tissue sections, gently covered with a coverslip and air-dried. Pathological sections were analyzed with ImageScope software. Immunohistochemical scoring standards were as follows: Firstly, according to the staining intensity, 0 point was negative, 1 point was weak positive, 2 points were medium positive, and 3 points were strong positive. Secondly, according to the percentage of positive staining, 0-10% was 1 point, 11% -50% was 2 points, 51% -80% was 3 points, and >80% was 4 points. Then, immunohistochemical scores were calculated by the staining intensity × the percentage of positive staining.

Immunofluorescence

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were incubated with primary antibodies labeled with fluorescent substance including anti-MCU (1:100; sc-515,930; Santa Cruz, USA) and anti-CD34 (1:100; ab81289; Abcam, USA) overnight at 4 °C. The sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor[®] 488 Conjugate (ZSGB-BIO, #ZF-0512, 1:100, China) and Alexa Fluor[®] 594 Conjugate (ZSGB-BIO, #ZF-0513, 1:100, China) secondary antibodies at room temperature for 2 h. The results were investigated under an immunofluorescence microscope.

Western Blot

Tissues or cells were lysed using 100 µL RIPA lysate supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail on the ice for 30 min, which were then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was harvested and stored at -80 °C. BCA method was used to measure the concentration of total protein. Protein samples were transferred onto the PVDF membrane (Millipore, USA) and blocked by 5% skimmed milk powder for 2 h. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies including anti-E-cadherin (1:1000; ab76055; Abcam, USA), anti-Vimentin (1:2000; ab92547; Abcam, USA), anti-N-cadherin (1:1000; ab18203; Abcam, USA), anti-HIF-1 α (1:1000; 20,960-1-AP; Proteintech, China), anti-MCU (1:400; sc-515,930; Santa Cruz, USA), anti-VEGF (1:1000; ab52917; Abcam, USA), anti-TGF-B (1:1000; ab92486; Abcam, USA), anti-ITGB1 (1:1000; 12,594-1-AP; Proteintech, China), anti-MMP-2 (1:100; 10,373-2-AP; Proteintech, China) and anti-β-actin (1:1000; 20,536-1-AP; Proteintech, China) for 4 °C overnight. After that, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase IgG antibodies for 30 min at room temperature. Protein blots were visualized using Chemiluminescence kit (ECL).

Cell Culture

Two gastric cancer cell lines including HGC-27 and SNU-1 that were purchased from Shanghai Zhong Qiao Xin Zhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) were cultured in Gibco DMEM medium (SH30084.03, Hyclone, USA) plus 10% fetal bovine serum (11,885,084, Gibco, USA). All cells were grown at a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Transfection

According to manufacturer's instructions, MCU-siRNA (sense: 5'-CAUAAAGGAGCCAAAAAGUCA-3', antisense: 5'-ACUUUUUGGCUCCUUUAUGGA-3') and its control lentiviral particles were transfected into HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells. HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells were treated with different concentrations of MCU agonist Spermine (0, 12.5 μ M, 25 μ M, 50 μ M, 100 μ M, 200 μ M, 500 μ M); (18,041, Cayman, USA). Green fluorescent protein (GFP)-PURO-MCU and its control were detected using Western blot and immunofluorescence.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8)

HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells were seeded into 96-well plates and treated with different concentrations of Spermine (0μ M; 12.5 μ M; 25 μ M; 50 μ M; 100 μ M; 200 μ M; 500 μ M) for 48h. The cell viability was detected with CCK-8 (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). The 450 nm of absorbance was tested using a multiscan spectrum.

