Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Plasma Amyloid-β Oligomerization Tendency Predicts Amyloid PET Positivity

Clinical Interventions in Aging downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ For personal use only.

Jung-Min Pyun¹ Ji Sun Ryu² Ryan Lee² Kyu Hawn Shim³ Young Chul Youn 10⁴ Nayoung Ryoo⁵ Sang-Won Han⁵ Young Ho Park⁵ Sungmin Kang² Seong Soo A An 10⁶ SangYun Kim 10⁵

¹Department of Neurology, Uijeongbu Eulji Medical Center, Eulji University, Uijeongbu-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea; ²Research and Development, PeopleBio Inc., Seongnam-si, Gyeonggido, Republic of Korea; ³Department of Neurology, Veterans Medical Research Institute, Veterans Health Service Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ⁴Department of Neurology, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ⁵Department of Neurology, Seoul National University College of Medicine and Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnamsi, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea; ⁶Department of Bionanotechnology, Gachon University, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

Purpose: Among other emerging amyloid-targeting blood-based biomarkers, Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid- β (MDS-OA β) measures dynamic changes in concentration of oligomeric amyloid- β (OA β), which is considered the main pathogenic culprit of Alzheimer's disease (AD), in plasma after spiking with synthetic amyloid- β (A β). We aimed to investigate the predictability of MDS-OA β on amyloid positron emission tomography (PET) positivity.

Patients and Methods: A total of 96 subjects who visited Seoul National University Bundang Hospital for medical check-up complaining of cognitive decline and had undergone extensive medical assessment were recruited. Amyloid statuses were dichotomized into positive or negative based on visual assessment of amyloid PET. Plasma OA β concentration was measured by MDS-OA β . In the previous validation study, 0.78ng/mL was established as the cut-off value and the plasma OA β concentration higher than or equal to the cut-off value was defined as MDS-OA β positive.

Results: MDS-OA β positivity could discriminate amyloid PET positivity with the AUC value of 0.855 (95% CI 0.776–0.933). Adding MDS-OA β positivity to prediction models including age, MMSE score, and APOE ϵ 4 status improved performance up to the AUC value of 0.926 (95% CI 0.871–0.980).

Conclusion: The A β oligomerization tendency in plasma could predict amyloid PET positivity with high performance, and, when it is combined with age, MMSE score, and APOE ϵ 4 status, predictability was improved substantially. This suggests the potential of MDS-OA β as a useful initial stage test in the clinical and research fields of AD.

Keywords: multimer detection system-oligomeric amyloid- β , A β oligomer, predictability, amyloidopathy, blood-based biomarker

Introduction

Brain amyloidopathy is a hallmark of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and pathologic changes associated with amyloid- β (A β) are known to start 10–20 years prior to clinical manifestation.^{1,2} Due to such a long period of progressive pathological changes without symptoms, prediction of disease progression has always been a challenge. Also, as clinical trials on disease-modifying treatment have not shown satisfactory results, the necessity of making the early AD stage the therapeutic target population has been emphasized, as well as the importance of early detection of amyloidopathy.

Currently, brain amyloidopathy is assessed by amyloid positron emission tomography (PET) and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarker test.³ However, their high cost and invasiveness limit their utility in spite of increased need and, hence,

© 1021 Fyun et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php work and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://treativecommons.org/licenses/by-nr/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

749

Correspondence: SangYun Kim Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, 82, Gumi-ro 173 Beon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, 13620, Republic of Korea Tel +82 31 787 7462 Fax +82 31 787 4059 Email neuroksy@snu.ac.kr

Dovepress

the development of an AD biomarker which could overcome such limitations has been long anticipated. There have been efforts to develop an amyloid-targeting bloodbased biomarker in order to provide better accessibility in the research and primary care fields, and blood-based biomarkers have shown promising potential in their utility in the prediction of amyloidopathy.⁴

Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid- β (MDS-OA β) is a modified atypical sandwich immunoassay for measuring A β oligomerization in plasma.⁵ MDS was originally developed as a means to detect prion oligomers in the blood of scrapie-infected animals, which selectively detect oligomers over monomers. The technique was further modified by spiking synthetic A β into plasma prior to the antigen–antibody reaction to measure the oligomerization tendency of plasma A β . It measures the dynamic change of plasma oligomeric A β concentration, which is higher in AD patients compared to normal healthy controls.^{5,6} In previous studies, MDS-OA β could differentiate AD from a normal control group with high sensitivity and specificity.^{5,6}

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the predictability of plasma $A\beta$ oligomerization tendency measured by MDS-OA β on brain amyloidopathy.

