REVIEW

Current Perspectives and Novel Strategies of NRAS-Mutant Melanoma

Alejandro Garcia-Alvarez Carolina Ortiz Eva Muñoz-Couselo

Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Medical Oncology Department, Melanoma and Other Skin Tumors Unit, Vall Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, 08035, Spain

Correspondence: Eva Muñoz-Couselo Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Medical Oncology Department, Melanoma and Other Skin Tumors Unit, Vall Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Pg Vall d'Hebron, 119-129, Barcelona, 08035, Spain Tel +93 4894350 Fax +93 2746781 Email emunoz@vhebron.net **Abstract:** Melanoma is the deadliest cutaneous cancer. Activating mutations in *NRAS* are found in 20% of melanomas. *NRAS*-mutant melanoma is more aggressive and, therefore, has poorer outcomes, compared to non-*NRAS*-mutant melanoma. Despite promising preclinical data, to date immune checkpoint inhibitors remain the standard of care for locally advanced unresectable or metastatic *NRAS* melanoma. Data for efficacy of immunotherapy for *NRAS* melanoma mainly come from retrospective cohorts with divergent conclusions. MEK inhibitors have been the most developed targeted therapy approach. Although associated with an increase in progression-free survival, MEK inhibitors do not provide any benefit in terms of overall survival. Combination strategies with PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and CDK4/6 inhibitors seem to increase MEK inhibitors' benefit. Nevertheless, results from clinical trials are still prelaminar. A greater comprehension of the biology and intracellular interactions of *NRAS*-mutant melanoma will outline novel impactful strategies which could improve prognosis of these subgroup of patients.

Keywords: metastatic melanoma, NRAS mutation, MEK inhibitor, immunotherapy

Introduction

With 324,635 new diagnoses and 57,043 deaths worldwide during 2020, cutaneous melanoma constitutes the 17th most incident and the 22nd most deadly malignancy. These data vary around the continents due to heterogenous frequency of skin phototype, with higher rates of both incidence and mortality in Oceania, Western Europe and Northern America.¹ Although mortality tends to stabilize, melanoma incidence has been rising over the last 40 years.²

An integrative analysis of cutaneous melanomas performed by the TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) network validated four genomic subtypes characterized by *BRAF, NRAS, NF1* mutations and a "triple wild-type" subgroup (which includes KIT mutated melanoma).³ Improvement in the understanding of cutaneous melanoma's biology together with clinical benefit of BRAF-targeted therapy^{4–6} and immunotherapy^{7–10} over the last decade have changed the therapeutic management of advanced melanoma. However, not many progresses have been made in developing novel therapeutic options for BRAF wild-type melanomas.

NRAS mutant melanoma comprises 20% of all melanoma,³ which appears to confer an aggressive course compared with *BRAF*-mutant or *RAF* and *NRAS* wild-type melanomas.¹¹ In this setting, effective treatment options for this population are required, especially after disease progression on immunotherapy with anti-CTLA4 and/or anti-PD-1 antibodies. MEK inhibitors have been the most exploited treatment option in clinical trials with limited efficacy compared to chemotherapy.¹²

OncoTargets and Therapy 2021:14 3709-3719

© 2021 Garcia-Alvarez et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you hereby accept the Granive Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenset/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). A greater comprehension of the biology and intracellular interactions of *NRAS*-mutant melanoma will outline novel impactful strategies which could improve prognosis of these subgroup of patients.

Ras as an Oncogene in Melanoma

Activating mutations in the Ras GTPase proteins have been found in one-third of human cancers.¹³ Ras is a superfamily of proteins implicated in cell growth, survival and differentiation, sending intracellular signals from receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) to the MAPK (mitogenactivated protein kinase) and PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase)-AKT pathways mainly.¹⁴

Three RAS isoforms (*NRAS*, neuroblastoma ras viral oncogene homolog; *KRAS*, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog; and *HRAS*, Harvey rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) have been described and frequently harbor oncogenic mutations in cancer.¹⁵ Regarding cutaneous melanoma, aside from *NRAS*, we find mutations in KRAS and HRAS accounting for 2% and 1% of the cases, respectively.³

NRAS Melanoma

NRAS was the first oncogene recognized in melanoma¹⁶ and mutations in *NRAS* account for 20% of all melanomas.³ *NRAS* and *BRAF* mutations are usually mutually exclusive.³

NRAS mutations primarily occur at position 61 and involve amino acid change from glutamine (Q) to arginine (R), lysine (K) or leucine (L).¹⁷ These mutations block NRAS into a GTP-bound state impairing its GTPase activity.¹⁸

Mutations at codons 12 or 13 mutations comprise 20% of all *NRAS* and result in amino acid change from glycine (G) to aspartic acid (D).¹⁷ These mutations prevent the association of GAPase activating proteins (GAP) to NRAS and are more frequent in mucosal melanoma than cutaneous melanoma.¹⁸

NRAS mutations results in an endless activation of the MAPK signaling and hardly ever concur with mutations in the PI3K–AKT pathways, indicating that NRAS could modulate this pathway too.¹⁹ These pathways cause dysregulation of cell-cycle and cellular proliferation signals.¹⁴

NRAS-mutant melanoma differs from *BRAF*-mutant melanoma from a clinical point of view. Patients are usually older (>55 years) with previous UV exposure.²⁰ Lesions have predisposition to the upper extremities and are thicker with higher Breslow depth.^{11,20}

Regarding prognosis, similar to *BRAF*-mutant melanoma, *NRAS* mutation has been related to aggressive disease traits and with an increased risk of visceral and brain involvement.^{21,22}

Immunotherapy for NRAS Melanoma

Despite all the translational and clinical data gathered since discovery of *NRAS* mutation as a driver in melanoma, ESMO guidelines recommend treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (anti-CTLA4 and/or anti-PD1 inhibitors) as standard of care for the locally advanced unresectable and metastatic staging.²³

However, data from pivotal trials which led to approval of immune checkpoint inhibitors in melanoma did not report outcomes for *NRAS* subgroup. Data for efficacy of immunotherapy for *NRAS* melanoma mainly comes from retrospective cohorts. Tables 1 and 2 summarize all reported results.