Flow Cytometry Assay

HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells (5×10^5 cells/well) were seeded into a 6-well plate and incubated with different concentrations of Spermine (0μ M; 25μ M; 50μ M; 100μ M; 200μ M; 500μ M) for 48 h. 400μ L Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) binding buffer (BestBio, Shanghai, China) was used to mark the re-suspended cells, followed by digestion with 0.25% trypsin. After centrifugation at $1000 \times$ g at room temperature for 5 min, the cells were incubated with 5 μ L Annexin V-FITC for 20 min and $10 \ \mu$ L propidium iodide for 5 min at 4°C. Finally, the stained cells were analyzed using flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Mitochondrial Membrane Potential (MMP)

Transfected HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells were seeded into 6-well plates supplemented with serum and phenol red, followed by treatment with test compounds for 24h. Then, the cells were stained with JC-1 dye (M8650, Solarbio, China). Under a fluorescence microscope (IX71; OLYMPUS, Japan), the results were observed and quantified using Image-Pro Plus software (IPP 6.0, USA). The mitochondrial uncoupler carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) was used as a positive control.

Transwell Assay

Transwell chambers (3412; Corning, USA) were placed in a 24-well plate. Matrigel and serum-free medium were mixed well on ice at a ratio of 1:20. Then, the mixture was added vertically to the cells in a 37 °C incubator for 30 min. The cells were seeded into the upper chamber. Six hundred microliter 1640 medium plus 10% fetal bovine serum were added to the lower chamber. After 48 h, the transwell chambers were harvested, fixed with methanol for 40 min and stained using 0.5% crystal violet for 20 min. The results were observed under an optical microscope (IX71; OLYMPUS, Japan), and 5 fields were randomly photographed and counted (400 ×).

Wound Healing Assay

After 48 h treatment with MCU siRNA, Spermine or corresponding controls, HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells were seeded in 6-well plates. A 200 μ L sterile plastic pipette tip was used to lightly line. After rinsing the cells with PBS for 3 times, 2 mL of medium containing 10% FBS was added to each well. After 72 h, the results were observed and taken photos.

Xenograft Model

Balb/c female nude mice (5 weeks old, weight 16–20g) were purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China, <u>https://www.vitalriver.com/</u>). All nude mice were randomly divided into two groups including control group and MCU siRNA group (4/each group). HGC-27 or SNU-1 cells $(2 \times 10^6$ cells) stably transfected with MCU siRNA or control were subcutaneously injected into the left flank of the mice. The tumor volumes and survival time of each mouse were recorded. All animal experiments were performed in line with NIH Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Our study was approved by the Ethics Committee of North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital (2,014,032).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism 8.0 software (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and SPSS. The experiments were repeated at least three times. All data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between two groups were performed using student' *t*-test. Multiple comparisons were performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Correlation between MCU expression and gastric cancer clinical features was analyzed using Chi-Square test. Pearson correlation between MCU expression and other proteins (HIF-1 α , VEGF and E-cadherin) was analyzed in gastric cancer. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Correlation Between MCU Expression and Clinical Characteristics and Prognosis of Gastric Cancer

Using the GEPIA database (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/), 408 gastric cancer tissues and 211 normal tissues were retrieved in this study. As shown in Figure 1A, MCU expression was significantly higher in gastric cancer tissues than normal tissues. In our cohort of 90 gastric cancer patients, they were divided into high- and low-expression groups according to the median value of MCU. We found that patients with high MCU expression indicated a poorer prognosis than those with low MCU expression (p=0.0098; Figure 1B). We collected 90 gastric cancer tissue sections and MCU expression was detected using immunohistochemistry. Compared to adjacent normal tissues, MCU was significantly highly expressed in gastric cancer tissues (Figure 1C and D). Intriguingly, the expression of MCU was significantly higher in omental metastasis tissues than gastric cancer tissues (Figure 1C and D). Immunofluorescence results showed that red fluorescent marked MCU was mainly expressed in cell membrane and cytoplasm (Figure 1E). Consistent with the immunohistochemistry results, high MCU expression was found in gastric cancer tissues (Figure 1F). As shown in Table 1, 64 of 90 gastric cancer tissue sections had high MCU expression. We also analyzed the correlation between MCU expression and clinical features. MCU expression was significantly correlated with depth of invasion (p=0.013), lymph metastasis (p=0.002), TNM stage (p=0.001) and distant metastasis (p=0.002). However, there was no correlation between MCU expression and gender (p=0.835) and age (p=0.905).

