

Addressing Limitations and Future Directions in Assessing Risk Factors for Pulmonary Complications after Femur Fracture Surgery in Elderly Patients [Letter]

Zhongxing Liu^{1,2,*}, Mengzhe Tian^{3,*}, Lincheng Duan²

¹Dujiangyan Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, People's Republic of China; ²Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, People's Republic of China; ³Chengdu Sport University, Chengdu, Sichuan, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Lincheng Duan, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, People's Republic of China, Email lincheng@stu.cdutcm.edu.cn

Dear editor

We commend Chai et al for their insightful study on the incidence and risk factors of pulmonary complications following femur fracture surgery in elderly patients aged 80 and older.¹ This research contributes significantly to geriatric surgery and postoperative care, particularly in addressing this underrepresented population. However, several limitations require further attention to enhance the study's robustness.

First, the study does not provide detailed data on the severity and management of comorbid conditions, which are critical determinants of postoperative outcomes. For example, broad categorizations of chronic lung disease and Parkinson's disease overlook variations in disease severity and treatment, such as the degree of respiratory impairment or functional limitations. Employing standardized tools like the Charlson Comorbidity Index in future research could clarify how specific comorbidities influence complication risks.²

Second, while the study mentions venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis, it lacks data on the timing and dosage of anticoagulant interventions. Evidence suggests that these factors are pivotal in balancing thrombotic and bleeding risks, particularly in elderly populations.³ Future studies could explore the effects of precise prophylaxis protocols, including dosage and timing relative to surgery, on reducing VTE and related pulmonary complications.

Additionally, the absence of preoperative functional status assessments, such as the Barthel Index or equivalent measures,⁴ limits the study's ability to account for patients' baseline physical resilience. Functional capacity is a well-established predictor of postoperative recovery and mortality in older adults. Incorporating such metrics would allow for a more refined understanding of the risk factors influencing adverse surgical outcomes.

Furthermore, the study does not control for variations in perioperative care quality or postoperative rehabilitation, which are known to impact recovery outcomes. The intensity and frequency of rehabilitation, for instance, can significantly affect complication rates and recovery duration.⁵ Future research should consider these variables to improve external validity and generalizability across different healthcare settings.

Lastly, this study provides valuable insights for clinical practice, emphasizing that comprehensive preoperative risk assessment, optimal timing and choice of surgical approach, individualized perioperative management, and multidisciplinary collaboration are essential in reducing postoperative pulmonary complications and mortality in elderly patients (aged 80 and above) undergoing femur fracture surgery. Specifically, preoperative evaluations should prioritize identifying high-risk factors such as chronic lung disease and Parkinson's disease. Postoperative management should include vigilant monitoring for pulmonary complications and appropriate prophylactic strategies for venous thromboembolism

(VTE); for patients at high risk of bleeding, intermittent pneumatic compression devices may be preferred. Additionally, extended follow-up is crucial for detecting and managing long-term complications, supporting overall recovery and quality of life in this demographic. These recommendations not only enhance recovery outcomes but also provide a framework for improving geriatric orthopedic care.

In conclusion, while Chai et al's study provides valuable insights, addressing these additional factors in future research could yield a more comprehensive understanding of postoperative risk factors in elderly patients. This would enable more targeted interventions, ultimately enhancing surgical outcomes in this vulnerable population.

Funding

No fundings were received for this research.

Disclosure

Zhongxing Liu and Mengzhe Tian contributed equally to this work and share first authorship. The authors declare no conflicts of interest that pertain to this communication.

References

1. Chai J, Kang J, Seo WJ, et al. Incidence and risk factors of pulmonary complications following femur fracture surgery in patients aged 80 years and older. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2024;19:1843–1854. doi:10.2147/CIA.S481641
2. Bahlis LF, Diogo LP, Fuchs SC. Charlson comorbidity index and other predictors of in-hospital mortality among adults with community-acquired pneumonia. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2021;47:e20200257–e20200257. doi:10.36416/1806-3756/e20200257
3. Anderson DR, Morgano GP, Bennett C, et al. American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: prevention of venous thromboembolism in surgical hospitalized patients. *Blood Adv*. 2019;3:3898–3944. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000975
4. Katano S, Yano T, Ohori K, et al. Barthel index score predicts mortality in elderly heart failure - a goal of comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation. *Circ J*. 2021;86:70–78. doi:10.1253/circj.CJ-21-0584
5. Ibrahimoğlu Ö, Gezer N, Ögütlü Ö, Polat E. The relationship between perioperative care quality and postoperative comfort level in patients with hip replacement surgery. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2023;38:69–75. doi:10.1016/j.jopan.2022.05.068

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Interventions in Aging 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Interventions in Aging editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Interventions in Aging

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S506852>