LETTER

Online Survey to Investigate Asthma Medication Prescription and Adherence from the Perspective of Patients and Healthcare Practitioners in England [Letter]

Vernando Yanry Lameky 🗈

Department of Nursing, Universitas Kristen Indonesia Maluku, Ambon, Maluku, Indonesia

Correspondence: Vernando Yanry Lameky, Department of Nursing, Universitas Kristen Indonesia Maluku, Jl. Ot pattimaipauw, Ambon, Maluku, Indonesia, Email vernandoyanrylameky@gmail.com

Dear editor

I have read the research article entitled "Online Survey to Investigate Asthma Medication Prescription and Adherence from the Perspective of Patients and Healthcare Practitioners in England" by Zhang and Quint.¹ I want to congratulate the authors on this successful article and make some contributions. There are two strengths of this study: 1) it provides valuable insight into the motivations behind the choice of inhaler use and the potential challenges associated with non-adherence to medication and prescribing practices. These findings suggest there is room for improvement in asthma control and High short-acting β 2-agonist (SABA) prescribing practices and suggest opportunities for more targeted education for patients and HCPs, leading to effective utilization of healthcare resources and improved asthma control. 2) highlight the importance of developing personalized self-management care plans for patients involving mobile technology interventions and, where possible, annual reviews of inhaler use and techniques for symptom management and control.

However, I identified two limitations of this study: 1) the self-reported nature of the survey may lead to inconsistencies in responses, potentially leading to over- or under-reporting of SABA use and may lead to misclassification of results. 2) online data collection, although cost-effective, tends to have a high non-response rate, and the small sample size in this study was insufficient to detect differences between groups.

Three solutions can be considered to overcome future research limitations: 1) diversify data collection methods using additional data collection methods (such as direct observation or medical records to verify information obtained from surveys).² 2) use of clear and precise instructions in survey questions (avoid ambiguous or duplicate questions that may confuse).³ 3) validation of data reported by respondents using additional data sources or medical validation and use of mixed methods (such as clinical data or direct observation) to strengthen the validity and reliability of the results.⁴

In conclusion, research, accompanied by a thorough explanation of its methods and findings, is essential in advancing healthcare practice and improving patient outcomes.

Funding

This letter received no funding.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest. The author alone is responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

1251

© 2023 Lameky. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the firms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

References

- 1. Zhang X, Quint JK. Online Survey to Investigate Asthma Medication Prescription and Adherence from the Perspective of Patients and Healthcare Practitioners in England. J Asthma Allergy. 2023;16:987–996. doi:10.2147/JAA.S426227
- 2. Hosseinzadeh S, Rauti S, Laurén S, et al. Diversification and obfuscation techniques for software security: a systematic literature review. *Inf Softw Technol.* 2018;104:72–93.
- 3. Lameky VY. Response to: comparing Online and Face-to-Face Performance in Scientific Courses: a Retrospective Comparative Gender Study of Year-1 Students. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2023;14:1191–1192. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S444799
- 4. Urquhart C, Brettle A. Validation of a generic impact survey for use by health library services indicates the reliability of the questionnaire. *Health Info Libr J.* 2022;39(4):323–335. doi:10.1111/hir.12427

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Asthma and Allergy 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Asthma and Allergy editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Asthma and Allergy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Asthma and Allergy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials and commentaries on the following topics: Asthma; Pulmonary physiology; Asthma related clinical health; Clinical immunology and the immunological basis of disease; Pharmacological interventions and new therapies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-asthma-and-allergy-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JAA.S447920

1252 🖪 😏 in 🖪 DovePress