Abnormal Expression of MCU, HIF-1α, VEGF and E-Cadherin Proteins in Gastric Cancer

As previous studies, MCU expression is associated with HIF-1 α signaling pathway in TNBC⁸ and colon cancer.¹⁰ Thus, in this study, we detected the expression of MCU,



Figure I MCU is significantly highly expressed in gastric cancer and associated with clinical characteristics and prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. (A) Comparison of MCU expression between gastric cancer tissues and normal tissues. (B) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of correlation between MCU expression and gastric cancer prognosis in a cohort of 90 gastric cancer patients. Red represents high expression and blue represents low expression. (C) According to immunohistochemistry results, MCU had the higher expression levels in gastric cancer tissues or omental metastasis than adjacent normal tissues. (D) Representative images of immunohistochemistry results of MCU expression in gastric cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues. (E) Representative images of immunohistochemistry results of MCU expression in gastric cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues. (F) High MCU expression was detected in gastric cancer tissues. Red fluorescence expresses MCU expression. *p<0.05; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.001.

HIF-1 α , VEGF and E-cadherin in gastric cancer. Twentyfour pairs of gastric cancer tissues and corresponding adjacent normal tissues were randomly selected for Western blot. Consistent with our immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence results, MCU expression was significantly higher in gastric cancer tissues than adjacent normal tissues (Figure 2A and B). As expected, the expression levels of HIF-1 α and VEGF proteins were both significantly higher in gastric cancer tissues than adjacent normal tissues (Figure 2C and D). Moreover, lower E-cadherin expression was detected in gastric cancer tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues (Figure 2E). Our correlation analysis results showed that MCU was positively correlated to HIF-1 α (Figure 2F) and VEGF (Figure 2G), and negatively correlated to E-cadherin (Figure 2H) in gastric cancer.

Clinical	Total	мси		χ²	Р
Parameters	(n=90)	Positive	Negative		
Gender					
Male	50	36	14	0.043	0.835
Female	40	28	12		
Age					
<60	58	41	17	0.014	0.905
≥60	32	23	9		
Depth of					
invasion					
TI/T2	25	13	12	6.154	0.013*
T3/T4	65	51	14		
Lymph					
metastasis					
N0	26	12	14	9.382	0.002**
N1/N2/N3	64	52	14		
TNM stage					
I–II	28	13	15	12.054	0.001***
III–IV	62	51	П		
Distant					
metastasis					
Absent	33	17	16	9.740	0.002**
Present	57	47	10		

Table I Correlation Between MCU Expression and ClinicalCharacteristics of Patients with Gastric Cancer

Notes: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Abbreviation: TNM, tumor, node, metastasis.

MCU Promotes Mitochondrial Membrane Potential, Migration and Invasion of Gastric Cancer Cells

To observe the role of MCU in gastric cancer, we firstly constructed stably gastric cells silencing or overexpressing MCU. As shown in Figure 3A, GFP-PURO-MCU was remarkedly inhibited after HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells transfected with MCU siRNA. Western blot analysis was then performed. As expected, both in HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells, MCU expression was significantly silenced after transfection of MCU siRNA (Figure 3B and C). Different concentrations of MCU agonist Spermine (0, 12.5µM, 25µM, 50µM, 100µM, 200µM, 500µM) were used to activate MCU expression in two gastric cancer cells. The CCK-8 assay results showed that Spermine significantly inhibited the cell viability of SNU-1 (Figure 3D) and HGC-27 cells (Figure 3E), with a concentration manner. However, there was no significant effect of Spermine on gastric cancer cell apoptosis, as shown in flow cytometry (Figure 3F). According to the CCK-8 assay results, 50µM MCU agonist **Dove**press

Spermine was used for MCU overexpression in this study. Our immunofluorescence assay results confirmed that the expression of MCU was significantly activated by Spermine and was distinctly silenced by MCU siRNAs both in HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells (Figure 3G and H).

