REVIEW

Theoretical Domains Framework: A Bibliometric and Visualization Analysis from 2005-2023

Yiwen Zhou^{1,*}, Yuyan Huang^{1,*}, Yingwen Wang², Xiaofeng Xu¹, Zhuowen Yu¹, Ying Gu³

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, National Children's Medical Center, Shanghai, 201102, People's Republic of China; ²Centre for Clinical Practice Guideline Production and Evaluation, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, National Children's Medical Center, Shanghai, 201102, People's Republic of China; ³Nursing Department, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, National Children's Medical Center, Shanghai, 201102, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Ying Gu, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, National Children's Medical Center, Shanghai, 201102, People's Republic of China, Email guying0128@aliyun.com; Zhuowen Yu, Department of Gastroenterology, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, National Children's Medical Center, Shanghai, 201102, People's Republic of China, Email yzw100@126.com

Background: The Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) is among the most extensively utilised foundational frameworks in implementation science. It was developed from 33 psychological theories, with the latest version identifying 14 domains encompassing 84 theoretical constructs. These domains and constructs capture the complexity of factors that affect behaviours, making the framework a valuable tool for designing and implementing interventions within health and social care settings.

Objective: To summarise the development, hot topics, and future trends in TDF-related research and provide implementation practitioners with more information on the application of TDF.

Methods: We used TDF as the topic and searched the ISI Web of Science Core Collection, identifying 1382 relevant publications. We used analytical tools such as Excel, Tableau, VOSviewer, and Citespace to conduct a bibliometric analysis of the relevant publication. Results: We identified the United Kingdom as the primary contributor, with University College London as the key institution. Susan Michie ranked highest in total citations. The analysis highlighted cancer and stroke as primary clinic medicine-related topics using TDF. Emerging themes encompass abuse, violence, maternal health, antenatal care, patient involvement, and trauma-informed care et al. "Nurse" and "qualitative research" emerged as recent and enduring hotspots, possibly indicating future research trends.

Conclusion: This article represents the first attempt to summarise the TDF using bibliometric analysis. We suggest this method can be used to analyse other theoretical frameworks in scientific implementation of its objectivity and quantifiability. Overall, the application scope of TDF is shifting from public health towards more specialised clinical directions, although its application in the field of public health is continuously expanding. In the future, the number of users of TDF is also expected to expand from implementation scientists to professional technical personnel.

Keywords: theoretical domains framework, bibliometric analysis, visualization, implementation science

Introduction

Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) is one of the most widely used foundational theoretical frameworks in the field of implementation science.¹ The development and validation of the TDF went through two phases. The first phase involved the establishment of a comprehensive theoretical framework consisting of 12 domains and 128 explanatory constructs by a team of behavioural scientists and implementation researchers.² In the second phase, Cane et al refined the TDF in 2012 after validation, identifying 14 domains covering 84 theoretical constructs. The 14 domains include Knowledge, Skills, Social/Professional Role and Identity, Beliefs about Capabilities, Optimism, Beliefs about Consequences, Reinforcement, Intentions, Goals, Memory, Attention and Decision Processes, Environmental Context and Resources, Social Influences, and Emotion and Behavioral Regulation.³ Also in 2012, French et al published an article on the four steps for developing intervention measures using the TDF, guiding researchers in comprehensive intervention strategy design.⁴ In 2017, Atkins published a guide with the aim of assisting the implementation community

in achieving their implementation goals using TDF.⁵ Over the past decade, TDF has been extensively utilised in the global healthcare arena. Its application has bolstered the confidence of healthcare professionals in carrying out projects.⁶

The topic of TDF-related research encompasses evidence-based guidelines implementation,⁷ health check initiatives execution,⁸ vaccinations,⁹ health promotion app utilisation,¹⁰ school-based daily physical activity,¹¹ and others. The study population is inclusive of administrators, healthcare practitioners, patients, and the general populace. Research settings span across various contexts, including hospitals, communities, households, and schools et al. Qualitative research predominates, with the primary research method utilising interviews and focus groups.⁵ Several systematic reviews have synthesised existing research findings, addressing specific research questions such as how to apply the TDF to design interventions for behaviour change in healthcare practitioners and the general population.^{12–14} Other studies have summarised the application of TDF in identifying barriers and facilitators in areas like guideline implementation,15 medication adherence,16 sustainable practices in operating theatres,¹⁵ and pressure injury prevention.¹⁶ It is essential to access these publications to evaluate their impact on research and development.