In a first cohort of 236 *NRAS*-mutant patients from five skin cancer centers in Germany and Switzerland have been treated with Ipilimumab, anti-PD1 or anti-PD1+Ipilimumab combination. Efficacy outcomes for each treatment group are depicted in Table 1. Comparison to *NRAS* wild-type subgroup (n=128) evidenced comparable overall response rate (ORR) and disease control rate (DCR) and significantly lower overall survival (OS) (Table 2).²⁴

An independent cohort of 162 patients with *NRAS*mutant melanoma from 11 referral centers in Italy treated in first line with immunotherapy reported different results. Cumulative results irrespective of treatment option showed a PFS (progression free survival) of 12 months, OS of 32 months and ORR of 42%. No differences in all efficacy outcomes were seen compared with 169 patients with *BRAF/NRAS* wild type melanoma (Table 2).²⁵

Finally, a cohort of 60 patients treated with anti-PD1 /PD-L1 or Ipilimumab in first or second line also reported cumulative efficacy outcomes. Median PFS was 4.1 months, median OS was 19.5 months and ORR were 64% and 19% for anti-PD1/PD-L1 and Ipilimumab, respectively. Compared to a cohort of 169 patients (53 with BRAF V600 mutation and 116 *BRAF/NRAS* wild type), immunotherapy for *NRAS* mutant patients increased DCR, which did not translate into longer PFS and OS.²⁶

Regarding efficacy of anti-PD1+anti-CTLA4 combination compared with anti-PD1 monotherapy in *NRAS* melanoma, in a cohort of 69 patients treated in first line for unresectable and/or metastatic disease, combination significantly longer PFS (not reached vs 7 months) and a nonsignificant trend towards prolonged OS (not reached vs 21.9 months).²⁷

Given the different outcomes regarding *NRAS*-mutant melanoma response to immunotherapy, prospective series

Cohort	NRAS-Mutant Melanoma Patients	ORR	DCR	PFS	OS
I. Kirchberger et al ²⁴	- IPI: 125 pts. - PD1: 34 pts. -Combo: 77 pts.	- IPI: 15% - PD1: 21% - Combo: 40%	- IPI: 27% - PD1: 35% - Combo: 61%	NR	- IPI: 12 mo - PD1: 18 mo - Combo: 32mo
2. Guida et al ²⁵	- IPI: 45 pts. - PD1: 114 pts. -Combo: 3 pts.	- IPI: 36% - PD1: 43% - Combo: NR	- IPI: 36% - PD1: 68% - Combo: NR	- IPI: 4 mo. - PD1: 15 mo. - Combo: NR	- IPI: 26 mo. - PD1: 32 mo. - Combo: NR
3. Johnson et al ²⁶	- IPI: 38 pts. - PD1/L1: 8 pts.	32%	50%	4.1 months	19.5 months

Table I Summary of Efficacy Outcomes of Immunotherapy (Monotherapy and Combinations) in Patients with NRAS-MutantMelanoma from Retrospectives Cohorts

Abbreviations: ORR, overall response rate; DCR, disease control rate; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; IPI, Ipilimumab; PD1/L1, anti-PD1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody; Combo, combination of anti-PD1 with Ipilimumab; Mo, months; NR, not reported.

Table 2 Summary of Efficacy Outcomes of Immunotherapy (Monotherapy and Combination) in Patients with NRAS-Mutant Melanoma

 Compared to Other Non-NRAS Mutant Melanoma Patients

Cohort	Patients	ORR	DCR	PFS	OS
I. Kirchberger et al ²⁴	- NRASmt: 236 - NRASwt: 128	- IPI: 15% vs 13% - PD1: 21% vs 13% - Combo: 40% vs 39% (p=ns)	- IPI: 27% vs 40% - PDI: 35% vs 25% - Combo: 61% vs 73% (p=ns)	NR	21 vs 33 mo (p=0.034)
2. Guida et al ²⁵	- NRASmt: 162 - NRAS/BRAFwt: 169	42% vs 37% (p=0.38)	60% vs 59% (p=0.90)	12 vs 9 months (p=0.51)	32 vs 27 mo (p=ns)
3. Johnson et al ²⁶	- NRASmt: 60 - BRAFmt: 53 - NRAS/BRAFwt: 116	32% vs 23% vs 19% (p=0.06)	50% vs 30%vs 29% (p=0.004)	4.1 vs 2.9 mo (NRASmt vs non-NRAS; p=0.08)	19.5 vs 15.2 mo (NRASmt vs non-NRAS; p=0.51)

Abbreviations: ORR, overall response rate; DCR, disease control rate; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; IPI, Ipilimumab; PD1/L1, anti-PD1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody; Combo, combination of anti-PD1 with Ipilimumab; Mo, months; NR, not reported; Mt, mutant; Wt, wild type.

are needed to clarify if immune checkpoint inhibitors improve outcomes in this population.

Strategies in Clinical Trials for NRAS Melanoma

Farnesyl-Transferase Inhibitors

NRAS needs to undergo post-translational modifications in order to be active. Farnesylation of a cysteine allows the insertion of NRAS into the cellular membrane and its ulterior activation.²⁸

Farnesyl transferase inhibitors have shown promising preclinical activity.^{29,30} Regrettably, these results did not translate into a clinical benefit from lonafarnib³¹ and tipifarnib³² in solid tumors with *NRAS* and *KRAS* mutations. In melanoma, R115777 was tested in a Phase II trial enrolling 14 patients. No data about their *NRAS* status was reported. Unfortunately, no response was evidenced to the farnesyl transferase inhibitor.³³

The lack of success observed appears to be related to alternative prenylation by geranylgeranyltransferase I (GGTase I) in the alternative prenylation.³⁴ Farnesyl transferase and GGTase I inhibitors combinations have been evaluated in clinical trials with concerns regarding toxicity.³⁵

MEK Inhibitors

Due to the absence of direct NRAS inhibitors, focus moved towards targeting downstream effectors of the MAPK pathway, with MEK 1/2 inhibitors (MEKi).³⁶ The

use of MEKi is to date the most investigated approach. These drugs are oral, competitive or non-competitive, allosteric inhibitors of MEK.³⁶

Third-generation MEKi development have led to evaluation of drugs such as trametinib $(GSK1120212)^{37}$ and binimetinib (MEK $162)^{38,39}$ in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma patients.

The Phase I study of trametinib included seven patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma. Stable disease in two patients (29%) was the best response achieved.³⁷ No further development was done for trametinib in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma. Combination strategies of trametinib with novel agents could play a role in future clinical trials for *NRAS*-mutant patients.