The MMP assay results showed that MCU overexpression induced by Spermine significantly elevated the MMP levels in HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells (Figure 4A and B). Conversely, MCU knockdown remarkedly suppressed the MMP levels in gastric cancer cells (Figure 4A and B). As shown in the transwell results, the invasion ability of gastric cancer cells was significantly promoted after treatment with Spermine, however, it was significantly inhibited after transfection with MCU siRNA (Figure 4C and D). Furthermore, wound healing assays were also carried out. We found that the wound distance was remarkedly decreased after 72 h both in HGC-27 and SNU-1 cells treated with Spermine (Figure 4E and F). In converse, silencing MCU significantly increased the wound distance in gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA (Figure 4E and F).

MCU Regulates HIF-1 α , E-Cadherin and Vimentin in Gastric Cancer Cells

The Western blot results showed that MCU overexpression significantly promoted HIF-1 α expression, however, its knockdown significantly inhibited HIF-1 α expression both in SNU-1 and HGC-27 cells (Figure 5A–D). Furthermore, we found that Vimentin expression was remarkedly elevated after MCU overexpression, which was remarkedly decreased after MCU knockdown in SNU-1 and HGC-27 cells (Figure 5A–D). Also, the results showed that the expression of E-cadherin had a decreased level in gastric cancer cells treated with MCU overexpression, and had an increased level in gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA (Figure 5A–D).

Silencing MCU Inhibits Gastric Cancer Progression and Microvessel Density in vivo

To further confirm the in vitro findings, we investigated the biological functions of MCU in vivo. Similarly, stable transfection of MCU caused a distinct decrease in the growth of subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells in nude mice (Figure 6A and B). The immunofluorescence assay results showed that the expression levels of MCU and CD34 were both significantly decreased in



Figure 2 Western blot showing the abnormal expression of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF and E-cadherin proteins in gastric cancer tissues. (A) Representative images of Western blots of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF and E-cadherin proteins in eight pairs of gastric cancer tissues and adjacent normal tissues. MCU (B), HIF-1 α (C) and VEGF (D) proteins were significantly highly expressed in gastric cancer tissues. (E) E-cadherin protein was significantly lowly expressed in gastric cancer tissues. Correlation analysis between MCU and (F) HIF-1 α (r=0.7709; p<0.0001), (G) VEGF (r=0.5833; p<0.0001) and (H) E-cadherin (r=-0.7860; p<0.0001) in gastric cancer. ****p<0.0001.

subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs (Figure 6C and D). CD34 has been considered as a marker of microvessel density in the tumor stroma. Thus, silencing MCU could inhibit the formation of microvessel density in gastric cancer.

Silencing MCU Suppresses HIF-1 α , VEGF and EMT Pathways in vivo

Our Western blot results showed that the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF, TGF- β , ITGB-1, MMP-2, N-cadherin

and Vimentin were all significantly decreased in subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA (Figure 7A and B). Conversely, the expression of E-cadherin had a distinct increase in subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA (Figure 7A and B). Consistent with the Western blot results, our immunohistochemistry results confirmed that the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF, MMP-2 and Vimentin proteins had a significant decrease within subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA (Figure 7C and D).