Bibliometric analysis is a valuable research methodology that provides quantitative insights into the publication landscape of a particular field.¹⁷ Compared to systematic reviews, it does not require an in-depth interpretation of each literature piece, allowing for a larger volume of literature inclusion. It focuses on quantitative analysis of citations and cocitations, offering a macroscopic view of research field hotspots and trends. At this level, it can serve as a valuable complement to systematic reviews. Notably, there is currently a dearth of bibliometric studies about the TDF. We aim to offer valuable insights for future research by conducting a bibliometric analysis of literature centred around the TDF.

Materials and Methods

Retrieval Strategies

The data for the study was obtained from the Web of Science Core Collection (WOSCC, Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA), recognised as one of the most commonly utilised databases for bibliometric studies. The search was conducted on December 27, 2023, using "Theoretical Domains Framework" as the topic. We also included an article by Cane et al in our search strategy, as this article formed the original version of the TDF, but the full text does not mention the term "Theoretical Domains Framework". To ensure comprehensive retrieval, we added the article's title to our search strategy. Therefore, our search term was: (TS=("Theoretical Domains Framework")) OR TI=("Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence-based practice: a consensus approach").

The data utilised in this study are publicly accessible and do not contain protected health information. Therefore, no approval was sought from the Ethics Committee of Fudan University Affiliated Children's Hospital. This study adheres to the BIBLIO checklist for reporting the bibliometric reviews of the biomedical literature.

Analytical Tool

Excel

Excel (version 16.49) developed by Microsoft company was used to display the annual number of publications.

Tableau

Tableau (version 2023.2.1),¹⁸ developed by Christian Chabot, Chris Stolte and Pat Hanrahan, was utilised for presenting global publishing density and high-frequency keywords.

VOSviewer

VOSviewer (version 1.6.19) is a software for creating, visualising and exploring bibliometric maps of science literature developed by the team of Professor Ludo Waltman and Nees-Jan van Eck (Leiden University).¹⁹ We used it to visualise (1) the citations of articles, (2) the top institutions by the number of publications, and (3) the collaboration network of authors and countries.

Citespace

CiteSpace, developed by Professor Chen Chaomei's team (version 6.1.R6), serves as an additional software for bibliometric analysis and visualization.²⁰ The graphs generated by Citespace are more diverse, including (1) the

keywords with the strongest citation bursts. The figure will display six columns of data, namely keywords, year (the years in which the keywords appear), strength (burst strength), begin (the start time of keyword burst), end (the end time of keyword burst), and a timeline of the burst; (2). The Timezone of keywords.

Metrics

Here are all the metrics used in the tables: (1) Total citation(TC) is a noteworthy metric that denotes the frequency with which an article has been referenced by other publications since its publication. Total link strength(TLS) refers to the cumulative strength of connections between nodes in a network or graph.

The graphs generated using VOSviewer to analyse data present three key elements: nodes, lines, and colours. nodes represent the analysed elements, such as institutions and countries. The size of the nodes represents the quantity or frequency. For instance, when analysing the literature citation, a larger node representing a particular document indicates a higher frequency of citations. Lines represent the relationships between two nodes, with thicker edges indicating greater collaboration or more frequent co-occurrences between the two elements. Nodes of the same colour signify that they belong to the same cluster.

Results

Annual Number of Articles on TDF

A total of 1382 articles were included in the study. Figure 1 illustrates a consistent upward trend in the annual publication count of articles focused on TDF from 2005 to 2023. Based on the currently available data, the lowest counts were observed in 2005 and 2009, each with one publication. From 2012 onwards, the annual publication count on TDF exceeded 10 articles, reaching its peak at 301 in 2023.

National Publication Count

Figure 2 displays the publication counts for each country from 2005 to 2023. A total of 73 countries were included in the analysis, with higher publication counts concentrated in Europe, North America, and Australia. The top ten countries by publication count are as follows: England (N=656), Australia (N=353), Canada (N=337), USA (N=182), Ireland (N=83), Netherlands (N=53), Germany (N=36), New Zealand (N=24), People's Republic of China (N=24), and Denmark (N=20).



Figure I Annual number of published articles of TDF from 2005 to 2023 on Web of Science.



Figure 2 National publication count. The countries within the red boxes were the top ten countries in terms of publication numbers.

