#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# **Comparison of Perceptions About Patient Safety** Culture Between Physicians and Nurses in Public Hospitals in Vietnam

Thi Nhi Ha Tran<sup>1,\*</sup>, Quoc Thanh Pham<sup>2,\*</sup>, Lien Huong Tran<sup>3</sup>, Tuan Anh Vu<sup>4</sup>, Minh Tu Nguyen<sup>5</sup>, Hung Tien Pham<sup>6</sup>, Thanh Tong Le<sup>7</sup>, Thi Thu Ha Bui 10<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Management Board, Department of Health, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>2</sup>Center of Digital Health, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>3</sup>Management Board, Saint Paul Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>4</sup>Medicine Department, Agriculture General Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>5</sup>Management Board, Phuc Tho hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>6</sup>Faculty of Clinical Medicine, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>7</sup>Student, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam; <sup>8</sup>Faculty of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Quoc Thanh Pham, Center of Digital health, Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, 100000, Vietnam, Tel +840 978502383, Fax +84 024 62662385, Email pgt@huph.edu.vn

Introduction: Patient safety culture (PSC) is a vital component in ensuring high-quality and safe patient care. Assessment of physicians' and nurses' perceptions of existing hospital PSC is the first step to promoting PSC. This paper is aimed to assess physicians' and nurses' perceptions of PSC in 5 public general hospitals in Hanoi, Vietnam.

Methods: This cross-sectional study surveyed 410 physicians and 824 nurses utilizing the validated Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture in an online format.

Results: The composite positive physician's perception of PSC varied from 47.8 to 89.6% with the lowest composite score of patient safety for "staffing" (47.8%) and the highest composite score for "teamwork within units" (89.6%). The composite positive responses for perception among nurses varied from 51.3 to 94.2% with the lowest composite score of patient safety for "staffing" (51.3%) and the highest composite score for "teamwork within units" (94.2%).

Conclusion: The mean scores for "supervisor/manager expectations"; "staffing", "management support for patient safety", "teamwork across units", "handoffs and transitions" among nurses were significantly higher than that among physicians (p<0.05). About two-thirds of physicians and nurses reported no event in the past 12 months (62.8 and 71.7%, respectively). The nurses reported significantly higher patient grades (every good and excellent) than physicians (75% vs 67.1%, p <0.001). Hospitals could develop and implement intervention programs to improve patient safety, including providing interventions on teamwork and communication, encouraging staff to notify incidents, and avoiding punitive responses.

Keywords: patient safety culture, hospital, physicians, nurses

## Introduction

To ensure high quality and safe patient care, it is vital to ensure patient safety culture (PSC).<sup>1</sup> It has been reported that unsafe and poor quality care has resulted in about 64% of deaths in low-middle income countries (LMIC) and many of these deaths are preventable.<sup>2</sup>

Safety culture is regarded as the awareness, values, and perception of safety shared by all members of an organization and directly related to organizational operation.<sup>3</sup> The first step that needs to be done to promote PSC in a hospital is to assess the staff's perception of the hospital's PSC.<sup>4-7</sup> The Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) was initiated by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and has been applied in various countries.<sup>8,9</sup> The HSOPSC measures 12 dimensions at different levels, namely, work area or unit (7 dimensions), hospital (3 dimensions), and

outcomes (2 dimensions).<sup>9,10</sup> The HSOPSC has been translated, validated<sup>11</sup> and used to assess PSC in several hospitals in Vietnam.<sup>12–14</sup>

Literature has revealed that the most frequent positive safety culture aspects reported in hospitals in different countries including Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Switzerland, the US, China, Brazil, Yemen and Vietnam were "organizational learning and continuous improvement", "teamwork within units", "feedback and communication about errors"; "communication openness", while the most frequent negative dimensions were "non-punitive responses to an error", "staff-ing"; "handoffs and transitions"; "overall perceptions of safety", and "frequency of event reporting".<sup>13,15–21</sup> Nonpunitive response to errors often had the lowest scores and teamwork across units often had the highest scores.<sup>9,18,21</sup> Other research has also indicated that sharing and trust in safety culture are communicated in a health institution with a positive safety culture assessment, which serves to support work performance.<sup>6,22</sup>

Physicians and nurses are considered core staff with professional skills, dedicating themselves to health service delivery in hospitals. In general, the physician's positive response score is higher than nurses on "communication openness and teamwork across unit" in Switzerland;<sup>18</sup> Taiwan;<sup>23,24</sup> Germany,<sup>25</sup> Canada;<sup>19</sup> Saudi Arabia;<sup>17</sup> China,<sup>26</sup> Holland<sup>27</sup> and Canada.<sup>28</sup> In comparison, nurses have higher positive response scores on "supervisor/managers expectations and actions on improving patient safety" than physicians and lower responses on "staffing" in Saudi Arabia,<sup>17,29</sup> China;<sup>30</sup> Brazil;<sup>20,31</sup> UK;<sup>32</sup> and Ethiopia.<sup>16</sup> Nurses rate on teamwork within units with lower scores than physicians in Saudi Arabian<sup>29</sup> and Taiwan.<sup>24</sup>