Figure 3 Construction of stable MCU knockdown or overexpression gastric cancer cells. (A) Representative immunofluorescence images of stable MCU knockdown SNU-I and HGC-27 cells. (B, C) Western blot analysis results showed that MCU was successfully silenced in SNU-I and HGC-27 cells transfected with MCU siRNAs. (D, E) CCK-8 assay detected the cell viability of SNU-I and HGC-27 cells treated with different concentrations of MCU agonist Spermine. (F) Flow cytometry results showing the effects of different concentrations of MCU agonist Spermine on gastric cancer cell apoptosis. (G, H) Immunofluorescence assay results showing the expression levels of MCU in SNU-I and HGC-27 cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or Spermine. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ****p<0.001;



Figure 4 MCU promotes mitochondrial membrane potential, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells. (**A**, **B**) Mitochondrial membrane potential assay results showing the effects of MCU overexpression or knockdown on mitochondrial membrane potential of gastric cancer cells. (**C**, **D**) Transwell assay results showed the effects of MCU overexpression or knockdown on gastric cancer cell invasion. (**E**, **F**) Wound healing assay results showing the effects of MCU overexpression or knockdown on gastric cancer cell invasion. (**E**, **F**) Wound healing assay results showing the effects of MCU overexpression or knockdown on gastric cancer cell invasion. (**E**, **F**) Wound healing assay results showing the effects of MCU overexpression or knockdown on gastric cancer cell migration. *p<0.05; **p<0.001; ***p<0.001; ***p<0.001.

Discussion

In this study, we found that MCU expression was increased in gastric cancer. Silencing MCU inhibited the migration, invasion, angiogenesis and growth of gastric cancer. Mechanistically, MCU could activate HIF-1 α , VEGF and EMT pathways. Our findings highlighted the carcinogenicity of MCU in gastric cancer, which can regulate tumor growth and invasiveness, and could become a promising therapeutic target for gastric cancer.

Previous studies have found that MCU is highly expressed in TNBC,⁸ colon cancer,¹⁰ glioma,⁹ hepatocellular carcinoma¹¹ and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.¹² In this study, high MCU expression was found in gastric cancer tissues. Furthermore, its low expression indicated a poor prognosis for patients with gastric cancer. Local infiltration

is the most important process before gastric cancer metastasis. Furthermore, lymph node metastasis also plays a key role in gastric cancer metastasis. Unfortunately, in the early stage of gastric cancer, the rate of lymph node metastasis may exceed 20%.¹⁴ We found that MCU expression was significantly correlated with depth of invasion, lymph metastasis, TNM stage and distant metastasis, indicating that MCU expression could be associated with prognosis of gastric cancer. Intriguingly, our results indicated that MCU expression was significantly higher in omental metastasis than gastric cancer tissues, indicating that MCU expression might contribute to gastric cancer metastasis. Combining previous studies, MCU has been confirmed to promote several cancer metastasis, such as colon cancer¹⁰ and hepatocellular carcinoma.¹¹ We found that MCU knockdown



Figure 5 MCU regulates HIF-1α, E-cadherin and Vimentin in gastric cancer cells. (**A**, **B**) Western blot showing the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1α, E-cadherin and Vimentin in SNU-1 cells treated with MCU agonist Spermine or MCU siRNA. (**C**, **D**) Western blot showing the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1α, E-cadherin and Vimentin in HGC-27 cells treated with MCU agonist Spermine or MCU siRNA. *****p<0.0001.

significantly induced the loss of MMP, and its overexpression remarkedly enhanced the levels of MMP in SNU-1 and HGC-27 gastric cancer cells, indicating that MCU may promote the proliferation of gastric cancer cells by enhancing MMP levels. Also, our findings revealed that MCU significantly promoted the migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells.

In gastric cancer tissues, there was an increase in expression of HIF-1 α and Vimentin and loss of E-cadherin. MCU overexpression significantly promoted the expression of HIF-1 α and Vimentin, and its knockdown significantly suppressed the expression of E-cadherin in two gastric cancer cells. Hypoxia is a characteristic of tumor microenvironments.^{15–17} In response to hypoxia, HIF-1 α is stabilized. MCU silencing can down-regulate the expression of HIF-1 α , thereby attenuating the transcription of HIF-1 α target genes related to the progression of TNBC.⁸ HIF-1 α can induce the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells by promoting the expression of VEGF.¹⁸ Our results showed that silencing MCU could inhibit the expression of VEGF in subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells in nude mice, indicating that MCU might regulate the expression of VEGF via HIF-1 α .

