#### LETTER

2417

# Response to "Telemedicine at a University Ophthalmology Practice During the Beginning of the COVID-19 Pandemic" [Letter]

Harinto Nur Seha<sup>[b]</sup>, Achmad Jaelani Rusdi<sup>[b]</sup>, Demiawan Rachmatta Putro Mudiono<sup>[b]</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Record and Health Information Department, Poltekkes Permata Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; <sup>2</sup>Medical Record and Health Information Department, ITSK RS DR Soepraoen Malang, Kota, Indonesia; <sup>3</sup>Health Information Management Department, Politeknik Negeri Jember, Jember, Indonesia

Correspondence: Harinto Nur Seha, Poltekkes Permata Indonesia Yogyakarta, Jl. Ringroad utara No. 22C, Condongcatur, Depok, Sleman, Daerah Istimewa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, Email harinto\_ns@permataindonesia.ac.id

### **Dear editor**

We would like to share the findings of our research on the use of teleophthalmology at a university practice during the COVID-19 pandemic. The aim of this study was to evaluate diagnostic accuracy, effectiveness, and patient satisfaction with telemedicine services provided by the Department of Ophthalmology at Stony Brook University.<sup>1</sup>

In this study, telemedicine visits were offered to both new and established patients who requested appointments between March 30 and June 2, 2020. Of the 783 patients offered telemedicine services, 520 patients (66.4%) accepted. Of these, 409 patients (78.7%) were established patients, and 105 patients (20.2%) had in-person follow-up visits. The overall diagnostic accuracy of the follow-up visits was 89.5%, although this accuracy varied across different ophthalmology subspecialties.

Additionally, among the patients who had in-person follow-up visits, 56.8% remained stable, 32.4% improved, and 10.8% worsened. We also found that established patients had more complex ocular histories and experienced a higher percentage of worsening symptoms compared to new patients. The oculoplastics/orbit subspecialty was the most prevalent in cases of worsening conditions.<sup>2</sup>

Post-telemedicine visit satisfaction surveys were sent to all patients, and 15.0% responded. Overall, patient satisfaction was 91.9%, although only 23.0% of respondents preferred telemedicine over in-office visits.

Based on these findings and a systematic review of telemedicine's effectiveness, efficiency, and patient satisfaction, we conclude that teleophthalmology can provide high levels of diagnostic accuracy and patient satisfaction, despite the challenges in implementation and the preference of most patients for in-person examinations; nonetheless, telemedicine can serve as an effective alternative for follow-up and emergency care during pandemic situations and beyond.<sup>3</sup>

We hope this research provides valuable insights for the development of telemedicine services, particularly in the field of ophthalmology. Thank you for your consideration and for the opportunity to publish these findings.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

# References

- 1. Oganov AC, Chou TY, Hanson PM, et al. Telemedicine at a university ophthalmology practice during the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2024;18:2369–2380. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S460454
- 2. Fydanaki O, Kanwar T, Bhalla M, et al. Video consultations in oculoplastic service: a continuing role post-pandemic? *Eye.* 2023;37(13):2826–2827. doi:10.1038/s41433-023-02385-3
- 3. Ansyori A, Ikawati FR, Prisusanti RD, Rusdi AJ, Afifah L, Suhariyono US. Sistematis review tentang pengobatan telemedicine: Efektivitas, Efisiensi, dan Kepuasan Pasien. *J Rekam Medis Dan Infor Keseh Indon.* 2023;3(1):88–95.

Received: 23 August 2024 Accepted: 23 August 2024 Published: 28 August 2024 Clinical Ophthalmology 2024:18 2417-2418

© 2024 Seha et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php work and incorporate the (reative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) Licens, http://crativecommons.org/licenses/by-nr/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### **Clinical Ophthalmology**

## **Dove**press

#### Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S492725