The dimension "overall patient safety grade" was reported quite differently among countries and studies. While physician's perception was reported higher than nurses in Switzerland (78 vs 60%);<sup>18</sup> Taiwan (63.7 vs 52.1%);<sup>23</sup> and Germany;<sup>25</sup> the opposite results were identified in Saudi Arabia,<sup>17,29</sup> China;<sup>30</sup> Brazil;<sup>20,31</sup> UK;<sup>32</sup> and Ethiopia.<sup>16</sup> The "feedback and communication about error" was reported higher among physicians in Holland<sup>33</sup> while this was lower in USA.<sup>19</sup> No differences between physicians and nurses were reported in Palestine.<sup>34</sup>

Overall there has been a low self-reporting rate with about one-third of health workers reporting at least one error in the last year in countries such as, Saudi Arabia; China; Ethiopia; Brazil; Italia; Palestine and USA.<sup>16,29,31,34–37</sup> Blame culture, fear of consequences of reporting, lack of time, missing feedback, work overload, and lack of information resources are common reasons for underreporting<sup>6,8,37–39</sup>

Vietnam is a low-middle income country located in the Southeast Asian region with a population of around 98 million. The health sector reform was initiated in 1986 under the economic and social reform program named *Doi Moi*. Under this reform, user fees, health insurance, and hospital autonomization were introduced. The country's hospital network is organized following the administrative system including 3 levels, namely, district, provincial, and central, and consists of both public and private sectors. Public hospitals share a substantial part in providing health care services.<sup>40</sup>

Hospitals' operation is guided by the Ministry of Health's hospital regulations, in which, main areas including structure, types of activities, and tasks and responsibilities of leaders, managers and staff, hospital management, and technical regulation are stipulated.<sup>41</sup> While diagnosis and treatment are the main responsibility of physicians, nurses' tasks focus on nursing care. These two groups should work as a team to achieve effective and safe patient care.

Patient safety has recently received attention from the Ministry of Health. In 2013, the issuance of the Circular on quality management provided a foundation for patient safety.<sup>42</sup> In 2014, the first training program on patient safety was developed and conducted in different hospitals.<sup>43</sup> Research has shown the variation of PSC among hospitals which depends on the hospital autonomous status, level, and bed size.<sup>12–14</sup> The strongest areas are often "teamwork within units", and "organizational learning/continuous improvement". The poorest areas are typically "staffing", "non-punitive response to error", and "handoff and transition". Different roles in the delivery of health care services could result in physicians having different tasks and challenges even in the same medical environment and, as a result, their perception of PSC may vary. To make effective policies and strategies, it is of great importance for hospital managers to have a good understanding of the hospital's physicians' and nurses' perceptions of PSC. This study aimed to assess and compare the perception of PSC between physicians and nurses in five public general hospitals in Vietnam. The study is expected to identify opportunities for improvement and to inform future interventions on improving PSC for physicians and nurses in hospitals.

# Methods

### Design

The study employed a cross-sectional descriptive design. The data was obtained using a self-reported online survey.

## Setting

The study data was collected from five public general hospitals in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, at the provincial and district level. Table 1 below provides general information about each of the hospitals involved in the study. Three hospitals are autonomous with recurrent expenditure (Hospital A, B and C) and one hospital has an occupancy rate over 100% (Hospital A). Majority of respondents are coming from clinical departments including internal medicine, surgery, obstetric and pediatric (>90%), except hospital E (60.2%).

## **Participants**

A convenience sampling technique was used and there were 410 physicians and 824 nurses in total having completed the survey, resulting in a response rate of 78.0%. The inclusion criteria included working full-time, having been working in the hospital for at least 6 months, and being willing to participate in the survey. Those potential participants who were on sick leave or on a business trip during the study period were not be involved in the study. Participation in the study was completely voluntary.

## **Measurements**

The study used the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) to assess the perception of PSC. This instrument includes 42 questions, measuring 12 dimensions including (1) within-unit teamwork; (2) supervisors' expectations and actions enhancing patient safety, (3) organizational learning, (4) error feedback and communication, (5) openness in communication, (6) staffing, (7) non-punitive responses to errors, (8) management support for patient safety, (9) across-unit teamwork, (10) handoffs and transitions, (11) overall patient safety perceptions, and (12) frequency of events that are reported.

Each item is a question that is either positively or negatively worded. For each item, the participant is required to respond on a 5-point Likert scale to ascertain agreement (from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree") or frequency (from "never" to "always"). In addition, the instrument involves measurement of two outcome variables including the overall patient safety grade and the number of events reported, also using a 5-point liker scale with 1 indicating "failing" and 5 indicating "excellent" for patient safety, and 1 indicating "no event" and 5 indicating "always" for the number of events reported. To get the average scores of each dimension, the items were linearly converted to a scale from 0 to 100 points.<sup>21</sup> The average positive response rate refers to the combined percentage of respondents who answered "Strongly agree" or "Agree", or "Always" or "Most of the time", which was calculated for every participant based on the HSOPSC instructions.

