Open Access Full Text Article

# Influence of Personal Factors on Health-Promoting Behavior in College Students: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach

Rosa Nury Zambrano Bermeo<sup>1,\*</sup>, Catalina Estrada Gonzalez<sup>2,\*</sup>, Eugenia del Pilar Herrera Guerra<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Health, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia; <sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, Universidad Libre, Sectional Cali, Cali, Colombia; <sup>3</sup>School of Health Sciences, Universidad de Córdoba, Montería, Colombia

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Rosa Nury Zambrano Bermeo, Calle 4 # 73-91 apto 514 Cali Valle del Cauca, Colombia, Tel +57 3007760830, Email rnzbermeo@gmail.com

**Purpose:** This study aimed to determine how personal factors influence health-promoting behavior in university students using a structural equation modeling approach guided by the Health Promotion Model.

**Patients and Methods:** An analytical cross-sectional study was conducted. The study included 763 health science students from four universities in Cali, Colombia, who answered a questionnaire on personal factors and Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile II, Spanish version, which was validated in the study population. The direct and indirect relationships between personal factors and health-promoting behaviors were assessed using structural equation modeling. Data analysis was performed using descriptive statistics and structural equation modeling.

**Results:** A significant relationship was noted between the biological and psychological personal factors of the measurement model (p < 0.05). Psychological personal factors (self-esteem and perceived health status) positively influence health promoting behavior in university students (Hypothesis 2). It's not possible to demonstrate that health promoting behavior is positively influenced by personal biological factors (Hypothesis 1) and by personal sociocultural factors (Hypothesis 3).

**Conclusion:** There is a need for interventions that help improve the health-promoting lifestyle profile and are focused on enhancing the self-esteem and perceived health status of university students.

Keywords: healthy lifestyle, health promotion, student health, health-promoting behavior

#### Introduction

The Health Promotion Model is a theoretical framework that aims at exploring the complex biopsychosocial processes that motivate people to engage in health-promoting behaviors. Behavioral influences are of immense theoretical significance and need to be studied because they have both direct and indirect effects on health-promoting behaviors. The model used emphasizes the importance of exploring individual characteristics and their experiences, including the prior related behavior and biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors that influence health-promoting behavior.<sup>1</sup>

Observance of health-promoting lifestyle behaviors is a fundamental component of health maintenance and improvement. Health-promoting lifestyle is a multifactorial pattern of self-initiated behaviors and perceptions that serve to maintain and improve the individual's level of wellbeing and self-fulfillment.<sup>2–5</sup>

Unhealthy behaviors, such as unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, and stress, increase the risk for noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), which may manifest as high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, obesity, and other metabolic risk factors that can lead to early death. The latter jeopardizes the progress toward achieving the sustainable development goals of reducing premature deaths from NCDs by 33% by 2030.<sup>6</sup>

NCDs mainly affect people in low- and middle-income countries and are responsible for >75% of deaths from NCDs. They affect all age groups and people in all countries and regions but are more associated with older age groups.<sup>7</sup> In the

Region of the Americas, there are 5.5 million deaths from NCDs each year. Cardiovascular diseases account for the majority of NCD deaths (17.9 million each year), being responsible for >80% of all premature deaths from NCDs, along with cancer, respiratory diseases, and diabetes.<sup>8</sup>

NCDs during adulthood are associated with behavioral risk factors established early in life,<sup>9</sup> and about two-thirds of premature deaths in adults are related to behaviors adopted during adolescence.<sup>10</sup> Multidimensional factors affect early adulthood, such as lifestyle habits, emotional wellbeing, interpersonal relationships, family support, and socioeconomic factors, which can pose health risks.<sup>11</sup> These multidimensional factors may also predict or mediate their perceived quality of life. Studies found that obesity in young people is associated with poor lifestyle and/or psychosocial health conditions that could result in a lower self-reported quality of life compared with healthy young people.<sup>12,13</sup> Furthermore, the Lancet Adolescent Commission determined that tobacco use, alcohol consumption, being overweight/obese, and mental health problems are the main health risks for young people globally, concluding that investing in healthy NCD-related behaviors among adolescents is necessary to prevent the future development of diseases in adulthood.<sup>14</sup>

Data from the Global School-based Student Health Survey showed that one in three adolescents present lifestylerelated risk factors. This study did not include countries in the European, North American, and Australian regions as the differences in youth health within and outside the region should be considered.<sup>15</sup> This limitation did not allow conclusions to be drawn regarding the grouping of risk factors in young people, which should be prioritized when adopting specific preventative measures in each country or region.