EMT is a process by which cells change from a proliferative epithelial phenotype to a migratory and invasive mesenchymal phenotype.¹⁹ During the progress of EMT, gastric cancer cells lost their polarity and adhesion ability, thereby weakening the connection between cells.^{20–22} Vimentin has been shown to play an important role in the invasion and metastasis of gastric cancer.²³



Figure 6 Silencing MCU inhibits gastric cancer progression and microvessel density in vivo. (A, B) Representative images of subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or its control (4/each group). (C, D) Representative images and quantification of immunofluorescence assay results showing the expression levels of MCU and CD34 within subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or its control.

Vimentin promotes gastric cancer progression by inhibiting E-cadherin. Our results indicated that MCU could promote migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells by regulating EMT process in vitro and in vivo. Increasing evidences confirm that TGF- β is a key growth factor driving EMT in various tumors, including gastric cancer.²



Figure 7 Silencing MCU suppresses HIF-1 α , VEGF and EMT pathways in vivo. (**A**, **B**) Representative images and quantification of Western blot results showing the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF, TGF- β , ITGB-1, MMP-2, E-cadherin, N-cadherin and Vimentin within subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or its control. (**C**, **D**) Representative images and quantification of immunohistochemistry results showing the expression levels of MCU, HIF-1 α , VEGF, MMP-2 and Vimentin within subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNAs or its control. (*******p<0.0001.

Inhibition of TGF- β -induced gastric cancer cells is a promising strategy for treating gastric cancer.^{24–26} Our findings suggested that MCU could inhibit the expression of TGF- β , thereby relieving the EMT process of gastric cancer. We further observed the biological functions of MCU in vivo. As expected, silencing MCU distinctly suppressed the growth of subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells in nude mice. Angiogenesis is the process of new capillary formation, which plays a central role in tumor growth and metastasis of gastric cancer.^{27,28} We found that CD34 was significantly decreased in xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells transfected with MCU siRNA according to our immunohistochemistry, suggesting that MCU knockdown could suppress angiogenesis, thereby blockage of gastric cancer invasion and metastasis.

Extracellular matrix (ECM) plays an important role in the process of invasion and metastasis of gastric cancer.^{29,30} Therefore, the disruption about the dynamic balance of ECM may be closely related to tumor invasion and metastasis. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are zinc and calcium dependent proteases, which may degrade ECM components, promote angiogenesis and regulate cell adhesion.³¹ Our Western blot and immunohistochemistry results showed that MCU knockdown significantly decreased the expression of MMP-2 protein in subcutaneous xenograft tumors induced by gastric cancer cells, confirming that MCU could be involved in the development of gastric cancer.

In this study, we analyzed the abnormal expression and biological functions of MCU in gastric cancer. Our results suggested that MCU could promote migration, invasion, angiogenesis and growth of gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Thus, targeting MCU could be a promising treatment strategy for gastric cancer. In-depth molecular mechanisms of MCU in gastric cancer progression require to be clarified in further studies.

Conclusion

Our research showed the carcinogenic effects of MCU on gastric cancer and silencing MCU can inhibit the migration, invasion, angiogenesis and growth of gastric cancer, which provided a new target for the treatment of gastric cancer.

Abbreviations

MCU, mitochondrial calcium uniporter; TNBC, triple negative breast cancer; MMP, mitochondrial membrane potential; HIF-1 α , hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α ; E-cadherin, epithelialcadherin; CCK-8, Cell counting kit-8; MMP, mitochondrial membrane potential; ECM, extracellular matrix; MMPs, metalloproteinases.