Hospital Description	Hospital A Hospital B		Hospital C	Hospital D	Hospital E	
n	481	75	246	138	294	
Autonomous with recurrent expenditure	x	×	×			
Partially autonomous				×	x	
Level	Provincial	Provincial	Provincial	District	District	
Bed size	865	520	800	300	122	
Occupancy rate	133%	81%	90%	87%	80%	
Department	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Clinical	440 (91.5)	72 (96.0)	231 (93.9)	127 (92.0)	177 (60.2)	
Administrative	41 (8.5)	3 (4.0)	15 (6.1)	11 (8.0)	117 (39.8)	

#### Table I Description of the hospitals

The survey also involved questions about the demographic characteristics of the participants, asking about their age, gender, length of time working in the hospital and unit, number of working hours per week, total income, current position, and current direct contact with patients.

# Data Collection

Data collection was conducted between September and December 2020, when the COVID-19 pandemic was still at a serious situation in the country. Invitation to participate in the study was sent to the participants with the support of the Administration Department at each hospital. Participants were requested to have a good internet connection to complete an online questionnaire sent via email. This questionnaire was designed using Google form and consisted of three parts: 1) Introduction, 2) Consent form, and 3) The main Questionnaire. The introduction provided information about the study objectives and procedures. The participants were requested to express their consent to participate in the study before completing the questionnaire. The questionnaire was anonymous to ensure confidentiality and privacy. Participation in the study was completely voluntary.

# Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the prevalence of demographic characteristics, work-related information of the participants, and the mean ( $\pm$ SD) and the percentage of positive responses for each dimension of the HSOPSC instrument. Negatively worded items were reversely scored so that positive responses would demonstrate higher scores. The percentage of positive responses for each item was calculated following the HSOPSC guideline. The *t*-test was used to examine differences in the mean of the composite scores. A composite positive response rate equal to 75% or higher was identified as strength, whereas a composite positive response rate equal to 50% and below was regarded as weakness.<sup>10</sup> The level of statistical significance was set at less than 0.05. All analyses were undertaken using STATA version 12.0 software.

# Ethics Declarations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Hanoi University of Public Health (approval No. 303/2020/YTCC-HD3). Participation in the study was completely voluntary. The participants could withdraw from the study at any time without bearing any consequences.

# Results

A total of 1234 participants participated in the study (Table 2) with a significantly higher mean of ages among physicians than nurses (34.9 vs 33.1; p<0.001). There were significantly more males than females among physicians (53.2%) while most nurses were female (81.1%) (p<0.001). The number of physicians from hospital A was significantly higher than the other hospitals (34.9%) (p<0.001). The physicians who had working experience less than 5 year were significantly higher than the other categories (44,6%, p<0.05). Nurses, who worked more than 11 years working in their current profession were higher than the other categories (44.2%; p<0.001). Two-thirds of physicians and nurses worked more than 40-59 hours per week (66.1 and 68.7%, respectively) and about one-fourth worked more than 60 per week (25.1 and 25.8%, respectively).

The composite positive physicians' perception of PSC varied from 47.8 to 89.6% (Table 3). The lowest composite scores for patient safety were "staffing" (47.8%), "nonpunitive response to errors" (48.2%) and the highest composite scores were "teamwork within units" (89.6%) and "feedback and communication about error" (82.8%). Two out of the 12 composites were poor (below 50%); seven were acceptable (between 50% to 75%) and 3 composites were considered as good (over 75%).

The composite positive perception of PSC among nurses varied from 51.3 to 94.2%. The lowest composite scores for patient safety were for "staffing" (51.3%) and "nonpunitive response to errors" (57.4%), and the highest composite scores were for "teamwork within units" (94.2%) and "feedback and communication about error" (85.4%). Seven out of the 12 composites were acceptable (between 50% to 75%) and 5 composites were considered as good (over 75%).

Characteristics	Physician (n=410)	Nurse (n=824)	р
N	n (%)	n (%)	
Age, mean (SD)	34.9 (8.3)	33.1 (8.1)	<0.001
Gender			<0.001
Male	218 (53.2)	156 (18.9)	
Female	192 (46.8)	668 (81.1)	
Hospital			<0.001
Hospital A	143 (34.9)	338 (41.0)	
Hospital B	71 (17.3)	4 (0.5)	
Hospital C	86 (21.0)	208 (25.2)	
Hospital D	75 (18.3)	171 (20.8)	
Hospital E	35 (8.5)	103 (12.5)	
Professional experience (years)			<0.001
6 months-5 years	183 (44.6)	308 (37.4)	
6-10 years	94 (22.9)	152 (18.4)	
II years or more	133 (32.4)	364 (44.2)	
Working hours per week			0.085
< 40 hours	36 (8.8)	45 (5.5)	
40–59 hours	271 (66.1)	566 (68.7)	
≥60 hours	103 (25.1)	213 (25.8)	

