open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Long Non-Coding RNAs Gene Variants as Molecular Markers for Diabetic Retinopathy Risk and Response to Anti-VEGF Therapy

Hala MF Mohammad (D^{1,2} Ahmed A Abdelghany (D³ Essam Al Ageeli (D⁴ Shahad W Kattan (D⁵ Ranya Hassan (D⁶ Eman A Toraih (D^{7,8} Manal S Fawzy (D^{9,10} Naglaa Mokhtar (D^{10,11}

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ²Central Laboratory, Center of Excellence in Molecular and Cellular Medicine (CEMCM), Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ³Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ⁴Department of Clinical Biochemistry (Medical Genetics), Faculty of Medicine, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia; ⁵Department of Medical Laboratory, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Taibah University, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia; ⁶Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ⁷Department of Surgery, Tulane University, School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA; ⁸Genetics Unit, Department of Histology and Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ⁹Department of Medical Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt; ¹⁰Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Northern Border University, Arar, Saudi Arabia; ¹¹Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

Correspondence: Manal S Fawzy Department of Medical Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, 41522, Egypt Tel + 20 1008584720 Fax + 20 64 321 6496 Email manal_mohamed@med.suez.edu.eg **Background:** Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) play essential roles in molecular diagnosis and therapeutic response in several diseases.

Purpose: For the first time, we aimed to evaluate the association of four lncRNAs *TUG1* (*rs7284767G/A*), *MIAT* (rs1061540T/C), *MALAT1* (rs3200401C/T), and *SENCR* (rs12420823C/T) variants with susceptibility to diabetic retinopathy (DR), disease severity, and early therapeutic response to intravitreous anti-vascular endothelial growth factor affibercept therapy.

Patients and Methods: This case-control study enrolled 126 adult patients with type 2 diabetes. TaqMan assays using Real-Time PCR were run for genotyping. Multivariable regression analyses were applied to assess the role of each polymorphism after the adjustment of covariates.

Results: Carriers of *TUG1* A/G and *MIAT* T/C and C/C genotypes were more likely to develop DR [OR=3.15 (95% CI=1.15–8.64), and OR=4.31 (95% CI=1.78–10.47)], while *MALAT1* T/C conferred protection (OR=0.40, 95% CI=0.16–0.99). For *TUG1, MALAT1, MIAT*, and *SENCR* genotype combinations, GTCT and GCCC had a higher disease risk (P=0.012). For disease severity, *MIAT* T/T homozygosity was associated with higher DR grade [33.3% (T/T) vs 10% (C/C) and 4.2% (C/T) carriers, P=0.012]. Otherwise, patients with the *SENCR* T variant exhibited better pre-treatment best-corrected visual acuity level (p=0.021). Following aflibercept administration, carrying the *TUG1* A or *MIAT* T/C was associated with a poor therapeutic response (OR=5.02, 95% CI=1.60–15.76, and OR=10.23, 95% CI=1.51–69.15, respectively).

Conclusion: The lncRNAs *TUG1* (*rs7284767G/A*) and *MIAT* (rs1061540T/C) were associated with increased DR susceptibility and poor response to aflibercept treatment, while *MALAT1* (rs3200401C/T) conferred protection to DR. These genetic determinants could be useful in DR risk stratification and pharmacogenetics after validation in large-scale studies. **Keywords:** aflibercept, diabetic retinopathy, MALAT1, MIAT, SENCR, TUG1

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a devastating health problem worldwide with an estimated global prevalence of 9.3% (463 million people) in 2019, predicted to rise to 10.2% (578 million) by 2030, according to the recent "International Diabetes Federation" report.¹ Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the main microvasculopathy associated with long-term diabetes. Retinal inflammation/neovascularization, vascular hyperpermeability, and cell apoptosis play major roles in DR etiopathology.² Healthy endothelial cells are the basis of normal blood vessels,

© 2021 Mohammad et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Graphical Abstract



whereas endothelial cell dysfunction is a risk indicator of diabetic angiopathy.³ Accumulating evidence indicated that diabetes-related microvascular complications result from genetic and environmental interactions.^{4,5}

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are >200 nucleotides in length, are emerging regulatory non-coding RNAs, participate in epigenetic and transcriptional/ post-transcriptional regulation of several cellular pathways in different diseases, including DM and its complications.^{6–9} Several lncRNAs have been implicated in DR,^{10–12} and their deregulation was proved to be associated with DR susceptibility and/or response to treatment^{13,14} (Table 1).

The lncRNA TUG 1 "Taurine UP-regulated 1" was reported as one of the identified genes that are up-regulated in response to amino acid taurine, which induces rod photoreceptor biogenesis.^{15,16} This type of lncRNA is essential for photoreceptors biogenesis in the developing rodent retina.¹⁶ Knockdown of *TUG1* resulted in a defect of migration of the developing rod photoreceptors into the outer nuclear layer and increased transfected cell apoptosis.¹⁷ Furthermore, TUG1 upregulation stimulates Wnt pathway-dependent proliferation and migration of endothelial cells, thereby promoting the occurrence and progression of diabetic atherosclerosis.¹⁸

Another lncRNA reported to be implicated in DR pathophysiology is MIAT "Myocardial Infarction Associated Transcript", also known as Gomafu, or RNCR2 "retinal non-coding RNA 2," which is expressed

in cardiomyocytes and the nucleus of multiple retinal cells.¹⁹ It was found to be up-regulated in the retina of diabetic rats and the fibrovascular membrane of patients with diabetes induced by cellular hyperglycemia.²⁰

The highly conserved lncRNA MALAT1 "metastasisassociated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1", also named NEAT2 "nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 2",²¹ showed significant overexpression in hyperglycemic cell model (the RF/6A), in samples from the aqueous humor, and fibrovascular membranes of patients with diabetes.¹¹ It can regulate retinal endothelial cell pathophysiology and microvascular growth under a hyperglycemic milieu via several cellular pathways, including the "p38/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase)" signaling pathway.²² Silencing of MALAT1 significantly mitigates diabetesinduced retinal neovascularization, vascular hyperpermeability, and retinitis.²³

Lastly, the cytoplasmic lncRNA-SENCR "Smooth muscle and Endothelial cell-enriched migration/differentiation-associated long non-coding RNA" was found to be a vascular cell-enriched lncRNA that can regulate FoxO1 "fork-head box protein O1" and TRPC6 "Transient Receptor Potential Cation channel 6", hence promoting the proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells.²⁴ SENCR has been implicated in regulating pluripotent cells endothelial differentiation and human vascular endothelial cell angiogenic capacity.²⁵ Its silencing downregulates myocardin and smooth muscle contractile genes and upregulates the cell migration-related genes.²⁶

