#### LETTER

3933

# Comment on "Acupuncture and Moxibustion in the Treatment of Gynecological Perioperative Anxiety: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis" [Letter]

Bo Lin<sup>1</sup>, Fan Zhang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, Xiangyang Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xiangyang, Hubei, People's Republic of China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology A, Longhua Hospital Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Fan Zhang, Department of Nephrology A, Longhua Hospital Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, People's Republic of China, Email fan\_zhang1993@163.com

#### Dear editor

We read with great interest the meta-analysis by Wang et al examining the effects of acupuncture and moxibustion on perioperative anxiety in gynecological surgery.<sup>1</sup> While we appreciate the authors' efforts, several methodological concerns warrant discussion.

First, the majority of included studies were published in Chinese journals. As previous research has shown, Chinese trials often lack complete reporting of methodological details according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement guidelines.<sup>2</sup> This incomplete reporting raises concerns about potential bias in study methods and makes it difficult to assess the true quality of the evidence.

Second, the authors did not employ the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to evaluate the certainty of evidence for each outcome. The GRADE framework is essential for systematic reviews as it considers factors such as risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias.<sup>3</sup> Without GRADE assessment, readers cannot make informed judgments about the reliability of the findings and their implications for clinical practice.

Third, most outcomes in this meta-analysis were based on self-reported measures (eg, STAI-S, VAS-anxiety, SAS). While these are validated tools, self-reported outcomes are particularly susceptible to performance bias and detection bias, especially in non-blinded trials.<sup>4</sup> The authors acknowledged that complete blinding was unfeasible in acupuncture studies, which further compounds this concern. The lack of objective outcomes makes it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the intervention's effectiveness.

Given these limitations, we suggest that the findings should be interpreted with caution. Future meta-analyses in this field should prioritize including high-quality trials with complete methodological reporting, incorporate GRADE assessment, and consider including more objective outcome measures when possible.

### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patient involved.

## **Data Sharing Statement**

All data is available in the manuscript.

## **Ethical Approval**

This study does not involve human participants and ethical approval was not required.

## Funding

There is no funding to report.

# Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this communication.

# References

- 1. Wang X, Yu Q, Zhu J, et al. Acupuncture and moxibustion in the treatment of gynecological perioperative anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain Res.* 2024;17:3515–3538. doi:10.2147/JPR.S464808
- 2. Wu T, Li Y, Bian Z, Liu G, Moher D. Randomized trials published in some Chinese journals: how many are randomized? *Trials*. 2009;10:46. doi:10.1186/1745-6215-10-46
- 3. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al.; GRADE Working Group. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7650):924–926. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD
- Savović J, Jones HE, Altman DG, et al. Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomized, controlled trials. Ann Intern Med. 2012;157(6):429–438. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-157-6-201209180-00537

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### Journal of Pain Research

#### Dovepress

#### Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S506135