On the other hand, the phase II trial of binimetinib showed encouraging activity in 30 *NRAS*-mutant melanoma patients with an ORR of 20% and a median PFS of 3.7 months.³⁸ Taking into account these results, a randomized Phase III trial (NEMO trial) was undergone in 402 *NRAS* mutated melanoma patients. Binimetinib significantly increased ORR (7% vs 15%) and PFS (1.5 vs 2.8 months; Hazard Ratio 0.62) compared to dacarbazine. However, no differences in terms of OS were observed.³⁹ Based on these results, binimetinib monotherapy did not received approval for the treatment of *NRAS*-mutant melanoma.

Other MEKi such as pimasertib $(AS703026)^{40}$ or RO4987655⁴¹ have also been tested for efficacy. Pimasertib was evaluated in a randomized phase II trial with 194 *NRAS*-mutant patients versus Dacarbazine. A significant benefit for pimasertib was observed, with a mPFS of 13.0 weeks (vs 6.9 weeks) and a DCR of 37.7% (vs 26.6%). Once more, no differences in OS were observed (8.9 vs 10.6 months).⁴⁰ RO4987655 (CH4987655) has also been tested in a phase I trial enrolling 8 patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma. ORR was 13% with partial response (PR) as best responses.⁴¹

RAF Inhibitors

RAF isoforms (ARAF, BRAF and CRAF) are the downstream effector after RAS proteins in the MAPK pathway. *NRAS*-mutant melanoma is refractory to BRAF inhibitors. BRAF inhibitors induce paradoxical activation of the MAPK pathway due to CRAF-mediated ERK phosphorylation.^{42,43}

Pan-RAF inhibitors have emerged as an option for RAS or RAF mutant tumors. LY3009120, a pan-RAS inhibitor, has been assessed in the setting of a phase I clinical trial which enrolled a total of 51 patients. Regarding molecular subgroups, only 5 patients with *NRAS*-mutant tumors (4 melanoma and 1 breast cancer patients) were included, with only 1 SD as best response. Given the lack of efficacy and the unfavorable toxicity profile, LY3009120 further development has been discontinued.⁴⁴ Belvarafenib, a novel pan-RAF inhibitor, is under phase I evaluation and its results are awaited.⁴⁵

In order to increase pan-RAF efficacy, preclinical combination with MEK inhibitors has been investigated. Pan-RAF inhibitor (Amgen Compd A) and Trametinib combination was found to significantly enhance cell growth inhibition and suppress MAPK activation (evaluated by p-ERK Western blotting) compared to monotherapy in *NRAS*mutant melanoma cell lines. Efficacy seem to be associated with MAPK dependency and the presence of MAPKindependent pro-survival and anti-apoptotic signals.⁴⁶

PI3K-AKT-mTOR Pathway Inhibitors

PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway activation is commonly found in both *BRAF* and *NRAS* mutant melanoma. *AKT3* amplification and mRNA overexpression are frequent in *RAS* mutant melanomas (around 40% of the cases), whereas *PTEN* mutations and deletions are enriched in BRAF mutant melanomas (around 20% of the cases). *PIK3CA* mutations have been observed in 3% of all melanomas.³ Combination PI3K or AKT inhibitors with MEK inhibitors has shown synergic growth inhibition in melanoma cell lines with activating *NRAS* mutations.⁴⁷

Dual inhibition with alpelisib (a selective PI3K α inhibitor) and binimetinib has been assessed in a phase Ib trial enrolling 58 patients with BRAF or RAS mutated advanced solid tumors. Five patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma were enrolled achieving an ORR of 20%.⁴⁸

The combination of pimasertib and voxtalisib (a dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor) was tested in a phase Ib trial including 146 patients. Twenty patients with BRAF V600-mutant melanoma (progressing on BRAF inhibitors) were included (3 patients in escalation cohorts and 17 patients in disease specific expansion cohort). Response rate was 14% in the melanoma cohort (1 complete response and 1 PR). Absence of clinical efficacy and tolerability concerns led to premature trial termination.⁴⁹

Trametinib combination with an AKT inhibitor (GSK2141795) has also been evaluated in a phase II clinical trial including 10 *NRAS* mutant patients with melanoma. No objective responses were observed and the PFS was 2.3 months.⁵⁰ Even though preclinical evidence supported dual MAPK-PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibition, clinical

trials show absence of efficacy and poor drug combination tolerability.

CDK4/6 Inhibitors - Cell Cycle

Cell cycle is deregulated in *BRAF* and *NRAS*-mutant melanomas. *NRAS* mutant melanomas harbor *CDKN2A* alterations (including mutation, deletion or promoter hypermethylation) and *CCND1* amplifications in 70% and 10% of cases, respectively.³

Moreover, NRAS activation causes an increase on cyclin D1 expression which regulates cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK 4/6) involved in G1/S cell-cycle checkpoint.⁵¹

Based on these observations, combination of ribociclib (a CDK 4/6 inhibitor) and binimetinib has been evaluated in 63 *NRAS* mutant advanced or metastatic melanoma patients under a phase Ib/II trial. Results from the phase II dose expansion showed an ORR 19.5% (n=41) with a PFS of 3.7 months.⁵²

Ongoing trial in *NRAS* mutant melanoma patients involving the combination of LXH254 (an RAF inhibitor) with LTT462 (an ERK 1/2 inhibitor), Trametinib or Ribociclib is still recruiting.⁵³

Epigenetics

NRAS-mutant melanoma is more associated with CpG Island methylation pattern (CIMP) than *BRAF*-mutant melanoma. Mutations in the chromatin remodeler ARID2 gene and the epigenetic regulator IDH1 were also found in 16% and 9% of *NRAS*-mutant samples, respectively.³ Combination strategies with epigenetic modulators could increase efficacy of MEK inhibitors.