TC of Included Articles

We set the VOSviewer filter to a minimum TC of 50, 59 articles were included in the analysis, as shown in Figure 3. Table 1 presents the highest 10 citations based on the document's citation analysis. In most fields, an article is considered a classic citation if it exceeds 100. The top 10 cited articles in TDF range from a minimum of 182 to a maximum of 2167 citations. Notably, the two articles share the same first author, Susan Michie, a key founder of the TDF. Six articles were published in the same journal, Implementation Science, a leading publication dedicated to presenting evidence on methods to integrate research findings into regular healthcare practices and health policies. The earliest article, published in 2005 and ranked second in citation count, introduced the original version of TDF. The most-cited article, published by James Cane in 2012, involved validating and refining the original version. The latest article, published in 2017, provides practical guidelines for using the TDF to assess implementation issues and design interventions.

Contribution of the Institutions

A total of 1770 institutions were analysed. By applying the VOSviewer filter to include only institutions with a minimum document count of 10, 87 institutions met the criteria (refer to Figure 4). These institutions were categorised into 5 clusters. The largest cluster, highlighted in red, encompasses 31 institutions, with University College London (UCL) playing a central role. The second-largest green cluster includes 26 institutions, with key contributors such as the University of Melbourne, the University of Sydney, and Monash University. The third-largest blue cluster comprises 20 institutions, prominently featuring the University of Toronto, the University of Ottawa, and the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. The smaller yellow and purple clusters each contain 5 institutions. Table 2 presents the top 10 institutions based on publication count, with half hailing from Canada, three from the UK, and two from Australia.



Figure 3 Articles total cited more than 50 times.

Contribution of the Countries

Co-authorship offers insights into how collaboration and knowledge exchange occur within and across scientific fields. We included the top 100 authors by publication count in the collaborative network analysis (Figure 5) and found that these 100 authors were divided into 11 clusters represented by different colours. Authors from the same country and institution tend to collaborate more closely. The largest cluster, in red, includes 26 authors, most from Canada. The second-largest cluster, in green, consists of 21 authors, most from the UK, radiating outward with key figures such as Susan Michie, Jill J Francis, and Fabiana Lorencatto. Overall, authors within the green and red clusters tend to collaborate more closely with the red cluster, and the purple cluster, represented by Natalie Taylor from Australia, also collaborates closely but has fewer connections with other authors.

Rank	Title	First author	Journal	Publication year	Total citation	Country
I	Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research	James Cane	Implementation science	2012	2167	UK
2	Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: A consensus approach	Susan Michie	Quality & safety in health care	2005	1842	UK
3	Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks	Per Nilsen	Implementation science	2015	1769	Sweden
4	A guide to using the theoretical domains framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems	Lou Atkins	Implementation science	2017	1125	UK

Table	I Top	10 Cited Arti	cles in TDF Resea	arch Between 2005 and 2023
-------	-------	---------------	-------------------	----------------------------

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

Rank	Title	First author	Journal	Publication year	Total citation	Country
5	Developing theory-informed behaviour change interventions to implement evidence into practice: A systematic approach using the theoretical domains framework	Simon D French	Implementation science	2012	733	Australia
6	Behaviour change techniques: The development and evaluation of a taxonomic method for reporting and describing behaviour change interventions (a suite of five studies involving consensus methods, randomised controlled trials and analysis of qualitative data)	Susan Michie	Health technology assessment	2015	301	UK
7	Theories of behaviour change synthesised into a set of theoretical groupings: Introducing a thematic series on the theoretical domains framework	Jill J Francis	Implementation science	2012	264	UK
8	From lists of behaviour change techniques (bcts) to structured hierarchies: Comparison of two methods of developing a hierarchy of bcts	James Cane	British journal of health psychology	2015	207	UK
9	Implementation mapping: Using intervention mapping to develop implementation strategies	Maria E Fernandez	Frontiers in public health	2019	197	USA
10	Discriminant content validity of a theoretical domains framework questionnaire for use in implementation research	Johanna M Huijg	Implementation science	2014	182	Netherlands

Co-Authorship of Countries

We included the top 35 countries by publication count in the co-authorship analysis, revealing three clusters (see Figure 6). The largest cluster is represented in red, and although the connections between clusters appear relatively thin, indicating a lower degree of collaboration, the countries within this cluster are widely distributed, spanning North America, South America, Asia, Africa, and Europe. The 15 countries in this cluster include the USA, Brazil, India, Kenya, Malaysia, Nigeria, People's Republic of China, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates, and Wales (which belongs to the UK). The USA, Canada, and England (part of the UK) establish close and intensive collaborative relationships. The second-largest cluster is green, consisting of 12 European countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, and Sweden. The third-largest cluster in blue includes five countries, boasting the highest publication count and demonstrating close collaboration with other clusters. This cluster comprises Australia, Canada, the UK (England, North Ireland, and Scotland), Iran, Ireland, and New Zealand.