Patients and Methods Subjects

An observational cross-sectional study was conducted. We included subjects who visited the Neurocognitive Behavior Center of the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Republic of Korea for medical check-up regarding complaints about cognitive decline and had undergone extensive evaluation of cognitive function, including: physical, neurological, neuropsychological, genetic (APOE genotyping), and biomarker analyses such as brain magnetic resonance imaging, amyloid PET, and MDS-OAB. Diagnostic work-ups except MDS-OAB were performed partially in other medical centers and those patients were then referred to our medical center for further evaluation. Patients who had not undergone amyloid PET or MDS- $OA\beta$ were excluded from this study. Subjects consisted of 54 probable AD dementia patients according to the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria,⁷ 27 mild cognitive impairment (MCI) patients according to the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria,⁸ 7 subjective cognitive decline (SCD) patients according to the guideline by Jessen et al,⁹ and 8 other neurodegenerative diseases as a disease control group: 4 frontotemporal dementia (FTD) patients,^{10,11} 1 corticobasal syndrome (CBS) patient,¹² 1 Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD) patient,¹³ and 2 progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) patients.¹⁴ Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects or their caregivers. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-2004-604-305). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Blood Sampling and MDS-OA β Measurement

Blood was collected in 10-mL sodium heparin-containing tubes (BD-367874; BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA) and centrifuged at $1500 \times g$ for 10 minutes at room temperature. The time interval between the blood sampling and centrifugation was a maximal 3 hours. The plasma supernatant was aliquoted and stored in screw cap microtubes (polypropylene, SARSTEDT, Ref. number: 72.690) at -80°C until further analysis.

The MDS-OAB measurement was performed using the inBloodTM OAB test (PeopleBio Inc., Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea) with heparin-treated plasma samples. The OAB test is a modified sandwich Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for measuring oligomerization tendency using two epitope-overlapping antibodies specific for the N-terminus of A β . The antibodies used are mouse monoclonal 6E10 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) and WO-2-HRP (Absolute Antibody Ltd, Oxford, UK) and the epitopes for these antibodies overlap at the N-terminus of A β . 6E10, the capturing antibodies, are coated on the wells of the 96-well plate to initially capture heterogenous forms of AB. WO-2-HRP, the detection antibodies, are added after the first antigen-antibody reaction and three rounds of washing to detect oligomeric forms of A β and produce signal via chemiluminescence.

Prior to the assay, plasma samples were thawed at 37° C for 15 min. PBR-1 (synthetic A β made by PeopleBio Inc.) was then spiked into plasma and the mixture was incubated at 37° C for 48 hours. The incubated plasma sample mixture and serially diluted standard samples were added to respective wells, and the plates were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Afterwards, 100 µL/well of enhanced chemiluminescence substrate solution (Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., Limerick, PA, USA) was added, and the Relative Luminescence Unit (RLU)

signal was detected using a Victor 3^{TM} multispectrophotometer. Dilutions providing signal in the linear range of the standard curves were used for the conversion to RLU values to determine the concentration of oligomerized A β . All tests were completed in duplicate and the average was used. 0.78 ng/mL was established as the cutoff value in the previous validation study and the plasma OA β concentration equal to or higher than the cut-off value was defined as MDS-OA β positive.⁶ The MDS-OA β tester was blinded to clinical information, including demographics and diagnosis.

Amyloid Status

Amyloid status was evaluated by amyloid PET. [18F] Florbetaben (n=82), [18F]Flutemetamol (n=6), [18F] Florbetapir (n=2), and [11C]Pittsburgh compound B (PiB; n=1) were used as ligands. Amyloid status was defined as positive (abnormal) or negative (normal) after visual assessment by nuclear medicine physicians and two neurologists.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics of the amyloid normal and abnormal groups were compared using chi-squared tests and Mann–Whitney *U*-tests, as appropriate. The predictive ability of MDS-OA β and covariates on amyloid PET positivity was assessed by binary logistic regression models and presented as area under the curve (AUC) values by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. All statistical analyses were performed by R (version 4.0.0) and statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Table I	Demographics	and Clinical	Characteristics	of Subjects
---------	--------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------

Results

Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

A total of 96 subjects were included in the study. The average age of total subjects was 71.50 ± 9.73 years old, and 42 subjects (43.8%) were male. Among the total cohort, 68 (70.8%) subjects presented as amyloid-positive and 28 subjects were amyloid-negative. Comparisons of baseline characteristics of the groups are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, sex, education level, or frequency of APOE ɛ4 carrier between groups. Amyloidpositive groups showed poor MMSE scores reflecting poor general cognitive function, and higher CDR and CDR-SOB indicating increased disease severity. Correspondingly, the amyloid-positive group contained more AD patients than the amyloid-negative group. The amyloid-positive group presented a significantly higher MDS-OAB value with a plasma oligomeric Aβ concentration of 0.89 ng/mL than the amyloid-negative group with 0.67 ng/mL (Figure 1).