Disease Name	LncRNA (Alias)	Dysfunction	Description	Location	PMID
Diabetes mellitus	CDKN2B-ASI (ANRIL)	Mutation, Expression, Locus	Genetic variation in IncRNA genes causes disease and influences susceptibility.	9p21.3	23791884, 20386740, 23104877, 20956613, 17463249, 20956613, 17463248, 18048406, 24624135
	CDKN2B-AS5	Mutation	GWAS identified several variants in the intergenic region encompassing ANRIL to be associated with several diseases such as T5D.	N/A	22928560
	GAS5 (SNHG2)	Expression	LncRNA GAS5 levels are correlated to the prevalence of T2DM.	l q25.1	26675493
	HI9	Expression	Associated with increased birth weight; higher expression in T2D patients.	11p15.5	17463249
	IGF2-AS (PEG8)	Mutation	Association identified by GWAS.	p 5.5	17554260
	LINC01370 (HILNC25)	Regulation	Depletion of HI-LNC25, cell-specific IncRNA, down-regulated GLIS3 mRNA, thus exemplifying a gene regulatory function of islet IncRNAs. Finally, selected islet IncRNAs were dysregulated in type 2 diabetes or mapped to genetic loci underlying diabetes susceptibility.	20q12	23040067
	LINC00271	Mutation	Association identified by GWAS.	6q23.3	17668382
	MALATI (NEAT2)	Expression	In addition, MALAT I, a conserved IncRNA, was significantly upregulated in an RF/6A cell model of hyperglycemia in the aqueous humor samples and fibrovascular membranes of diabetic patients.	q 3.	24436191
	RNCR2 (MIAT, GOMAFU)	N/A	May affect β -cell mass.	22q12.1	20486133
-	TUGI	Regulation	A direct interaction between PGC-1α and Tug1 modulates mitochondrial bioenergetics in podocytes in the diabetic milieu.	11	27760051
	MEG3 (GTL2)	Locus, Expression	The imprinted DLK1-MEG3 gene region on chromosome 14q32.2 alters susceptibility to type 1 diabetes.	I 4q32	19966805, 26845358, 26603935
			MEG3 up-regulation may serve as a therapeutic strategy for treating diabetes-related microvascular complications.		

Table I The Role of IncRNAs in Diabetes and Diabetic Complications

Table I (Continued).

Disease Name	LncRNA (Alias)	Dysfunction	Description	Location	PMID
			MEG3 may be a potential target and therapeutic strategy for diabetes. MEG3 knockdown aggravates retinal vessel dysfunction in vivo and regulates retinal endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and tube formation in vitro.		
	NEATI	Regulation	Regulates mTOR signaling pathway.	q 3.	28643459
	NONHSAG011351	Regulation	ERBB3, whose locus associated lncRNA (NONHSAG011351) was expressed in human islets, may constitute novel targets to prevent β-cell destruction in TID.	12q24.13	26450151
	PDZRN3-ASI	Mutation	SNP rs11128347 (C>G) in PDZRN3 is associated with African Americans with type 2 diabetes.	3p13	21546767
	PINK I-AS	Expression	PINKI is induced by PTEN, which is an important inhibitor of insulin signaling. PINKI depletion has been associated with diabetes status, impaired glucose uptake in neuronal cell lines, and mitochondrial gene expression in adipocytes, raising the possibility that disruption to naPINKI may impact glucose metabolism.	Ip36.12	22817756
	PLUTO (PDX1-ASI)	N/A	Regulates PDX1 expression.	3q 2.2	28041957
	PVTI (onco-IncRNA- 100)	Mutation	There is an association between variants (rs2720709, A>G) in the plasmacytoma variant translocation I gene (PVTI) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) attributed to both type I and type 2 diabetes. Identification of PVTI (rs2720709, A>G) as a candidate gene for end-stage renal disease in type 2 diabetes using a pooling-based genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism association study.	8q24.21	21526116, 17395743
	RNCR3 (LINC00599)	Locus	RNCR3 knockdown may be a promising strategy for the prevention of diabetes mellitus-induced retinal neurodegeneration.	8 _P 23.I	27616193

Table I (Continued).

Disease Name	LncRNA (Alias)	Dysfunction	Description	Location	PMID
Diabetic retinopathy	MALATI (NEAT2)	Regulation Expression	MALAT I knockdown could regulate retinal endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and tube formation in vitro. MALAT I down-regulation could ameliorate DR by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA in regulating VEGF levels through miR-150-5p. MALAT I may become a potential therapeutic target for the prognosis, diagnosis, and treatment of DR. associated with markers of visual and retinal vessel function. Activates inflammatory pathway via TNF-α and IL-6.	q 3.	25356875, 24436191
	RNCR2 (MIAT, GOMAFU)	Regulation	MIAT knockdown could repress TNF-α- induced abnormal proliferation and migration of HLECs, by acting as a ceRNA. Attenuates retinal vessel impairment and vascular leakage and formed a feedback loop with Akt and miR-150-5p. NF-κB activation	22q12.1	27043545, 29074557
	MEG3	N/A	Modulates angiogenesis by PI3K/Akt	14q32.2	26845358
	CDKN2B-ASI (ANRIL)	N/A	Increases retinal microvascular permeability in vivo Increases VEGF mediated by PRC2 complex and p300	9p21.3	28122089, 23813974
	RNCR3(LINC00599)	N/A	Increases cell viability and proliferation, promotes EC migration and tube formation in vitro Aggravates retinal cell apoptosis, visual function, and microvascular leakage in vivo Related to the release of several cytokines	8p23.1	21857657, 27253412
	BDNF-AS	N/A	Increases cell apoptosis. Cause early neurodegeneration	p 4.	23271640, 26004392
	SOX2OT	N/A	Mediates glucose-induced retinal injury. Antioxidative via regulation of NRF2/ HO-I signaling activity Promotes neurodegeneration	3q26.33	27193103, 29074557, 18846214
Diabetic cardiomyopathy	H19 (WT2)	Regulation	LncRNA H19/miR-675 axis regulates cardiomyocyte apoptosis by targeting VDAC1 in diabetic cardiomyopathy.	l1p15.5	27796346
	MALATI (NEAT2)	Regulation	Involvement of long non-coding RNA MALATI in the pathogenesis of diabetic cardiomyopathy.	q 3.	26476026

Table I (Continued).

Disease Name	LncRNA (Alias)	Dysfunction	Description	Location	PMID
Diabetic nephropathy	CYP4B1-PS1	Regulation	A novel long non-coding RNA CYP4B1 PSI-001 regulates proliferation and fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy. may regulate proliferation and fibrosis in mesangial cells [52	N/A	26923441
	ENSMUST00000147869	Regulation	Long non-coding RNA ENSMUST00000147869 protects mesangial cells from proliferation and fibrosis induced by diabetic nephropathy.	N/A	27083175
	PVTI (onco-IncRNA- 100)	Expression Interaction Regulation	Variants in the plasmacytoma variant translocation gene were strongly associated with DKD in the Pima Indians, a group with the highest prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the world. PVTI may mediate the development and progression of diabetic nephropathy through mechanisms involving ECM accumulation. Role of MicroRNA 1207–5P and Its Host Gene, the Long Noncoding RNA Pvt1, as Mediators of Extracellular Matrix Accumulation in the Kidney.	8q24.21	27503944, 21526116, 24204837, 24204837
	RNCR2 (MIAT, GOMAFU)	Regulation	Mediates high glucose-induced renal tubular epithelial injury.	22q12.1	26551455

Note: The Bold names were selected in this study. Data source: LncRNADisease database (http://www.cuilab.cn) and literature search.

Several studies have reported that lncRNA-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) may impact DR.^{27,28} However, susceptibility to the TUG1 MALAT1 (rs7284767G/A),*MIAT* (rs1061540T/C), (rs3200401C/T), and SENCR (rs12420823C/T) variants which are selected based on specific criteria detailed in 2.4 section of this study, were not investigated yet in association with DR risk or response to "anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)" aflibercept treatment. It is worth noting that anti-VEGF agents used in clinical practice, such as ranibizumab and aflibercept, are considerably different in terms of molecular interactions when they bind with VEGF.²⁹ In this sense, this study aimed to investigate whether the selected genetic variants of the specified lncRNAs are associated with DR susceptibility, clinic-laboratory data, or the short-term (after four weeks) response to aflibercept therapy in the hope to find new biomolecular markers that help in patient risk stratification and/or listed within the pharmacogenetics-related variants.