Data Sharing Statement

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital (2,014,032).

Consent for Publication

All participants provided written informed consents.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This work was funded by Project of Hebei Medical Science Research Project (20191127); Project of training excellent clinical medical talents and basic research project funded by the government (361036); Key R & D plan of the autonomous region in 2019 (2019BEG03007).

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019;69(1):7–34. doi:10.3322/caac.21551
- He Z, Dong W, Li Q, Qin C, Li Y. Sauchinone prevents TGF-betainduced EMT and metastasis in gastric cancer cells. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;101:355–361. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.02.121
- Zhang D, Zhou S, Liu B. Identification and validation of an individualized EMT-related prognostic risk score formula in gastric adenocarcinoma patients. *Biomed Res Int.* 2020;2020:7082408.
- 4. Song Y, Ye M, Zhou J, Wang Z, Zhu X. Targeting E-cadherin expression with small molecules for digestive cancer treatment. *Am J Transl Res.* 2019;11(7):3932–3944.
- Baradaran R, Wang C, Siliciano AF, Long SB. Cryo-EM structures of fungal and metazoan mitochondrial calcium uniporters. *Nature*. 2018;559(7715):580–584. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0331-8
- Fan C, Fan M, Orlando BJ, et al. X-ray and cryo-EM structures of the mitochondrial calcium uniporter. *Nature*. 2018;559(7715):575–579. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0330-9
- 7. Oxenoid K, Dong Y, Cao C, et al. Architecture of the mitochondrial calcium uniporter. *Nature*. 2016;533(7602):269–273.
- Tosatto A, Sommaggio R, Kummerow C, et al. The mitochondrial calcium uniporter regulates breast cancer progression via HIF-1alpha. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2016;8(5):569–585. doi:10.15252/emmm.201606255

- Li X, Spelat R, Bartolini A, et al. Mechanisms of malignancy in glioblastoma cells are linked to mitochondrial Ca2+uniporter upregulation and higher intracellular Ca2+levels. *J Cell Sci.* 2020;133(6): jcs237503. doi:10.1242/jcs.237503
- Sun Y, Li M, Liu G, et al. The function of Piezo1 in colon cancer metastasis and its potential regulatory mechanism. *J Cancer Res Clin* Oncol. 2020;146(5):1139–1152. doi:10.1007/s00432-020-03179-w
- Ren T, Zhang H, Wang J, et al. MCU-dependent mitochondrial Ca2+ inhibits NAD+/SIRT3/SOD2 pathway to promote ROS production and metastasis of HCC cells. *Oncogene*. 2017;36(42):5897–5909. doi:10.1038/onc.2017.167
- Giulietti M, Occhipinti G, Principato G, Piva F. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis reveals key genes involved in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma development. *Cell Oncol.* 2016;39 (4):379–388. doi:10.1007/s13402-016-0283-7
- Chen L, Sun Q, Zhou D, et al. HINT2 triggers mitochondrial Ca2+ influx by regulating the mitochondrial Ca2+ uniporter (MCU) complex and enhances gemcitabine apoptotic effect in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2017;411:106–116. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2017.09.020
- Shi Y, Sun H. Down-regulation of lncRNA LINC00152 suppresses gastric cancer cell migration and invasion through inhibition of the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway. Onco Targets Ther. 2020;13:2115–2124. doi:10.2147/OTT.S217452
- He C, Wang L, Zhang J, Xu H. Hypoxia-inducible microRNA-224 promotes the cell growth, migration and invasion by directly targeting RASSF8 in gastric cancer. *Mol Cancer*. 2017;16(1):35. doi:10.1186/s12943-017-0603-1
- 16. Li Q, Tang H, Hu F, Qin C. Knockdown of A-kinase anchor protein 4 inhibits hypoxia-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition via suppression of the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway in human gastric cancer cells. *J Cell Biochem*. 2018;119(12):10013–10020. doi:10.1002/jcb.27331
- 17. Zhou D, Huang L, Zhou Y, Wei T, Yang L, Li C. RON and RONDelta160 promote gastric cancer cell proliferation, migration, and adaption to hypoxia via interaction with beta-catenin. *Aging* (*Albany NY*). 2019;11(9):2735–2748. doi:10.18632/aging.101945
- Zhang J, Xu J, Dong Y, Huang B. Down-regulation of HIF-1α inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of gastric cancer by inhibiting PI3K/AKT pathway and VEGF expression. *Biosci Rep.* 2018;38(6). doi:10.1042/BSR20180741
- Zhu X, Chen L, Liu L, Niu X. EMT-mediated acquired EGFR-TKI resistance in NSCLC: mechanisms and strategies. *Front Oncol.* 2019;9:1044. doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.01044
- 20. Ge S, Xia X, Ding C, et al. A proteomic landscape of diffuse-type gastric cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):1012. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03121-2