High-Frequency Keywords

High-frequency keywords serve as crucial indicators of core concepts and hotspots. Analyzing these terms provides valuable insights into the field's dynamics, focal points, and major trends, guiding researchers in understanding its structure and knowledge network. Figure 7 illustrates the top 35 keywords with the highest occurrence frequency in TDF. Apart from TDF, the top five keywords are qualitative research, barriers and facilitations, behaviour change, implementation, and primary care.

TDF has been widely utilized in the field of clinical medicine. We screened disease keywords with a minimum occurrence of 5, and ultimately, 21 keywords were included in the analysis (see Figure 8). From the figure, it is evident that TDF is most frequently employed in the field of cancer, followed by stroke, diabetes mellitus, COVID-19, dementia, obesity, asthma, low back pain, depression, aphasia, COPD, chronic illness, chronic kidney disease, osteoarthritis, pressure ulcer, chronic pain, diabetic retinopathy, HIV, severe mental illness, hypertension, and traumatic brain injury.



Figure 4 Top 87 institutions by number of publications.

Top 50 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts

Keyword bursts map reflects a sudden increase in the citation frequency of a particular keyword during a specific period, providing a comprehensive insight into the evolving trends of hot topics in the TDF research field. The stronger the burst intensity and the longer its duration, the greater the attention and research output received by the topic. We utilized CiteSpace's burst detection algorithm to analyze keywords in 1382 TDF-related articles from 2005 to 2023. (see Figure 9)

Rank	Institution	Country	Number of publication	Total citation	Total link strength
Ι	University of Ottawa	Canada	111	3802	4718
2	University College London	UK	103	7740	6237
3	University of Toronto	Canada	101	2027	2567
4	Monash University	Australia	79	5247	4701
5	University of Sydney	Canada	71	689	1241
6	University of Melbourne	Australia	68	1434	1870
7	Ottawa Hospital Research Institute	Canada	64	2048	2665
8	Ottawa Hospital	Canada	57	775	1866
9	City University London	UK	54	1282	1709
10	Newcastle University	UK	49	5455	4583

Table 2 Top 10 Institution by Number of Publication



Figure 5 Collaboration network of top 100 authors.



Figure 6 Collaboration network of countries.



Figure 7 The top 35 high-frequency keywords in TDF.





In the charts generated by CiteSpace, the timeline is depicted in blue, with the intervals of keyword bursts highlighted in red at specific positions along the blue timeline. Specific details regarding burst keywords, burst intensity, and the start and end years can also be found in Figure 9. The top three keywords with the highest burst intensity are highlighted: behaviour change intervention (8.33), implementation science (5.87), and psychological theory (5.32). The top three

Keywords	Year	Strength	Begin	End	2
complex intervention	2009	4.37	2009	2016	
psychological theory	2012	5.32	2012	2015	
health care professional	2012	1.91	2012	2015	
general practitioner	2013	2.98	2013	2016	
behavior change intervention	2012	8.33	2014	2016	
mixed method	2014	2.06	2014	2016	
implementation	2012	4.17	2015	2016	
questionnaire	2015	2.56	2015	2015	
belief	2015	1.94	2015	2017	
life style	2015	1.92	2015	2015	
prevalence	2009	3.79	2016		
primary health care	2016	2.27	2016	2016	
quality improvement	2014	2.26	2016	2018	
health promotion	2014	2.49	2017	2020	
sedentary behaviour	2017	1.91	2017	2019	
smoking cessation	2018	3.16	2018	2019	
association	2014	2.92	2018		
clinical practice guideline	2012	2.41	2018	2018	
service	2014	2.23	2018		
implementation science	2018	5.87	2019		
nurse	2019	3.97	2019	2023	
metaanalysis	2015	3.83	2019		
mortality	2014	3.61	2019	2020	
evidence-based practice	2013	2.61	2019		
knowledge translation	2012	2.29	2019		
impact	2014	4.59	2020		
exercise	2013		2020	2021	
older people	2020				
qualitative research	2013		2020		
quality of life	2020		2020		
education	2013		2020		
management	2013		2020		
	2012	2.11		2020	

