Open Access Surgery

Dovepress
open access to scientific and medical research

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Visual Outcome of Cataract Surgeries Performed in A Rural Eye Care Facility in the Philippines: A One-Year Retrospective Study

Jan Patrick Opulencia Chu[1], Mark Joseph O Lagao[2]

[1]Chu Eye Care Center, Tarlac, Philippines; [2]Department of Ophthalmology, Good Samaritan Medical Center, Cabanatuan, Nueva Ecija, Philippines

Correspondence: Jan Patrick Opulencia Chu, Email janpatrickchu@gmail.com

Objective: This study aimed to determine the demography, incidence of surgical complications, and visual outcomes of cataract surgeries at a rural eye care facility in Tarlac, Philippines.

Methods: This is a 1-year retrospective case study of the visual outcomes of cataract surgeries in a rural eye care facility in Tarlac, Philippines. Comparative statistics such as *t*-test for means and Z-test for proportions were used to determine differences between bivariate groups.

Results: During the 1-year period, 340 eyes underwent cataract surgery. Fifty-nine patients were <60 years of age, whereas 235 patients were >60 years old. There were 142 males and 152 females. Preoperative visual acuity (VA) appears to be skewed towards poor vision (<20/200). Two hundred sixteen eyes had VA <20/200, while the number of eyes with fair (<20/60 - 20/200) and good (20/20 - 20/60) vision were 95 and 29, respectively. Preoperative and postoperative VA were categorized as good, fair and poor based on the definition stated by the WHO. There are significant differences ($p < 0.0001$) between the preoperative and postoperative VA in all categories. The number of eyes with good VA increased from 29 to 320, whereas the frequency of fair and poor VA decreased from 95 and 216 to 8 and 12, respectively.

Conclusion: The eye care facility achieved the WHO recommendation for adequate visual outcomes of >80% of good visual outcome. Contrary to other studies, old age does not necessarily equate to poor outcomes in this study. However, pre-existing ocular illnesses and surgical complications do contribute to poor outcomes. It is still possible to gain a good outcome if the pre-existing ocular comorbidity is still on the early stages. In cases of posterior capsular rupture, good outcomes are also achievable if the surgeon is skilled enough to handle such situations.

Keywords: cataract, outcomes, complications, rural, Philippines

Introduction

Cataract is the primary cause of preventable blindness globally. Some studies have stated that 75% of this visually impairing illness represents cases from developing counties.[1–3] In the Philippines, the Third National Survey on Blindness determined that the prevalence of visual impairment is 4.62%, with cataract accounting for the most common cause of bilateral blindness (<CF3m) and second most common cause of low vision (<6/18, ≥ CF 3m) at 62.1% and 40.8%, respectively.[4]

In 1998, the WHO stated that the prevalence of blindness is higher in rural areas compared to urbanized regions and that there is a need to make eye care accessible to underserved areas.[5] The Philippines, a developing Southeast Asian nation, is still presented with geographic and socioeconomic challenges that make eye care less accessible particularly in rural areas.[6]

Cataract surgery remains to be the only effective treatment for visually significant cataracts.[7] It not only serves to restore visual function, but also improves the quality of life.[8] Visual outcomes of cataract surgery can be categorized into three according to the WHO with good being 20/20 to 20/60, fair <20/60 to 20/200, and poor <20/200. The WHO also defined

Received: 29 May 2024
Accepted: 2 August 2024
Published: 12 August 2024

adequate visual outcome as good uncorrected postoperative visual acuity of greater than 80%, and corrected postoperative visual acuity of greater than 90%. Borderline and poor outcomes should be less than 15% and 5% respectively.[5]

Previous studies consider preoperative ocular comorbidities, perioperative and postoperative complications, and refractive error as factors for poor visual outcomes.[9,10] The quality of surgery and the surgeon's skills similarly affect surgical outcomes.[9,11]

Currently, there are only two studies regarding visual outcomes of cataract surgery in the Philippines. One study is a hospital-based study that looks into the visual outcomes of phacoemulsification surgeries performed by third-year residents in a training institution, while the other is a multicenter study of 3 countries that included two rural provinces in the central islands of the Philippines, the Negros Island and Antique.