- 21. Xu J, Liu D, Niu H, et al. Resveratrol reverses doxorubicin resistance by inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) through modulating PTEN/Akt signaling pathway in gastric cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;36(1):19. doi:10.1186/s13046-016-0487-8
- 22. Zou S, Ma C, Yang F, Xu X, Jia J, Liu Z. FBXO31 suppresses gastric cancer EMT by targeting snail1 for proteasomal degradation. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2018;16(2):286–295. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-17-0432
- Mei JW, Yang ZY, Xiang HG, et al. MicroRNA-1275 inhibits cell migration and invasion in gastric cancer by regulating vimentin and E-cadherin via JAZF1. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19(1):740. doi:10.1186/ s12885-019-5929-1
- 24. Luo Y, Wu J, Wu Q, et al. miR-577 regulates TGF-β induced cancer progression through a SDPR-modulated positive-feedback loop with ERK-NF-κB in gastric cancer. *Mol Ther*. 2019;27(6):1166–1182. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.02.002
- 25. Zhou X, Men X, Zhao R, et al. miR-200c inhibits TGF-beta-induced-EMT to restore trastuzumab sensitivity by targeting ZEB1 and ZEB2 in gastric cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2018;25(3–4):68–76. doi:10. 1038/s41417-017-0005-y
- Zong W, Yu C, Wang P, Dong L. Overexpression of SASH1 inhibits TGF-beta1-induced EMT in gastric cancer cells. *Oncol Res.* 2016;24 (1):17–23. doi:10.3727/096504016X14570992647203
- 27. Li TJ, Jiang YM, Hu YF, et al. Interleukin-17-producing neutrophils link inflammatory stimuli to disease progression by promoting angiogenesis in gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(6):1575–1585. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-0617
- Tenderenda M, Rutkowski P, Jesionek-Kupnicka D, Kubiak R. Expression of CD34 in gastric cancer and its correlation with histology, stage, proliferation activity, p53 expression and apoptotic index. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2001;7(2):129–134. doi:10.1007/BF03032579
- 29. Gan L, Meng J, Xu M, et al. Extracellular matrix protein 1 promotes cell metastasis and glucose metabolism by inducing integrin beta4/ FAK/SOX2/HIF-1alpha signaling pathway in gastric cancer. *Oncogene*. 2018;37(6):744–755. doi:10.1038/onc.2017.363
- Jang M, Koh I, Lee JE, Lim JY, Cheong JH, Kim P. Increased extracellular matrix density disrupts E-cadherin/beta-catenin complex in gastric cancer cells. *Biomater Sci.* 2018;6(10):2704–2713. doi:10.1039/C8BM00843D
- Zhao L, Niu H, Liu Y, et al. LOX inhibition downregulates MMP-2 and MMP-9 in gastric cancer tissues and cells. *J Cancer*. 2019;10 (26):6481–6490. doi:10.7150/jca.33223

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress

agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.