A systematic review of the scientific literature on the identification of patterns of eating habits revealed that university students do not practice healthy eating habits. They present unhealthy behaviors characterized by skipping meals due to academic hours, high consumption of fats and sweets, low consumption of fruit, vegetables and milk, which contributes to the risk of suffering from overweight and obesity, as well as the future appearance of NCDs, considering that this negative behavior tends to get worse as students progress through their college studies.<sup>16</sup>

In Colombia, studies show that university health science students score poorly when evaluating healthy lifestyles, mainly in aspects such as nutrition, physical activity, and health responsibility. Young university health science students exhibit unhealthy behaviors related to nutrition despite their knowledge about food groups that benefit health. Academic schedules and the limited availability of healthy foods and economic resources are some of the reasons.<sup>17</sup> On the contrary, students recognize the importance of physical activity to lead a healthy life although they do not practice the required hours weekly.<sup>18</sup> In the literature review, no studies were found on the influence of personal factors on health-promoting behaviors in university health science students using the Health Promotion Model (MPS), which originated the development of this study.

The MPS is considered a mid-range theory, therefore, it has a limited number of variables and a limited scope. However, it's one of the most widely used models due to its usefulness in research and in practice to identify the factors that influence health-promoting behaviors and to help people achieve higher levels of well-being<sup>19,20</sup> The research results empirically support the self-efficacy perceived and the benefits and barriers to action as predictors of health-promoting behaviors. They are part of the specific behaviors, cognitions and affect that are the central axis of the revised MPS<sup>21–25</sup>

The MPS (used) also includes individual characteristics and experiences as predictors of health promotion behaviors, based on the assumption "Individuals in all their biopsychosocial complexity interact with the environment, progressively transforming the environment and transforming themselves over time".<sup>21</sup> However, few studies have used the MPS to investigate personal biopsychosocial factors that influence health-promoting behaviors in college students.

Hence, this study aimed to determine the influence of personal factors on health-promoting behaviors in Colombian university students using a structural equation model (SEM) analysis guided by the Health Promotion Model (HPM).

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Design and Setting

A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted from February to June 2021 among undergraduate students in the school of health of four universities in Colombia.

# Study Sample

The population sample comprised 7808 students from the Faculty of Health Sciences of four universities in Cali, Colombia. A sample of 763 individuals was finally selected using probability sampling stratified by academic programs by considering the following inclusion criteria: (1) undergraduate health science students, (2)  $\geq$ 18 years of age, (3) voluntary participation. Students enrolled in other faculties offered by universities and postgraduate students and the students with mental health problems were excluded. The sample size ratio required for SEM should not be less than 10:1 (10 cases per parameter); a minimum of 200 SEM participants is recommended.<sup>18,26</sup> Thus, it is considered an adequate sample taking into account the parameters to be estimated.

### Study Instruments

#### Personal Factors Questionnaire

Questionnaire developed by the researchers based on the MPS (revised), where individual characteristics are considered unique for each person and are studied based on personal biopsychosocial factors. "Biological factors include: age, body mass index, aerobic capacity, strength, agility, or balance. Psychological factors include self-esteem, and perceived health status. Sociocultural factors include race, ethnicity, education, and socioeconomic status. The MPS seeks to provide flexibility in the identification of the most important variables related to health behavior in the target population.<sup>21</sup>

#### Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile- II

The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile II (HPLP-II) questionnaire was used, which comprised 52 items grouped into six subscales: responsibility for health (9 items), physical activity (8 items), nutrition (9 items), spiritual growth (9 items), interpersonal relationships (9 items), and stress management (8 items). A Likert scale with four response options (never, sometimes, frequently and routinely) ranging from 1 to 4 was used. The score was obtained by calculating the mean of the responses to the items both globally and by subscales, which allows comparisons between subscales and identify the areas that must be intervened to improve the lifestyle that promotes global health.<sup>27</sup>

The dimension responsibility for health implies an active sense of responsibility for one's own well-being (eg, I report any unusual signs or strange symptoms to a doctor or other health professionals). Physical activity assesses regular participation in light, moderate, and/or vigorous activities for the sake of physical fitness and health (eg, I follow a planned exercise program). The nutrition dimension assesses the informed selection and consumption of foods essential for sustenance, health, and well-being (eg, I choose a diet low in fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol). Spiritual growth focuses on evaluating the development of internal resources and is achieved through transcending, connecting, and developing (eg, I believe that my life has a purpose). Interpersonal relationships involve using communication to achieve a sense of intimacy and closeness within meaningful relationships (eg, I find it easy to show concern, love, and caring for others). Stress management involves identifying and mobilizing resources to effectively control or reduce stress (eg, I maintain balance to prevent fatigue).<sup>18,27,28</sup>

The HPLP-II original version has validity and reliability,<sup>29</sup> as does the HPLP-II Spanish version.<sup>18,28</sup> Validity and reliability tests of the Spanish version of the HPLP-II were performed in a sample of the local population before administering it to the study sample (n=763). In the confirmatory factor analysis, the goodness-of-fit indices evidenced an acceptable level of validity ( $\chi^2 = 7168.98$ ; gl = 1268; p < 0.001; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.08; normed fit index (NFI) and AGFI = 0.95). The overall reliability results (Cronbach's alpha 0.94) and the results by subscales (Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.68 to 0.89) support the reliability of the data obtained in this study.