There is no available data in a rural setting north of Manila, the county's capital. Hence, a retrospective non-probability sampling method will be made to determine the demographic, clinical profile and visual outcomes of cataract surgeries performed in a rural eye care facility in Tarlac, Philippines. This will provide information that can be used to motivate cataract surgeons to monitor surgical outcomes in order to detect and make possible improvements towards the achievement of better visual outcomes.[12]

Methodology

Study Design

This study used a retrospective case series study design using the medical records of patients who underwent cataract surgery in Chu Eye Care Center, a standalone eye care facility located in Paniqui, Tarlac, Philippines from January 2022 to December 2022. Data from the medical records were recorded, tabulated, and analyzed upon approval from the Dr. Paulino J. Garcia Memorial Research and Medical Center - Institutional Review Board.

Study Population and Sampling

This study included patients who underwent cataract surgery in a rural eye care facility in Paniqui, Tarlac, Philippines from January 2022 to December 2022. Informed consent was obtained from every patient prior to undergoing cataract surgery and commencement of study. Age and sex distribution, pre-existing ocular co-morbidities, pre-operative and post-operative visual acuities, peri-operative and post-operative complications were described. This study used a non-probability sampling method. All patients who met inclusion and exclusion the criteria for the specified period of time were included in this study.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients should be registered in the institution.
- Patients should be diagnosed with cataract needing surgical intervention.
- Patients should have undergone cataract surgery of one or both eyes in the eye care facility.
- Patients should have had the procedure done within the period of January 2022 to December 2022.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients who had combined trabeculectomy and cataract extraction with IOL.
- Patients with incomplete records.

Site of Study

The study was done at Chu Eye Care Center, a private standalone eye care facility equipped with its own ambulatory surgical unit in Paniqui, Tarlac, Philippines.

Study Plan

The investigators collected data of patients who underwent cataract surgery at the rural eye care facility. Data was accessed and gathered at the Medical Records Section using physical and electronic charts. The paper documents were secured in an envelope or folder that was brought in to a private office for filing, and these were secured in a cabinet with locks. The electronic files were saved in an external hard drive memory protected with password and was secured in a cabinet with locks. A dedicated password protected computer was used for data processing and analysis, which were only accessible to investigators.

The study did not involve administration of any experimental or routine tests, treatment or any diagnostic or therapeutic procedures.

Results

During the 1-year period, there were 296 patients or 344 eyes that underwent cataract surgery. However, 4 eyes did not complete the follow up eye examination at 1-month post-cataract surgery, consequently excluding those eyes in this study.

Fifty-nine (20.07%) patients were less than 60 years of age, whereas 235 (79.93%) patients were already considered senior citizens (ages 60 and above). There were 142 (48.30%) male and 152 (51.70%) female patients. Two hundred ninety-six (87.06%) patients received unilateral cataract surgery, while 44 (12.94%) patients had cataract surgery on both eyes (see Table 1).

Preoperative visual acuity appears to be skewed towards poor vision, which is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as visual acuity of <20/200.[5] Two hundred sixteen (63.53%) eyes had visual acuity less than 20/

Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Patients

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
<60 years	59	20.07
>60 years	235	79.93
Sex		
Male	142	48.30
Female	152	51.70
Laterality		
Right	192	56.47
Left	148	43.53
Preoperative Visual Acuity		
20/20 - 20/60 (Good)	29	8.53
<20/60 - 20/200 (Fair)	95	27.94
<20/200 (Poor)	216	63.53
Systemic Comorbidities		
Hypertension	138	46.94
Diabetes Mellitus	69	23.47
Dyslipidemia	11	3.74
Ischemic Heart Disease	6	2.04
Cardiac Dysrhythmia	7	2.38
Asthma	6	2.04
Pulmonary Tuberculosis	4	1.36
Cerebrovascular Infarct	5	1.70
Systemic Lupus	1	0.34
Chronic Kidney Disease	7	2.38
None	118	40.14

(*Continued*)

Table 1 (Continued).