MDS-OA β as a Predictor of Amyloid Status

MDS-OA β positivity could differentiate amyloid-positive subjects from amyloid-negative subjects with a sensitivity of 85.3% and a specificity of 85.7% (AUC = 0.855, 95% CI = 0.776–0.933). Multivariate models with MDS-OA β positivity and other covariates including age, MMSE score, and APOE ϵ 4 status showed much better performance with AUC values between 0.892 and 0.926 than multivariate models without MDS-OA β positivity (Table 2). Among various combinations of predictors, MDS-OA β positivity combined with age, APOE ϵ 4 status, and MMSE score demonstrated the highest AUC value, 0.926 (0.871–0.980).

	Amyloid-Negative (n=28)	Amyloid-Positive (n=68)	p-value
Age, years	74.00 (70.50–79.00)	70.00 (61.00–75.50)	0.058
Male, n (%)	13 (46.43)	29 (42.65)	0.910
Education, years	16.00 (12.00–16.00)	16.00 (12.00–16.00)	0.783
APOE ɛ4 carrier, n (%)	9 (36.0)	32 (55.17)	0.173
Diagnosis			<0.001
AD/MCI/SCD/OND*, n	4/11/6/7	50/16/1/1	
MMSE	24.00 (20.00–26.00)	19.00 (11.00-25.00)	0.016
CDR	0.5 (0.5–0.75)	1.0 (0.5–1.0)	0.003
CDR-SOB	3.0 (2.0-4.25)	6.0 (2.0-8.0)	0.014
MDS-OA β , ng/mL	0.67 ± 0.21	0.89 ± 0.17	<0.001

Notes: * OND includes FTD, PSP, PDD, and CBS. Data are presented as the median (interquartile range) or mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise specified. **Abbreviations:** AD, Alzheimer's disease; CBS, corticobasal syndrome; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CDR-SOB, Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes; FTD, frontotemporal dementia; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental-State-Examination; MDS-OAβ, Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid-β; OND, other neurodegenerative disease; PDD, Parkinson's disease dementia; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.



Figure 1 Concentration of plasma MDS-OA β according to groups.

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MDS-OAβ, Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid-β; OND, other neurodegenerative disease; SCD, subjective cognitive decline.

MDS-OA β positivity alone presented better predictability than MMSE alone (AUC = 0.657, 95% CI = 0.-545–0.769). Although, when combined with age and APOE $\varepsilon 4$ status, the AUC value for MMSE increased to 0.740 (95% CI = 0.626–0.853), this was not statistically significant compared with MMSE alone. However,

Predictors	AUC (95% CI)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
MMSE	0.657 (0.545–0.769)	54.4	82.1
Age + MMSE	0.681 (0.572–0.789)	47.1	89.3
Age + APOE ε4	0.684 (0.552–0.816)	77.6	60.0
Age + APOE ε4 + MMSE	0.740 (0.626–0.853)	56.9	84.0
MDS-OA β positivity	0.855 (0.776–0.933)	85.3	85.7
MMSE + MDS-OA β positivity	0.892 (0.820–0.963)	86.8	85.7
Age + MMSE + MDS-OA β positivity	0.922 (0.863–0.981)	91.2	82.1
Age + APOE ϵ 4 + MDS-OA β positivity	0.912 (0.844–0.980)	74.1	96.0
Age + APOE ϵ 4 + MMSE + MDS-OA β positivity	0.926 (0.871–0.980)	74.1	96.0

Table 2 Performance of Predictors for	Amyloid PET Positivit	ty with and without MDS-OA β Positivity
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	---

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidential interval; MMSE, Mini-Mental-State-Examination; MDS-OAB, Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid-B.

when the combination of predictors was added to MDS-OA β positivity, predictive performance improved significantly (AUC = 0.926, 95% CI = 0.871–0.980) (Figure 2A). When the combination excluded MMSE and was inclusive of only objective factors such as age and APOE ϵ 4 status, the predictability on amyloid PET positivity was 0.684 (0.552–0.816). Adding MDS-OA β positivity to the combination strengthened the predictability to 0.912 (0.844–0.980) (Figure 2B).

Discussion

In this study, we found that MDS-OA β positivity could discriminate amyloid PET positivity with the AUC value of 0.855. Furthermore, adding MDS-OA β positivity to prediction models including age, MMSE score, and APOE ϵ 4 status improved the performance significantly up to the AUC value of 0.926.