Patients and Methods Study Population

This cross-sectional study recruited 126 consecutive adult patients with type 2 DM attending the "Ophthalmology Department, Suez Canal University Hospitals" and a private Clinic, Ismailia, Egypt. The "Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study" (ETDRS) report³⁰ was followed in patients' evaluation and subclassification into DR group (n = 73) and non-DR group (n = 53). About 42.5% of DR and 22% of non-DR patients were on insulin therapy. Both groups had a comparable period of diabetes duration. Patients presented with other ophthalmic disorders, including neovascular glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, or hematogenous retinal detachment, vasculopathy other than DR, history of ocular trauma or surgery, having other chronic diseases and/or severe comorbidities were excluded. The "institutional Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University" approved the study (approval No.4465). This study was executed according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and its amendments. Written informed consent was obtained from the study population before taking part.

Clinical Assessment

A complete ophthalmic evaluation was done for all participants. Examination at the first visit to the ophthalmic clinic and throughout the follow-up visits included (1) the BCVA "best-corrected visual acuity" using logMAR "log of the Minimum Angle of Resolution", (2) the anterior/ posterior segment examination using slit-lamp biomicroscopy and +20 D/+90 D lenses, respectively, (3) colored fundus photography and (4) optical coherence tomography (OCT). Based on the initial FFA "fundus fluorescein angiography" assessment, maculopathy type and subclassification (focal vs diffuse) have been assigned. The ischemic type of DR was ruled out to avoid any bias in the results. The "non-proliferative DR (NPDR)" (subclassified into mild, moderate, or severe subtype) and "proliferative DR (PDR)" diagnoses were reached based on the ETDRS. Based on the OCT and the clinical findings, the diabetic macular edema (DME) diagnosis was assigned.³⁰

Optical Coherence Tomography Scans

Patients retinas were subjected to OCT scans as explained previously.³¹ Patients presented with DME underwent an intravitreal injection (IV) of aflibercept (2 mg in 0.05 mL), "Eylea 40 mg/mL, Bayer Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany", session after blood sampling. All the specified percussions and standards were followed pre-, during, and post-injection, as shown previously.¹⁴ Following-up was scheduled on the first day/one week, and one month after the first injection session. Both BCVA and OCT were reperformed after one month to evaluate the CMT. The initial response to aflibercept (one dose) was assigned as

BCVA improvement more than two lines of the Snellen s chart (Converted to Log MAR units for the statistical analysis), and CST reduction more than 15% of the pre-treatment thickness.¹⁴

The repeated injection was arranged when appropriate. Both "BCVA" and "CMT" changes were updated and recorded during the follow-up visits for all treated patients.

Blood Sampling and IncRNA Variants Genotyping

A total of five milliliters of venous blood samples were withdrawn from all participants after overnight fasting under aseptic conditions on EDTA tubes. Buffy coat genomic DNA was isolated using "QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit (Catalog # 51104; Qiagen GmbH)" according to the vendor's guidelines. The nucleic acid concentration and purity were evaluated using "NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc. Wilmington, DE, USA)".

The studied variants selection was based on (1) dbSNP (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) search for the minor allele frequency (MAF) ≥0.1 in the selected lncRNAs to get adequate statistical power, (2) mining in previous literature which showed evidence of the functional significance of these polymorphisms, and/or (3) no previous literature relates these SNPs with DR at least in our population. Genotyping was done on "StepOne Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction system (Applied Biosystems, USA)". Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was run in duplicates in a total volume of 25 µL containing 20 ng of extracted genomic DNA diluted to 11.25 µL with nuclease-free water, 12.5µL TaqMan genotyping PCR Master Mix, and 1.25 µL predesigned primer/probe sets TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (20x) working stock (assay IDs: C 2566592 10, C 2467719 1, C 3246069 10, and C 11783392 10), for TUGI (rs7284767G/A), MIAT (rs1061540T/C), **MALAT1** (rs3200401C/T), and SENCR (rs12420823C/T), respectively. "No-template negative controls" (NTCs) were applied in each PCR run to confirm free reaction contamination. The PCR program was set as followed: 10 min (95°C) for one cycle, followed by 15 sec (90°C) and 1 min (60°C) for 40 two-stage cycles. The genotyping call was done by Applied Biosystems software with a 99.2% call rate and 100% concordance rate.

Statistical Analysis

The SPSS for Windows (version 27) and R (version 3.5.3) were used for the statistical analysis. G*Power (version 3.1.9.2.) was applied for sample size calculation. At a 95% significance level (alpha 0.05) and an effect size of 0.37 with a minimal sample size required to reject the null hypothesis (n= 126), the calculated study power was 91%. Data distribution and normality were checked by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. "Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium" (HWE) and allele/genotype frequencies of the studied variants were estimated within each group, as mentioned previously.³²

Multivariable regression models were employed. Adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for multiple genetic association models were calculated using SNPStats (<u>https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm</u>). One-way ANOVA test was applied for parametric attributes, and Mann Whitney or Kruskal–Wallis tests were executed for non-parametric data. A Chi-square test was applied for qualitative variables. Significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

A total of 126 diabetic cohorts were included in the current analysis. Of these, 73 patients who developed diabetic retinopathy were compared to those who did not progress to retinopathy phenotype. Their mean ages were 59.8 ± 9.5 years and 62.5 ± 7.5 years, respectively (P = 0.09). Females accounted for 69.9% in DR cohorts and 79.2% in DM group (P = 0.30). Hypertension comorbidity was found in 71.2% and 62.3% of patients, with no significant difference between the group (P = 0.33). In contrast, patients with DR had more prevalent insulin intake (41.1% versus 17.0%, P = 0.006), and higher glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels (8.92% $\pm 2.2\%$ vs 5.45% $\pm 1.01\%$, P = 0.025) (Table 2). These variables were taken into consideration in the downstream multivariable regression analysis.

Clinical Assessment of Diabetic Retinopathy Patients

DR patients were categorized according to the disease stage into mild, moderate, and severe non-proliferative

Table 2 Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

and proliferative diseases. As depicted in Table 3, patients with PDR were significantly older (65.8 ± 10.9 years, p = 0.018), more likely to have prolonged disease duration (26.4 ± 7.1 years, P < 0.001), and higher frequency of insulin intake (76.9%, P = 0.001). There were no significant inter-group differences regarding their therapeutic response (P = 0.89) nor their best-corrected visual acuity (P = 0.17).

Allelic Discrimination Analysis

Apart from *TUG1* polymorphism (p < 0.001), genotype frequencies in diabetic controls were in accordance with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (*MIAT:* p = 0.29, *MALAT1:* P = 0.79, *SENCR:* P = 0.78). For *TUG1* (rs7284767), *MIAT* (rs1061540), *MALAT1* (rs3200401), and *SENCR* (rs12420823), overall minor allele frequencies were 0.37 (A), 0.26 (C), 0.47 (T), and 0.42 (T), respectively. On comparison between the two study groups, *MIAT* C variant was more frequent among DR patients (C allele: 44% in DR group *versus* 26% in DM group, P = 0.004) (Figure 1A). Similarly, higher proportions of *MIATT*/C and C/C genotypes were observed in DR group (C/C: 27% versus 13%, C/T: 33% versus 26%, P = 0.049) (Figure 1B). Comparison with other ethnic populations from 1000Genome Project is shown in Figure 1C.