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Ocular Comorbidities		
Central Vein Occlusion	1	0.34
Glaucoma	5	1.70
Macular Degeneration	2	0.68
Macular Scar	1	0.34
Subluxated Lens	2	0.68
None	284	96.60
Type of surgery		
Phacoemulsification with in-the-bag IOL Implantation	318	93.53
Phacoemulsification with Anterior Vitrectomy and Sulcus IOL Implantation	12	3.53
Phacoemulsification with Anterior Vitrectomy and ACIOL Implantation	8	2.35
ECCE with Anterior Vitrectomy and ACIOL Implantation	1	0.29
ICCE with Anterior Vitrectomy and ACIOL Implantation	1	0.29

200, while the number of eyes with fair (<20/60 - 20/200) and good (20/20 - 20/60) vision were 95 (27.9%) and 29 (8.53%), respectively.

As shown in Table 1, the most common systemic illness of the patients in this study was hypertension, which was present in 138 (46.94%) individuals, followed by diabetes mellitus at 69 (23.47%), and dyslipidemia at 11 (3.74%). Other systemic illnesses noted from the patients were ischemic heart disease, cardiac dysrhythmia, asthma, previous and on-going treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis, cerebrovascular infarct, systemic lupus, and chronic kidney disease. On the other hand, 118 (40.14%) of the patients did not have any systemic comorbidity.

Moreover, 284 (96.60%) patients did not have pre-existing ocular illness apart from the presence of cataracts. One (0.34%) patient had a previous history of central retinal vein occlusion, 5 (1.70%) had pre-existing glaucoma, 2 (0.68%) had macular degeneration, 1 (0.34%) had a macular scar, and 2 (0.68%) presented with subluxated lenses.

Phacoemulsification with intraocular lens (IOL) implantation was the surgical procedure performed in 338 (99.41%) eyes. However, 20 (5.88%) of those eyes had an intraoperative complication of posterior capsular rupture (see Table 2) wherein anterior vitrectomy was performed; twelve (3.53%) had their intraocular lenses placed at the sulcus, while 8 (2.35%) eyes had an anterior chamber intraocular lens (ACIOL) implanted. An extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE) with anterior vitrectomy was performed in one of the patients that presented with a subluxated lens, while an intracapsular cataract extraction (ICCE) with anterior vitrectomy was performed on the other patient with subluxated lens.

Table 3 shows the frequency of preoperative and postoperative visual acuities categorized as good, fair and poor based on the definition stated by the WHO.[13] There are significant differences ($p < 0.0001$) between the preoperative and postoperative visual acuities in all categories Specifically, the number of eyes with good visual acuity increased from 29 (8.53%) preoperatively to 320 (94.12%) postoperatively, whereas the frequency of fair and poor visual acuities decreased from 95 (27.94%) and 216 (63.53%) preoperatively to 8 (2.35%) and 12 (3.53%) postoperatively, respectively.

Table 2 Visual Outcomes of Patients with Intraoperative Complications Compared to Those Without

Intraoperative Complications	Frequency	Percentage	Final Visual Acuity		
			Good	Fair	Poor
Posterior Capsular Rent	20	5.88	14	2	4
None	320	94.12	304	6	8

Table 3 Frequency of Preoperative and Postoperative Visual Acuity Based on WHO Guideline

Visual Acuity	Preoperative n (%)	Postoperative n (%)	p
20/20 - 20/60 (Good)	29 (8.53)	320 (94.12)	<0.0001
<20/60 - 20/200 (Fair)	95 (27.94)	8 (2.35)	<0.0001
<20/200 (Poor)	216 (63.53)	12 (3.53)	<0.0001

Outcomes between the two age groups, as seen in Table 4, exhibited a substantial increase in good postoperative visual acuities, and decrease in fair and poor visual outcomes, which are statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$) in all visual outcome categories. Postoperative proportions between age <60 and >60 is statistically equal across all visual acuity categories. This would mean that rates of visual outcomes when both groups are compared are not significantly different. This implies that age group is not a factor of postoperative visual outcomes.