#### Outline of the Proposed Model

A theoretical structural model was designed with four latent variables and their respective observed variables, as shown in Figure 1. To evaluate the relationships between the latent variables, the following hypotheses were proposed:

H1: health-promoting behavior is positively influenced by personal biological factors.

H<sub>2</sub>: health-promoting behavior is positively influenced by personal psychological factors.



Figure I Preliminary MET of personal factors related to health-promoting behavior in university students. Notes: The rectangles and ovals indicate observed and latent variables, respectively; arrows connecting the circles represent the relationships between the variables (regression coefficients); the arrows between the circles and rectangles indicate the reliability of the indicators (factor loadings).

H<sub>3</sub>: health-promoting behavior is positively influenced by personal sociocultural factors.

# Data Collection

Students were contacted via email guaranteeing anonymity and confidentiality. Voluntary participation was requested, using the informed consent template, where participants could choose the "I agree to participate" or "I do not agree to participate" box. In the case of choosing to accept participation, click "next" to start filling out the forms. In the case of choosing not to accept, the process ends.

The data was collected virtually through the Google Forms form, taking into account the advantages it offers: freedom to express oneself, speed up the process and efficiency of data collection. The forms were sent to 800 students with a response rate of 95%, which allowed the sample to be completed (n=763).

# Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the general characteristics of the participants. Internal reliability tests and factor and correlation analyses were performed. Based on these analyses, SEM was performed to describe the ability of the hypothesized model to predict the mutual relationships between the factors that emerged from the confirmatory factor analysis.

To evaluate the model fit, several indices were used: chi-square test (v2), RMSEA, goodness-of-fit index (GFI), Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), comparative fit index (CFI), and NFI. The model fit is considered to be good if the values of the fit indices for GFI, CFI, NFI, and TLI are >0.90 and if the value for RMSEA is <0.05.<sup>30</sup>

The robust maximum likelihood estimator was used to determine the SEM. In the hypothetical model, personal biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors were estimated using multiple regression analysis to examine their contribution to health-promoting behavior (HPLP-II) and its aspects (spiritual growth, interpersonal relationships, responsibility toward health, nutrition, physical activity, and stress management). Based on the aforementioned analysis,

SEM was performed to assess the relationship between the latent variables and their respective indicators and the accuracy with which the hypothesized model predicted the mutual relationships between the factors. All statistical analyses were performed using the R-project software.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

This study complied with international<sup>31</sup> and national ethical guidelines.<sup>32</sup> The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Universidad Santiago de Cali (2020–2606 Acta N°1). Permission was obtained from the author of the HPLP-II scale, and informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to data collection, guaranteeing anonymity and confidentiality. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki for health research involving human beings.

# Results

#### Sample Characteristics

Seven hundred and sixty-three undergraduate students from the faculty of health of four universities in Colombia participated in this study. The Shapiro–Wilk normality test was used for the variable of the continuous variable age, reporting 0.674. The average age of the participants was  $21.7 \pm 4.3$  years, and most of them were under 21 years of age (59.6%) and of female sex (78.1%). Their average body mass index was  $24.2 \pm 4.1$ . Table 1 also presents the personal psychological and sociocultural characteristics of the participants. Most of them had medium self-esteem (48.9%) and were of mestizo ethnicity (56.1%).