Association of IncRNA Variants with Disease Risk

As seen in Table 4, TUGI A/G was 3 times more likely to develop DR under heterozygote comparison (OR = 3.15, 95% CI = 1.15 to 8.64) and over-dominant model (OR =

Variables	Levels	DM (n=53)	DR (n=73)	P-value	OR (95% CI)
Age, year	Mean ± SD	62.5 ± 7.5	59.8 ± 9.5	0.097	
Sex	Female Male	42 (79.2%) 11 (20.8%)	51 (69.9%) 22 (30.1%)	0.306	1.64 (0.71–3.78)
Hypertension	Negative Positive	20 (37.7%) 33 (62.3%)	21 (28.8%) 52 (71.2%)	0.337	1.50 (0.70–3.18)
Disease duration, year	Mean ± SD	13.9 ± 5.2	16.2 ± 7.9	0.067	
Hypoglycemic drug	Oral Insulin	44 (83.0%) 9 (17.0%)	43 (58.9%) 30 (41.1%)	0.006	3.41 (1.45-8.02)
HbAIc, %	Mean ± SD	5.45 ± 1.01	8.92 ± 2.2	0.025	

Note: Data are shown as numbers and percentages or mean and standard deviation (SD). Chi-square and Student's t-tests were applied. Bold values indicate significance at *P*-value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; DR, diabetic retinopathy; HbAIc, glycated hemoglobin.

Variables		Mild NPDR	Moderate NPDR	Severe NPDR	PDR	P-value
Age (year)	Mean ± SD	57.7 ± 6.1	57.3 ± 10.4	63.4 ± 8.1	65.8 ± 10.9 ^{ab}	0.018
Sex	Female Male	15 (68.2) 7 (31.8)	22 (81.5) 5 (18.5)	8 (66.7) 4 (33.3)	6 (50.0) 6 (50.0)	0.25
Hypertension	Negative Positive	9 (40.39) 13 (59.1)	10 (27.0) 17 (63.0)	(8.3) (91.7)	(8.3) (91.7)	0.06
Disease duration (year)	Mean ± SD	10.4 ± 4.4	15.4 ± 5.2^{a}	17.6 ± 7.7 ^a	26.4 ± 7.1 ^{abc}	<0.001
Hypoglycemic drug	Oral Insulin	19 (86.4) 3 (13.6)	17 (63.0) 10 (37.0)	5 (41.7) 7 (58.3)	2 (16.7) 10 (83.3)	0.001
HbAlc (%)	Mean ± SD	9.1 ± 2.4	9 ± 2.3	8.3 ± 1.6	9.2 ± 2.3	0.68
Pre-CMT	Mean ± SD	441.9 ± 182.3	417 ± 129.7	376 ± 105	399.7 ± 115.3	0.68
Post-CMT	Mean ± SD	330.6 ± 96.9	345.6 ± 121.9	291.6 ± 53.8	354.6 ± 132.8	0.49
CMT change	Mean ± SD	-111.3 ± 151.3	-71.5 ± 88.3	-84.4 ± 80	-45.1 ± 82.1	0.33
Pre-BCVA	Mean ± SD	0.3 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2 a	0.8 ± 0.1^{abc}	<0.001
Post-BCVA	Mean ± SD	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2^{abc}	<0.001
BCVA change	Mean ± SD	-0.1 ± 0.2	-0.2 ± 0.1	-0.3 ± 0.2	-0.1 ± 0.1	0.17
Treatment response	Improved Deteriorated	16 (72.7) 6 (27.3)	22 (81.5) 5 (18.5)	10 (83.3) 2 (16.7)	9 (75.0) 3 (25.0)	0.84

Table 3 Clinical and Ophthalmologic Assessment of Patients with Diabetic Retinopathy of Different Grades

Notes: ^aCompared to mild NPDR, ^bCompared to moderate NPDR, ^cCompared to severe NPDR. Chi-square and one-way ANOVA tests were used, followed by Tukey post hoc comparison test. Bold values indicate significance at *P*-value < 0.05. Treatment response: improved after one month of Aflibercept IV injection classified by change of CMT. **Abbreviations:** NPDR, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy; HBA1c, glycated hemoglobin; Pre, pre-treatment with aflibercept; Post, posttreatment with aflibercept; CMT, central macular thickness; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity assessed by the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

3.33, 95% CI = 1.28 to 8.67). *MIAT* T/C and C/C were associated with three and eight times more odds for developing disease [heterozygote model: OR = 2.97, 95% CI = 1.11 to 7.93, homozygote model: OR = 8.43, 95% CI = 2.40 to 29.59, dominant model: OR = 4.31, 95% CI = 1.78 to 10.47, recessive model: OR = 5.23, 95% CI = 1.66 -16.45, and log-additive model: OR = 2.92, 95% CI = 1.60 to 5.33]. In contrast, MALAT1 C/T rendered protection with 60% decreased susceptibility to develop DR disease under heterozygote comparison (OR = 0.40, 95% CI = 0.16 to 0.99) and dominant model (OR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.18 to 0.96). Gene–gene interaction analysis revealed that carriers for GTCT and GCCC genotype combinations had 17- and 20-times higher disease risk, respectively (P = 0.012) (Table 5).

Association of IncRNA Variants with Disease Severity

SENCR T variant was associated with better pre-treatment BCVA level (0.63 \pm 0.19 in C/C compared to 0.45 \pm 0.27 in C/T and 0.46 \pm 0.26 in T/T carriers, P = 0.021). In contrast, *MIAT* T/T homozygosity was associated with higher grade (PDR: 33.3% in T/T compared to 10% in C/C and 4.2% in C/T carriers, P = 0.012) (Table 6).

Association of IncRNA Variants with Drug Response After Anti-VEGF Treatment

TUG1 A and *MIAT* C alleles were associated with nonearly response to aflibercept treatment (P = 0.020 and 0.014, respectively). Carriers for *TUG1* A allele showed the lowest median change in CMT (-11.5 in A/A compared to -90 in A/G and -54 in G/G, P = 0.016) and BCVA (0 in A/A compared to -0.15 in A/G and -0.1 in G/ G, P = 0.005) (Table 6). The same allele (*TUG1* A) was significantly associated with treatment failure under homozygote comparison (OR = 25.10, 95% CI = 2.53 to 249.44), dominant model (OR = 8.18, 95% CI = 1.48 to 45.29), recessive model (OR = 8.81, 95% CI = 1.49– 52.06), and log-additive model (OR = 5.02, 95% CI =





Figure I Genotype and allele frequencies of the studied genetic variants. (A) Genotype frequencies of polymorphisms. (B) Allele frequencies of polymorphisms. A Chisquare test was applied. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. (C) Allele frequencies of TUG1 (rs7284767), MIAT (rs1061540), MALAT1 (rs3200401), and SENCR (rs12420823) in 1000Genome Project. Bold values indicate significance at P-value < 0.05.