The preoperative visual acuities and postoperative outcomes based on gender also exhibited the same trend, and are also statistically different (see Table 5). Similar to age groups, sex classification does not appear to be a factor of postoperative visual outcomes. This is due to the lack of statistical difference between male and female postoperative visual acuity scores. Although, there is slightly more females having fair visual acuity over males (p 0.0765), there is not enough evidence to conclude that females have better postoperative visual outcomes.

Postoperative visual acuity among those that received phacoemulsification with in-the-bag IOL implantation was significantly different from the preoperative visual acuity ($p < 0.0001$). There was a large improvement in good postoperative visual acuity and decrease in the number of poor postoperative visual acuity compared with the preoperative data. Similar patterns were also observed for the eyes that underwent phacoemulsification with anterior vitrectomy with the IOL implanted either in the sulcus or in the anterior chamber. The eyes which received an ECCE with anterior vitrectomy or an ICCE with anterior vitrectomy for subluxated lenses had good postoperative visual outcomes; both eyes had an anterior chamber intraocular lens (ACIOL) implanted due to loss of capsular support (see Table 6).

A total of 11 eyes were noted to have pre-existing ocular comorbidities with glaucoma being the most common as it was present in 5 eyes. Central retinal occlusion was present in 1 eye, macular degeneration in 2 eyes, and macular scar in 1 eye. These ocular comorbidities were shown to have contributed to the fair and poor postoperative visual acuities.

Table 4 Frequency of Preoperative and Postoperative Visual Acuity of Patients by Age Group

	20/20 - 20/60 (Good) n (%)	<20/60 - 20/200 (Fair) n (%)	<20/200 (Poor) n (%)
<60			
Preoperative	7 (10)	16 (22.86)	47 (67.14)
Postoperative	64 (91.43)	2 (2.86)	4 (5.71)
p	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
>60			
Preoperative	22 (8.15)	75 (27.78)	173 (64.07)
Postoperative	254 (94.07)	8 (2.96)	8 (2.96)
p	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
<60 vs >60			
Postoperative [<60]	64 (91.43)	2 (2.86)	4 (5.71)
Postoperative [>60]	254 (94.07)	8 (2.96)	8 (2.96)
p	0.4237	0.9648	0.2663

Table 5 Frequency of Preoperative and Postoperative Visual Acuity of Patients by Sex

	20/20 - 20/60 (Good) n (%)	<20/60 - 20/200 (Fair) n (%)	<20/200 (Poor) n (%)
Male			
Preoperative	14 (8.75)	38 (23.46)	110 (67.90)
Postoperative	153 (94.44)	2 (1.24)	7 (4.32)
p	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Female			
Preoperative	16 (8.99)	51 (28.65)	111 (62.36)
Postoperative	165 (92.70)	8 (4.49)	5 (2.81)
p	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Male vs Female			
Postoperative [Male]	153 (94.44)	2 (1.24)	7 (4.32)
Postoperative [Female]	165 (92.70)	8 (4.49)	5 (2.81)
p	0.5148	0.0765	0.4511

Table 6 Visual Outcomes of Based on the Type of Surgery Performed and Type of IOL Inserted