Data from de TCGA revealed that high BRD4 mRNA expression was associated with poor outcomes. Bromodomain and Extraterminal Domain (BET) proteins, such as BRD4, read acetylated lysine residues in histones and non-histone proteins promoting gene expression. In vitro (spheroids) and in vivo (xenografts) treatment combining JQ-1 (a BET inhibitor) and Mirdametinib (MEKi) decreased tumor growth rate and induced apoptosis in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma models. Efficacy was associated with downregulation of the TCF19 transcription factor and E2F1/3-dependent targets, involved in cell cycle G1 to S transition.⁵⁴

Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

NRAS melanoma cell lines, unlike *BRAF*-mutant melanoma, harbor constitutive phosphorylation of receptors with tyrosine kinase activity, such as Axl, ERBB2, c-MET or EGFR.⁵⁵

However, activity of tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been examined in melanoma patients with limited benefit as monotherapy.

As an example, lenvatinib achieved an ORR of 9% and a mPFS 3.7 months in patients with BRAF wild type advanced melanomas.⁵⁶ Axitinib yielded an ORR 18.8% and a 6-months PFS 33.9% in molecular unselected population.⁵² Patients were less pre-treated (maximum of 1 previous line) and only 25% of patients received immunotherapy in the axitinib trial compared to lenvatinib trial.^{56,57}

Sorafenib and tivantinib (a MET inhibitor) combination have been evaluated in eight patients with *NRAS*mutant melanoma. PR was objectified in two patients and another two stable disease (SD) as best response.⁵⁸

Axitinib has been also combined with chemotherapy. Combination with Carboplatin/Paclitaxel (n=38 patients) increased ORR to 22% and PFS to 8.7 months in unselected population, with better outcomes for non-BRAF V600E/K population. Regarding *NRAS*-mutant patients (n=8), 2 patients achieved PR and 6 patients SD as best response.⁵⁹

Combination of TKI with MAPK or PI3K-AKT-mTOR inhibitors might decrease acquired resistance to targeted therapy and compensatory feedback of the intracellular pathway.⁶⁰ Combination of pazopanib and trametinib has been performed under a phase I trial. Four patients with melanoma were enrolled, with SD as best response in 3 patients. Unfortunately, no information about *RAF/RAS* status was given.⁶¹

ERK Inhibitors

ERK is the final kinase in the MAPK signaling pathway. Therefore, ERK inhibitors (ERKis) could be an interesting treatment target for *NRAS*-mutant melanoma patients.^{13,14} Preclinical data supports this concept.

SCH772984 has proved efficacy in *NRAS, KRAS*, and *BRAF*-mutant cell lines as in melanoma animal resistant to BRAF inhibitors.⁶² In NRAS-Q61H mutant cell line, ERK inhibition with VX-11e displayed greater proliferative arrest than MEKi. Treatment with MEKi did not suppressed ERK-dependent phosphorylation of downstream targets. RTK activation and cross-talk of other signaling pathways with ERK pathway were the explanation.⁶³

Ulixertinib is a reversible, ATP-competitive ERK1/2 inhibitor. Safety and preliminary efficacy have been assessed in a phase-I clinical trial enrolling patients with *NRAS*-mutant and *BRAF*-mutant melanomas. Preliminary results from one of the expansion cohorts for *NRAS*mutant melanoma patients (n=19), not previously treated with BRAF and/or MEK inhibitors, showed PR in 13.5% and DCR in 52.6% of the patients.^{64,65}

Further development of novel ERKi in phase I clinical trials as single agents or in combination with MEKis, chemotherapy or targeted agents are awaited.

Table 3 summarizes the efficacy outcomes of targeted therapies (monotherapy and combinations) in patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma.

Future Strategies for NRAS-Mutant Melanoma

The KRAS Situation

To date, targeting directly on GTP binding pocket in RAS protein has been difficult due to the strong affinity between GTP and RAS.¹⁸ Nevertheless, recently, a small molecule

that specifically and irreversibly inhibits KRAS G12C (AMG 510 or Sotorasib) has shown antitumor activity in patients with KRAS G12C-mutant advanced solid tumors.⁶⁶

Sotorasib forms an irreversible covalent bond to the sulfur atom in the cysteine residue that is present in the mutated form of KRAS G12C, but not in the normal form. The inhibitor traps KRAS G12C in the inactive GDP-bound state, inhibiting its phosphorylation activity.⁶⁷

New RAS targeting strategies still need further development. For example, instead of targeting RAS protein activity we could block protein translation by RNA interference. Challenges regarding RNA delivery, intravascular degradation, intracellular trafficking, and potential off target effects are delaying its clinical implementation.⁶⁸

Table 3 Summary of Efficacy Outcomes of Targeted Therapies (Monotherapy and Combinations) in Patients with NRAS-Mutant
Melanoma. "Sample Size" Refers to Number of Patients Included with NRAS-Mutant Melanoma in the Clinical Trial

Drug/s	Trial	Target Population	Sample Size	Efficacy Outcomes	Reference
Trametinib	Phase II	Melanoma	n=7	ORR= 0% with 29% of SD (2/7 patients)	[37]
Binimetinib	Phase III vs Dacarbazine	NRASmt melanoma	n=402	Binimetinib increased ORR (15% vs 7%) and PFS (2.8 vs 1.5 months; HR 0.62). No OS differences (11 vs 10.1 months; HR 1.00).	[39]
Pimasertib	Phase II vs Dacarbazine	NRASmt melanoma	n=194	Pimasertib increased DCR (37.7% vs 26.6%) and PFS (13 vs 6.9 weeks). No OS differences (8.9 vs 10.6 months).	[40]
RO4987655 (MEKi)	Phase I	KRASmt NSCLC and CRC, BRAFmt and wt melanoma	n=8	ORR=13% with DCR=39%	[41]
Alpelisib + Binimetinib	Phase Ib	BRAFmt or RASmt solid tumors	n=5	ORR=20%	[48]
GSK2141795 (AKT inhibitor) + Trametinib	Phase II	NRASmt and BRAF/ NRASwt melanoma	n=10	ORR 0% and PFS 2.3 months.	[50]
Ribociclib + Binimetinib	Phase Ib/II	NRASmt melanoma	n=41	ORR 19.5% and PFS 3.7 months.	[52]
Sorafenib + Tivatinib	Phase I	NRASmt or wt melanoma	n=8	ORR=25% with DCR=50%	[58]
Axitinib + Carboplatin/ Paclitaxel	Phase II	Melanoma	n=8	ORR=25% with DCR=100%	[59]
Ulixertinib	Phase I	NRASmt or BRAFmt melanoma	n=19	ORR=13.5% with DCR=52.6%	[65]

Abbreviations: ORR, overall response rate; DCR, disease control rate; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; Mt, mutant; Wt, wild type.