Personal Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Biological		
Sex		
Female	602	78.9
Male		21.1
Age (years)		
≤21	455	59.6
22–24		21.1
25–27	81	10.6
28–30		4.5
≥31		4.2
Body mass index		
Low weight (<18.5)		5.2
Normal (18.5–24.9)	413	56.7
Overweight (25–29.9)	219	30.0
Obesity grade 1 (30–34.9)		6.4
Obesity grade 2(35–39.9)	5	0.7
Obesity grade 3 (>40)		1.0
Aerobic capacity		
Good	329	43.1
Regular	341	44.7
Deficient		12.2
Strength, agility, and balance		
Good	379	49.7
Regular	329	43.1
Deficient		7.2

Table	L	Distribution	of	Personal	Characteristics	of	College
Student	ts	(n = 763)					

(Continued)

Personal Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Psychological		
Self-esteem		
Low	253	33.2
Medium	373	48.9
High		17.9
Perceived health status		
Excellent		20.6
Very good	249	32.6
Good	279	36.6
Regular		9.4
Poor		0.8
Sociocultural		
Ethnicity		
White		23.7
Mestizo	428	56.1
Black	115	15.1
Mulatto		2.2
Indigenous		2.5
Raizal		0.3
Palenquero	T	0.1
Program		
Prehospital care		0.3
Nursing		38.8
Physiotherapy		7.2
Speech therapy		2.3
Surgical instrumentation		18.1
Dental mechanics	I	0.1
Medicine		29.0
Dentistry		1.7
Psychology		2.1
Pharmacy registry	T	0.1
Respiratory therapy		0.3
Semester		
≤ 3	310	40.6
4–6	170	22.3
7–9	229	30.0
≥ 10		7.1

 Table I (Continued).

# Health-Promoting Behavior Lifestyle Profile

The mean scores of the six subscales of HPLP-II were highest for spiritual growth ( $\bar{x}$ : 27.7) and interpersonal relationships ( $\bar{x}$ : 25.9). Most participants exhibited inadequate physical activity ( $\bar{x}$ : 18.2) and poor stress management ( $\bar{x}$ : 18.9). Table 2.

## Structural Model

Four latent variables were specified in the measurement model. Analysis of the preliminary measurement model showed that two observed variables (age and semester) were not significant (p > 0.05); hence, they were eliminated from the proposed model. The HPLP variables had loadings ranging from 0.66 to 0.87. Factor loadings for all latent constructs were significant (p < 0.05). The fit indices for the goodness-of-fit tests showed that the final MET fit the data adequately. Table 3.

Descriptive	SG	IR	HR	N	PA	SM	HPLP-II
Mean	27.7	25.9	22.6	21.6	18.2	18.9	134.4
Standard deviation	4.9	4.7	5.3	4.3	6.0	4.2	23.3
Minimum							52
Maximum							
Scale range	9–36	9–36	9–36	9–36	8–32	8–32	52–208

**Table 2** Total and Subscale Mean Scores of the Health-Promoting BehaviorLifestyle Profile of University Students (n = 763)

Note: \*Lowest and highest values that can be obtained in the original scale.

Abbreviations: SG, spiritual growth; IR, interpersonal relationships; HR, responsibility toward health; N, nutrition; PA, physical activity; SM, stress management.

Table 3Goodness-of-Fit Indicators of the SEM Model forPersonal Factors and Health-Promoting Behavior in UniversityStudents

Indicator	
Normed fit index (NFI)	0,85
Adjusted Goodness-of-Fit Index (AGFI)	0.99
Root Mean Square Residual Approximation (RMSEA)	
Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR)	0.05

The results of the SEM are shown in Table 4 and the structural model in Figure 2. The psychological personal factor had a trajectory or regression coefficient of -0.41, being significant (p<0.05). The factor loadings of the items: perceived state of health, socioeconomic stratum, and academic program are due to the inverted scale of the responses. Biological and sociocultural factors presented almost null and insignificant coefficients (p>0.05). The highest factor loading of the observed variables that measured the personal factors was for the perceived state of health (-0.85) over the psychological personal factor. This indicates that as the assessment score for that variable increases toward excellent, students will have fewer psychological problems. However, personal psychological factors can negatively influence health promoting behavior, that is, low self-esteem, can affect the psychological needs of university students, which leads to less health promoting behavior.

Tabla	A	CEM	Desults
ladie	4	SEI	Results

Latent Variable	Indicator	Factorial Loading	Standard Error	Р
Personal biological factors	Sex	0.138	0.017	0.001
	Body mass index	0.113	0.035	0.012
	Aerobic capacity	0.823	0.033	0.000
	Strength, agility, and balance	0.699	0.027	0.000
Personal sociocultural factors	Self-esteem	0.121	0.033	0.012
	Perceived health status	-0.85 I	0.199	0.000
Factores personales socioculturales	ores personales socioculturales Ethnicity		0.095	0.002
	Socioeconomic	-0.451	0.139	0.001
	Academic program	-0.223	0.188	0.003
Health-promoting behavior	Physical activity	0.688	0.272	0.000
	Spiritual growth	0.869	0.262	0.000
	Stress management	0.816	0.231	0.000
	Nutrition	0.664	0.214	0.000
	Interpersonal relationships	0.733	0.240	0.000
	Responsibility for health	0.736	0.268	0.000



Figure 2 Structural model with personal factors related to health promoting behavior in university students. Notes: Dashed lines with double arrows indicate covariance between the variables. Abbreviation: ns, not significant.