Table 2 Characteristics of Participants

 Table 3 Scores of PSC Dimensions Among Physicians and Nurses

Patient Safety Culture Dimensions	Physicians (	n=410)		Nurses (n=824)			р
	Positive Responses	Mean	SD	Positive Responses	Mean	SD	
Teamwork within units	89.6	4.0	0.7	94.2	4.1	0.5	0.056
Supervisor/Manager expectations	78.9	3.9	0.7	85.4	4.0	0.6	0.033
Organizational Learning continuous improvement	73.5	3.5	0.6	77.5	3.5	0.5	0.613
Feedback and Communication about error	82.8	4.1	0.7	81.4	4.1	0.8	0.355
Communication openness	67.3	3.7	0.8	66.2	3.7	0.8	0.457
Staffing	47.8	3.1	0.6	51.3	3.2	0.6	0.030
Nonpunitive response to errors	48.2	3.0	0.4	57.4	3.0	0.5	0.093
Management support for patient safety	66.3	3.6	0.7	76.2	3.8	0.6	< 0.001
Teamwork across units	66.9	3.6	0.6	73.0	3.7	0.5	< 0.001
Handoffs and Transitions	53.2	3.4	0.9	64.2	3.6	0.7	< 0.001
Frequency of events reported	68.6	4.0	0.8	68.3	4.0	0.9	0.767
Overall Perceptions of Patient safety	68.4	3.6	0.7	72.0	3.7	0.6	0.288

A comparison of the mean score of perceived PSC between physicians and nurses was conducted using the independent *t*-test. There were significantly higher means of nurses' perception of PSC than physicians' for "super-visor/manager expectations"; "staffing", "management support for patient safety", "teamwork across units", and "hand-offs and transitions" (p<0.05). The lowest difference was noted for "staffing" and the highest difference was for "handoffs and transitions". There was no significant difference for the remaining dimensions.

Table 4 presents the comparative analysis on two outcome dimensions: "patient safety grade" and "reported events". About two-thirds of physicians and nurses did not report any event in the past 12 months (62.8% and 71.7%, respectively). The percentage of physicians working in the clinical department did not report any events in past 12 months was significantly higher than those working in administrative departments (63.3>53.8%; p<0.05). Physicians were more likely to report at least one event than nurses (37.2% > 28.3%, respectively), but the difference was

Factor	Physician (n=410)				Nurse (n=824)			
	Clinical (n=369) n (%)	Administration (n=41) n (%)	Total n (%)	р	Clinical (n=678) n (%)	Administration (n=146) n (%)	Total n (%)	р
Patient Safety Grade				0.20				0.93
Excellent	31 (8.4)	8 (19.5)	39 (9.5)		43 (6.3)	10 (6.8)	53 (6.4)	
Very Good	214 (58.0)	22 (53.7)	236 (57.6)		467 (68.9)	98 (67.1)	565 (68.6)	
Acceptable	117 (31.7)	11 (26.8)	128 (31.2)		165 (24.3)	38 (26.0)	203 (24.6)	
Poor	3 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.7)		I (0.I)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	
Failing	4 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (1.0)		2 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.2)	
Number of events				0.003				0.18
reported								
None	152 (63.3)	7 (53.8)	159 (62.8)		406 (72.8)	33 (61.1)	439 (71.7)	
I–2	64 (26.7)	4 (30.8)	68 (26.9)		105 (18.8)	18 (33.3)	123 (20.1)	
3–5	19 (7.9)	0 (0.0)	19 (7.5)		31 (5.6)	3 (5.6)	34 (5.6)	
6–10	3 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.2)		11 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (1.8)	
11–20	I (0.4)	I (7.7)	2 (0.8)		3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.5)	
21 or more	I (0.4)	I (7.7)	2 (0.8)		2 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.3)	

Table 4 Patient Safety Grade and Number of Events Reported

insignificant. Nurses perceived higher patient grades (every good and excellent) than physicians, but the difference was insignificant (75 vs 67.1%, p > 0.05).

## Discussion

In this study, we were able to explore physicians' and nurses' perceptions of PSC at 5 public hospitals in Vietnam using the HSOPSC. The dimension "teamwork within units" was identified as the highest positive response item for both physicians and nurses with scores of 89.6% and 94.2%, respectively. The next positive dimension was "feedback and communication about error" for physicians (82.8%) and "supervisor/manager expectations" (85.45) for nurses. The findings are comparable to other studies in various countries like Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Switzerland, the US, China, Brazil, and Vietnam.<sup>13,15–20</sup>

A recent systematic review on patient safety culture revealed that teamwork was one of five factors that significantly impacted patient safety.<sup>44</sup> "Teamwork within units" was perceived as a strength in the hospitals by both physicians and nurses in this study. A high score of positive teamwork within units indicates the existence of healthy work relationships and respect among members within a unit.<sup>45</sup> Acknowledgement of collaboration and coordination among health workers is important in achieving patient safety.<sup>12–14,30,46–48</sup> Strong teamwork, reflected by the agreement on the value on patient safety, will contribute to reducing medical errors and enhancing patient safety. To achieve patient safety, it is essential to improve effective teamwork and well-functioning communication for both physicians and nurses.<sup>10</sup>