NFI

NF1 (neurofibromin 1) acts as a tumor suppressor through GAP activity which turns the active RAS-GTP to RAS-GDP.⁶⁹ Calpain 1 (CAPN1) is a calcium-dependent neutral cysteine protease that regulates NF1 degradation in melanoma cell lines.⁷⁰

Combination of Calpain 1 inhibitor with Trametinib added antiproliferative activity in terms of cell growth reduction compared to Trametinib alone in melanoma cell lines.⁷⁰ This combination may increase MEK inhibitors' efficacy in *NRAS*-mutant melanoma in the future.

PPP6C

PPP6C (Protein Phosphatase 6 Catalytic Subunit) encodes a Serine/Threonine phosphatase involved in cell cycle regulation and progression.⁷¹ Among the different substrates of PPP6C we find MEK^{72} and Aurora-A.⁷³

Loss of PPP6C promotes MEK hyperphosphorylation (at activating and crosstalk phosphorylation sites) inducing ERK pathway signaling and resistance to MEK inhibitors in vitro.⁷²

Loss of its activity is also linked to elevated Aurora-A kinase activity, leading to mis-segregation of chromosomes during mitosis leading to chromosome instability. Aurora-A inhibitors may be a treatment strategy to treat melanomas harboring PPP6C inactivation.⁷³

STK19

STK19 (serine threonine kinase 19) is a serine/threonine kinase which regulates NRAS signaling.⁷⁴ It phosphorylates NRAS S89 residue, activating its signaling via the MAPK and PI3K pathways in human melanocyte cell lines.^{74,75} STK19 activating mutations are mutually exclusive with BRAF in melanoma.⁷⁵

In NRAS Q61R transgenic mice, the STK19 D89N mutant promoted oncogenic NRAS driven melanomagenesis. ZT-12-037-01 (an STK19 inhibitor) reduced cell division and induced apoptosis in *NRAS-STK19* mutant mice xenografts.⁷⁵ Consistent with these observations, STK19 inhibition could be a potential therapeutic strategy for *NRAS*-mutant melanoma.

PTPNII

PTPN11 (protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 11) gene encodes SH2, a phosphatase which contains two tandem Src homology-2 domains which interact with phospho-tyrosines in transmembrane cellular receptors. SHP2 activity is involved in RAS signaling activation.⁷⁶

Activating mutations of PTPN11 appear to be oncogenic in melanoma. Conversely, SHP2 inhibitor SHP099 promotes antitumoral response of NRAS Q61K-mutant melanomas in mice models.⁷⁷

OTHER

Other targets not directly related to RAS signaling which have shown early preclinical evidence in combination with MEKi involve inhibition of the ROCK 1/2 (GTPase-activated serine/threonine kinases),⁷⁸ ER (estrogen receptor)⁷⁹ or HSP90 (Heat shock protein-90).⁸⁰

Clinical Trials Ongoing

Table 4 summarizes ongoing clinical trials with potential beneficial treatment strategies recruiting patients with *NRAS*-mutant melanoma either as the main target population or included in unselected solid tumor cohort.

Conclusion

NRAS-mutant melanoma constitutes around 15–20% of patients with melanoma and is known to be associated with poorer prognosis.

Clinical trial experience over the last years with different MEK inhibitors have shown limited efficacy in monotherapy. Treatment strategies in the near future will include combination of MEK inhibitors with inhibitors of the main intracellular pathways (either involved in RAS signaling or not) or other drugs disrupting cell-cycle checkpoints or epigenetics. Currently, little effort has been done towards mutant NRAS specific treatment, either with direct inhibitors or post-traductional interactions.

To date, checkpoint inhibitors seem to be as effective or even more effective in patients with NRAS-mutant melanoma compared to distinct melanoma subtypes.

Clinicaltrials. gov Identifier	Title	Phase	N	Population	Treatment Arms	Primary Endpoint
NCT01941927	Phase II Clinical Trial of the MEK Inhibitor Trametinib With the AKT Inhibitor GSK2141795 in BRAF Wild- type Melanoma.	II	20	Unresectable Stage III or Stage IV disease. Evidence of tumor DNA showing either NRAS mutation or NRAS Wild-Type (WT)/BRAF WT.	Arm A: Trametinib (GSK1120212) 2mg daily oral + GSK2141795 25mg daily oral.	ORR
NCT02974725	A Phase Ib, Open-label, Multicenter Study of Oral LXH254-centric Combinations in Adult Patients With Advanced or Metastatic KRAS or BRAF Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer or NRAS Mutant Melanoma	Ιb	315	Patients with advanced or metastatic NSCLC or cutaneous melanoma. Presence of KRAS or BRAF mutation (NSCLC) or NRAS mutation (cutaneous melanoma) in tumor tissue.	Arm A: LXH254 + LTT462. Arm B: LXH254 + Trametinib. Arm C: LXH254 + Ribociclib.	Number of participants with AEs and experiencing DLTs. Tolerability measured by the number of subjects who have interruptions/ reductions of study treatment and by the dose intensity of study drug.
NCT03634982	A Phase I, Open-Label, Multicenter, Dose-Escalation Study of RMC-4630 Monotherapy in Adult Participants With Relapsed/ Refractory Solid Tumors	I	210	Advanced solid tumors that have failed, are intolerant to, or are considered ineligible for standard of care anticancer treatments.	RMC-4630 (SHP2 inhibitor)	Number of participants with AEs and experiencing DLTs.
NCT03979651	MEK and Autophagy Inhibition in Metastatic/Locally Advanced, Unresectable NRAS Melanoma: A Phase Ib/II Trial of Trametinib Plus Hydroxychloroquine in Patients With NRAS Melanoma	Ib/II	29	Patients with histologically confirmed metastatic or locally advanced unresectable malignant melanoma with an activating NRAS mutation progressing during or after a first line treatment by immunotherapy.	Trametinib + Hidroxicloroquine (3 different dose scalation cohorts)	Number of participants with AEs and ORR.
NCT03973151	A Phase I/II, Single Arm, Dose Escalation and Cohort Expansion Study to Evaluate Safety, Preliminary Efficacy of HL-085 in Patients With NRAS Mutant Advanced Melanoma.	1/11	54	Patients with histologically or cytologically confirmed unresectable Stage III or Stage IV melanoma according to AJCC (Version 7, 2010) and NRAS mutation.	HL-085 (MEK inhibitor)	Number of participants with AEs and the MTD.
NCT03932253	A Phase la/lb Clinical Study to Evaluate the Safety, Pharmacokinetics (PK) and Preliminary Anti-tumor Activity of FCN-159 in Patients With Advanced Melanoma Harboring NRAS- aberrant (la) and NRAS-mutant (lb)	la/lb	37	Patients with histologically or cytologically diagnosed advanced melanoma who cannot be surgically resected, stage III or IV, and have failed or rejected standard treatment with NRAS aberration (Phase Ia) or NRAS mutation (Phase Ib).	FCN-159 (MEK inhibitor)	Number of participants with AEs, the MTD and ORR.