## Discussion

This study has shown that Pender's HPM fits the Colombian university student populations well and could be useful for research on intervention design. Personal psychological factors were identified, which should be considered to help improve the HPLP-II of university students.

# Health-Promoting Lifestyle

In this study the mean scores of the subscales of HPLP-II indicate a healthy lifestyle of college students were high for spiritual growth ( $\bar{x}$ :27.7) and interpersonal relationships ( $\bar{x}$ :25.9). In the case of interpersonal relationships, the results of this study are consistent with recent studies.<sup>33–35</sup> It is important to continue cultivating interpersonal relationships and teamwork in students, considering that the use of technologies and social networks alienate people from their immediate environment and cause health problems.

Regarding spiritual growth, the results were similar to those obtained by Fashafsheh et al and Polat et al, who also evidenced a high score for spiritual growth. In the case of university students, spiritual growth represents a comprehensive training scenario owing to the fact that spirituality is recognized as a part of wellbeing and quality of life in physical and mental health.<sup>36,37</sup>

The stress management subscale showed low mean scores ( $\bar{x}$ :18.9), contrary to what was reported in a study in Mexican university students, where the HPLP-II was applied, which demonstrated a significantly healthier lifestyle in terms of stress management in students who attended a brief health promotion intervention to promote a healthy lifestyle, which explains the importance of experimental evidence to establish guidelines for the design of healthier universities.<sup>38</sup>

This study had a mean score of 21.6 on the nutrition scale, and physical activity had the lowest mean score of 18.2. Similar results were obtained, using the HPLP-II, by Alzahrani et al, Fashafsheh et al, Hwang & Oh, Mak et al, and Polat et al, who reported a low score for physical activity, as a product of a life style in which psychological, social, cultural aspects that are related, among other factors, personal or acquired behavior patterns converge.<sup>34–37,39</sup> Gurusamy et al

reported that one of the lowest lifestyle profile scores were obtained for nutrition,<sup>33</sup> and Sanchez-Ojeda & De Luna-Bertos, highlighted that the association between poor diet and lack of physical exercise was common among college students.<sup>40</sup> Furthermore, other studies, showed that insufficient intake of fruits and vegetables and a lack of physical activity were the most frequent risk factors among young people.<sup>41,42</sup> The researchers also found a high prevalence of overweight/obesity that increased during middle adolescence and continued into early adulthood. Other studies indicated that health-promoting behavior in students decreased as academic years advanced owing to the increased workload,<sup>33,43,44</sup> thus favoring sedentary lifestyles and the consumption of fast and ultra-processed foods.

Risk factors such as physical inactivity and malnutrition are a global trend among university students, resulting in chronic diseases that require timely interventions to ensure public health. These scenarios illustrate that there is a need to review the current curricula of health programs so that environments that promote healthy lifestyles and focus on interventions based on students' health needs are strengthened to open up possibilities for future research.

Maintaining healthy lifestyles is essential for promoting health and improving the level of wellbeing, self-fulfillment, and personal development. Health science students will be the future health promoters and educators. Therefore, curricula should encourage, support, and take the lead in promoting healthy lifestyles, incorporating it as a fundamental skill to guide patients.

Current policies in Colombia make it possible to support a healthy lifestyle for university students, through the implementation of individual, collective and population interventions in the educational environment defined in the comprehensive care route for the promotion and maintenance of health, interventions that are focused on health education to promote healthy lifestyles and the well-being of the student population.

#### Personal Factors Related to Health-Promoting Behavior in College Students

In this study, the estimated SEM showed how each of the latent variables, namely, biological, psychological, and sociocultural personal factors, was measured using its observable variables proposed by the HPM. However, the relationships that were anticipated among biological, psychological, and sociocultural personal factors were not significant when related to each other. The only exception was the correlation between biological and psychological personal factors, which was inconsistent with previous studies.<sup>45</sup>

Furthermore, the estimated model only contained effects and relationships between personal psychological factors (self-esteem and perceived health status) and health-promoting behavior, showing that it exerted statistically significant direct effects on the health-promoting behavior of the participants. The model assumed that a university student with a high self-esteem and health perception will score high on all six indicators of HPLP-II.

