

Enhancing OCT Reliability: The Role of Eye-Tracking in Achieving Consistent Retinal Measurements [Response to Letter]

Ge Yang¹, Salma Firdaus², Livia Figueiredo Pereira³, Yumin Huang-Link⁴

¹Huizhou Aier Eye Hospital, Aier Eye Hospital Group, Huizhou, Guangdong Province, People's Republic of China; ²Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia; ³Pontifical Catholic University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ⁴Division of Neurology, Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden

Correspondence: Ge Yang, Huizhou Aier Eye Hospital, Aier Eye Hospital Group, Huizhou, Guangdong Province, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 15626454300, Email geyang95@sina.com

Dear editor

We extend our appreciation for the interest in our study shown by Dr. Galvan et al and for the opportunity to respond to the letter.

In the letter, Dr. Galvan et al raise the potential bias of the absence of eye-tracking in our study.¹ The eye-tracking technique in OCT is employed to compensate for eye motion artifacts and to conduct point-to-point retina scanning for comparison. Both OCT devices of Cirrus HD-OCT 4000 and HD-OCT 5000 utilized in our study are equipped with the eye-tracking system, FastTrac™, to eliminate eye motion artifacts.^{2,3} FastTrac™ is capable of tracking the retina and performing point-to-point scanning based on a reference image when using a single device. However, when using two devices, the information captured by one cannot be transferred to the other. We recognize that this may lead to potential bias. Nevertheless, we believe the regions scanned in our study are comparable for the following reasons.

Firstly, algorithms in the devices can identify the macular and the optic disc. Different from measuring retinal diseases, where it is necessary to locate the exact spot in the former scan and then capture an image for comparison, the macular and optic disc are anatomical landmarks. The fovea and optic disc can be identified by OCT algorithms, FoveaFinder™ and AutoCenter™, respectively.³ To ensure that the analyzed regions are precisely aligned, we adopted the ONH cube 200×200 protocol and the macular cube 512×128 protocol for data collection in both devices, and the parameters are calculated automatically, as mentioned in the methodology section.⁴ For example, the optic disc margin is defined as the termination of Bruch's membrane. As for the angle of entry into the pupil, despite variations, the measurement of a three-dimensional volume would be consistent.⁵

Secondly, Cirrus HD-OCT 4000 and HD-OCT 5000 share a same normative database. This implies that the scanning results of these two devices are designed to be compared with the same control data. Therefore, they should be comparable.

Furthermore, our work aims to test the reproducibility of the two machines, including the algorithms and protocols. The high intermachine reproducibility demonstrated in our study indirectly indicates that the ability of the algorithms to precisely identify these structures is satisfactory. When using two Cirrus OCT devices, a software called FORUM can be used to precisely match the image. Inducing FORUM in our future study might yield a more ideal result.

Herein, we conclude that our study provides valuable data regarding the reproducibility measurement and interocular parameters across different Cirrus OCT models while using eye-tracking technology together with the ONH cube 200×200 protocol and the macular cube 512×128 protocol. And the reproducibility and interocular symmetry of OCT parameters between the SD-OCT 4000 and 5000 devices are high. GC IPL measurements showed strong consistency across both devices, which is in line with their minimal standard deviation, indicating stable measurements. The

intermachine differences of parameters measured at the ONH are significantly high, highlighting the necessity for cautious interpretation when comparing data from different OCT models. Combined with the use of FORUM software in future studies, could further enhance the reliability and clinical applicability of OCT measurements.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Galvan J, de Sousa LICG, Guerra RLL. Enhancing OCT reliability: the role of eye-tracking in achieving consistent retinal measurements [Letter]. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2024;18:3237–3238. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S503948
2. Baghaie A, Yu Z, D'Souza RM. Involuntary eye motion correction in retinal optical coherence tomography: hardware or software solution? *Med Image Anal*. 2017;37:129–145. doi:10.1016/j.media.2017.02.002
3. Costello FE. Optical coherence tomography technologies: which machine do you want to own? *J Neuro-Ophthalmol*. 2014;34:S3–S9. doi:10.1097/WNO.0000000000000161
4. Firdaus S, Pereira LF, Yang G, Huang-Link Y. Interocular symmetry and intermachine reproducibility of optic disc and macular parameters measured by two different models of optical coherence tomography. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2024;18:2397–2406. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S465360
5. Mwanza JC, Chang RT, Budenz DL, et al. Reproducibility of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and optic nerve head parameters measured with cirrus HD-OCT in glaucomatous eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2010;51(11):5724–5730. doi:10.1167/iops.10-5222

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S505608>