(Continued)

Table 4 (Continued).

Clinicaltrials. gov Identifier	Title	Phase	Z	Population	Treatment Arms	Primary Endpoint
NCT04109456	A Phase Ib, Open-label Clinical Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics and Antitumor Activities of IN10018 as Monotherapy and Combination Therapy in Subjects With Metastatic Melanoma.	lb	52	Patients with histologically or cytologically confirmed metastatic uveal melanoma or metastatic NRAS-mutant melanoma.	Part A: IN10018 (FAK inhibitor). Part B: IN10018 + Cobimetinib.	Safety and tolerability of IN10018 monotherapy and in combination with Cobimetinib.

Abbreviations: ORR, overall response rate; AEs, adverse events; DLT, dose limiting toxicities; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; WT, wild type.

Disclosure

The authors reported no conflicts of interest for this work.

References

- Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN. Available from: https:// gco.iarc.fr. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- Cancer Council. Skin cancer incidence and mortality. Available from: https://wiki.cancer.org.au/skincancerstats/Skin_cancer_incidence_ and_mortality. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Genomic classification of cutaneous melanoma. *Cell.* 2015;161(7):1681–1696. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2015.05.044.
- Ascierto PA, McArthur GA, Dréno B, et al. Cobimetinib combined with vemurafenib in advanced BRAF(V600)-mutant melanoma (coBRIM): updated efficacy results from a randomised, double-blind, Phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17(9):1248–1260.
- Robert C, Grob JJ, Stroyakovskiy D, et al. Five-year outcomes with dabrafenib plus trametinib in metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(7):626–636. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1904059
- Dummer R, Ascierto PA, Gogas HJ, et al. Overall survival in patients with BRAF-mutant melanoma receiving encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19 (10):1315–1327. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30497-2
- Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(8):711–723. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1003466
- Robert C, Long GV, Brady B, et al. Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(4):320–330. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1412082
- Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2015;372 (26):2521–2532. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1503093
- Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, et al. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(1):23–34. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504030
- Devitt B, Liu W, Salemi R, et al. Clinical outcome and pathological features associated with NRAS mutation in cutaneous melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2011;24(4):666–672. doi:10.1111/ j.1755-148X.2011.00873.x
- Thumar J, Shahbazian D, Aziz SA, Jilaveanu LB, Kluger HM. MEK targeting in N-RAS mutated metastatic melanoma. *Mol Cancer*. 2014;13:45. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-45

- Malumbres M, Barbacid M. RAS oncogenes: the first 30 years. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003;3(6):459–465. doi:10.1038/nrc1097
- Mendoza MC, Er EE, Blenis J. The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways: cross-talk and compensation. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2011;36(6):320–328. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2011.03.006
- Moore AR, Rosenberg SC, McCormick F, et al. RAS-targeted therapies: is the undruggable drugged? *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2020;19 (8):533–552. doi:10.1038/s41573-020-0068-6
- Albino AP, Le Strange R, Oliff AI, Furth ME, Old LJ. Transforming ras genes from human melanoma: a manifestation of tumour heterogeneity? *Nature*. 1984;308(5954):69–72. doi:10.1038/308069a0
- Fedorenko IV, Gibney GT, Smalley KS. NRAS mutant melanoma: biological behavior and future strategies for therapeutic management. *Oncogene*. 2013;32(25):3009–3018. doi:10.1038/onc.2012.453
- Simanshu DK, Nissley DV, McCormick F. RAS proteins and their regulators in human disease. *Cell*. 2017;170(1):17–33. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2017.06.009
- Hodis E, Watson IR, Kryukov GV, et al. A landscape of driver mutations in melanoma. *Cell*. 2012;150(2):251–263. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2012.06.024
- Ellerhorst JA, Greene VR, Ekmekcioglu S, et al. Clinical correlates of NRAS and BRAF mutations in primary human melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(2):229–235. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2276
- Jakob JA, Bassett RL Jr, Ng CS, et al. NRAS mutation status is an independent prognostic factor in metastatic melanoma. *Cancer*. 2012;118(16):4014–4023. doi:10.1002/cncr.26724
- 22. Adler NR, Wolfe R, Kelly JW, et al. Tumour mutation status and sites of metastasis in patients with cutaneous melanoma. *Br J Cancer*. 2017;117(7):1026–1035. doi:10.1038/bjc.2017.254
- Michielin O, van Akkooi A, Ascierto P, et al. Cutaneous melanoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2019;30:1884–1901.
- 24. Kirchberger MC, Ugurel S, Mangana J, et al. MEK inhibition may increase survival of NRAS-mutated melanoma patients treated with checkpoint blockade: results of a retrospective multicentre analysis of 364 patients. *Eur J Cancer*. 2018;98:10–16. doi:10.1016/j. ejca.2018.04.010
- 25. Guida M, Bartolomeo N, Quaglino P, et al. No Impact of NRAS mutation on features of primary and metastatic melanoma or on outcomes of checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy: an Italian Melanoma Intergroup (IMI) study. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(3):475. doi:10.3390/cancers13030475
- Johnson DB, Lovly CM, Flavin M, et al. Impact of NRAS mutations for patients with advanced melanoma treated with immune therapies. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2015;3(3):288–295. doi:10.1158/2326-6066. CIR-14-0207