Type of Cataract Surgery	Visual Outcome	Preoperative n (%)	Postoperative n (%)	p
Phacoemulsification with in-The-bag IOL Implantation (Total = 318)	20/20-20/60 (Good) <20/60-20/200 (Fair) <20/200 (Poor)	27 (8.49) 92 (28.93) 199 (62.58)	304 (95.60) 6 (1.89) 8 (2.52)	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001
Phacoemulsification with Anterior Vitrectomy and Sulcus IOL Implantation (Total = 12)	20/20-20/60 (Good) <20/60-20/200 (Fair) <20/200 (Poor)	0 3 (25.00) 9 (75.00)	9 (75.00) 0 3 (25.00)	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001
Phacoemulsification with Anterior Vitrectomy and ACIOL Implantation (Total = 8)	20/20-20/60 (Good) <20/60-20/200 (Fair) <20/200 (Poor)	2 (25.00) 0 6 (75.00)	5 (62.50) 2 (25.00) 1 (12.50)	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001
ECCE with Anterior Vitrectomy with ACIOL Implantation (Total = 1)	20/20-20/60 (Good) <20/60-20/200 (Fair) <20/200 (Poor)	0 0 1 (100.00)	1100.00) 0 0	N/A N/A N/A
ICCE with Anterior Vitrectomy with ACIOL Implantation (Total = 1)	20/20-20/60 (Good) <20/60-20/200 (Fair) <20/200 (Poor)	0 0 1 (100.00)	1 (100.00) 0 0	N/A N/A N/A

However, the 2 eyes with subluxated lens still had good visual outcomes despite having anterior vitrectomy performed and an ACIOL implanted in both eyes (see Table 7).

The most common postoperative complication was striate keratopathy, which occurred in 66 (19.41%) eyes, and were treated with sodium chloride 5% ophthalmic solution until the corneal edema and Descemet's fold had resolved. This was followed by transient elevation of intraocular pressure (IOP) in 10 (2.94%) eyes, which were briefly treated with anti-glaucoma medications just until the eyes sustained IOPs that were within normal limits. Moreover, other postoperative complications noted were retained cortical lens material in 2 (0.59%) eyes and postoperative uveitis in 3 (0.88%) eyes, which completely resolved with topical ocular steroid. A shallow anterior chamber due to a wound leak was also observed in 1 (0.29%) eye postoperatively. There was no postoperative complication seen in 258 (75.88%) eyes (see Table 8).

Table 7 Visual Outcomes of Patients with Pre-Existing Comorbidities Compared to Those Without

Pre-existing Ocular Comorbidities	Frequency	Percentage	Final Visual Acuity		
			Good	Fair	Poor
Central Vein Occlusion	1	0.29	–	–	1
Glaucoma	5	1.47	2	1	2
Macular Degeneration	2	0.59	–	2	–
Macular Scar	1	0.29	–	–	1
Subluxated Lens	2	0.59	2	–	–
None	329	96.75	318	5	7

Table 8 Visual Outcomes of Patients with Postoperative Complications Compared to Those Without

Postoperative Complications	Frequency	Percentage	Final Visual Acuity		
			Good	Fair	Poor
Striate Keratopathy	66	19.41	64	1	1
Elevated IOP	10	2.94	8	–	2
Shallow Anterior Chamber	1	0.29	1	–	–
Retained Cortical Material	2	0.59	1	1	–
Post-operative Uveitis	3	0.88	3		
None	258	75.88	243	6	9

Discussion

A cataract is an eye condition that involves the opacification of the crystalline lens.[7,8] This leads to a decline in visual function leading to visual impairment and blindness. They are the leading cause of reversible blindness worldwide.[1,11] It also accounts for more than 50% of global burden of disease.[16] However, there is a disproportionate burden to as much as 75% in developing nations.[1–3]

Despite the advances in cataract surgery, developing countries still face barriers and challenges in the availability of eye care services and resources affecting visual outcomes of cataract surgeries.[6] Population-based studies have shown that there are significant disparities in cataract surgical outcomes between high-income countries and low-middle income countries.[14] However, rural communities in developed countries are also presented with similar issues.[15]

