Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

The Psychological Effects of Physicians' Communication Skills on COVID-19 Patients [Letter]

Alvin Gardner (D) James Millwater (D)

School of Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Correspondence: Alvin Gardner School of Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK Email alvin.gardner@student.manchester.ac. uk

Dear editor

We read with great interest the original work of Al-Zyoud et al exploring the psychological effects that physician's communication skills have on Covid-19 patients in Jordan. As 4th year medical students located in the UK, we appreciate the significance of establishing patient rapport and how a lack of appropriate physician communication can hinder that relationship.¹ In this letter we suggest some changes, largely to study methodology, which we believe would result in more robust conclusions about the impact of physician communication skills and evaluation of their benefit on patient psychological status.

Al-Zyoud et al aim to explore physician communication and its "psychological impact" on Covid-19 patients by implementing a two-stage questionnaire for both physician and patient perspectives.² Despite this, 'patient's psychological status' is poorly defined in the study aims – something which is challenging given its subjective nature. In the methodology, the authors state that the study "further uncovers the extent of a positive effect of such skills on a COVID-19 patient's psychology".² However, the questionnaires are designed in such a way that the outcome that is measured is the statistical agreement between what skills the physicians say they use and how these communication skills then impact how the patient feels, instead of measuring true outcomes of patient–physician interactions. A more useful method might be to have the patient respond through a questionnaire to a specific consultation or physician, where they evaluate what communication skills were used and how this impacted their experience of healthcare services. This would also allow for more robust conclusions to be made about specific communication techniques.

Typically, there is an element of response bias in self-reporting frameworks.³ Termed the discrepancy between submitted answers and actual experience, this may further be complicated by acquiescence bias and recall bias. The former concerns the tendency of participants to provide affirmative answers to a questionnaire whilst the latter impacts participant submissions simply on the basis of the infallibility of memory.⁴ Whilst these biases can be moderated in the design phase, we believe a more appropriate method would be to utilise patient evaluation of physician communication, eliminating the inherent challenge of response bias in self-reporting frameworks.

Patient Preference and Adherence 2021:15 1141-1142

© 2021 Gardner and Milwater. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress. accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without arms (further permission for omomercial users of the work are permitted without arms (further permission for ommercial users of this work, please see paragraphs 42 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). The study would also benefit from demonstration of specific communication examples used by physicians in the discussion, through thematic analysis. This would improve the utility of the study to medical educators with respect to educating healthcare professionals about how to facilitate effective patient interaction to better patient outcomes. For example, the use of thematic analysis by Amelung et al showcases clear examples of effective communication skills utilised to benefit both physical and psychological patient outcomes.⁵

We believe these changes to the study would provide more robust conclusions about physician communication during Covid-19 and its impact on patient experience – which could then be utilised by medical educators in the future. Despite this, the authors are to be commended on their exploration of a vital aspect of the Covid-19 pandemic and physician communication skills, which remain a neglected aspect of medical education.

Disclosure

The authors reported no conflicts of interest for this communication.

References

- Truglio-Londrigan M, Slyer JT, Singleton JK, Worral P. A qualitative systematic review of internal and external influences on shared decision-making in all health care settings. *JBI Libr Syst Rev.* 2012;10(58):4633–4646. doi:10.11124/jbisrir-2012-432
- Al-Zyoud W, Oweis T, Al-Thawabih H, Al-Saqqar F, Al-Kazwini A, Al-Hammouri F. The psychological effect of physicians' communication skills on Covid-19 patients. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2021;15:677–690. doi:10.2147/PPA.S303869
- Sedgwick P. Non-response bias versus response bias. *BMJ*. 2014;348: g2573. doi:10.1136/bmj.g2573
- Messick SJ. The psychology of acquiescence: an interpretation of research evidence. In: Berg IA, editor. *Response Set in Personality Assessment*. Chicago: Aldine; 1967:115–145.
- Amelung D, Whitaker KL, Lennard D, et al. Influence of doctor-patient conversations on behaviours of patients presenting to primary care with new or persistent symptoms: a video observation study. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2020;29(3):198–208. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2019-009485

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Patient Preference and Adherence 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Patient Preference and Adherence editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Patient Preference and Adherence

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Patient Preference and Adherence is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focusing on the growing importance of patient preference and adherence throughout the therapeutic continuum. Patient satisfaction, acceptability, quality of life, compliance, persistence and their role in developing new therapeutic modalities and compounds to optimize clinical outcomes for existing disease states are major areas of interest for the journal. This journal has been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http:// www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/patient-preference-and-adherence-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.\$320134

1142 🛐 🏏 in 🕨 DovePress