- 27. Rose AAN, Armstrong SM, Hogg D, et al. Biologic subtypes of melanoma predict survival benefit of combination anti-PD1+anti-CTLA4 immune checkpoint inhibitors versus anti-PD1 monotherapy. J Immunother Cancer. 2021;9(1):e001642. doi:10.1136/jitc-2020-001642
- Ahearn IM, Haigis K, Bar-Sagi D, et al. Regulating the regulator: post-translational modification of RAS. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2011;13(1):39–51. doi:10.1038/nrm3255
- Smalley KS, Eisen TG. Farnesyl transferase inhibitor SCH66336 is cytostatic, pro-apoptotic and enhances chemosensitivity to cisplatin in melanoma cells. *Int J Cancer*. 2003;105(2):165–175. doi:10.1002/ ijc.11064
- 30. Niessner H, Beck D, Sinnberg T, et al. The farnesyl transferase inhibitor lonafarnib inhibits mTOR signaling and enforces sorafenib-induced apoptosis in melanoma cells. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2011;131(2):468–479. doi:10.1038/jid.2010.297
- 31. Chow LQ, Eckhardt SG, O'Bryant CL, et al. A phase I safety, pharmacological, and biological study of the farnesyl protein transferase inhibitor, lonafarnib (SCH 663366), in combination with cisplatin and gemcitabine in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2008;62(4):631–646. doi:10.1007/ s00280-007-0646-x
- 32. Margolin KA, Moon J, Flaherty LE, et al. Randomized phase II trial of sorafenib with temsirolimus or tipifarnib in untreated metastatic melanoma (S0438). *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18(4):1129–1137. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2488
- 33. Gajewski TF, Salama AK, Niedzwiecki D, et al. Phase II study of the farnesyltransferase inhibitor R115777 in advanced melanoma (CALGB 500104). J Transl Med. 2012;10:246. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-10-246
- 34. Whyte DB, Kirschmeier P, Hockenberry TN, et al. K- and N-Ras are geranylgeranylated in cells treated with farnesyl protein transferase inhibitors. J Biol Chem. 1997;272(22):14459–14464. doi:10.1074/ jbc.272.22.14459
- 35. Brock EJ, Ji K, Reiners JJ, Mattingly RR. How to target activated ras proteins: direct inhibition vs. induced mislocalization. *Mini Rev Med Chem*. 2016;16(5):358–369. doi:10.2174/ 1389557515666151001154002
- Cheng Y, Tian H. Current development status of MEK inhibitors. Molecules. 2017;22:1551. doi:10.3390/molecules22101551
- 37. Falchook GS, Lewis KD, Infante JR, et al. Activity of the oral MEK inhibitor trametinib in patients with advanced melanoma: a Phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13(8):782–789. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70269-3
- 38. Ascierto PA, Schadendorf D, Berking C, et al. MEK162 for patients with advanced melanoma harbouring NRAS or Val600 BRAF mutations: a non-randomised, open-label Phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(3):249–256. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70024-X
- 39. Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Ascierto PA, et al. Binimetinib versus dacarbazine in patients with advanced NRAS-mutant melanoma (NEMO): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(4):435–445. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17) 30180-8
- 40. Lebbé C, Dutriaux C, Lesimple T, et al. Pimasertib versus dacarbazine in patients with unresectable NRAS-mutated cutaneous melanoma: Phase II, randomized, controlled trial with crossover. *Cancers* (*Basel*). 2020;12(7):1727. doi:10.3390/cancers12071727
- 41. Zimmer L, Barlesi F, Martinez-Garcia M, et al. Phase I expansion and pharmacodynamic study of the oral MEK inhibitor RO4987655 (CH4987655) in selected patients with advanced cancer with RAS-RAF mutations. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014;20(16):4251–4261. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0341
- 42. Dorard C, Estrada C, Barbotin C, et al. RAF proteins exert both specific and compensatory functions during tumour progression of NRAS-driven melanoma. *Nat Commun.* 2017;12:15262. doi:10.1038/ ncomms15262

- Heidorn SJ, Milagre C, Whittaker S, et al. Kinase-dead BRAF and oncogenic RAS cooperate to drive tumor progression through CRAF. *Cell*. 2010;140(2):209–221.
- 44. Sullivan RJ, Hollebecque A, Flaherty KT, et al. A Phase I Study of LY3009120, a Pan-RAF inhibitor, in patients with advanced or metastatic cancer. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2020;19(2):460–467. doi:10.1158/ 1535-7163
- 45. Kim TW, Lee J, Shin SJ, et al. Belvarafenib, a novel pan-RAF inhibitor, in solid tumor patients harboring BRAF, KRAS, or NRAS mutations: phase I study. JCO. 2019;37:3000. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2019.37.15_suppl.3000
- 46. Atefi M, Titz B, Avramis E, et al. Combination of pan-RAF and MEK inhibitors in NRAS mutant melanoma. *Mol Cancer*. 2015;14(1):27. doi:10.1186/s12943-015-0293-5
- 47. Greger JG, Eastman SD, Zhang V, et al. Combinations of BRAF, MEK, and PI3K/mTOR inhibitors overcome acquired resistance to the BRAF inhibitor GSK2118436 dabrafenib, mediated by NRAS or MEK mutations. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2012;11(4):909–920. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0989
- 48. Juric D, Soria JC, Sharma S, et al. A phase 1b dose-escalation study of byl719 plus binimetinib (MEK162) in patients with selected advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(15):9051. doi:10.1200/jco.2014.32.15_suppl.9051
- 49. Schram AM, Gandhi L, Mita MM, et al. A phase Ib dose-escalation and expansion study of the oral MEK inhibitor pimasertib and PI3K/ MTOR inhibitor voxtalisib in patients with advanced solid tumours. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;119(12):1471–1476. doi:10.1038/s41416-018-0322-4
- Algazi AP, Esteve-Puig R, Nosrati A, et al. Dual MEK/AKT inhibition with trametinib and GSK2141795 does not yield clinical benefit in metastatic NRAS-mutant and wild-type melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2018;31(1):110–114. doi:10.1111/pcmr.12644
- 51. Eskandarpour M, Huang F, Reeves KA, et al. Oncogenic NRAS has multiple effects on the malignant phenotype of human melanoma cells cultured *in vitro*. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;124(1):16–26. doi:10.1002/ijc.23876
- 52. ClinicalTrials.gov. A Phase Ib/II Study of LEE011 in combination with MEK162 in patients with NRAS mutant melanoma (NCT01781572). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01781572. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- ClinicalTrials.gov. A Phase Ib Study of LXH254-centric Combinations in NSCLC or Melanoma (NCT02974725). https://clin icaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02974725. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- 54. Echevarría-Vargas IM, Reyes-Uribe PI, Guterres AN, et al. Cotargeting BET and MEK as salvage therapy for MAPK and checkpoint inhibitor-resistant melanoma. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2018;10(5): e8446. doi:10.15252/emmm.201708446
- 55. Fedorenko IV, Fang B, Koomen JM, et al. Amuvatinib has cytotoxic effects against NRAS-mutant melanoma but not BRAF-mutant melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* 2014;24(5):448–453. doi:10.1097/ CMR.00000000000103
- 56. O'Day S, Gonzalez R, Kim K, et al. A phase II study of the multitargeted kinase inhibitor lenvatinib in patients with advanced BRAF wild-type melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(15_suppl):9026. doi:10.1200/jco.2013.31.15_suppl.9026
- 57. Fruehauf J, Lutzky J, McDermott D, et al. Multicenter, phase II study of axitinib, a selective second-generation inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1, 2, and 3, in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(23):7462–7469. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0534
- Means-Powell JA, Adjei AA, Puzanov I, et al. Safety and efficacy of MET inhibitor tivantinib (ARQ 197) combined with sorafenib in patients (pts) with NRAS wild-type or mutant melanoma from a phase I study. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:8519. doi:10.1200/ jco.2012.30.15_suppl.8519