Figure 9 Top 50 keywords with the strongest citation burst.

keywords with the longest burst time are: complex intervention (8 years), nurse (5 years), psychological theory (4 years), health care professional (4 years), general practitioner (4 years), prevalence (4 years), health promotion (4 years), implementation science (4 years), qualitative research (4 years). The keywords burst until 2023 include nurse (from 2019 to 2023) and qualitative (from 2020 to 2023).

Timezone of Keywords

The Timezone of keywords, depicted in Figure 10, is of significant importance as it traces the evolution of research hotspots, identifies emerging trends, and evaluates the dynamics of a research field over time. It has the capability to



Figure 10 The Timezone of keywords.

unveil words that might not have reached a significant burst strength but represent emerging research areas. These less frequently occurring terms, often overlooked by researchers, can offer valuable directions for further exploration. In the figure, the size of the circles represents the frequency of occurrences, with the horizontal axis denoting time and the vertical axis indicating the keywords corresponding to specific time points. The curves reveal the developmental and declining patterns of keywords.

The figure illustrates a substantial influx of high-frequency keywords around the 12-year mark, persisting until 2020 and beyond. Around 2023, a surge in low-frequency keywords, such as "abuse", "violence", "maternal health", and "antenatal care", becomes apparent. These may signify potential research focal points in the coming years.

Discussion

As healthcare knowledge evolves and evidence-based medicine advances, researchers no longer rely solely on experience and assumptions in implementation research. Using theory and framework to understand the mechanisms behind behaviour, implement intervention measures, and promote behaviour change has gained increasing recognition among researchers. However, given the richness of behavioural psychology theories, there is a risk of overlooking important factors that may determine behaviour when conducting behaviour change research and intervention designs based on only one or a few theories. Researchers often face challenges when selecting and applying the most appropriate theory.

The TDF was first established by Michie et al in 2005.² Its core strength lies in attempting a comprehensive coverage of behaviour change theories, integrating various complex psychological theories that were previously disparate. It integrates 33 relevant psychological theories into 128 constructs organized into 12 domains. This simplification of theory application in behaviour change research addresses researchers' dilemmas.

From 2005 to 2012, there was relatively limited research using "TDF" as a keyword. It was not until 2012, when James Cane's team validated and refined the TDF, resulting in a version with 84 constructs sorted into 14 domains.³ Over the past decade, articles with TDF as the main topic have shown a rising trend, and according to the fitted formula based on data from 2005 to 2022, it is projected to surpass 1000 articles per year on the Web of Science by 2030. TDF places emphasis on individual factors while also taking into account social and environmental aspects. Its application affords

healthcare professionals a broad perspective for discerning barriers and facilitators, augmenting their confidence in project implementation.

The UK leads by a significant margin in terms of publications, followed by Australia and Canada. These three countries also exhibit the highest intensity of collaboration among themselves, with domestic research institutions actively participating in international research projects and sharing experiences and resources with other countries and organisations. For example, Newcastle University forms a cluster with Australian universities such as the University of Melbourne, University of Sydney, Monash University, and Griffith University (see Figure 5), indicating a close collaboration that contributes to advancing the implementation of science and research globally. China is the only Asian country in the top ten for publication volume, and the application of TDF in China has garnered increasing attention. From 2016 to 2013, there were 20 articles in China's primary literature database, the Wanfang Database.

From our analysis of citation bursts for key terms, two keywords have exhibited significant bursts in recent years, persisting until 2023, namely "nurse" and "qualitative research." Qualitative research first appeared in 2013 and has emerged as the primary research methodology in TDF applications. This prominence continued through 2020 and persist into 2023, establishing itself as a focal point and trend in TDF research for the foreseeable future. The emergence of "nurse" is a novel finding in this study. Nurses, playing a crucial role in primary health care, have garnered increasing attention regarding their behaviours and experiences. Examples include enhancing nurses' capabilities in correctly utilizing electronic medication management systems,²¹ identifying factors influencing nurse and pharmacist prescriber management of respiratory tract infections,²² and exploring the components of nurse-patient therapeutic engagement in acute mental health wards.²³ The primary motivation behind our research is to explore the application of TDF in conducting implementation research at our centre, addressing clinical issues, and extending the findings to China.