This finding is similar to those from other studies, which also asserted that college students with higher self-esteem and perceived health status exhibit a higher health promotion behavior. Therefore, perceived health status and self-esteem are two dominant variables that influence the health-promoting behavior.<sup>46</sup>

According to Pender, self-esteem and perceived health status are the personal psychological factors that influence learning and the effect related to health-promoting behavior.<sup>47</sup> Huang demonstrated via meta-analysis that the level of self-esteem is closely related to cognition and healthy behavior.<sup>48</sup> Another study reported that people with high self-esteem value their health more and take greater responsibility for their health.<sup>49</sup> Furthermore, high self-esteem has been shown to promote physical activity,<sup>50</sup> regulate the behavior in the process of social adaptation, and foster taking the initiative in interpersonal relationships.<sup>51</sup> High self-esteem can help a person manage stressful events, avoid anxiety and depression, maintain optimistic expectations for the future, and adapt to the surrounding environment.<sup>52</sup> From a nursing and public health perspective, professionals can increase self-esteem through short interventions that contribute to improving college students' personal judgment of their self-worth by nudging them toward an overall positive appraisal of themselves by maintaining a positive attitude in different situations that involves believing that they are capable, important, and successful.

Finally, it is worth noting that the combination of the three personal biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors is unique for each person. Therefore, identifying the most important variable(s) in relation to health-promoting behavior is important in the groups of people subject to the intervention as it means being able to recognize the best predictor of future behavior. In this group of university students, personal psychological factors, such as self-esteem and

perceived health status, were the main targets for modifying the health-promoting behavior. Hence, they should be taken into consideration when developing future interventions that can help students adopt a health-promoting lifestyle, with a focus on the benefits of individual and collective psychological support.

# Conclusion

This study explored the personal factors presented by the HPM. Psychological personal factors were an important mediator in these relationships. Perceived health status and self-esteem were consistently reported as the main determinants of health-promoting behavior. Therefore, it is recommended to consider interventions because it becomes necessary that increase the perceived health status and self-esteem to maintain and improve the health-promoting behavior of these students.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the health sciences students and their participation in this study. This research has been funded by General Directorate of Investigations of Universidad Santiago de Cali under call No. 02-2023.

# Funding

This research has been funded by Dirección General de Investigaciones of Universidad Santiago de Cali under call No. 01-2022.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

# References

- 1. Pender N, Murdauge C, Parsons MA. Health promotion model. In: Pender N, Murdauge C, Parsons MA, editors. *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice*. 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2002:59–79.
- Alpar ŞE, Şenturan L, Karabacak Ü, Sabuncu N. Change in the health promoting lifestyle behaviour of Turkish University nursing students from beginning to end of nurse training. *Nurse Educ Pract.* 2008;8(6):382–388. doi:10.1016/j.nepr.2008.03.010
- 3. Edelman CL, Mandle CL. Health Promotion Throughout the Life Span. 6 ed. Mosby, St. Louis; 2006.
- 4. Esin N. The adaptation of the healthy lifestyle behaviour scale for Turkey. Hems\_irelik Bu"lteni. 1999;12(45):87-96.
- 5. Hui WH. The health-promoting lifestyles of undergraduate nurses in Hong Kong. J Professional Nursing. 2002;18(2):101-111. doi:10.1053/jpnu.2002.32346
- World Health Organization (WHO). Non communicable diseases. Available from: http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunic able-diseases. Accessed June 16, 2022.
- 7. Global Burden of Disease (GBD 2019). Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Available from: https://www.healthdata.org/gbd/2019. Accessed June 16, 2022.
- 8. Enfermedades no transmisibles OPS/OMS | organización Panamericana de la Salud. PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization. Available from: https://www.paho.org/es/temas/enfermedades-no-transmisibles. Accessed April 20, 2023.
- 9. Champion KE, Parmenter B, McGowan C, et al. Effectiveness of school-based eHealth interventions to prevent multiple lifestyle risk behaviours among adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Digital Health*. 2019;1(5):e206–e221. doi:10.1016/s2589-7500(19)30088-3
- Long KQ, Ngoc-Anh HT, Phuong NH, et al. Clustering Lifestyle Risk Behaviors among Vietnamese Adolescents and Roles of School: a Bayesian Multilevel Analysis of Global School-Based Student Health Survey. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac.* 2019;30(15):100–225. doi:10.1016/j. lanwpc.2021.100225
- Berg N Accumulation of disadvantage from adolescence to midlife: a 26-year follow-up study of 16-year old adolescents. Dissertationes Scholae Doctoralis Ad Sanitatem Investigandam Universitatis Helsinkiensis; 2017. Available from: http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-951-51-2870-6. Accessed April 20, 2023.
- 12. Schwimmer JB, Burwinkle TM, Varni JW. Health-related quality of life of severely obese children and adolescents. JAMA. 2003;289(14):13–19. doi:10.1001/jama.289.14.1813
- Kistler KD, Molleston J, Unalp A, Abrams SH, Behling C, Schwimmer JB; Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network (NASH CRN). Symptoms and quality of life in obese children and adolescents with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2010;31 (3):396–406. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04181.x
- 14. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. Lancet. 2016;387 (10036):2423-2478. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)00579-1
- Uddin R, Lee EY, Khan SR, Tremblay MS, Khan A. Clustering of lifestyle risk factors for non-communicable diseases in 304,779 adolescents from 89 countries: a global perspective. *Prev Med.* 2020;131:105955. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.105955