A substantial effort has been made to detect and measure amyloid- β in the blood and several assays were promising candidates for blood-based biomarkers.⁴ These assays principally aimed to quantify the concentration of A β 42 and A β 42/A β 40. However, they have been employed in a limited capacity due to several unique characteristics of this protein, such as its scarcity in the blood¹⁵ and tendency to self-aggregate,¹⁶ as well as of the blood matrix such as the abundance of various A β -binding proteins in the blood,¹⁷ which interfere in the detection of A β . MDS-OA β , on the other hand, takes a distinct approach to possibly overcoming the said challenges. It measures the A β oligomerization tendency of plasma by implementing the spiking of synthetic A β ,⁵ prior to selective detection of A β oligomers, reputedly the main pathogen of AD,¹⁸ over A β monomers using epitope-overlapping antibodies. It is highly anticipated that this technique shall bring an unprecedented solution to detection and monitoring of AD-related amyloid dynamics in the blood.

discriminative The performance of MDS-OAB between AD and a normal control group has been demonstrated in previous studies. In the study by An et al, the MDS-OAβ assay mechanism and its diagnostic performance were evaluated. The AD group (n=27) was differentiated from the age-matched normal control group (n=144) with AUC of 0.896 (sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 90.0%).⁵ A recent validation study with AD (n=52) and normal control (n=52) confirmed the diagnostic accuracy with an AUC value of 0.999 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 92.31%).⁶ The current study was completed in a more heterogeneous population including individuals with AD, MCI, SCD, or other neurodegenerative diseases, and predictability on amyloid PET positivity was comparable (AUC 0.855). In various combinations with age, MMSE scores, and APOE ɛ4 status, AUC values increased between 0.892 and 0.926. These are also comparable with or even better than performance of other amyloid-targeting blood-based assays including immunoprecipitation followed



Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic analysis of MDS-OAβ positivity with other predictors on amyloid PET positivity. (**A**) Added MDS-OAβ positivity to clinical information such as age, MMSE score, and APOE ε4 status, predictability for amyloid PET positivity improves. (**B**) Considered only objective factors such as age and APOE ε4 status, combining with MDS-OAβ positivity strengthened the predictability on amyloid PET positivity. **Abbreviations:** MDS-OAβ, Multimer Detection System-Oligomeric Amyloid-β; MMSE, Mini-Mental-State-Examination.

by mass spectrometry, 19,20 single-molecule arrays, 21,22 and immune-infrared-sensor. 23,24

Another interesting finding was that the predictability of amyloid PET positivity was considerably enhanced when combining MDS-OAB positivity with age and MMSE scores, with the AUC increasing to 0.922, whereas the predictability of age and MMSE scores combined had only an AUC of 0.681 (95% CI 0.572-0.789). In clinical settings such as primary care, age and MMSE scores might be the only accessible information, and transfer of patients to specialized memory clinics for further work-up often relies on limited information based on MMSE score and age. A blood test such as the MDS-OAB which has good predictability on amyloid PET positivity could be implemented as an early stage AD blood test to address such drawbacks and be utile in terms of screening the patients in advance of further diagnostic examination. A previous study showed that amyloid PET provided more diagnostic confidence for clinicians than a CSF biomarker test, and the concordance of amyloid positivity in amyloid PET and CSF in the study was moderate with an unweighted k value of 0.52 (95% CI 0.32-0.72).²⁵ This could result from the fact that those two biomarkers detect different amyloid-B forms in different temporal dynamics.²⁵ In our study, the concordance between amyloid PET and MDS-OAB was substantial, with an unweighted k value of 0.67 (95% CI 0.51-0.83). Both biomarkers, each as a fluid and imaging biomarker, have different modalities, with different dynamics of action and target. In order to deepen understanding of MDS-OAB, the influential factors of MDS-OAB, such as interactive proteins in plasma, should be further investigated in the future.²⁶

In this study, we could not analyze the association between MDS-OA β and quantitative measures of amyloid PET due to partial absence of data for imaging analyses. Although we could not provide quantitative analyses of amyloid PET, use of visual rating just as a routine reading process could benefit in clinical practice.

Conclusion

In summary, $A\beta$ oligomerization tendency in plasma measured by MDS-OA β could predict amyloid PET positivity (AUC = 0.855, 95% CI = 0.776–0.933). Furthermore, when MDS-OA β positivity is combined with clinical information such as age, MMSE score, and APOE ϵ 4 status, predictability for amyloid PET positivity was improved (AUC = 0.926, 95% CI = 0.871–0.980). This suggests the potential of

MDS-OA β as a useful initial stage test in the clinical and research fields of AD.