The scores of teamwork across units was < 75% for both physicians and nurses, which indicated the need for improvement. This finding is similar to the current situation, globally.<sup>44</sup> Nurses' average score on "teamwork across units" was significantly higher than that reported by physicians (73.0 > 66.9%; p<0.01). This results is inconsistent with those found in other studies in Switzerland;<sup>18</sup> Taiwan;<sup>23,24</sup> Germany,<sup>25</sup> Canada;<sup>19</sup> Saudi Arabia;<sup>17</sup> China,<sup>26</sup> Holland,<sup>27</sup> and Canada.<sup>28</sup> Physicians often have barriers in collaborative communication with other professional groups due to their perception of being individually accountable for patient care.<sup>38,39,49</sup> Healthcare often relies on interdisciplinary teams of specialists with skill sets needed to perform specialized tasks. Such teams also collaborate to achieve common safety goals. However, in the context of an autonomous hospital, each department is required to have a higher degree of independence in service delivery, and this could result in poorer collaboration across departments in Vietnam.

"Feedback and communication about errors" was a strength in this study for both physicians and nurses (>75%). However, the score was lower for physicians. The understanding of causation and learning from errors is an important

approach in continuous quality improvement.<sup>34</sup> In addition, the junior staff can learn from more experienced staff as they work together.<sup>44</sup> Therefore, individual physicians and teams, as well as managers of hospitals, should take advantage of such opportunities to improve and establish the safety culture.

The "management support for patient safety" was high for nurses (76.2%) but required improvement for physicians (66.3%). This trend is similar to studies in other Southeast Asian countries.<sup>15</sup> The difference in scores between physicians and nurses could be related to their working position, as physicians are expected to have a higher level of independence in their job compared to nurses.<sup>38,39,49</sup>

The responses on "staffing" and "nonpunitive response to errors" were the lowest-rated among both physicians and nurses and need improvement (<75%). These indicated the understaffing and blaming culture in these five hospitals. These findings are consistent with findings in other studies from various countries including, Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Switzerland, the US, China, Brazil, and Vietnam.<sup>12–20</sup> However, the physicians' score on staffing was significantly lower than that of nurses' (48.2 <57.4%; p<0.05). These were different from studies in other countries, <sup>16,17,20,29–32</sup> which could be related to the situation of severe shortage of physicians in hospitals in Vietnam, especially those at grassroots levels.<sup>50</sup>

In 2002, the Vietnam government's policy on decentralization and autonomation of public hospitals was introduced, aiming to improve the efficiency of service delivery. Partially autonomous public hospitals are not eligible for the government's subsidy for recurrent expenditure, while fully autonomous hospitals are not eligible for the government's subsidy for both investment and recurrent expenditure.<sup>51</sup> To maintain the operation, hospitals inevitably have to cut down staffing costs and overtime work. Heavy workload, staff shortage, and long working hours are reported as a factor that hindered the PSC.<sup>52–54</sup> Improving working conditions and stress management could be a solution for the improvement of PSC in these hospitals.

In this study, the overall frequency of events reported was low for both physicians and nurses. About one-third reported no event for the past 12 months. Physicians working in clinical departments reported lower events compared to those working in administrative departments. This trend is consistent with studies in Saudi Arabia; China; Ethiopia; Brazil; Italia; Palestine and USA.<sup>16,29,31,34–37</sup> The low reporting event could be due to blaming culture, fear of the consequences, lack of time, lack of feedback, overload, lack of knowledge on reporting procedures, and skeptical attitudes on adverse events reporting,<sup>6,8,31,37–39,49</sup> especially for those working in clinical departments, where they encounter risks of medical incidents daily.

Few reported events in Vietnam can be explained by the perception that reporting on incidents may not lead to improvement.<sup>55</sup> This also could be justified for no significant difference in reporting events between physicians and nurses identified in most other studies.<sup>16–18,20,23,25,29–31</sup> Furthermore, in Vietnam, error reporting may result in sanctions and is considered a threat to the hospital's reputation,<sup>13</sup> which explains the fact that nonpunitive responses in hospitals are still challenging and need improvement.

Our study found that physicians and nurses had a different perception of PSC, which was initially justified by the different work characteristics, and different basic education and continuing education that was associated with the particularities of each unit and hospital.<sup>18,20,27,29,36,56</sup> Interventions for effective interprofessional teamwork and communication has shown to be effective in improving PSC in different countries.<sup>25,57</sup> Enhancing communication on patient safety through education, coaching and supervision has been proven effective in another study in Vietnam.<sup>13</sup> It is important to understand physicians' and nurses' expectations, values, and norms about distinct cultures in health care and engage them in open communication about patient safety. Improved PSC can be obtained by encouraging open communication, creating mutual trust, and establishing a shared perception of how important PSC is and the need to gain confidence in the effectiveness of preventative measures among health professional groups.