Cancer is a primary focus in TDF research, and our study reveals that TDF research in the field of cancer is concentrated on cancers of the male and female reproductive and urinary systems, such as prostate cancer, cervical cancer, and bladder cancer. For example, identifying factors inconsistent with guidelines for staging clinical prostate cancer and designing guideline-concordant intervention measures,^{24,25} explores modifiable influences on medication-taking behaviour in women with breast cancer,²⁶ Evaluating women's perspectives on human papillomavirus (HPV) self-sampling is another aspect of the research.²⁷

Beyond cancer, there is a wealth of TDF research in stroke rehabilitation. Various chronic diseases are also the key topics, including diabetes mellitus,^{28–31} chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),^{32,33} chronic kidney disease (CKD),^{34–36} chronic pain,^{37,38} and pressure ulcers, among others. Given the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare systems, a considerable portion of research attempts to address issues related to COVID-19 vaccination using TDF.^{39,40} Furthermore, low back pain is another crucial disease topic, and it was one of the earliest areas where an improved version of TDF was applied in clinical practice.

Through the Timezone of keywords, we observed a significant emergence of conceptual keywords related to implementation science in 2012, including "clinical practice guideline", "behaviour change intervention", "complex intervention", and "clinical behaviour", among others. Notably, there were no specific disease-related terms during this period. In 2020, there was a surge in disease and healthcare-related terms, encompassing public health topics such as "immunisation" and "food", as well as specific diseases like "muscle tension dysphonia", "ventilator-associated pneumonia", "Parkinson's disease", "bloodstream infection", and others. Additionally, emerging topics in recent years include "end-of-life", "violence", "abuse", and "binge drinking". While most of these terms have not reached the 'burst' level, they reflect the expanding application of TDF across various medical specialities, a trend expected to continue.

Limitation

The limitations of this study include a potential publication bias, as it solely relies on literature indexed in the WOSCC. The focus on English-language publications may also introduce language bias, neglecting valuable contributions in other languages. While providing a macro-level overview, the bibliometric analysis may lack depth in qualitative understanding of specific TDF applications. Furthermore, the study's cross-sectional nature captures a snapshot in time, potentially overlooking evolving trends. Despite these limitations, the study offers valuable insights into TDF-related research's current state and trends.

Conclusion

This study is the first attempt to apply bibliometric analysis to the TDF, offering insights into its development, hot topics, and future trends. By identifying key research articles, major contributors, and emerging themes, we provide a comprehensive overview of the TDF's impact and application scope. The findings highlight the TDF's evolving role from public health to more specialised clinical directions, while its use in public health continues to expand.

Researchers can leverage bibliometric analysis to explore the evolving landscape of implementation science more effectively. By identifying influential works, key contributors, and collaboration networks, researchers can better strategize their studies to address current and future challenges in the field. The emergence of new topics such as abuse, violence, maternal health, and trauma-informed care suggests that future research may increasingly focus on these areas, expanding the TDF's applicability.

Future research should consider interdisciplinary applications, particularly in areas where understanding behaviour change is crucial. Exploring the integration of TDF with emerging technologies, such as digital health interventions and artificial intelligence, can enhance the design and implementation of behaviour change strategies. Moreover, Strengthening international collaborations, developing and refining methodological approaches for different cultural and healthcare settings, and conducting longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term impact of TDF-based interventions will provide deeper insights into their sustainability and effectiveness.

Data Sharing Statement

All the data used in this study are available from the corresponding author Ying Gu.

Institutional Review Board Statement

The data utilized in this study are publicly accessible and do not contain protected health information. Therefore, no approval was sought from the Ethics Committee.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This research was supported by funds from the Scientific Research Foundation of Shanghai Local High-Level University Construction Project–Evidence-based Nursing Innovation Research Institute: Multi-center evidence-based innovation practice project (No. FNDGJ201904).