There are various conditions that causes cataract formation, but aging is still the most common cause.[7] It has the highest prevalence of visual impairment in people above 40 years of age.[10] This statement reflects the age demographic profile of the patients in this study as there were 235 (79.93%) patients who were aged 60 and above at the time of surgery. According to Khanna et al, older age is associated with poor visual outcomes.[16] However, 254 (94.07%) eyes in this age group had good visual outcomes, while only 8 (2.96%) had fair, and 8 (2.96%) had poor visual outcomes.

The treatment of this visually debilitating disease is cataract extraction with intraocular lens implant.[17] It is the most common procedure worldwide[14] and is also considered to be the most successful procedure in the field of medicine.[7] It is a simple and cost-effective procedure that aims to restore visual function and independence, which results to improved quality of life.[9,10,18] Two hundred ninety-four patients had cataract surgery in this study. The female to male ratio of patients in this study is 1:1.07. Both groups had a significant increase in the number of eyes with good vision. The postoperative visual acuity of female and male patients showed good postoperative visual outcomes at 92.70% and 94.44%, respectively (see Table 4). Furthermore, more cataract surgeries were done in the right eye than the left, but 44 patients had bilateral cataract surgeries.

The WHO has recommended that postoperative good uncorrected visual acuity (20/20-20/60) of patients be more than 80%, and poor (<20/200) and fair (<20/60-20/200) be less than 20% to achieve an overall adequate visual outcomes.[5] As shown in Table 2, 320 (94.12%) eyes had good uncorrected visual acuities whereas only 2.35% had fair, and 3.53% had poor visual outcomes. This would mean that, during the 1 year period, the eye care facility involved in this study has met the standard the WHO had set. Similarly, two separate studies in India and Pakistan had met the WHO standard for adequate outcomes as they have also reported that they have >80% good visual outcomes.[1,18] Conversely, Olawoye et al reported that outcomes of a university hospital in Ibadan, Nigeria did not meet the WHO recommended guideline.[2] Similar results were described in one study involving 3 low-income countries such as Bangladesh. Kenya and the Philippines.[9] Earlier studies in Nigeria have shown that they also were not able to meet the said criteria. Ukponmwan et al evaluated the outcomes of cataract surgeries in Benin, Nigeria and reported good visual outcome of 59.7%.[10] Other studies in Nigeria also showed similar trends as Nwosu reported 58.95%, and Bekibele reported only 55.7% had good visual outcomes.[13,17]

The patients included in this study all got a biometry to accurately calculate the IOL power since the use of standard intraocular lens (IOL) powers without biometry is associated with a poorer visual outcome.[17] However, a multicenter study described that there was no difference between the outcomes of patients who received biometry and to those who did not among the Filipino population in the study.[9]

Although cataract extraction is cost-effective and has a high success rate, the quality of surgery may result to poor visual outcome.[11,14] Three hundred eighteen patients underwent phacoemulsification with in-the-bag IOL Implantation making it the predominant type of surgery performed. At 1 month post-cataract surgery, 304 (95.60%) patients had good visual outcome, 6 (1.89%) had fair visual outcome, and 8 (2.52%) had poor visual outcome.

One cause of poor visual outcomes includes surgical complications.[9,10] A study in Liberia reported that poor visual outcomes were also associated with implanting ACIOLs.[16] In this study, 20 eyes received phacoemulsification with anterior vitrectomy due to posterior capsular rupture, which is considered as the most common intraoperative complication in cataract surgery.[10] Despite the intraoperative complication, 75% of eyes who had IOLs implanted in the sulcus; and 62.50% of those that had an ACIOL implanted had good visual outcome. However, there were 3 (25%) eyes in the sulcus IOL group, and 1 (12.50%) eye in the ACIOL group that had poor visual outcomes. Furthermore, similar to the majority of eyes that underwent phacoemulsification with anterior vitrectomy, the 2 eyes that presented with a subluxated lens that had ECCE or ICCE with anterior vitrectomy and an ACIOL implant had good visual outcomes.