Dovepress

Gene Model Genotypes DM DR Crude OR (95% CI) **P-value** Adjusted OR (95% CI)* P- value TUGI Codominant G/G 28 (52.8%) 34 (46.6%) 1.00 0.11 1.00 0.034 A/G 11 (20.8%) 27 (37%) 2.02 (0.85-4.78) 3.15 (1.15-8.64) A/A 12 (16.4%) 14 (26.4%) 0.71 (0.28-1.77) 0.84 (0.30-2.34) Dominant G/G 28 (52.8%) 34 (46.6%) 1.00 0.49 1.00 0.18 A/G-A/A 25 (47.2%) 39 (53.4%) 1.28 (0.63-2.61) 1.72 (0.77-3.84) G/G-A/G 61 (83.6%) 1.00 0.17 0.24 Recessive 39 (73.6%) 1.00 A/A 14 (26.4%) 12 (16.4%) 0.55 (0.23-1.31) 0.56 (0.22-1.47) Over-dominant G/G-A/A 42 (79.2%) 46 (63%) 1.00 0.047 1.00 0.01 A/G 2.24 (0.99-5.07) 3.33 (1.28-8.67) 11 (20.8%) 27 (37%) Log-additive _ 0.94 (0.60-1.48) 0.79 1.06 (0.64-1.73) 0.83 MIAT Codominant T/T 32 (60.4%) 29 (39.7%) 1.00 0.046 1.00 8e-04 T/C 1.89 (0.83-4.33) 2.97 (1.11-7.93) 14 (26.4%) 24 (32.9%) C/C 7 (13.2%) 20 (27.4%) 3.15 (1.16-8.54) 8.43 (2.40-29.59) Dominant T/T 32 (60.4%) 29 (39.7%) 0.022 1.00 7e-04 1.00 T/C-C/C 21 (39.6%) 44 (60.3%) 2.31 (1.12-4.76) 4.31 (1.78-10.47) T/T-T/C 0.05 0.0023 Recessive 46 (86.8%) 53 (72.6%) 1.00 1.00 C/C 20 (27.4%) 2.48 (0.96-6.39) 5.23 (1.66-16.45) 7 (13.2%) Over-dominant T/T-C/C 39 (73.6%) 49 (67.1%) 1.00 0.43 1.00 0.33 T/C 14 (26.4%) 24 (32.9%) 1.36 (0.62-2.98) 1.54 (0.65-3.68) 1.80 (1.11-2.90) 0.013 2.92 (1.60-5.33) 2e-04 Log-additive _ _ _ MALATI Codominant C/C 14 (26.4%) 33 (45.2%) 1.00 0.076 1.00 0.11 C/T 28 (52.8%) 0.39 (0.17-0.90) 0.40 (0.16-0.99) 26 (35.6%) T/T 11 (20.8%) 14 (19.2%) 0.54 (0.20-1.48) 0.44 (0.14–1.40) Dominant C/C 14 (26.4%) 33 (45.2%) 1.00 0.03 1.00 0.037 C/T-T/T 39 (73.6%) 40 (54.8%) 0.44 (0.20-0.94) 0.41 (0.18-0.96) C/C-C/T 59 (80.8%) 0.54 Recessive 42 (79.2%) 1.00 0.83 1.00 T/T 11 (20.8%) 14 (19.2%) 0.91 (0.37-2.19) 0.72 (0.26-2.03) C/C-T/T 25 (47.2%) 47 (64.4%) 0.054 0.12 Over-dominant 1.00 1.00 C/T 28 (52.8%) 26 (35.6%) 0.49 (0.24-1.02) 0.53 (0.24-1.19) _ 0.68 (0.42-1.11) 0.12 0.61 (0.35-1.07) 0.083 Log-additive SENCR C/C 18 (34%) 23 (31.5%) 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.92 Codominant C/T 25 (47.2%) 35 (48%) 1.10 (0.49-2.44) 1.18 (0.46-3.01) 1.01 (0.32-3.15) T/T 10 (18.9%) 15 (20.6%) 1.17 (0.43-3.22) C/C 0.77 0.8 Dominant 18 (34%) 23 (31.5%) 1.00 1.00 C/T-T/T 1.12 (0.53-2.37) 1.12 (0.46-2.72) 35 (66%) 50 (68.5%)

Table 4 Genetic Association Models for the Study Long Non-Coding RNAs and Disease Risk

Table 4 (Continued).

Gene	Model	Genotypes	DM	DR	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P- value
	Recessive	C/C-C/T	43 (81.1%)	58 (79.5%)	1.00	0.82	1.00	0.84
		T/T	10 (18.9%)	15 (20.6%)	1.11 (0.46–2.71)		0.91 (0.34–2.40)	
	Over-dominant	C/C-T/T	28 (52.8%)	38 (52%)	1.00	0.93	1.00	0.69
		C/T	25 (47.2%)	35 (48%)	1.03 (0.51–2.09)		1.18 (0.53–2.62)	
	Log-additive	-	_	-	1.08 (0.66–1.78)	0.75	1.02 (0.57–1.79)	0.96

Notes: Data are presented as numbers and percentages. Crude and *adjusted OR by age, sex, disease duration, hypoglycemic treatment, and hypertension were estimated. Adjusted OR (95% CI) for multiple genetic association models were calculated using SNPStats (<u>https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm</u>). Bold values indicate significance at *P*-value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes without diabetic retinopathy; DR, diabetic retinopathy; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval.

	1	1	1	1	-	1			1
	TUGI	ΜΙΑΤ	MALATI	SENCR	DM	DR	Cum Freq	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P-value
I	G	т	С	с	0.218	0.134	0.154	1.00	-
2	G	т	т	С	0.080	0.103	0.262	1.26 (0.23-6.80)	0.79
3	Α	т	С	т	0.097	0.105	0.359	0.51 (0.08-3.44)	0.49
4	Α	С	С	С	0.044	0.104	0.446	2.97 (0.43-20.4)	0.27
5	G	т	С	т	0.066	0.070	0.528	17.1 (1.9–149.8)	0.012
6	G	т	т	т	0.130	0.057	0.608	0.70 (0.17-3.0)	0.64
7	G	С	т	С	0.035	0.083	0.670	7.7 (0.75–79.2)	0.088
8	G	с	С	с	0.042	0.069	0.731	20.9 (2.07–212)	0.012
9	G	С	С	т	0.018	0.093	0.790	1.03 (0.09–11.9)	0.98
10	Α	т	т	С	0.074	0.034	0.843	0.63 (0.08-5.30)	0.68
П	G	С	т	т	0.039	0.028	0.880	4.31 (0.37–50.2)	0.25
12	Α	т	т	т	0.040	0.031	0.915	0.38 (0.03-5.19)	0.47
13	А	С	Т	т	0.018	0.026	0.941	0.71 (0.04–11.2)	0.81
14	А	Т	С	С	0.027	0.020	0.967	4.39 (0.25–76.5)	0.31
15	А	С	С	Т	0.012	0.026	0.988	1.92 (0.13–28.3)	0.64
16	А	С	т	С	0.052	0.000	1.0	0.45 (0.01-22.6)	0.69
			1	1			1		

 Table 5 Combined Genotype Association with Disease Risk

Notes: Global haplotype association P-value: 0.04. *Adjusted OR by age, sex, disease duration, hypoglycemic treatment, and hypertension were estimated. Bold values indicate significance at P-value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes without diabetic retinopathy; DR, diabetic retinopathy; Cum Freq, cumulative frequency; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval.

1.60 to 15.76). In addition, *MIAT* T/C genotype was associated with poor therapeutic response under heterozygote comparison (OR = 10.23, 95% CI: 1.51 to 69.15), dominant model (OR = 5.95, 95% CI = 1.04 to 34.23), and over-dominant model (OR: 6.26, 95% CI: 1.41–27.80) (Table 7).

Discussion

The current treatment strategies for DR are mainly used in the advanced stages of the disease and may be related to several side effects. In this sense, it is necessary to explore a new landscape of susceptibility genetic variants associated with disease development, severity, or response to treatment to identify the individuals most likely to develop DR and predicting treatment effects. To this end, we genotyped for the first time four variants belonging to lncRNAs which proved previously to be implicated in one or more pathophysiological mechanisms of diabetes or its complications (Table 1). Our results show a variable degree of disease risk, severity, and/or early response to aflibercept treatment.

We found that carriers of lncRNA *TUG1* A/G of rs7284767 variant were three times more likely to develop DR under heterozygote and over-dominant models. Also, carriers of the *TUG1* A allele under several genetic models were associated with non-early response to affibercept treatment as they showed the lowest median change in CMT and BCVA.