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Birken SA, Powell BJ, Shea CM. et al. Criteria for selecting implementation science theories and frameworks: results from an international survey. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12(1):124. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0656-y
- 2. Michie S, Johnston M, Abraham C, et al. Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus approach. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2005;14(1):26–33. doi:10.1136/qshc.2004.011155
- 3. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci.* 2012;7(1):37. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-37

- 4. French SD, Green SE, O'Connor DA, et al. Developing theory-informed behaviour change interventions to implement evidence into practice: a systematic approach using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Implement Sci.* 2021; 7(38). ;doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-38
- 5. Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12(1):77. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0605-9
- 6. Phillips CJ, Marshall AP, Chaves NJ, et al. Experiences of using the Theoretical Domains Framework across diverse clinical environments: a qualitative study. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2015;8:139–146. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S78458
- 7. Heslehurst N, Newham J, Maniatopoulos G, et al. Implementation of pregnancy weight management and obesity guidelines: a meta-synthesis of healthcare professionals' barriers and facilitators using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2014;4(3):234–235. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2014.03.017
- 8. Alexander KE, Brijnath B, Mazza D. Barriers and enablers to delivery of the Healthy Kids Check: an analysis informed by the Theoretical Domains Framework and COM-B model. *Implement Sci.* 2014;9(1):60. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-9-60
- 9. Griffith J, Marani H, Monkman H. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in Canada: content Analysis of Tweets Using the Theoretical Domains Framework. J Med Internet Res. 2021;23(4):e26874. doi:10.2196/26874
- 10. Curtis KE, Lahiri S, Brown KE. Targeting Parents for Childhood Weight Management: development of a Theory-Driven and User-Centered Healthy Eating App. *JMIR mHealth UHealth*. 2015;3(2):e69. doi:10.2196/mhealth.3857
- 11. Weatherson KA, Gainforth HL, Jung ME. A theoretical analysis of the barriers and facilitators to the implementation of school-based physical activity policies in Canada: a mixed methods scoping review. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12(1):41. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0570-3
- 12. Dyson J, Cowdell F. How is the Theoretical Domains Framework applied in designing interventions to support healthcare practitioner behaviour change? A systematic review. Int J Qual Health Care. 2021;33(3):mzab106. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzab106
- 13. Cowdell F, Dyson J. How is the theoretical domains framework applied to developing health behaviour interventions? A systematic search and narrative synthesis. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):1180. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7442-5
- 14. Guccione L, Fullerton S, Gough K, et al. Why is advance care planning underused in oncology settings? A systematic overview of reviews to identify the benefits, barriers, enablers, and interventions to improve uptake. *Front Oncol.* 2023;13:1040589. doi:10.3389/fonc.2023.1040589
- 15. Almukhtar A, Batcup C, Bowman M, et al. Barriers and facilitators to sustainable operating theatres: a systematic review using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Int J Surg* 2024;110(1):554–568. doi:10.1097/JS9.00000000000829
- 16. Wan CS, Cheng H, Musgrave-Takeda M, et al. Barriers and facilitators to implementing pressure injury prevention and management guidelines in acute care: a mixed-methods systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2023;145:104557. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2023.10455
- 17. Pritchard A. Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics. J Doc. 1969;25:348-349.
- 18. Mackinlay J. Tableau history. (2023 Avialable from: https://www.tableau.com/blog/analyzing-history-tableau-innovation. Accessed august 8, 2024.
- 19. Van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Software survey: vOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*. 2010;84(2):523–538. doi:10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3
- 20. Synnestvedt MB, Chen C, Holmes JH. CiteSpace II: visualization and knowledge discovery in bibliographic databases. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc.* 2005;2005:724–728.
- 21. Debono D, Taylor N, Lipworth W, et al. Applying the Theoretical Domains Framework to identify barriers and targeted interventions to enhance nurses' use of electronic medication management systems in two Australian hospitals. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12(1):42. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0572-1
- 22. Courtenay M, Rowbotham S, Lim R, et al. Examining influences on antibiotic prescribing by nurse and pharmacist prescribers: a qualitative study using the Theoretical Domains Framework and COM-B [published correction appears in. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(7):e029177corr1. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029177
- 23. McAllister S, Robert G, Tsianakas V, et al. Conceptualising nurse-patient therapeutic engagement on acute mental health wards: an integrative review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2019;93:106–118. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.02.013
- 24. Makarov DV, Sedlander E, Braithwaite RS, et al. A qualitative study to understand guideline-discordant use of imaging to stage incident prostate cancer. *Implement Sci.* 2016;11(1):118. doi:10.1186/s13012-016-0484-5
- Ciprut S, Sedlander E, Watts KL, et al. Designing a theory-based intervention to improve the guideline-concordant use of imaging to stage incident prostate cancer. Urol Oncol. 2018;36(5):246–251. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2017.12.019
- Cahir C, Dombrowski SU, Kelly CM, et al. Women's experiences of hormonal therapy for breast cancer: exploring influences on medication-taking behaviour. Support Care Cancer. 2015;23(11):3115–3130. doi:10.1007/s00520-015-2685-x
- 27. Oketch SY, Kwena Z, Choi Y, et al. Perspectives of women participating in a cervical cancer screening campaign with community-based HPV self-sampling in rural western Kenya: a qualitative study. *BMC Women's Health*. 2019;19(1):75. doi:10.1186/s12905-019-0778-2
- Rubner S, D'Annibale M, Oliver N, et al. Individual, social and environmental factors influencing dietary behaviour in shift workers with type 2 diabetes working in UK healthcare: a cross-sectional survey. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2023;36(5):1992–2009. doi:10.1111/jhn.13198
- 29. Bourne JE, Ivanova E, Gainforth HL, et al. Mapping behavior change techniques to characterize a social cognitive theory informed physical activity intervention for adults at risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Transl Behav Med.* 2020;10(3):705–715. doi:10.1093/tbm/ibz008
- 30. Chimeddamba O, Peeters A, Ayton D, et al. Implementation of clinical guidelines on diabetes and hypertension in urban Mongolia: a qualitative study of primary care providers' perspectives and experiences. *Implement Sci.* 2015;10(1):112. doi:10.1186/s13012-015-0307-0
- 31. Gianfrancesco C, Johnson M. Exploring the provision of diabetes nutrition education by practice nurses in primary care settings. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2020;33(2):263–273. doi:10.1111/jhn.12720
- 32. Dennis S, Reddel HK, Middleton S, et al. Barriers and outcomes of an evidence-based approach to diagnosis and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Australia: a qualitative study. *Fam Pract.* 2017;34(4):485–490. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmw103
- Wshah A, Selzler AM, Hill K, et al. Determinants of Sedentary Behaviour in Individuals with COPD: a Qualitative Exploration Guided by the Theoretical Domains Framework. COPD. 2020;17(1):65–73. doi:10.1080/15412555.2019.1708883
- 34. Tesfaye WH, Erku D, Mekonnen A, et al. Medication non-adherence in chronic kidney disease: a mixed-methods review and synthesis using the theoretical domains framework and the behavioural change wheel. J Nephrol. 2021;34(4):1091–1125. doi:10.1007/s40620-020-00895-x
- 35. Cardol CK, Boslooper-Meulenbelt K, van Middendorp H, et al. Psychosocial barriers and facilitators for adherence to a healthy lifestyle among patients with chronic kidney disease: a focus group study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2022;23(1):205. doi:10.1186/s12882-022-02837-0