Improving PSC requires a long-term plan and assessment of PSC should be taken as the starting point which will, in turn, inform intervention programs that make changes in patient safety,<sup>6</sup> especially in the context of hospital autonomization.<sup>58</sup> Identifying weaknesses in management practices, deployment of employees, designing work and workspace, and the basic safety culture of healthcare organizations are the first steps in developing interventions for improving patient safety.<sup>6</sup>

Intervention programs should be well communicated throughout hospitals and made known to all healthcare profession groups, especially hospital managers, physicians, and nurses. Managers should try to enhance safety via a thorough assessment of the safety culture within their hospital and clear guidance for employees under their direct command. The root cause analysis and feedback committee could be a potential intervention for the improvement of hospital PSC.<sup>59</sup> Ease in reporting also could provide an opportunity to improve strategy, commitment, and the overall efficacy of PSC in hospitals.<sup>44</sup> Blame-free systems, and information sharing and learning from events should become an important part of a desirable working environment.<sup>60</sup>

The HSOPSC is the most widely used instrument to assess the PSC in hospital settings<sup>44</sup> and is validated<sup>11</sup> in Vietnam.<sup>12–14</sup> Therefore, this should be the first step in developing an intervention program. The difference in job description between physician, nurses, clinical and administrative staff should be taken into account when designing the intervention plan.

#### Limitations

The study has some limitations that should be taken into consideration when interpreting its results. Firstly, as this study was conducted in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh city, which may have a different socio-economic context from other parts of the country, the study findings may not be generalized to all hospitals in Vietnam. However, the study contributes to knowledge about perceptions of PSC among health professionals in public hospitals in Vietnam, providing insights into several critical issues of patient safety among physicians and nurses in the country. In this way, the study findings may also be of relevance to other developing countries.

Secondly, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, the findings only reflected a snapshot of perceptions of PSC at one point in time. It is possible that perceptions of PSC may change over time and there may not be a relationship between perceptions of safety and actual patient safety outcomes.

Thirdly, as the measures in this study relied on self-reports via an online survey, data may have been affected by recall bias, and overestimation or underestimation of the parameters may have occurred. However, as the study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, travel restrictions and other challenges associated with the pandemic made data collection in the field impossible and an online survey was the most feasible option.

Lastly, the main scoring method in this study was based on the calculation of the percentage of positive responses and study did not explore the relationship between PSC dimensions and outcome dimension, such as reported events. Other advanced statistical methods, such as factor analysis and multivariate analysis could yield results on such association. These advanced statistical methods could be employed in the future studies in PSC in Vietnam.

#### Conclusion

This study provides an insight into how physicians and nurses perceive patient safety in five public hospitals located in Hanoi, Vietnam using HSOPS instrument. The results show that nurses' perceived scores on PSC were significantly higher than physician on five dimensions: "supervisor/manager expectations"; "staffing", "management support for patient safety", "teamwork across units", and "handoffs and transitions".