- 59. Algazi AP, Cha E, Ortiz-Urda SM, et al. The combination of axitinib followed by paclitaxel/carboplatin yields extended survival in advanced BRAF wild-type melanoma: results of a clinical/correlative prospective phase II clinical trial. *Br J Cancer*. 2015;112 (8):1326–1331. doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.541
- Lee S, Rauch J, Kolch W. Targeting MAPK signaling in cancer: mechanisms of drug resistance and sensitivity. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:1102. doi:10.3390/ijms21031102
- 61. Kurzrock R, Ball DW, Zahurak ML, et al. A Phase I Trial of the VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor pazopanib in combination with the MEK inhibitor trametinib in advanced solid tumors and differentiated thyroid cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;25 (18):5475–5484. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1881
- 62. Morris EJ, Jha S, Restaino CR, et al. Discovery of a novel ERK inhibitor with activity in models of acquired resistance to BRAF and MEK inhibitors. *Cancer Discov.* 2013;3(7):742–750. doi:10.1158/ 2159-8290.CD-13-0070
- Carlino MS, Todd JR, Gowrishankar K, et al. Differential activity of MEK and ERK inhibitors in BRAF inhibitor resistant melanoma. *Mol Oncol.* 2014;8(3):544–554. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2014.01.003
- 64. Mendzelevski B, Ferber G, Janku F, et al. Effect of ulixertinib, a novel ERK1/2 inhibitor, on the QT/QTc interval in patients with advanced solid tumor malignancies. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2018;81(6):1129–1141. doi:10.1007/s00280-018-3564-1
- 65. ClinicalTrials.gov. Phase I dose-escalation, safety, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of BVD-523 in patients with advanced malignancies (NCT01781429). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01781429. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- 66. Hong DS, Fakih MG, Strickler JH, et al. KRASG12C Inhibition with Sotorasib in Advanced Solid Tumors. N Engl J Med. 2020;383 (13):1207–1217. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1917239
- 67. Lito P, Solomon M, Li LS, et al. Allele-specific inhibitors inactivate mutant KRAS G12C by a trapping mechanism. *Science*. 2016;351 (6273):604–608. doi:10.1126/science.aad6204
- Pecot CV, Calin GA, Coleman RL, et al. RNA interference in the clinic: challenges and future directions. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2011;11 (1):59–67. doi:10.1038/nrc2966
- Trovó-Marqui AB, Tajara EH. Neurofibromin: a general outlook. *Clin Genet.* 2006;70(1):1–13. doi:10.1111/j.1399-0004.2006.00639.x

- Alon M, Arafeh R, Lee JS, et al. CAPN1 is a novel binding partner and regulator of the tumor suppressor NF1 in melanoma. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(58):31264–31277. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.25805
- UniProt Protein Knowledgebase (UniProtKB). PPP6C. Available from: https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/Q9CQR6. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- 72. Cho E, Jane Lou H, Kuruvilla L, et al. PPP6C negatively regulates oncogenic ERK signaling through dephosphorylation of MEK. doi:10.1101/2020.09.18.303859
- 73. Hammond D, Zeng K, Espert A, et al. Melanoma-associated mutations in protein phosphatase 6 cause chromosome instability and DNA damage owing to dysregulated Aurora-A. J Cell Sci. 2013;126(Pt 15):3429–3440. doi:10.1242/jcs.128397
- 74. Asquith CRM, Temme L. STK19: a new target for NRAS-driven cancer. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2020;19(9):579. doi:10.1038/d41573-020-00116-x
- 75. Yin C, Zhu B, Zhang T, et al. Pharmacological targeting of STK19 inhibits oncogenic NRAS-driven melanomagenesis. *Cell.* 2019;176 (5):1113–1127.e16. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.002
- 76. Gene Cards. The Human Gene Database. PTPN11 Gene. Available from: https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=PTPN11. Accessed June 7, 2021.
- 77. Hill KS, Roberts ER, Wang X, et al. PTPN11 plays oncogenic roles and is a therapeutic target for BRAF wild-type melanomas. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2019;17(2):583–593. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-18-0777
- Vogel CJ, Smit MA, Maddalo G, et al. Cooperative induction of apoptosis in NRAS mutant melanoma by inhibition of MEK and ROCK. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2015;28(3):307–317. doi:10.1111/pcmr.12364
- 79. Marzagalli M, Montagnani Marelli M, Casati L, et al. Estrogen Receptor β in melanoma: from molecular insights to potential clinical utility. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2016;7:140. doi:10.3389/ fendo.2016.00140
- Haarberg HE, Paraiso KH, Wood E, et al. Inhibition of Wee1, AKT, and CDK4 underlies the efficacy of the HSP90 inhibitor XL888 in an *in vivo* model of NRAS-mutant melanoma. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2013;12(6):901–912. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-12-1003

OncoTargets and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic

agents and protocols on patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

f 🄰 in 🕨 DovePress 3719