Ethics Approval and Informed Consent

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-2004-60-305). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects or their caregivers.

Author Contributions

All authors met the following conditions:

- 1. Made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas.
- 2. Drafted or wrote, or substantially revised or critically reviewed, the article.
- 3. Agreed on the journal to which the article will be submitted.
- 4. Reviewed and agreed on all versions of the article before submission, during revision, the final version accepted for publication, and any significant changes introduced at the proofing stage.
- 5. Agree to take responsibility and be accountable for the contents of the article.

Funding

This study was not funded.

Disclosure

All authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Jack CR, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol*. 2013;12:207–216.
- Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TLS, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:795–804.
- 3. Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2018;14:535–562.
- 4. Pyun J-M, Kang MJ, Ryoo N, et al. Amyloid metabolism and amyloidtargeting blood-based biomarkers of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2020;75:685–696.
- 5. An SSA, Lee BS, Yu JS, et al. Dynamic changes of oligometric amyloid β levels in plasma induced by spiked synthetic A β 42. *Alzheimer's Res Ther.* 2017;9:86.

- Youn YC, Lee BS, Kim GJ, et al. Blood Amyloid-β oligomerization as a biomarker of Alzheimer's disease: a blinded validation study. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2020;75:493–499.
- McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2011;7:263–269.
- Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2011;7:270–279.
- Jessen F, Amariglio RE, Van Boxtel M, et al. A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2014;10:844–852.
- Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*. 2011;134:2456–2477.
- Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*. 2011;76:1006–1014.
- Armstrong MJ, Litvan I, Lang AE, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. *Neurology*. 2013;80:496–503.
- Dubois B, Burn D, Goetz C, et al. Diagnostic procedures for Parkinson's disease dementia: recommendations from the Movement Disorder Society Task Force. *Mov Disord*. 2007;22:2314–2324.
- Litvan I, Agid Y, Calne D, et al. Clinical research criteria for the diagnosis of progressive supranuclear palsy (Steele-Richardson-Olszewski syndrome): report of the NINDS-SPSP International Workshop. *Neurology*. 1996;47:1–9.
- Toledo JB, Vanderstichele H, Figurski M, et al. Factors affecting Aβ plasma levels and their utility as biomarkers in ADNI. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2011;122:401–413.

- Serem WK, Bett CK, Ngunjiri JN, et al. Studies of the growth, evolution, and self-aggregation of β-amyloid fibrils using tappingmode atomic force microscopy. *Microsc Res Tech. Microsc Res Tech.* 2011;74:699–708.
- Kuo YM, Emmerling MR, Lampert HC, et al. High levels of circulating Aβ42 are sequestered by plasma proteins in Alzheimer's disease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1999;257:787–791.
- Cline EN, Bicca MA, Viola KL, et al. The Amyloid-β oligomer hypothesis: beginning of the third decade. J Alzheimer's Dis. 2018;64:S567–S610.
- Ovod V, Ramsey KN, Mawuenyega KG, et al. Amyloid β concentrations and stable isotope labeling kinetics of human plasma specific to central nervous system amyloidosis. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 2017;13:841–849.
- 20. Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, et al. High performance plasma amyloid- β biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*. 2018;554:249–254.
- Verberk IMW, Slot RE, Verfaillie SCJ, et al. Plasma amyloid as prescreener for the earliest alzheimer pathological changes. *Ann Neurol.* 2018;84:648–658.
- 22. Vergallo A, Mégret L, Lista S, et al. Plasma amyloid β 40/42 ratio predicts cerebral amyloidosis in cognitively normal individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2019;15:764–775.
- 23. Nabers A, Ollesch J, Schartner J, et al. Amyloid-β-Secondary structure distribution in cerebrospinal fluid and blood measured by an immuno-infrared-sensor: a biomarker candidate for Alzheimer's disease. *Anal Chem.* 2016;88:2755–2762.
- Güldenhaupt J, Brenner H, Janelidze S, et al. Amyloid blood biomarker detects Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2018;10: e8763.
- Ramusino MC, Garibotto V, Bacchin R, et al. Incremental value of amyloid-PET versus CSF in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2020;47(2):270–280.
- 26. Choi Y, Joh Y, Ryu JS, et al. Endogenous Aβ peptide promote Aβ oligomerization tendency of spiked synthetic Aβ in Alzheimer's disease plasma. *Mol Cell Neurosci.* 2021;111:103588.

Clinical Interventions in Aging

Dovepress

DovePress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal

755