- 36. Baay S, Hemmelgarn B, Tam-Tham H, et al. Understanding Adults With Chronic Kidney Disease and Their Caregivers' Self-Management Experiences: a Qualitative Study Using the Theoretical Domains Framework. Can J Kidney Health Dis. 2019;6:2054358119848126. doi:10.1177/ 2054358119848126
- 37. Ahmed S, Zidarov D, Eilayyan O, et al. Prospective application of implementation science theories and frameworks to inform use of PROMs in routine clinical care within an integrated pain network. *Qual Life Res.* 2021;30(11):3035–3047. doi:10.1007/s11136-020-02600-8
- 38. van Dijk H, Köke AJA, Elbers S, et al. Physiotherapists Using the Biopsychosocial Model for Chronic Pain: barriers and Facilitators-A Scoping Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(2):1634. doi:10.3390/ijerph20021634
- 39. Wong CH, Zhong CC, Chung VC, et al. Barriers and Facilitators to Receiving the COVID-19 Vaccination and Development of Theoretically-Informed Implementation Strategies for the Public: qualitative Study in Hong Kong. Vaccines. 2022;10(5):764. doi:10.3390/ vaccines10050764
- 40. Thaivalappil A, Young I, MacKay M, et al. A qualitative study exploring healthcare providers' and trainees' barriers to COVID-19 and influenza vaccine uptake. *Health Psychol Behav Med.* 2022;10(1):695–712. doi:10.1080/21642850.2022.210623

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

Dovepress

DovePress

4069

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-multidisciplinary-healthcare-journal

f У in 🗖