Variables	TUGI	MIAT	MALATI	SENCR
Age	0.433	0.789	0.759	0.763
Sex	0.774	0.867	0.245	0.684
Hypertension	0.773	0.665	0.658	0.884
Disease duration	0.191	0.088	0.082	0.126
Hypoglycemic drug	0.484	0.523	0.726	0.385
HbAlc	0.367	0.316	0.830	0.838
Retinopathy grade	0.171	0.012	0.402	0.786
Treatment response	0.020	0.014	0.688	0.775
VA improvement	0.005	0.207	0.137	0.620
Pre-CMT	0.162	0.274	0.918	0.386
Post-CMT	0.677	0.787	0.050	0.207
CMT change	0.016	0.090	0.161	0.984
Pre-BCVA	0.331	0.061	0.494	0.021
Post-BCVA	0.640	0.114	0.262	0.228
BCVA change	0.052	0.822	0.207	0.239

Notes: A two-sided chi-square test and one-way ANOVA tests were applied. Bold values indicate significance at P-value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; VA, visual acuity; Pre, pre-treatment with aflibercept; Post, posttreatment with aflibercept; CMT, central macular thickness; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity assessed by the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

The rs7284767 variant was found to be located in one of "novel biologically relevant; NBR" target sites on the TUG1 and was associated with unusual patterns of longrange haplotype conservation in the human genome³³ and exhibited significantly high integrated haplotype score value; "a gnomically standardized measure for conservation of long-range haplotype associated with a given SNP in a population".³⁴ This variant was predicted to disrupt the NBR target site for miR-20 in humans.³³ Interestingly, miR-20 was associated with diabetes and DR in previous studies^{35,36} and proved to have diagnostic and prognostic roles in patients with DR.36 Given the targeting role of this microRNA for the 3'-untranslated regions of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α and VEGFA genes, it is not surprising to be associated with angiogenesis-related disorders, including DR and with treatment response to anti-VEGF aflibercept treatment. A recent study by Duan et al has identified the association of rs7284767 allelic variation with increased plasma TUG1 levels in patients with knee osteoarthritis.37 This finding and all the mechanisms mentioned above could prove that the rs7284767 variant may be functional, supporting its association with disease risk and response to anti-VEGF treatment in the present study population. Future functional assays and detailed genetic analyses will be required to determine the detailed biological significance of this variant and other studied ones in the future.

The present work also explored that MIAT rs1061540T (C/T) and (C/C) genotypes were associated with three and

eight times more odds for developing DR, and T/T homozygosity was associated with a higher grade of PDR relative to other genotypes. Also, the C/T genotype was associated with poor therapeutic response under heterozygote, dominant, and over-dominant models. Although accumulating evidence confirmed the implication of MIAT in every stage of DR by several genetic/epigenetic mechanisms and its knockdown has a therapeutic advantage in neovascular-related disorders as DR,^{20,27,38–40} the specific impact of the rs1061540 variant on MIAT expression and association with DR is yet to be identified. In this sense, more studies are recommended to explore the precise molecular mechanism by which this variant could impact disease susceptibility/severity and/or treatment response.

In contrast to the SNPs mentioned above, the lncRNA *MALAT*1 rs3200401 (T/C) genotype in the current study population conferred protection with 60% decreased susceptibility to develop DR under heterozygote comparison and dominant models. Several in vivo and in vitro studies unraveled the association between MALAT1 level and diabetes/hyperglycemia. It was found to be up-regulated in diabetic mice retinas,¹¹ regulating the retinal endothelial cells function in terms of cell proliferation/migration and tube biogenesis, and the pathological growth of the retinal microvasculature under hyperglycemic conditions.^{23,41} Its pharmacological inhibition can reduce the retinal endothelial cell proliferation phenotype, vasculature growth,

Gene	Model	Genotypes	Improved (n=57)	Deteriorated (n=16)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P-value
TUGI	Codominant	G/G	30 (52.6%)	4 (25%)	1.00	0.006
		A/G	21 (36.8%)	6 (37.5%)	5.49 (0.88–34.16)	
		A/A	6 (10.5%)	6 (37.5%)	25.10 (2.53–249.44)	
	Dominant	G/G	30 (52.6%)	4 (25%)	1.00	0.006
		A/G-A/A	27 (47.4%)	12 (75%)	8.18 (1.48-45.29)	
	Recessive	G/G-A/G	51 (89.5%)	10 (62.5%)	1.00	0.012
		A/A	6 (10.5%)	6 (37.5%)	8.81 (1.49-52.06)	
	Overdominant	G/G-A/A	36 (63.2%)	10 (62.5%)	1.00	0.52
		A/G	21 (36.8%)	6 (37.5%)	1.54 (0.41–5.79)	
	Log-additive	-	-	-	5.02 (1.60-15.76)	0.001
MIAT	Codominant	T/T	26 (45.6%)	3 (18.8%)	1.00	0.026
		C/T	14 (24.6%)	10 (62.5%)	10.23 (1.51-69.15)	
		C/C	17 (29.8%)	3 (18.8%)	2.69 (0.34–21.23)	
	Dominant	T/T	26 (45.6%)	3 (18.8%)	1.00	0.026
		T/C-C/C	31 (54.4%)	13 (81.2%)	5.95 (1.04-34.23)	
	Recessive	T/T-T/C	40 (70.2%)	13 (81.2%)	1.00	0.66
		C/C	17 (29.8%)	3 (18.8%)	0.71 (0.15–3.41)	
	Overdominant	T/T-C/C	43 (75.4%)	6 (37.5%)	1.00	0.011
		T/C	14 (24.6%)	10 (62.5%)	6.26 (1.41-27.80)	
	Log-additive	-	-	-	1.58 (0.66–3.77)	0.29
MALAT I	Codominant	C/C	27 (47.4%)	6 (37.5%)	1.00	0.9
		C/T	20 (35.1%)	6 (37.5%)	1.17 (0.24–5.72)	
		T/T	10 (17.5%)	4 (25%)	1.52 (0.25–9.25)	
	Dominant	C/C	27 (47.4%)	6 (37.5%)	1.00	0.73
		C/T-T/T	30 (52.6%)	10 (62.5%)	1.29 (0.31–5.40)	
	Recessive	C/C-C/T	47 (82.5%)	12 (75%)	1.00	0.68
		T/T	10 (17.5%)	4 (25%)	1.41 (0.28–7.00)	
	Overdominant	C/C-T/T	37 (64.9%)	10 (62.5%)	1.00	I
		C/T	20 (35.1%)	6 (37.5%)	1.00 (0.24-4.12)	
	Log-additive	-	-	-	1.23 (0.50–3.02)	0.65
SENCR	Codominant	C/C	19 (33.3%)	4 (25%)	1.00	0.69
		C/T	26 (45.6%)	9 (56.2%)	1.90 (0.39–9.20)	1
		T/T	12 (21.1%)	3 (18.8%)	1.21 (0.17–8.41)	

 Table 7 Association Between Genotypes and Drug Response Phenotype

Table 7 (Continued).