The study findings highlight the need for assessment of PSC using HSOPSC as the first step in designing intervention programs on enhancing patient safety, taking into account the differences in job description of physicians and nurses in hospitals. The intervention should focus on improving teamwork and communication, the encouragement of incident notification, and the minimization of punitive responses.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledged the supports of staffs in five hospitals participated in this study.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- 1. Institute of Medicine (IOM). Keeping Patients Safe: Transforming the Work Environment for Nurses. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
- Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, et al. High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(11):e1196–e1252. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3
- 3. Advisory Committee on the Safety of Nuclear Installations. Health and Safety Commision. Great Britain. ACSNI. Human Factor Study Group. *Third Report. Organising for Safety.* Sudbury, England: H.M.S.O; 1993.
- 4. Hellings J, Schrooten W, Klazinga N, Vleugels A. Challenging patient safety culture: survey results. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2007;20 (7):620-632. doi:10.1108/09526860710822752
- 5. World Health Organization. Patient Safety 2020. World Health Organization; 2020:232.
- 6. Nieva VF, Sorra J. Safety culture assessment: a tool for improving patient safety in healthcare organizations. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2003;12 Suppl 2:ii17–23. doi:10.1136/qhc.12.suppl\_2.ii17
- 7. Top M, Tekingündüz S. Patient safety culture in a Turkish Public Hospital: a Study of Nurses' perceptions about patient safety. *Syst Pract Action Res.* 2015;28(2):87–110. doi:10.1007/s11213-014-9320-5
- Lee SE, Scott LD, Dahinten VS, Vincent C, Lopez KD, Park CG. Safety culture, patient safety, and quality of care outcomes: a literature review. West J Nurs Res. 2019;41(2):279–304. doi:10.1177/0193945917747416
- 9. Julia Hiromi Hori O, Tais Freire G, Marcus Tolentino S. Healthcare professional's perception of patient safety measured by the hospital survey on patient safety culture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci World J.* 2018;2018(11). doi:10.1155/2018/9156301
- 10. Sorra JS, Nieva VF. Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture. Prepared by Westat, Under Contract No. 290-96-0004. AHRQ Publication No. 04-0041. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004.
- 11. Tang Chi T. Survey about patient safety culture at Children's Hospital I in 2012. J Med Ho Chi Minh City. 2012;18(4):8.
- 12. Huong Tran L, Thanh Pham Q, Nguyen Dinh H, Tran TN, Bui TT. Assessment of Patient Safety Culture in Public General Hospital in Capital City of Vietnam. Health Services Insights; 2021:14.
- 13. Tran HTN, Pham TQ, Tran HL, Nguyen HD, Nguyen TM, Bui HTT. Patient safety culture in 2 public hospitals in Vietnam: a mixed method study. J Nurs Care Qual. 2021;37:E39-E47. doi:10.1097/NCQ.00000000000597
- 14. Luong QD. Assessing the Perceptions of the Health Care Workforce Toward the Patient Safety Culture in Vietnamese Hospitals. Int Surg. 2019;104 (11–12):590–607. doi:10.9738/INTSURG-D-15-00308.1
- 15. Kang S, Ho TTT, Lee NJ. Comparative Studies on Patient Safety Culture to Strengthen Health Systems Among Southeast Asian Countries. Front Public Health. 2020;8:600216. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.600216
- 16. Mekonnen AB, McLachlan AJ, J-aE B, Mekonnen D, Abay Z. Hospital survey on patient safety culture in Ethiopian public hospitals: a cross-sectional study. Saf Health. 2017;3. doi:10.1186/s40886-017-0062-9
- 17. Saleh Aboufour MA, Subbarayalu AV. Perceptions of patient safety culture among healthcare professionals in Ministry of Health hospitals in Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. *Inform Med Unlocked*. 2022;28:100858. doi:10.1016/j.imu.2022.100858
- 18. Ricklin ME, Hess F, Hautz WE. Patient safety culture in a university hospital emergency department in Switzerland a survey study. GMS J Med Educ. 2019;36(2). doi:10.3205/zma001222
- 19. Scherer D, Fitzpatrick JJ. Perceptions of patient safety culture among physicians and RNs in the perioperative area. *Aorn j.* 2008;87(1):163–175. doi:10.1016/j.aorn.2007.07.003
- 20. Batista J, EDdA C, Alpendre FT, da Silva DP, Brandâo MB, Gabriel CS. Differences between nursing and medical professionals regarding the surgical patient safety culture. *Enfermeria Global*. 2021;20(3):114–126.
- 21. Alsabri M, Abdalaziz M, Farouk A, et al. Patient Safety Culture in Emergency Departments of Yemeni Public Hospitals: A Survey Study. Front Emerg Med, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; 2021.
- 22. World Health Organization. Patient Safety: Making Health Care Safer. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- 23. Yu B, Wen CF, Lo HL, Liao HH, Wang PC. Improvements in patient safety culture: a national Taiwanese survey, 2009-16. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2020;32(1):A9–a17. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzz099
- 24. Huang CH, Wu HH, Lee YC. The perceptions of patient safety culture: a difference between physicians and nurses in Taiwan. *Appl Nurs Res.* 2018;40:39–44. doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2017.12.010
- 25. Schmidt J, Gambashidze N, Manser T, et al. Does interprofessional team-training affect nurses' and physicians' perceptions of safety culture and communication practices? Results of a pre-post survey study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21(1):341. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-06137-5
- 26. Zhu J. Measurement equivalence of patient safety climate in Chinese hospitals: can we compare across physicians and nurses? *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2019;31(6):411–418. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzy132
- 27. Listyowardojo TA, Nap RE, Johnson A. Variations in hospital worker perceptions of safety culture. Int J Qual Health Care. 2012;24(1):9-15. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzr069
- 28. Dodek PM, Wong H, Jaswal D, et al. Organizational and safety culture in Canadian intensive care units: relationship to size of intensive care unit and physician management model. J Crit Care. 2012;27(1):11–17. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2011.07.078
- 29. Alzahrani N, Jones R, Abdel-Latif ME. Attitudes of doctors and nurses toward patient safety within emergency departments of two Saudi Arabian hospitals. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18(1):736. doi:10.1186/s12913-018-3542-7
- 30. Nie Y, Mao X, Cui H, He S, Li J, Zhang M. Hospital survey on patient safety culture in China. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013;13:228. doi:10.1186/ 1472-6963-13-228
- Notaro KA, Corrêa AD, Tomazoni A, Rocha PK, Manzo BF. Safety culture of multidisciplinary teams from neonatal intensive care units of public hospitals. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. 2019;27:e3167. doi:10.1590/1518-8345.2849.3167
- 32. Wilson B, Bekker HL, Fylan F. Reporting of clinical adverse events scale: a measure of doctor and nurse attitudes to adverse event reporting. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2008;17(5):364. doi:10.1136/qshc.2006.021691
- 33. Verbeek-Van Noord I, Wagner C, Van Dyck C, Twisk JW, De Bruijne MC. Is culture associated with patient safety in the emergency department? A study of staff perspectives. Int J Qual Health Care. 2014;26(1):64–70. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzt087
- 34. Bottcher B, Abu-El-Noor N, Abuowda Y, et al. Attitudes of doctors and nurses to patient safety and errors in medical practice in the Gaza-Strip: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9:8. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026788

- 35. Liu C, Liu W, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Wang P. Patient safety culture in China: a case study in an outpatient setting in Beijing. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2014;23 (7):556–564. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002172
- 36. Tricarico P, Castriotta L, Battistella C, et al. Professional attitudes toward incident reporting: can we measure and compare improvements in patient safety culture? *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2017;29(2):243–249. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzx004
- 37. Taylor JA, Brownstein D, Christakis DA, et al. Use of incident reports by physicians and nurses to document medical errors in pediatric patients. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(3):729–735. doi:10.1542/peds.2003-1124-L
- Rich A, Viney R, Griffin A. Understanding the factors influencing doctors' intentions to report patient safety concerns: a qualitative study. J R Soc Med. 2019;112(10):428–437. doi:10.1177/0141076819877542
- 39. McArde D, Burns N, Ireland A. Attitudes and beliefs of doctors towards medication error reporting. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur.* 2003;16(6/7):326–333. doi:10.1108/09526860310499981
- 40. Ministry of Health. Joint Annual Health Review. Vietnam's Health System on the Threshold of the Five-Year Plan 2011–2015. Ministry of Health of Vietnam Hanoi; 2010.
- 41. Ministry of Health. Hospital Regulation. Hanoi: Medical Publishing House; 1997.
- 42. Vietnam Medical Services Administration. Circular on Implementation Quality Management of Curative Care in Hospitals. Vietnam Medical Services Administration; 2013.
- 43. Vietnam Medical Services Administration. Training Program on Patient Safety. Hanoi: Vietnam; 2014.
- 44. Azyabi A, Karwowski W, Davahli MR. Assessing patient safety culture in hospital settings. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:5. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052466
- 45. Boughaba A, Aberkane S, Fourar Y-O, Djebabra M. Study of safety culture in healthcare institutions: case of an Algerian hospital. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur.* 2019;32:1081–1097. doi:10.1108/IJHCQA-09-2018-0229
- 46. Tereanu C, Sampietro G, Sarnataro F, et al. Exploring patient safety culture in preventive medicine settings: an experience from Northern Italy. *J Prev Med Hyg.* 2017;58(2):E121–e129.
- Aboul-Fotouh AM, Ismail NA, Ez Elarab HS, Wassif GO. Assessment of patient safety culture among healthcare providers at a teaching hospital in Cairo, Egypt. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2012;18(4):372–377. doi:10.26719/2012.18.4.372
- 48. Habibi M, Fesharaki MG, Samadinia H, Mohamadian M, Anvari S. Patient safety culture and factors that impact that culture in Tehran Hospitals in 2013. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2017;19(1):1–5.
- Danielsson M, Nilsen P, Rutberg H, Carlfjord S. The professional culture among physicians in Sweden: potential implications for patient safety. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):543. doi:10.1186/s12913-018-3328-y
- 50. Ministry of Health, Health Partnership Group. Joint Annual Health Review 2016. Ministry of Health, Health Partnership Group; 2016.
- 51. Le G, Thu TB, Mirzoev T, Thanh Nguyen H. Negotiating Compliance: the Case of Autonomous Hospitals in Vietnam. *Dev Policy Rev.* 2015;33 (3):373–390. doi:10.1111/dpr.12112
- 52. Fujita S, Seto K, Ito S, Wu Y, Huang CC, Hasegawa T. The characteristics of patient safety culture in Japan, Taiwan and the United States. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;13:20. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-20
- 53. Albalawi A, Kidd L, Cowey E. Factors contributing to the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(10): e037875. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037875
- 54. Wu Y, Fujita S, Seto K, et al. The impact of nurse working hours on patient safety culture: a cross-national survey including Japan, the United States and Chinese Taiwan using the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;13:394. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-394
- 55. Harrison R, Sharma A, Walton M, et al. Responding to adverse patient safety events in Viet Nam. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019;19(1):677. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4518-y
- 56. Ng'ang'a N, Byrne MW, Ngo TA. In their own words: the experience of professional nurses in a Northern Vietnamese women's hospital. Contemp Nurse. 2014;47(1/2):168–179. doi:10.1080/10376178.2014.11081918
- 57. Lyndon A, Zlatnik MG, Wachter RM. Effective physician-nurse communication: a patient safety essential for labor and delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;205(2):91–96. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.04.021
- 58. Nguyen Van H, Nguyen ATH, Nguyen TTH, et al. Individual and occupational differences in perceived organisational culture of a central hospital in Vietnam. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018;2018:3759290. doi:10.1155/2018/3759290
- Boussat B, Seigneurin A, Giai J, Kamalanavin K, Labarère J, François P. Involvement in root cause analysis and patient safety culture among hospital care providers. J Patient Saf. 2021;17(8):e1194–e1201. doi:10.1097/PTS.00000000000456
- 60. National Academies of Sciences E, and Medicine. Crossing the Global Quality Chasm: Improving Health Care Worldwide. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2018.

**Risk Management and Healthcare Policy** 



Publish your work in this journal

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on all aspects of public health, policy, and preventative measures to promote good health and improve morbidity and mortality in the population. The journal welcomes submitted papers covering original research, basic science, clinical & epidemiological studies, reviews and evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/risk-management-and-healthcare-policy-journal