LETTER

523

Regarding Treatment of Painful Diabetic Neuropathy With 10 kHz Spinal Cord Stimulation: Long-Term Improvements in Hemoglobin A1c, Weight, and Sleep Accompany Pain Relief for People With Type 2 Diabetes [Letter]

Peng-Bo Zhou 1,2, Min Bao³, Hong-Tao Sun^{1,2}

¹The First School of Clinical Medical, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730000, People's Republic of China; ²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Neurotrauma Repair, Characteristic Medical Center of People's Armed Police Forces, Tianjin, 300162, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Neurosurgery, People's Hospital of Liaoning Province, Shenyang, Liaoning, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Hong-Tao Sun, Email sunhtlab@163.com; Min Bao, Email 13699250880@163.com

Dear editor

We have had the pleasure of reading the article by Klonoff et al¹ entitled "*Treatment of Painful Diabetic Neuropathy with* 10 kHz Spinal Cord Stimulation: Long-Term Improvements in Hemoglobin A1c, Weight, and Sleep Accompany Pain Relief for People with Type 2 Diabetes" and would like to offer a critique of this clinical study of 10 kHz spinal cord stimulation (SCS) waveforms and share our clinical experience. We hope that these insights will further improve the design of subsequent studies and that SCS will lead to further promising advances in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy.

Klonoff et al reported that they implanted a high frequency (10kHz) spinal cord stimulation (SCS) system in 144 patients with painful diabetic neuropathy (PDN) and estimated changes in HbA1c, body weight, pain intensity (VAS) and sleep over 24 months.¹ We would like to point out that in their study, Klonoff et al neglected the washout period when patients crossed over to another group due to pain relief below 50% of baseline. In our clinic, we have used SCS to significantly reduce neuropathic pain in the lower limbs of patients with painful diabetic neuropathy (PDPN) and, more interestingly, we have also observed a significant analgesic effect in patients with PDPN who remained analgesic after the implanted SCS device was switched off, a phenomenon that illustrates the importance of the washout period. Secondly, we observed that SCS also showed a significant improvement in lower limb haemodynamics in patients with ischaemic diabetic foot ulcers, and we expect that the authors will focus on the improvement of target site blood flow with SCS in future studies.²

In summary, we applaud the researchers for conducting a long-term and novel study. We note that this is only a 24-month study report and look forward to seeing the results of long-term studies in the future and to addressing the comments above. Further exploration of the many beneficial modalities of SCS in clinical applications.

Funding

No funding was received for this study/paper.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this communication.

Journal of Pain Research 2025:18 523-524

© 2025 Zhou et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

References

- Klonoff DC, Levy BL, Jaasma MJ, et al. Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy with 10 kHz spinal cord stimulation: long-term improvements in hemoglobin A1c, weight, and sleep accompany pain relief for people with Type 2 Diabetes. J Pain Res. 2024;17:3063–3074. doi:10.2147/JPR. S463383
- 2. Zhou PB, Sun HT, Bao M. Comparative analysis of the efficacy of spinal cord stimulation and traditional debridement care in the treatment of ischemic diabetic foot ulcers: a retrospective cohort study. *Neurosurgery*. 2024;95(2):313–321. doi:10.1227/neu.00000000002866

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S510927