- Maza-ávila F, Caneda-Bermejo M, Vivas-Castillo A. Hábitos alimenticios y sus efectos en la salud de los estudiantes universitarios. Una revisión sistemática de la literatura. *Psicogente*. 2022;25(47):110–140. doi:10.17081/psico.25.47.4861
- Romero VR, Luque JA, Andrade KN, Henao VA, Bermeo RN, Castillo JA. Estilos de vida promotor de salud en estudiantes de medicina en una universidad privada de Colombia en tiempos de pandemia Covid-19. Studies Health Sci. 2022;3(2):1249–1265. doi:10.54022/shsv3n2-049
- Laguado E, Gómez M. Estilos de vida saludable en estudiantes de Enfermería en la Universidad Cooperativa de Colombia. *Hacia Promoc Salud*. 2014;19(1):68–83.
- 19. Khoshnood Z, Rayyani M, Tirgari B. Theory analysis for Pender's health promotion model (HPM) by Barnum's criteria: a critical perspective. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2018;32(4). doi:10.1515/ijamh-2017-0160
- 20. Habibzadeh H, Shariati A, Mohammadi F, Babayi S. The effect of educational intervention based on Pender's health promotion model on quality of life and health promotion in patients with heart failure: an experimental study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2021;21:1–13. doi:10.1186/s12872-021-02294-x
- 21. Pender NJ, Murdaugh CL, Ann M. Parsons. Health Promotion in Nursing Practice / Nola J. Pender, Carolyn L. Murdaugh, Mary Ann Parsons. 5th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2006.
- 22. Chen H-H, Hsieh P-L. Applying the Pender's Health Promotion Model to Identify the Factors Related to Older Adults' Participation in Community-Based Health Promotion Activities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(19):9985. doi:10.3390/ijerph18199985
- Hepburn M. The variables associated with health promotion behaviors among urban black women. J Nursing Scholarship. 2018;50(4):353–366. doi:10.1111/jnu.12387
- 24. Stanulewicz N, Knox E, Narayanasamy M, Shivji N, Khunti K, Blake H. Effectiveness of lifestyle health promotion interventions for nurses: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;17(1):17–26. doi:10.3390/ijerph17010017
- Taghadosi M, Nouri H. The Effect of Education Based on "Pender Health Promotion Model" on Adherence to Treatment of Patients with Hypertension. J Health Promotion Management. 2023;12(1):69–80.
- 26. Hair J, Black W, Barry B, Rolph A Multivariate Data Analysis: Pearson new international edition (7a ed). New Jersey: Essex: Pearson. 2014. Available from: https://doc1.bibliothek.li/acd/FLMF050541.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2023.
- 27. Walker SN, Hill-Polerecky DM. Psychometric Evaluation of the Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile II. Omaha: University of Nebraska Medical Centre; 1996.
- Serrano-Fernández M, Boada-Grau J, Vigil-Colet A, Gil-Ripoll C. Adaptación española de la escala HPLP-II con una muestra de empleados. Univ Psychol. 2016;15(4):1–14. doi:10.11144/Javeriana.upsy15-4.aeem
- 29. Walker S, Kerr M, Pender N, Sechrist K. A Spanish language version of the Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile. Nurs Res. 1990;39(5):268–273. doi:10.1097/00006199-199009000-00003
- Escobedo Portillo MT, Hernández Gómez JA, Estebané Ortega V, Martínez Moreno G. Modelos de ecuaciones estructurales: características, fases, construcción, aplicación y resultados. *Ciencia Trabajo*. 2016;18(55):16–22. doi:10.4067/s0718-24492016000100004
- 31. Organización Panamericana de la Salud y Consejo de Organizaciones Internacionales de las Ciencias Médica. Pautas éticas internacionales para la investigación relacionada con la salud con seres humanos, Cuarta Edición. Ginebra: Consejo de Organizaciones Internacionales de las Ciencias Médicas (CIOMS); 2016.
- 32. Ministerio de salud de Colombia. Normas científicas, técnicas y administrativas para la administración en salud; 1993. Available from: https://www. minsalud.gov.co/salud/Lists/Normatividad%20%20Salud/DispForm.aspx?ID=2110&ContentTypeId= 0x0100A08A4A1DF8E9D448BEF600BFAC0E7622. Accessed April 6, 2022.
- 33. Gurusamy J, Amudhan S, Veerabhadraiah KB, Palaniappan M. Health-promoting behaviours, their relationships and correlates in nursing students: implications for nursing education and practice. J Professional Nursing. 2022;39:69–75. doi:10.1016/j.profnurs.2022.01.001