Gene	Model	Genotypes	Improved (n=57)	Deteriorated (n=16)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P-value
	Dominant	C/C	19 (33.3%)	4 (25%)	1.00	0.5
		C/T-T/T	38 (66.7%)	12 (75%)	1.66 (0.37–7.48)	
	Recessive	C/C-C/T	45 (79%)	13 (81.2%)	1.00	0.77
		T/T	12 (21.1%)	3 (18.8%)	0.79 (0.16–3.94)	
	Overdominant	C/C-T/T	31 (54.4%)	7 (43.8%)	1.00	0.4
		C/T	26 (45.6%)	9 (56.2%)	1.75 (0.48–6.42)	
	Log-additive	-	-	-	1.12 (0.45–2.78)	0.8

Notes: Adjusted OR by age, sex, disease duration, hypoglycemic treatment, hypertension, grade of retinopathy, and HbA1c. *Adjusted OR (95% confidence interval; CI) for multiple genetic association models were calculated using SNPStats (https://www.snpstats.net/start.htm). Bold values indicate significance at P-value < 0.05.

inflammatory mediators, and the pro-inflammatory cytokines such as serum amyloid antigen 3, tumor necrosis factor- α , and interleukin-6.^{39,42} It is worth noting that the lncRNA variants may exert their impact through lncRNA transcript alternative splicing or change in its secondary structure, resulting in loss or gain of function.⁴³ Interestingly, the rs3200401 variant is located in the binding site of "serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 2 (SRSF2)" that controls pre-mRNA alternative splicing of several targets,⁴⁴ including the VEGFA/VEGFR genes, which are considered the main stimulatory signal of angiogenesis in vivo.^{45,46} Using the "IncRNASNP database" [10.1093/nar/ gkx1004]. Wang et al predicted that the rs3200401 variant could cause "1.62 kcal/mol minimal free energy change" which change MALAT1 structure, weaken the MALAT1/ SRSF2 interaction and alter miRNA-MALAT1 binding (eg, impact binding to miR-3661 and miR-1324).⁴⁷ In this sense, it is biologically plausible to speculate that deregulation of the mentioned cellular mechanistic due to MALAT1 (rs3200401C/T) polymorphism may impact the "molecular sponging" function and stability of MALAT1 that result in a less pathophysiological derangement, mainly the angiogenesis process and its progress with lower susceptibility to DR.

Regarding the *SENCR* (rs12420823C/T) intronic variant, the present study showed that it was associated only with better pre-treatment best-corrected visual acuity levels assessed by the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution. This vascular-enriched lncRNA gene has been found to overlap the "Friend Leukemia Integration virus 1; FLI1" gene, an essential regulator of endothelial development, and the lncRNA SENCR can contribute to this regulation and induce proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis of human umbilical endothelial cell.²⁵ As there were no previous publications that uncover the role of the studied *SENCR* rs12420823 in general, more future studies are warranted to confirm the relation of this variant with angiogenesis-related diseases, including DR.

Our gene–gene interaction analysis revealed that carriers for *TUG1*, *MALAT1*, *MIAT*, and *SENCR* (GTCT) and (GCCC) genotype combinations had 17- and 20-times higher disease risk, respectively. This finding is consistent with that the concurrent impact of multiple gene variants is strong enough to unleash the genetic association with disease susceptibility and/or response to treatment.⁴⁸ This multi-polymorphic model could be a potentially useful tool in patient risk stratification and future personalized medicine.

Although this study, up to the authors' knowledge, is the first study to uncover the impact of four angiolncRNAs polymorphisms on DR susceptibility and early response to aflibercept, some limitations should be considered. First, the study cohort is a hospital-based population with difficulty in avoiding selection bias. Second, the limited sample size available in our hospital in the study period with an application of very stringent exclusion criteria. Third, the limited selected study variants with MAF ≥ 0.10 to be included in this study to achieve adequate statistical power. Hence, it is recommended to replicate the work in multi-center, larger-scale studies in different ethnicity populations to validate the current findings. Moreover, including other variants related to the studied lncRNAs, supported with functional analysis to uncover their molecular mechanisms underlying the disease susceptibility and/or response to treatment, will clarify the complete picture.

Conclusion

This study revealed that the genetic variation of lncRNAs *TUG1 (rs7284767G/A)* and *MIAT* (rs1061540T/C) could be molecular determinants for increased DR susceptibility and early response to aflibercept treatment. Otherwise, the *MALAT1* rs3200401 (T/C) variant conferred DR protection. Also, the multi-polymorphic models (GTCT and GCCC) of the *TUG1, MALAT1, MIAT,* and *SENCR,* respectively, were associated with increased DR risk. Further large population-based and functional in vivo and in vitro studies are required to confirm the significant association of the studied variants with DR susceptibility and/or response to aflibercept treatment, particularly in other ethnicities, and to explore the molecular mechanism(s) by which these variants confer DR risk and/or response to anti-VEGF therapy.

Data Sharing Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this submitted article.

Ethics Statement

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were following the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethical approval was obtained from the "institutional Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University" (approval No.4465), Ismailia, Egypt.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Center of Excellence in Molecular and Cellular Medicine and Oncology Diagnostic Unit, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt for providing the facilities for performing the research work as well as we thank all participants who agree to participate in the study.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to data analysis, drafting, or revising the article, have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: results from the international diabetes federation diabetes atlas, 9. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2019;157:107843. doi:10.1016/j.diabres. 2019.107843
- Hu Y, Chen Y, Ding L, et al. Pathogenic role of diabetes-induced PPAR-α down-regulation in microvascular dysfunction. *Proc Natl* Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(38):15401–15406. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1307211110
- Xu E, Hu X, Li X, et al. Analysis of long non-coding RNA expression profiles in high-glucose treated vascular endothelial cells. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2020;20(1):107. doi:10.1186/s12902-020-00593-6
- Villeneuve LM, Natarajan R. The role of epigenetics in the pathology of diabetic complications. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2010;299(1): F14–F25. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00200.2010
- Kong M, Xie K, Lv M, et al. Anti-inflammatory phytochemicals for the treatment of diabetes and its complications: lessons learned and future promise. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2021;133:110975. doi:10.10 16/j.biopha.2020.110975
- Sathishkumar C, Prabu P, Mohan V, Balasubramanyam M. Linking a role of lncRNAs (long non-coding RNAs) with insulin resistance, accelerated senescence, and inflammation in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Hum Genomics*. 2018;12(1):41. doi:10.1186/s40246-018-0173-3
- Fawzy MS, AlSel BTA, Ageeli EA, Al-Qahtani SA, Abdel-Daim MM, Toraih EA. Long non-coding RNA MALAT1 and microRNA-499a expression profiles in diabetic ESRD patients undergoing dialysis: a preliminary cross-sectional analysis. *Arch Physiol Biochem*. 2018;126(2):172–182. doi:10.1080/13813455.2018.1499119.
- Raut SK, Khullar M. The big entity of new RNA world: long noncoding RNAs in microvascular complications of diabetes. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2018;9:300. doi:10.3389/fendo.2018.00300
- Fawzy MS, Abdelghany AA, Toraih EA, Mohamed AM. Circulating long non-coding RNAs H19 and GAS5 are associated with type 2 diabetes but not with diabetic retinopathy: a preliminary study. *Bosn J Basic Med Sci.* 2020;20(3):365–371. doi:10.17305/bjbms.2019. 4533
- Thomas AA, Feng B, Chakrabarti S. ANRIL: a regulator of VEGF in diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2017;58(1):470–480. doi:10.1167/iovs.16-20569
- 11. Yan B, Tao ZF, Li XM, Zhang H, Yao J, Jiang Q. Aberrant expression of long non-coding RNAs in early diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(2):941–951. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-13221
- Biswas S, Thomas A, Feng B, Chen S, Gonder J, Chakrabarti S. Role of long non-coding RNA MALAT1 in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy. *Can J Diabetes*. 2017;41(5):S8. doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2017. 08.027
- Wang J, Gao X, Liu J, Zhang Y, Zhang T, Zhang H. Effect of intravitreal conbercept treatment on the expression of long noncoding RNAs and mRNAs in proliferative diabetic retinopathy patients. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2019;97(6):e902–e912. doi:10.1111/aos.14083
- 14. Toraih EA, Abdelghany AA, Abd El Fadeal NM, Al Ageeli E, Fawzy MS. Deciphering the role of circulating lncRNAs: RNCR2, NEAT2, CDKN2B-AS1, and PVT1 and the possible prediction of anti-VEGF treatment outcomes in diabetic retinopathy patients. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2019;257(9):1897–1913. doi:10.1007/s00417-019-04409-9
- Altshuler D, Lo Turco JJ, Rush J, Cepko C. Taurine promotes the differentiation of a vertebrate retinal cell type in vitro. *Development*. 1993;119(4):1317–1328. doi:10.1242/dev.119.4.1317

- Young TL, Matsuda T, Cepko CL. The non-coding RNA taurine upregulated gene 1 is required for differentiation of the murine retina. *Curr Biol.* 2005;15(6):501–512. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.02.027
- Rapicavoli NA, Blackshaw S. New meaning in the message: noncoding RNAs and their role in retinal development. *Dev Dyn.* 2009;238(9):2103–2114. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21844
- Yan HY, Bu SZ, Zhou WB, Mai YF. TUG1 promotes diabetic atherosclerosis by regulating proliferation of endothelial cells via Wnt pathway. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2018;22(20):6922–6929.
- Yu B, Wang S. Angio-LncRs: lncRNAs that regulate angiogenesis and vascular disease. *Theranostics*. 2018;8(13):3654–3675. doi:10.7150/thno.26024
- Yan B, Yao J, Liu JY, et al. lncRNA-MIAT regulates microvascular dysfunction by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *Circ Res.* 2015;116(7):1143–1156. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.305510
- Eißmann M, Gutschner T, Hämmerle M, et al. Loss of the abundant nuclear non-coding RNA MALAT1 is compatible with life and development. *RNA Biol.* 2012;9(8):1076–1087. doi:10.4161/ma.21089
- 22. Vorotyntseva MI, Frosin VH. [Classification of medical sterilizers and terminology]. *Med Tekh*. 1972;1972(2):33–37. [Amharic]
- Liu JY, Yao J, Li XM, et al. Pathogenic role of lncRNA-MALAT1 in endothelial cell dysfunction in diabetes mellitus. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014;5(10):e1506. doi:10.1038/cddis.2014.466
- 24. Zou ZQ, Xu J, Li L, Han YS. Down-regulation of SENCR promotes smooth muscle cells proliferation and migration in db/db mice through up-regulation of FoxO1 and TRPC6. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2015;74:35–41. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2015.06.009
- Boulberdaa M, Scott E, Ballantyne M, et al. A role for the long noncoding RNA SENCR in commitment and function of endothelial cells. *Mol Ther.* 2016;24(5):978–990. doi:10.1038/mt.2016.41
- 26. Bell RD, Long X, Lin M, et al. Identification and initial functional characterization of a human vascular cell-enriched long non-coding RNA. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2014;34(6):1249–1259. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303240
- 27. Awata T, Yamashita H, Kurihara S, et al. A genome-wide association study for diabetic retinopathy in a Japanese population: potential association with a long intergenic non-coding RNA. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e111715. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111715
- Leung A, Natarajan R. Long noncoding RNAs in diabetes and diabetic complications. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2018;29(11):1064–1073. doi:10.1089/ars.2017.7315
- Platania CB, Di Paola L, Leggio GM, et al. Molecular features of interaction between VEGFA and anti-angiogenic drugs used in retinal diseases: a computational approach. *Front Pharmacol.* 2015;6:248. doi:10.3389/fphar.2015.00248
- Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Early treatment diabetic retinopathy study design and baseline patient characteristics. ETDRS report number 7. *Ophthalmology*. 1991;98 (5Suppl):741–756. doi:10.1016/s0161-6420(13)38009-9
- 31. Abdelghany AA, Toraih EA, Mohamed AA, et al. Association of VEGF gene family variants with central macular thickness and visual acuity after aflibercept short-term treatment in diabetic patients: a pilot study. *Ophthalmic Res.* 2021;64(2):261–272. doi:10.1159/000511087
- 32. Toraih EA, Ismail NM, Toraih AA, Hussein MH, Fawzy MS. Precursor miR-499a variant but not miR-196a2 is associated with rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility in an Egyptian population. *Mol Diagn Ther.* 2016;20(3):279–295. doi:10.1007/s40291-016-0194-3

- 33. Saunders MA, Liang H, Li WH. Human polymorphism at microRNAs and microRNA target sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104(9):3300–3305. doi:10.1073/pnas.0611347104
- 34. Voight BF, Kudaravalli S, Wen X, Pritchard JK. A map of recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol.* 2006;4(3):e72. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040072
- Jiang Q, Lyu XM, Yuan Y, Wang L. Plasma. *Biosci Rep.* 2017;37(2). doi:10.1042/BSR20160589
- 36. Shaker OG, Abdelaleem OO, Mahmoud RH, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic role of serum miR-20b, miR-17-3p, HOTAIR, and MALAT1 in diabetic retinopathy. *IUBMB Life*. 2019;71(3):310– 320. doi:10.1002/iub.1970
- 37. Duan J, Shen T, Dong H, Han S, Li G. Association of the expression levels of long-chain noncoding RNA TUG1 and its gene polymorphisms with knee osteoarthritis. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. 2021;25 (2):102–110. doi:10.1089/gtmb.2020.0208
- Jaé N, Dimmeler S. Long non-coding RNAs in diabetic retinopathy. Circ Res. 2015;116(7):1104–1106. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115. 306051
- 39. Gong Q, Su G. Roles of miRNAs and long non-coding RNAs in the progression of diabetic retinopathy. *Biosci Rep.* 2017;37(6). doi:10. 1042/BSR20171157
- 40. Zhang J, Chen M, Chen J, et al. Long non-coding RNA MIAT acts as a biomarker in diabetic retinopathy by absorbing. *Biosci Rep.* 2017;37(2):BSR20170036. doi:10.1042/BSR20170036
- Michalik KM, You X, Manavski Y, et al. Long non-coding RNA MALAT1 regulates endothelial cell function and vessel growth. *Circ Res.* 2014;114(9):1389–1397. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.30 3265
- Puthanveetil P, Chen S, Feng B, Gautam A, Chakrabarti S. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates hyperglycaemia induced inflammatory process in the endothelial cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2015;19 (6):1418–1425. doi:10.1111/jcmm.12576
- 43. Hrdlickova B, de Almeida RC, Borek Z, Withoff S. Genetic variation in the non-coding genome: involvement of micro-RNAs and long non-coding RNAs in disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014;1842 (10):1910–1922. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.03.011
- 44. Miyagawa R, Tano K, Mizuno R, et al. Identification of cis- and trans-acting factors involved in the localization of MALAT-1 noncoding RNA to nuclear speckles. *RNA*. 2012;18(4):738–751. doi:10. 1261/rna.028639.111
- 45. Gacche RN, Meshram RJ. Angiogenic factors as potential drug target: efficacy and limitations of anti-angiogenic therapy. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014;1846(1):161–179. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2014.05. 002
- 46. Bowler E, Oltean S. Alternative Splicing in Angiogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(9):2067. doi:10.3390/ijms20092067
- 47. Wang JZ, Xiang JJ, Wu LG, et al. A genetic variant in long noncoding RNA MALAT1 associated with survival outcome among patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: a survival cohort analysis. *BMC Cancer*. 2017;17(1):167. doi:10.1186/s12885-017-3151-6
- Bomba L, Walter K, Soranzo N. The impact of rare and low-frequency genetic variants in common disease. *Genome Biol.* 2017;18 (1):77. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1212-4

Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine

Publish your work in this journal

Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal characterizing the influence of genotype on pharmacology leading to the development of personalized treatment programs and individualized drug selection for improved safety, efficacy and sustainability. This journal is indexed on the American Chemical Society's Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/pharmacogenomics-and-personalized-medicine-journal

Dovepress