Pre-existing ocular comorbidities are also causes of poor visual outcomes.[9,10,16] In this study, eleven eyes had a pre-existing ocular condition. Five of these eyes had pre-existing glaucoma making it the most common ocular comorbidity. Two had good visual outcomes, while two had poor visual outcomes. Two patients with macular degeneration had a final visual acuity of fair, while 1 patient with macular scar had a poor outcome. A patient who had a central retinal vein occlusion also had poor visual outcome. It is noteworthy that the visual acuity could still be good in cases where pre-existing ocular conditions are still mild or on early stages.[8]

Ukponmwan et al stated that the most common early postoperative complication is striate keratopathy.[10] This parallels the result of this study as 66 (19.41%) eyes were noted to have had Descemet membrane folds during the early postoperative period. While the cornea of each eye cleared up with the addition of sodium chloride eye drops, 2 eyes failed to gain a good visual outcome. Elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), the second most common postoperative complication in this study, was noted in 10 eyes. Although the rise in IOPs were controlled with IOP lowering eyedrops and were transient, two eyes still had a poor visual outcome.

Some studies reported that uncorrected refractive error was the most common cause of poor outcomes.[1,9] However, refractive error was not considered in this study.

Cataract surgical outcomes have not been widely reported in the Philippines. Based on research, there are only two studies that have described local data. It should be emphasized that monitoring visual outcomes after cataract surgery will allow cataract surgeons to identify causes of poor outcome and areas needing of improvement. Moreover, a reduction in the incidence of complications is associated with routine monitoring of cataract surgical outcomes.[12]

Limitations

This study did not include data regarding cataract types and densities. Moreover, due to the unavailability of a specular microscopy machine, the measurement of preoperative and postoperative endothelial cell counts were not performed. Refractive outcomes were also not reported in this study.

Conclusion

Overall, the rural eye care facility has achieved the WHO recommendation for adequate visual outcomes of greater than 80% of good visual outcome. This study also goes against the inverse association of old age to gaining a good visual outcome in cataract surgery. However, pre-existing ocular illnesses and surgical complications clearly do contribute to poor outcomes.

In cases of pre-existing ocular comorbidities, it is still possible to gain a good outcome if the ocular condition is still on the early stages.[8] Similarly, in surgical complications such as posterior capsular rupture, good outcomes are also achievable if the surgeon is skilled enough to handle such situations.[9,11] It is important that a surgeon keeps track of surgical outcomes as this may detect aspects that may need improvement; hence, continuously providing a high-quality cataract surgery.[12]

Data Collection and Analysis

Approval for chart retrieval was obtained from the Medical Records Section and Data Privacy Officer. The identity of all patients was not disclosed in the process of data interpretation. Data collection only included age and sex, pre-existing ocular co-morbidities, pre-operative and post-operative visual acuities, peri-operative and post-operative complications.

Descriptive and comparative statistics were computed from the data gathered to create a detailed profile of patients. Specifically, descriptive statistics included frequency and percentages for categorical data, and mean scores for continuous data. Comparative statistics such as *t*-test for means and Z-test for proportions were used to understand patterns or differences between bi-variate groups (ie gender or age).

Ethical Considerations

The research protocol was submitted to the Dr. Paulino J. Garcia Memorial Research and Medical Center – Institutional Review Board for ethical and technical clearance. There was no expected risk on patients since the study design did not involve participant interaction and testing. No intervention such as treatment, procedure or exposure were done on participants as mentioned in the study plan. There were no expected benefit or renumeration for the participants. The researchers/investigators received no renumeration for the performance of this research from any third party aside from potential financial support from the implementing agency. Since the performance of research gathered a pool of data from the patient charts, permission from the Health Information and Records Management Section as well as Data Privacy officer were secured. This was in compliance with the Data Privacy act of 2012 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations protecting patient privacy. This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and was performed according to ethics committee approval.

Funding

This research was self-funded by the authors. No public or private funding from an organization was requested for this research.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Malik AR, Qazi ZA. Gilbert C: visual outcome after high volume cataract surgery in Pakistan. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2003;87(8):937–940. doi:10.1136/bjo.87.8.937
2. Olawoye OO, Ashaye AO, Bekibele CO, Ajayi BGK. Ajayi BG: visual outcome after cataract surgery at the University College Hospital, Ibadan. *Ann Ib Postgrad Med*. 2011;9(1):8–13. doi:10.4314/aipm.v9i1.72428
3. Thylefors B, Négrel AD, Pararajasegaram R, Dadzie KY. Dadzie KY: global data on blindness. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1995;73(1):115–121.

4. Cubillan LD, Olivar-Santos EO Third national survey on blindness. 2005; Available from https://paojournal.com/article/third-national-survey-on-blindness/. Accessed August 07, 2024.
5. WHO. Informal consultation on analysis of blindness prevention outcomes. Geneva. World Health Organisation. WHO/ PBL/ 98/ 68. Available from: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/67843. Accessed August 07, 2024.
6. Fdv H, Alberto NRI, Flores GP, Eala MAB, Mesina BVQ. Mesina BVQ: barriers to eye care for older people in the Philippines. *Lancet Healthy Longev*. 2022;3(3):130. doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00025-3
7. Davis G. Davis G: the Evolution of Cataract Surgery. *Mo Med*. 2016;113(1):58–62.
8. Lamoureux EL, Fenwick E, Pesudovs K, Tan D. The impact of cataract surgery on quality of life. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2011;22(1):19–27. doi:10.1097/ICU.0b013e3283414284
9. Lindfield R, Kuper H, Polack S, et al.:outcome of cataract surgery at one year in Kenya, the Philippines and Bangladesh. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2009;93(7):875–880. doi:10.1136/bjo.2008.152744
10. Ukponmwan C. Complications of cataract surgery at the university teaching hospital, Benin City, Nigeria. *Niger J Ophthalmol*. 2010;18. doi:10.4314/njo.v18i2.70762
11. Marmamula S, Barrenakala NR, Challa R, et al.:Visual outcomes after cataract surgery among the elderly residents in the 'homes for the aged' in South India: the Hyderabad Ocular morbidity in elderly study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2021;105(8):1087–1093. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317167
12. Ukponmwan C. Visual outcome of cataract surgery. *Niger J Ophthalmol*. 2011;18(2). doi:10.4314/njo.v18i2.70763.10.4314/njo.v18i2.70763
13. Nwosu S, Onyekwe L. intraocular lens implantation surgery in Onitsha, Nigeria. *Niger J Ophthalmol*. 2002;10(1). doi:10.4314/njo.v10i1.11899
14. Han X, Zhang J, Liu Z, et al. Liu Y: real-world visual outcomes of cataract surgery based on population-based studies: a systematic review. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2022;11:2021–320997. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2021-320997
15. Lee J, Han S. Evaluation of visual outcome after cataract surgery in patients with legal blindness. *Annal Eye Sci*. 2022;7:24. doi:10.21037/aes-22-5
16. Khanna R, Pujari S, Sangwan V. Sangwan V: cataract surgery in developing countries. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2011;22(1):10–14. doi:10.1097/ICU.0b013e3283414f50
17. Bekibele C. Evaluation of the outcome of ecce surgery with pc-iol at ago-iwoye, Ogun State, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2001;9. doi:10.4314/njo.v9i1.11918
18. Tiwari A, Padmey I. The visual outcomes after cataract surgery in urban slums of Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India: a cross sectional study. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2019;6(2):638. doi:10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20190183