- 34. Mak YW, Kao AHF, Tam LWY, Tse VWC, Tse DTH, Leung DYP. Health-promoting lifestyle and quality of life among Chinese nursing students. Prim Health Care Res Dev. 2018;19(6):629–636. doi:10.1017/S1463423618000208
- 35. Hwang Y, Oh J. Factors Affecting Health-Promoting Behaviors among Nursing Students. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(17):6291. doi:10.3390/ijerph17176291
- 36. Fashafsheh I, Al-Ghabeesh SH, Ayed A, Salama B, Batran A, Bawadi H. Health-Promoting Behaviors among Nursing Students: Palestinian Perspective. INQUIRY. 2021;58:004695802110187. doi:10.1177/00469580211018790
- 37. Ü P, Ozen S, Kahraman B. Factors affecting health- promoting behaviors in nursing students at a University in Turkey. *J Transcultural Nursing*. 2016;27(4):413–419. doi:10.1177/1043659615569536
- Díez U, Fortis AP, Franco SF. Eficacia de una intervención de promoción de la salud para estudiantes universitarios: un ensayo controlado aleatorio. Invest en Enfermería. 2012;61(2):121–132.
- Alzahrani SH, Azam A, Bashawri J. Health-promoting lifestyle profile and associated factors among medical students in a Saudi university. SAGE Open Med. 2019;7:1–7. doi:10.1177/2050312119838426
- 40. Sánchez-Ojeda MA, De Luna-Bertos E. Healthy lifestyles of the university population. *Nutricion Hospitalaria*. 2015;31(5):1910–1919. doi:10.3305/nh.2015.31.5.8608
- 41. Shayo FK. Co-occurrence of risk factors for non-communicable diseases among in-school adolescents in Tanzania: an example of a low-income setting of sub-Saharan Africa for adolescence health policy actions. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1). doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7320-1
- 42. Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, et al.; Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9609):340–357. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61692-4
- 43. Kara B. Predictors of health behaviors in Turkish female nursing students. Asian Nurs Res. 2016;10(1):75-81. doi:10.1016/j.anr.2015.12.001
- 44. Nacar M, Baykan Z, Cetinkaya F, et al. Health promoting lifestyle behaviour in medical students: a multicentre study from Turkey. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prevent. 2014;15(20):8969–8974. doi:10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.20.8969
- 45. Lolokote S, Hidru TH, Li X. Do socio-cultural factors influence college students' self-rated health status and health-promoting lifestyles? A crosssectional multicenter study in Dalian, China. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4411-8
- 46. Kim SJ, Yoo IY. Health Promotion Behavior of Chinese International Students in Korea Including Acculturation Factors: a Structural Equation Model. *Asian Nurs Res.* 2016;10(1):25–31. doi:10.1016/j.anr.2015.10.008
- 47. Pender NJ, Pender AR. Attitude, subject norms to engage in health behavior. Nurs Res. 1986;35:15–18. doi:10.1097/00006199-198601000-00004

- 48. Huang C. Mean-Level Change in Self-Esteem from Childhood through Adulthood: meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies. *Rev General Psychol*. 2010;14(3):251–260. doi:10.1037/a0020543
- 49. Xiaomiao L, Fangfang Z, Xinkai S, Wenbin G. A study on the relationship between lifestyle, self-esteem and life satisfaction of adolescents in China. J Peking Univ. 2010;42:330–334. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1671-167X.2010.03.018
- 50. Tremblay MS, Inman JW, Willms JD. The relationship between physical activity, self-esteem, and academic achievement in 12-year-old children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2000;12:312–323. doi:10.1123/pes.12.3.312
- 51. Jambor E, Elliott M. Self-esteem and coping strategies among deaf students. J Deaf Stud Deaf Educ. 2005;10:63-81. doi:10.1093/deafed/eni004
- 52. Fukuya Y, Fujiwara T, Isumi A, Doi S, Ochi M. Association of birth order with mental health problems, self-esteem, resilience, and happiness among children: results from a-child study. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12:638088. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2021.638088

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare



Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal